CS 213: Software Methodology

Sesh Venugopal

Inheritance - Object equals method

Method Overloading/Overriding

Method **OVERLOADING**:

Two methods in a class have the same name but different numbers, types, or sequences of parameters

```
class Test {
   int m(int x) {...}
   int m(float y) {...}
}
```

```
class Test {
   int m(int x) {...}
   float m(float y) {...}
}
```

```
class Test {
   int m(int x) {...}
   float m(int y) {...}
}
```

Overloaded method m

Overloaded method m

Error

Two or more methods in a class are **overloaded** if they have the same name but different signatures

signature = name + params (return type NOT included in signature)

Method **OVERRIDING**:

A method in a subclass has the same signature as in the superclass

Implementing equals — Rookie Version

Rookie attempt to implement equals (e.g. in Point):

```
public boolean equals(Point p) {
    return x == p.x && y == p.y;
}
Point cp = new ColoredPoint(3,4,"blue");
Point p = new Point(3,4);
cp.equals(p); // ? True
Inherited equals(Point p) in ColoredPoint is called
```

```
String s = (3,4); p.equals(s); // ? FALSE!!
```

The inherited Object equals (Object o) is called!!! Otherwise, this should give a compiler error

```
equals(Point p) does NOT override Object equals(Object o)
```

Implementing equals — Rookie Version

```
public boolean equals(Point p) {
    return x == p.x && y == p.y;
}

Point p = new Point(3,4);

Object op = new Point(3,4);
    p.equals(op); // ? FALSE!!
```

The inherited Object equals (Object o) is called!!!

Because the STATIC type of parameter is Object, which matches the Object parameter type of inherited equals

Moral of the story: You MUST override Object equals (Object o)

Implementing equals — Grad Version



Overriding equals

Boiler-plate way to override equals (e.g. Point):

```
public class Point {
    int x,y;
                                      Signature must be same as in Object class
    public boolean equals(Object o) { // override!!
        if (o == null || !(o instanceof Point)) {
            return false;
                                         2 Check if actual object (runtime) is of
                                            type Point, or a subclass of Point
        Point other = (Point)o;
                                      Must cast to Point type before referring to fields of Point
        return x == other.x && y == other.y;
                           Last part is to implement equality as appropriate
                           (here, if x and y coordinates are equal)
```

Single Version: Overriding equals

```
public class Point {
   int x,y;
   ...
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
     if (o == null || !(o instanceof Point)) { return false; }
     Point other = (Point)o;
     return x == other.x && y == other.y
   }
}
```

Calling the Point equals method

equals overload + override (both versions present)

```
public class Point {
                                          With the following setup:
   int x,y;
                                            Point p = new Point(3,4);
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
     if (o == null || k k
         (!(o instanceof Point)) {
                                            Object o = new Object();
        return false;
                                            Object op = new Point(3,4);
     Point other = (Point)o
     return x == other.x &&
                                          Which method is called in each case,
            y == other.y
                                          and what's the result of the call?:
                                             p.equals(p); // ? True
   public boolean equals(Point p)
     if (p == null) {
        return false;
                                            p.equals(o); // ? False
     return x == p.x \&\& y == p.y
                                             p.equals(op); // ? True
```

equals overload + override

```
public class Point {
                                            Same setup as before:
   int x,y;
                                              Point p = new Point(3,4);
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
     if (o == null || (!(o instanceof Point)) {
                                             Object o = new Object();
        return false;
                                             Object op = new Point(3,4);
     Point other = (Point)o;
     return x == other.x &&
                                            Which method is called in each case,
             y == other.y
                                            and what's the result of the call?:
   }
                                             op.equals(o); // ? False
   public boolean equals(Point p)
                                               [ Same as p.equals(o) ]
     if (p == null) { ``
        return false;
                                              op.equals(op); // ? True
     return x == p.x \&\& y == p.y
                                               [ Same as p.equals(op) ]
                                              op.equals(p); // ? True
                                             [ But p.equals(p) ]
```



Here are the rules for how it all works ...

(For ANY class, or super/sub classes, and ANY method)

What rules determine which method is called?

A. First, the COMPILER determines the *signature* of the method that will be called:

1. Look at the <u>STATIC</u> type of the object ("target") on which method is called. Say this type/class is X

```
Object o = new Object();
Point p = new Point(3,4);
Object op = new Point(3,4);
```

```
p.equals(o);
p.equals(p);
Static type of
p is Point

p.equals(op);

op.equals(o);
op.equals(p);
Static type of
op is Object
op.equals(op);
```

2. In the class X, find a method whose name matches the called method, and whose parameters <u>most specifically</u> match the <u>STATIC</u> types of the arguments at call

```
e.g. X is Point
                                              public class Point {
            p.equals(o);
Static type of
                                                 public boolean
            p.equals(p)
                                                 equals(Object o) { ... }
 is Point
             p.equals(op)
                                                 public boolean
                         object o
                                                 equals(Point p) { ... }
                                                Point->Object is also a legit
                        Point p =
                                                match, but Point->Point wins
                        Object op
                                                because it is more specific
```

2. In the class X, find a method whose name matches the called method, and whose parameters <u>most specifically</u> match the <u>static</u> types of the arguments at call

e.g. X is Object

```
op.equals(o);
op.equals(p);
Static type of op is Object
op.equals(op);
```

Object has a single equals method that matches all of these calls

What rules determine which method is called?

B. At run time, the runtime/actual "target" (called) object, or its superclass chain is searched for the pre-determined signature, and the matching method executed

```
Static type of p.equals(o);
p.equals(p);
p.equals(op);
```

```
Point p = new Point(3,4);
Dynamic type of p is Point,
```

IF Point overrides equals (Object) and also implements equals (Point), compiler would have determined signatures based on closest parameter type matches

What if Point did NOT override equals (Object)

```
Static type of p is Point p = new Point(3,4);

Dynamic type of p is Point

p.equals(o);  Would call inherited version of equals

Would call inherited version of equals

Bad news: result is false, even though but objects are (3,4)!!
```

```
Op.equals(o);
Static type of
Op is Object
Op.equals(p);
Op.equals(op);
Op.equals(op);
Object op = new Point(3,4);
Dynamic type of op is Point
```

then that version will be called, otherwise the inherited version will be called

Conclusion

Is it sufficient to only override the inherited equals(Object), and not code an equals(Point) method?

Yes

Is it detrimental/inadvisable to have both?

Yes, it leads to avoidable confusion, so NOT implementing equals (Point) is unambiguous and therefore a better design