

Statistics, merging other data, and other resources

Mike Blazanin

Contents

Where are we so far?	1
Statistical analyses of growth curves data	3
When should we average replicates?	3
Carrying out statistical testing	5
Combining growth curves data with other data	6
Other growth curve analysis packages	8
What's next?	9

Where are we so far?

1. Introduction: `vignette("gc01_gcplyr")`
2. Importing and transforming data: `vignette("gc02_import_transform")`
3. Incorporating design information: `vignette("gc03_incorporate_designs")`
4. Pre-processing and plotting your data: `vignette("gc04_preprocess_plot")`
5. Processing your data: `vignette("gc05_process")`
6. Analyzing your data: `vignette("gc06_analyze")`
7. Dealing with noise: `vignette("gc07_noise")`
8. **Statistics, merging other data, and other resources:** `vignette("gc08_conclusion")`
9. Working with multiple plates: `vignette("gc09_multiple_plates")`

So far, we've imported and transformed our measures, combined them with our design information, pre-processed, processed, plotted, and analyzed our data. The only things left are a few notes on best practices for running statistics, merging growth curve analyses with other data, and additional resources for analyzing growth curves.

If you haven't already, load the necessary packages.

```
library(gcplyr)
#> Warning: package 'gcplyr' was built under R version 4.2.3
#> ##
#> ## gcplyr (Version 1.7.1, Build Date: 2023-11-03)
#> ## See http://github.com/mikeblazanin/gcplyr for additional documentation
#> ## Please cite software as:
```

```
#> ## Blazanin, Michael. 2023. gcplyr: an R package for microbial growth
#> ## curve data analysis. bioRxiv doi: 10.1101/2023.04.30.538883
#> ##
```

```
library(dplyr)
#>
#> Attaching package: 'dplyr'
#> The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
#>
#> filter, lag
#> The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
#>
#> intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
library(ggplot2)
```

```
# This code was previously explained
# Here we're re-running it so it's available for us to work with
example_tidydata <- trans_wide_to_tidy(example_widedata_noiseless,
                                     id_cols = "Time")

example_design <- make_design(
  pattern_split = ",", nrow = 8, ncol = 12,
  "Bacteria_strain" = make_designpattern(
    values = paste("Strain", 1:48),
    rows = 1:8, cols = 1:6, pattern = 1:48, byrow = TRUE),
  "Bacteria_strain" = make_designpattern(
    values = paste("Strain", 1:48),
    rows = 1:8, cols = 7:12, pattern = 1:48, byrow = TRUE),
  "Phage" = make_designpattern(
    values = c("No Phage"), rows = 1:8, cols = 1:6, pattern = "1"),
  "Phage" = make_designpattern(
    values = c("Phage Added"), rows = 1:8, cols = 7:12, pattern = "1"))
ex_dat_mrg <- merge_dfs(example_tidydata, example_design)
#> Joining, by = "Well"
ex_dat_mrg$Well <-
  factor(ex_dat_mrg$Well,
         levels = paste(rep(LETTERS[1:8], each = 12), 1:12, sep = ""))
ex_dat_mrg <- group_by(ex_dat_mrg, Well, Bacteria_strain, Phage)

ex_dat_mrg <-
  mutate(ex_dat_mrg,
         smoothed_med3 =
           smooth_data(x = Time, y = Measurements,
                      sm_method = "moving-median", window_width_n = 3),
         # Note that for the second round, we're using the
         # first smoothing as the input y
         smoothed =
           smooth_data(x = Time, y = smoothed_med3,
                      sm_method = "moving-average", window_width_n = 3))
```

Statistical analyses of growth curves data

At this point, we've now summarized our growth curves data into some metrics. How can we best go about drawing statistical conclusions from these data?

When should we average replicates?

Before we dig into what we to do next, I want to emphasize something we did *not* do in this workflow: averaging different wells together *before* summarization. In my opinion, averaging should only occur after summarization, not before. Why? Even wells that have the same contents (i.e. are technical replicates) can still differ in their growth due to biological variation (e.g. stochastic growth dynamics). If we average our density values at the beginning, we may introduce bias and we will not have the ability to visualize or assess the biological variation present in our data.

Let's look at a simple example to demonstrate this point. I'm going to simulate bacterial growth using the Baranyi-Roberts mathematical model of growth, which is logistic growth but with a period of acclimation at the beginning:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{q_0}{q_0 + e^{-mt}} * rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$$

Where N is the population size, q_0 is a parameter controlling the initial acclimatization state of the population, m is the rate of acclimation, r is the rate of growth, and k is the carrying capacity of the population.


In the code below, I've simulated the growth of 96 different wells of bacteria. All the bacteria are identical, except that they differ in the rate at which they acclimate.

```
sim_dat_tdy <- make_example(vignette = 8, example = 1)
```

Now, let's calculate the growth rate of each well and plot the growth:

```
sim_dat_tdy <- mutate(group_by(sim_dat_tdy, Well),
  percap_deriv = calc_deriv(y = Measurements, x = time,
    percapita = TRUE, blank = 0))


# Plot the growth in our wells
ggplot(data = filter(sim_dat_tdy, Well != "averaged"),
  aes(x = time, y = Measurements, group = Well)) +
  geom_line(alpha = 0.1) +
  geom_line(data = filter(sim_dat_tdy, Well == "averaged"), color = "red") +
  scale_y_continuous(trans = "log10")
```



Here we've plotted each individual well in black, with the "average well" plotted in red. We can clearly see that our different wells are varying in how quickly they're acclimating. Our average well appears to reflect the data pretty well, does it give a good measure for our average maximum per-capita growth rate?

```
# Summarize our data
sim_dat_sum <- summarize(group_by(sim_dat_tdy, Well),
                          max_growth_rate = max(percap_deriv, na.rm = TRUE))

# Plot the maximum per-capita growth rates of each well
# Add a red line for the max growth rate of the "average well"
# Add a dashed line for the average growth rate of all the wells
ggplot(data = filter(sim_dat_sum, Well != "averaged"),
       aes(x = max_growth_rate)) +
  geom_histogram() +
  geom_vline(data = filter(sim_dat_sum, Well == "averaged"),
            aes(xintercept = max_growth_rate), color = "red") +
  geom_vline(xintercept =
            mean(filter(sim_dat_sum, Well != "averaged")$max_growth_rate),
            lty = 2)
#> `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
```



Here we can see that the maximum growth rate of the “average well” (red line) is not the same as the true average maximum growth rate of all the wells (dashed line). While this bias might seem small, it is in fact very consistent: if you ran this simulation many more times, the “average well” growth rate would nearly always be lower than the true average growth rate. Moreover, this bias extends to many other statistics as well.

Thus, I recommend against averaging wells together before summarizing, since it often introduces bias and eliminates our ability to visualize variation between replicates.

Carrying out statistical testing

How do you go about running statistics on analyzed growth curve data? Typically, growth curves experiments will have a highly nested structure. You probably have multiple wells with the same contents (i.e. technical replicates) in each plate. You may also have multiple plates from different runs (creating the possibility of batch effects).

In order to pull apart these effects and test for differences between your treatments, you’ll likely need to do mixed-effects modeling. Unfortunately, it’s beyond the scope of this vignette to provide a sufficient explanation of how to do mixed-effects statistics. However, I can provide some guidance:

For frequentist statistics, the R package `lme4` is one of the most-popular implementations of mixed-effects modeling.

For Bayesian statistics, the R packages `brms` or `rstanarm` are popular implementations that can incorporate mixed-effects modeling.

Regardless of your approach, you should:

- use your your summarized statistics (e.g. `auc`, `max_growth_rate`, `lag_time`, etc.) as your response variable
- use your design elements (e.g. `Bacteria_strain`, `Phage`) as your explanatory variables (i.e. fixed effects)
- incorporate random effects for any technical replicates you have
- incorporate random effects for any potential batch effects in-play

There are a number of excellent resources out there to learn how to do this sort of mixed-effects modeling, including what I think is **a good introductory guide to the process** by Michael Clark.

Combining growth curves data with other data

As you approach the end of your growth curves analyses, you have summarized the dynamics of your growth curves into one or a few metrics. At this point, you may wish to pull in other sources of data to compare to your growth curves metrics. Just like merging multiple growth curves data frames together, this can be achieved with `merge_dfs`.

We'll focus on the area-under-the-curve metric, and on just the bacteria grown in the absence of phages.

```
ex_dat_mrg_sum <-
  summarize(dplyr::filter(ex_dat_mrg, Phage == "No Phage"),
            auc = auc(x = Time, y = smoothed))
#> `summarise()` has grouped output by 'Well', 'Bacteria_strain'. You can override
#> using the `.groups` argument.
```

Imagine that, separately, we've measured the resistance of each of our example bacteria to antibiotics, and we want to know if there's any relationship between the antibiotic resistance of the bacteria and their growth. Here we'll generate some mock antibiotic resistance data. Importantly, our data must have matching headers as our growth curve data so that `merge_dfs` knows how to merge the `data.frame`'s. We'll put whether or not the strain is resistant to the antibiotic under the `Antibiotic_resis` column, with a `TRUE` for resistance, and `FALSE` for sensitivity. **Don't worry exactly how this code works**, since it's just simulating data that you would have collected in the lab.

```
set.seed(123)
antibiotic_dat <-
  data.frame(Bacteria_strain = paste("Strain", 1:48),
            Antibiotic_resis =
              ex_dat_mrg_sum$auc[
                match(paste("Strain", 1:48),
                      ex_dat_mrg_sum$Bacteria_strain)] *
              runif(48, 0.5, 1.5) < mean(ex_dat_mrg_sum$auc))

head(antibiotic_dat)
#>   Bacteria_strain Antibiotic_resis
#> 1      Strain 1          TRUE
#> 2      Strain 2          FALSE
#> 3      Strain 3          TRUE
#> 4      Strain 4          FALSE
#> 5      Strain 5          FALSE
#> 6      Strain 6          TRUE
```


Great, now we merge our two data frames and see if there's a relationship.

```

growth_and_antibiotics <-
  merge_dfs(ex_dat_mrg_sum, antibiotic_dat)
#> Joining, by = "Bacteria_strain"
head(growth_and_antibiotics)
#> # A tibble: 6 x 5
#> # Groups:   Well, Bacteria_strain [6]
#>   Well Bacteria_strain Phage      auc Antibiotic_resis
#>   <fct> <chr>          <chr>    <dbl> <lgl>
#> 1 A1    Strain 1        No Phage 55172. TRUE
#> 2 A2    Strain 2        No Phage 67181. FALSE
#> 3 A3    Strain 3        No Phage 52392. TRUE
#> 4 A4    Strain 4        No Phage 70101. FALSE
#> 5 A5    Strain 5        No Phage 70932. FALSE
#> 6 A6    Strain 6        No Phage 44079. TRUE

ggplot(data = growth_and_antibiotics,
  aes(x = Antibiotic_resis, y = auc)) +
  geom_point()

```



There is! We can see that the antibiotic resistant strains (TRUE) have a smaller area-under-the-curve than the antibiotic sensitive strains (FALSE) (although, to be fair, I did simulate the data so we'd get that result).

Other growth curve analysis packages

A number of other software tools besides `gcplyr` facilitate analysis of growth curves data or data wrangling of plate reader data. Here I'll provide some brief notes on how `gcplyr` compares to these other tools, for a more detailed comparison please see: Blazanin, M. "gcplyr: an R package for microbial growth curve data analysis." *bioRxiv* (2023)

There are, broadly speaking, two ways to analyze growth curves data:

1. directly quantify attributes of the growth dynamics
2. fit the growth dynamics with a mathematical model, then extract parameters from the fitted model

While `gcplyr` and some other R packages focus on the first analysis approach (direct quantification of growth curves dynamics), many other R packages focus on fitting growth dynamics with a mathematical model.

Generally, fitting growth dynamics with a model has greater power to accurately quantify the underlying traits. However, it also takes much more effort to be rigorous when fitting data with a model. You have to carefully choose a model whose assumptions your data meet. You also have to evaluate the fits to ensure that the optimization algorithms arrived on reasonable solutions.

Here are some other software tools for growth curve data analysis, for you to explore. I've italicized several tools which might be particularly compatible with `gcplyr` because they can import the tidy-shaped data that `gcplyr` generates.

- `growthcurver`
- *`growthrates`*
- `biogrowth`
- `opm`
- *`QurvE`*
- *`AUDIT`*
- `bletl`
- `AMiGA`
- `fitderiv`
- `phenom`
- `B-GREAT`
- `PMAalyzer`
- `GrowthRates`
- `GCAT`
- `PRECOG`
- `IPMP 2013`
- `GATHODE`
- `Microrisk Lab`
- `CarboLogR`
- `YODA`
- `BGFit`

Additionally, some software doesn't analyze growth curve data, but does contain useful functionality for plate-reader data wrangling:

- `plater`
- `Parsley`

What's next?

You've finished all of the core documentation. Congratulations! `gcplyr` is a powerful framework to build additional analyses on, since the data is nicely organized. Feel free to reach out to me with any questions, comments, or concerns you might have. I'd love to continue making `gcplyr` as useful for others in the scientific community as it has been for me. You can reach me at `mikeblazanin [at] gmail [dot] com`.

If you'd like to read about how to work with multiple plates of data at once, check out our final vignette: `vignette("gc09_multiple_plates")`

1. Introduction: `vignette("gc01_gcplyr")`
2. Importing and transforming data: `vignette("gc02_import_transform")`
3. Incorporating design information: `vignette("gc03_incorporate_designs")`
4. Pre-processing and plotting your data: `vignette("gc04_preprocess_plot")`
5. Processing your data: `vignette("gc05_process")`
6. Analyzing your data: `vignette("gc06_analyze")`
7. Dealing with noise: `vignette("gc07_noise")`
8. Statistics, merging other data, and other resources: `vignette("gc08_conclusion")`
9. **Working with multiple plates:** `vignette("gc09_multiple_plates")`