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# Findings

### The security team identified the following findings as potentially exploitable conditions that could lead to compromise of the confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability of the tested environment. Each finding includes a description, supporting details, and recommended steps for mitigation. The {Stakeholder Name} team should review the findings and recommendations for technical weaknesses, shortcomings in processes and procedures, and systemic weaknesses in overall security posture.

### Severity can be used to prioritize mitigation of findings. However, {Stakeholder Name} is best equipped to develop a mitigation strategy based on business impact and priorities. Mitigation Status indicates whether a finding was mitigated during the assessment timeframe and is only adjusted when the security team can confidently validate that the finding was mitigated.

## Findings Summary

{Table: Findings Summary}

Table 1: Summary of Findings, Severity, and Mitigation Status

### See [Appendix A](#APPA) for the criteria used to determine severity (Critical/High/Medium/Low/Informational).

## Detailed Findings

{Table: Detailed Findings}

{PHISHING CAMPAIGN RESULTS}

# Attack Paths

Attack paths are used to demonstrate impact by chaining together vulnerabilities and misconfigurations to achieve a significant level of access. The sections below provide a high-level overview of attack paths that the security team executed during the engagement and the corresponding MITRE ATT&CK techniques that were leveraged in each attack. A detailed breakdown of each attack path can be found in the corresponding [Appendix D: Narrative](#NAR) section.

{ATTACK PATHS}

# Appendix A: Severity Rating Criteria

The severity selected for each finding during the RVA are determined using the criteria outlined below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Severity** | **Description** |
| **• Critical** | Critical findings pose an immediate and severe threat to the environment due to ease of exploitation and significant impact. In most cases, critical findings are reported immediately, and rapid mitigation should be considered. |
| **• High** | High findings may be leveraged by an adversary to obtain full control over a targeted asset. This includes but is not limited to: easily exploitable vulnerabilities that lead to complete compromise of an application, system, or network; significant router/firewall/server misconfigurations; weak password configurations that lead to administrator account compromise; or any vulnerability that can be exploited using readily available tools leading to significant compromise of an asset. |
| **• Medium** | Medium findings may be leveraged by an adversary to obtain some control over a targeted asset. This includes but is not limited to: unauthorized disclosure of sensitive customer or user account information; unauthorized read access to sensitive business information; weak anti-virus implementation/configurations; and lack of segmentation between untrusted and trusted networks. |
| **• Low** | Low findings typically encompass items of interest that are not readily exploitable and/or do not result in compromise to confidentiality, integrity, or availability of assets. The raw data and scan reports provided by the security team should be reviewed for additional findings that may have been deemed low severity but were not included in this report based on the minor risk they present. Due to time constraints, the security team prioritizes higher risk findings and may not be able to validate lower severity findings. |
| **• Informational** | Informational findings are potential weaknesses within the environment that cannot be readily exploited. These findings represent areas that should be investigated further, but do not require immediate action or mitigation. |

Table 2: Severity Rating Descriptions

# APPENDIX B: Narrative

This section highlights key tools and techniques the security team utilized during testing and can be used to replicate the security team’s actions or better understand how a particular finding was identified. These actions should only be replicated by an experienced individual who thoroughly understands the functionality and risks of the tools and techniques.

{NARRATIVE SECTION}

# Appendix C: Scope

{Table: Scope}

# Appendix D: External Port Mapping

During external testing, the security team identified the following open ports/services on {Stakeholder Name}’s public-facing systems. It is recommended to review the data below, determine if any unnecessary ports/services are publicly accessible, and minimize the external attack surface where possible.

{Table: Port Mapping}

Table 3: Open Ports and Services on External Systems

# Appendix E: Abbreviations and Acronyms

{Table: Acronyms}