

CMPS 3120 Lab

Name Mihail Chitorog

1. Write a searching program by Horspool's method.

Input: pattern (a string to search for)

text (a long string to search in)

Output: **Print the "Shift table"**

How many matches can you find? Where are they located?

How many comparisons did the program use?

Test Case: "AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAB" vs "AAAAB"

"THIS IS A TEST TEXT" vs "TEST"

"THIS IS A SIMPLE EXAMPLE" vs "EXAMPLE"

ALGORITHM *ShiftTable*($P[0..m-1]$)

//Fills the shift table used by Horspool's and Boyer-Moore algorithms

//Input: Pattern $P[0..m-1]$ and an alphabet of possible characters

//Output: $Table[0..size-1]$ indexed by the alphabet's characters and

// filled with shift sizes computed by formula (7.1)

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** $size - 1$ **do** $Table[i] \leftarrow m$

for $j \leftarrow 0$ **to** $m - 2$ **do** $Table[P[j]] \leftarrow m - 1 - j$

return $Table$

ALGORITHM *HorspoolMatching*($P[0..m-1]$, $T[0..n-1]$)

//Implements Horspool's algorithm for string matching

//Input: Pattern $P[0..m-1]$ and text $T[0..n-1]$

//Output: The index of the left end of the first matching substring

// or -1 if there are no matches

ShiftTable($P[0..m-1]$) //generate Table of shifts

$i \leftarrow m - 1$ //position of the pattern's right end

while $i \leq n - 1$ **do**

$k \leftarrow 0$ //number of matched characters

while $k \leq m - 1$ **and** $P[m - 1 - k] = T[i - k]$ **do**

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

if $k = m$

return $i - m + 1$

else $i \leftarrow i + Table[T[i]]$

return -1

2. Find out your previous lab for the Brute Force string matching program, try the above test cases and compare the difference .

Brute force string matching program results:

Running tests...

--- Test 1 ---

Pattern: 001011

Text: 100101010010011001011100101100

Matching positions: 15 22

Total number of comparisons: 55

--- Test 2 ---

Pattern: NOT

Text: NOBODY_NOTICED_HIM

Matching positions: 7

Total number of comparisons: 20

--- Test 3 ---

Pattern: N O T

Text: N O B O D Y _ N O T I C E D _ H I M

Matching positions: 14

Total number of comparisons: 39

Horspool's string matching program results:

Running tests...

--- Test 1 ---

=====
Text: "100101010010011001011100101100"

Pattern: "001011"
=====

Shift Table:

'0': 2

'1': 1

Default: 6

Number of matches found: 2

Matches located at positions: 15 22

Total number of character comparisons: 37

--- Test 2 ---

=====
Text: "NOBODY_NOTICED_HIM"

Pattern: "NOT"
=====

Shift Table:

'N': 2

'O': 1

'T': 3

Default: 3

Number of matches found: 1

Matches located at positions: 7

Total number of character comparisons: 8

--- Test 3 ---

=====
Text: "N O B O D Y _ N O T I C E D _ H I M"

Pattern: "N O T"
=====

Shift Table:

'N': 4
' ': 1
'O': 2
'T': 5
Default: 5

Number of matches found: 1

Matches located at positions: 14

Total number of character comparisons: 14

Brute force takes more comparisons than Horspool's, hence brute force is slower.