

PHYS 6260 Term Project: Final Peer Evaluation Form for Group Work

Your name: C. Michael Haynes

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total the numbers in each column.

Evaluation Criteria	Group member: Kenneth	Group member: Neil	Group member: Corinne	Group member: Eden	Group member:
Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time.	4	4	4	1	
Contributes meaningfully to group discussions.	4	4	3	1	
Completes group assignments on time.	4	4	3	1	
Prepares work in a quality manner.	3	4	2	1	
Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude.	4	4	4	1	
Contributes significantly to the success of the project.	4	4	2	1	
TOTALS	23	24	18	6	

Feedback on team dynamics:

1. How effectively did your group work?

The group worked very effectively, but only a subset of the group really ever “worked”. Corinne had an extremely difficult circumstance, and still made an effort to contribute even though they did not carry out much of the work. Eden did not really contribute anything, was on their phone for the one or two meetings (of dozens) they attended, and their (small) contributions for the written work indicated a complete lack of familiarity with the model.

2.

Rather than elaborate on this verbally, I find it more instructive to append the log for the overleaf document (containing all written deliverables). Note that the git log DOES NOT reflect the the true dist. of work, since it only tracks the “main” branch (which we did not work in).