# Assignment in intro to neural computation

#### Part C&D

By: Michael trushkin

323363838

### **Data**

All data is two dimensional,  $\langle x,y \rangle$  where -1  $\langle x,y \rangle$  where -1. The data is all data points  $\langle x,y \rangle$  where x is of the form m/100 where m is an integer between -100 and +100 and y is of the form n/100 with n an integer between -100 and +100.

suppose that:

<x,y> has value of 1 iff:

 $1/2 < x^2+y^2 < 3/4$ 

### **About Part C**

Try to traing a Neural network using back propogation, to predict the given function. show the output of each of the neuron's in the network.

what we will do

• we will build out own neural network class, and implement back prop.

### About the neural network

- we will use mini-batch training, our model supports batches of any sizes.
- we use momentum model meaning the Gradient at time t, is combined with fraction of the gradient of time t-1
- the momentum level is set to 0.5 by default and the learning rate is 0.1 by default.

we use momentum because from some test's i have made it simply converges faster.

# **Creating the data**

```
In [2]:
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import importlib
import myGraphicFuncs
import NeuralNet
importlib.reload(myGraphicFuncs)
importlib.reload(NeuralNet)
from NeuralNet import NeuralNetwork
np.set_printoptions(suppress=True)
import myGraphicFuncs as mg
                                                                                                             In [3]:
def f(x, y):
    d = x^**2 + y^**2
    if 0.5 \le d \le 0.75:
        return 1
    return 0
\# def f(x,y):
      if x>0.5 and y>0.5:
#
#
         return 1
      return 0
maxn = 100
maxm = 100
data_set1000 = mg.create_data(f, 1000, maxn, maxm)
data negative, data positive = mg.generate data all(f, maxn, maxm)
```

data\_uniform1000 = mg.generate\_uniform\_dataset(f, 1000, data\_positive, data\_negative)

```
# data_uniform1000[np.random.choice(1000, 5)]
data_all = mg.generate_data_all_noseperation(f, maxn, maxm)
```

### plot some random uniform data

```
mg.plot_data(data_set1000[:,:2], data_set1000[:,2:])
```

In [4]:

In [5]:

In [7]:

plot uniform data a.k.a where there is 50% bad and good examples.

```
mg.plot_data(data_uniform1000[:,:2], data_uniform1000[:,2:])

In [6]:
#test = NeuralNetwork([2, 8, 4, 1])
```

```
#mg.plot_test(test, x, "prediction-"+name, s=35)
```

define neural network train and show results

- architecture we will use the [2, 8, 3, 1] architecture, as shown in one of the examples.
- note: the reason for 3 neurons in the layer 2, is due to noticing that this neuron doesnt predict anything ( from images )

### try predict few cases without training

Our training function that will train the network on some data, in mini batches

• also save the network state at each iteration ( weights, biases )

```
def calculate error( net : NeuralNetwork, X, Y):
   prediction = _net.predict(X)
    err = np.square(prediction - Y).sum()
    return err
def train_net(_net : NeuralNetwork, _x, _y, iterations, batch_size, epsilone = 1, log = True):
    net_at_time_t = {}
    _{size} = _{x.shape[0]}
   indecies t = np.random.choice( size, 6)
   partition = int(_size / batch_size)
   errors = {}
   errors[0] = calculate_error(_net, _x, _y);
    inner_iters = 250
   best = net
   best err = errors[0]
    if log:
       print("error before training :", calculate_error(_net, _x, _y))
    for i in range(iterations):
        err = 0
        for k in range(inner_iters):
            permutation = np.random.permutation(_size)
            for j in range(partition):
                batch = permutation[batch_size * j : batch_size * (j + 1)]
               bx = x[batch, :]
                by = _y[batch, :]
                r = _{net.train(bx, by)}
                # err += r
                # print(r)
        err =calculate_error(_net, _x, _y)
        if err < best err:
           best err = err
            best = net.copy()
        errors[(i+1) * inner iters] = err
        net_at_time_t[i] = _net.copy()
        if(log):
            #print("net error per 1000 data :",_net.err1000, "net alpha :", _net.alpha)
            print("epoch", (i + 1) * inner_iters, ", error :", err)
        if(err < epsilone):
```

```
print("hit error below epsiline breaking out")
            break
    return best, net at time t , errors
    # print(indecies t)
    # print( x[indecies t, :])
                                                                                                            In [8]:
x = data_uniform1000[:, :2]
y = data_uniform1000[:, 2:]
# # x = data set1000[:, :2]
# # y = data_set1000[:, 2:]
net = NeuralNetwork([2, 8, 4, 1], learning_rate=0.1)
best_net, nets, errors = train_net(net, x, y, 35, 64, 0.1, False)
                                                                                                            In [9]:
plt.plot(errors.keys(), errors.values(), c='r');
plt.title("Error over epochs")
plt.xlabel("Number of epochs")
plt.ylabel("Absolute error")
plt.ylim(0, errors[0] + 100)
#plt.xlim(0, 6500)
plt.tight layout()
```

### Ploting the networks prediction on the full data every 5th iteration

Note: the network trains on a closed training set with 1000 examples.
 while we plot based on the 40k possible points
 ( we skip over few to plot it nicely )

```
#errors

In [10]:
#errors

In [21]:
i = 1
fig, axs = plt.subplots(nrows=2, ncols=3, sharex=True, sharey=True, figsize=(12,8))

all_x = data_all[:, :2]
all_y = data_all[:, 2:]
# plot_test(axs[0][0], test_results[0])
for i in range(6):
    j = i % 3
    k = int(i / 3)
    iteration = i * 3 + 3
    accuracity = mg.calculate_accuracity(nets[iteration], all_x, all_y)
    name = "epoch : " + str((iteration + 1) * 250) + "\nAccuracity : " + str(accuracity)
    mg.plot_test(nets[iteration], all_x[::45], name, axs[k][j], s=75)

plt.show()
```

# We can clearly see the model is "learning", and generalize well even to the "complete data"

• note that we plot the model predictions given data\_points it has never seen before!

# The final result

## Lets showcase the final network result, as well as the output of everysingle neuron

```
#best_net.predict(all_x[::23])
res = 27

px = all_x[::58]
pred = best_net.predict(px)
# t = np.array([0.5, 0.5])
# best_net.predict(t)
```

```
for j in range(best_net.num_layers - 1):
    items = best_net.layers[j + 1]
    fig, axs = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols= 4, sharex=True, sharey=True, figsize=(12,4))
    #print(best net.output[j+1])
    for i in range (items):
        index = i % 4
        if i == 4:
            plt.tight_layout()
            fig, axs = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols= 4, sharex=True, sharey=True, figsize=(12,4))
        #print(best net.output[j+1][i])
        #name = "epoch : " + str((iteration + 1) * 250) + "\nAccuracity : " + str(accuracity)
        name = "Neuron [" + str(j) + " ," + str(i) + " ]"
        mg.plot_test_inner(best_net.output[j+1][i], px, name, axs[index], s=65)
        #mg.plot_test(nets[iteration], px, name, axs[k][j], s=75)
    plt.tight layout()
   plt.show()
# plot the Final neuron output ( actual prediction, also showcase the difference from the real data-set )
fig, axs = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, sharex=True, sharey=True, figsize=(12,6))
netp = best net
accuracity = mg.calculate accuracity(netp, all x, all y)
name = "best net" +"\nAccuracity : " + str(accuracity)
mg.plot_diff(netp, all_x[::res], all_y[::res], "diff-"+name, axs[0], s=105)
mg.plot_test(netp, all_x[::res], "prediction-"+name, axs[1], s=105)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```

## Conclusion

We can see that the neural network has no problem in generalizing the data, and mostly predict correctly.

in the left figure, we can see in red in with point the network has predicted wrongly, and we clearly can see that those are some borders of the general circle.

i would say this is a great success.

# Pard D

Now use the trained neurons from the next to last level of Part 3 as input and only an Adaline for the output. (That is, you will give the adaline the output of the neurons from Part 3 in the level below the output, and train only the Adaline.) Describe how accurate the Adaline can be. Give diagrams.

Draw whatever conclusions you think are appropriate from your results. </b>

## my prediction

Adaline is the same thing as backprop, and thus would result in very similar results.

# Preparing the data.

we will not prepare the data for adaline.

- first we will extract the inputs of the final layer.
- out inputs would be from 0 to 1, as the neural net using sigmoid, we would like, to use binary data for adaline, so we set eveything greater then 0.5 to be 1 otherwise -1.

```
In [13]:
def extract_n_input(_net : NeuralNetwork, X):
    _net.predict(X)
    _nx = _net.output[best_net.num_layers - 1].T
     nx[nx > 0.5] = 1
    nx[nx <= 0.5] = -1
    return _nx
all_x = data_all[:, :2]
all y = data all[:, 2:]
train_nx = extract_n_input(best_net, x)
all_nx = extract_n_input(best_net, all_x)
Conver the Output to be -1 and 1 (as the output of the neuron)
                                                                                                           In [14]:
yn = y.copy()
yn[yn <= 0.5] = -1
all yn = all_y.copy()
all_yn[all_yn \ll 0.5] = -1
Create an adaline neuron with 4 inputs and train 100 epocs
                                                                                                           In [15]:
from neuron import Neuron
import neuron
importlib.reload(neuron)
n = Neuron(4, 1, 0.01)
                                                                                                           In [16]:
permutation = np.random.permutation(1000)
xnt = train_nx[permutation]
ynt = yn[permutation]
err = 0
for i in range (100):
    err += n.train all(xnt, ynt).sum()
mg.calculate_accuracity(n, train_nx, yn)
                                                                                                          Out[16]:
0.984
98.4% Accuracity on the training set!
 • note: that the data in the training set is 50% 50%
                                                                                                           In [17]:
npred = n.predict(train nx)
mg.plot_test_inner(npred, x, "Adaline neuron - training set", s=65)
                                                                                                          Out[17]:
<AxesSubplot:title={'center':'Adaline neuron - training set'}>
Plot the adaline neuron prediction on the whole set
as well as calculating the accuracity
                                                                                                           In [18]:
# plot the Final neuron output ( actual prediction, also showcase the difference from the real data-set )
fig, axs = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, sharex=True, sharey=True, figsize=(12,6))
accuracity = mg.calculate_accuracity(n, all_nx, all_yn)
name = "Adaline neuron" +"\nAccuracity : " + str(accuracity)
res = 27
npred = n.predict(all_nx)[::res]
\label{eq:mgplot_diff_inner} $$ mg.plot_diff_inner(npred, all_x[::res], all_yn[::res], "diff-"+name, axs[0], s=105) $$ $$
mg.plot_test_inner(npred, all_x[::res], "prediction-"+name, axs[1], s=105)
```

plt.tight\_layout()
plt.show()

## **Conclusion**

as expected Adaline neuron connected to the pred final layer of a trained neural network with accuracity of 97.9% can achive the same accuracity!

we got even higher accuracity then the acrual network, with can be explained by the fact that here we only changing the weights of the adaline neuron that way we can improve accuracity a little bit.

as we minimizing the error based on the output of that same neural network, so we can expect, about the same accuracity or even higher.

consider a neural network that has a final layer that is simply an identity function and the layer before that actually gives the final result

if our neuron simply traines to by the identity function ( with it can ) we would have the same output as the original neural network.

that is a neural network where the final layer is an Adaline neuron is the same thing! the only difference being how we train the model.

Loading [MathJax]/jax/output/CommonHTML/fonts/TeX/fontdata.js