# R Notebook

# Kayla Harmon-18173095

Question 1.

```
bonus = read.csv("bonus df (1).csv")
getmode <- function(g) {</pre>
   uniqv <- unique(g)</pre>
   uniqv[which.max(tabulate(match(g, uniqv)))]
}
g = c(bonus$gender)
result1 = getmode(g)
print(result1)
## [1] 0
getmode <- function(sm) {</pre>
   uniqv <- unique(sm)</pre>
   uniqv[which.max(tabulate(match(sm, uniqv)))]
}
sm = c(bonus$social_media)
result2 = getmode(sm)
print(result2)
## [1] 0
```

These results indicate that the majority of people studied are male and have social media. (Assuming 0 under social media = having social media).

## Question 2.

```
mean(bonus$satisfaction)
## [1] 54.07608
mean(bonus$age)
## [1] 30.199
```

The average rating for satisfaction is a 54.07608 and the average age of a person studied is 30.199.

#### Question 3.

```
var.test(bonus$gender, bonus$satisfaction, alternative = "two.sided")
## F test to compare two variances
##
## data: bonus$gender and bonus$satisfaction
## F = 0.0024621, num df = 999, denom df = 999, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.002174770 0.002787393
## sample estimates:
## ratio of variances
          0.002462101
##
t.test(bonus$gender, bonus$satisfaction)
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
## data: bonus$gender and bonus$satisfaction
## t = -167.95, df = 1003.9, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -54.21622 -52.96394
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
     0.48600 54.07608
```

The f-test statistic is 0.0024621 and the t-test statistic is -167.95.

The f-test statistic indicates there is no significance between gender and satisfaction since the f-test statistic is small. The p-value being 2.2e-16 confirms these results. The t-test statistic indicates there is no significance between gender and satisifcation because the t-test statistic is negative.

The null hypothesis that both means are equal should be rejected.

#### Question 4.

```
var.test(bonus$social_media, bonus$satisfaction, alternative = "two.sided")
##
## F test to compare two variances
##
## data: bonus$social_media and bonus$satisfaction
## F = 0.0024635, num df = 999, denom df = 999, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.002176050 0.002789033
## sample estimates:
## ratio of variances
           0.00246355
t.test(bonus$social media, bonus$satisfaction)
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: bonus$social_media and bonus$satisfaction
## t = -167.93, df = 1003.9, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -54.20922 -52.95694
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 0.49300 54.07608
```

The f-test statistic is 0.0024635 and the t-test statistic is -167.93.

The f-test statistic indicates there is no significance between gender and satisfaction since the f-test statistic is small. The p-value being 2.2e-16 confirms these results. The t-test statistic indicates there is no significance between social media usage and satisfication because the t-test statistic is negative.

The null hypothesis that both means are equal should be rejected.

## Question 5.

```
attach(bonus)
xtab = table(age, social_media, gender)
prop.table(xtab)
## , gender = 0
##
##
       social media
           0
## age
##
    20 0.013 0.011
##
     21 0.010 0.018
    22 0.013 0.009
##
##
    23 0.011 0.006
##
    24 0.013 0.007
##
    25 0.013 0.011
##
    26 0.017 0.014
##
    27 0.012 0.008
    28 0.010 0.015
##
```

```
##
     29 0.006 0.007
##
     30 0.010 0.015
##
     31 0.014 0.015
##
     32 0.009 0.013
##
     33 0.009 0.014
##
     34 0.011 0.012
##
     35 0.014 0.012
##
     36 0.020 0.011
##
     37 0.011 0.013
##
     38 0.015 0.013
##
     39 0.016 0.009
##
     40 0.016 0.018
##
## , , gender = 1
##
##
       social_media
## age
            0
##
     20 0.014 0.010
##
     21 0.013 0.007
##
     22 0.018 0.013
##
     23 0.012 0.013
##
     24 0.015 0.010
##
     25 0.011 0.009
##
     26 0.014 0.009
     27 0.009 0.011
##
##
     28 0.014 0.015
##
     29 0.014 0.010
     30 0.008 0.008
##
##
     31 0.008 0.013
     32 0.008 0.016
##
##
     33 0.007 0.008
##
     34 0.009 0.012
     35 0.017 0.014
##
##
     36 0.012 0.010
##
     37 0.010 0.012
##
     38 0.012 0.012
##
     39 0.010 0.018
##
     40 0.009 0.012
Question 6.
glm(satisfaction~., data = bonus)
## Call: glm(formula = satisfaction ~ ., data = bonus)
##
## Coefficients:
##
         (Intercept)
                                                      gender previous_purchase
                                      age
##
             -0.1844
                                  1.5098
                                                      1.9361
                                                                          2.9766
```

```
##
        social media
##
             0.9233
##
## Degrees of Freedom: 999 Total (i.e. Null); 995 Residual
## Null Deviance:
                       101500
## Residual Deviance: 1070 AIC: 2918
I conducted a regression analysis using the glm() function (generalized
linear model) using satisfaction as the predictor variable and all other
variables as the response variable. This model would be written like:
-0.1844(satisfaction) + 1.5098(age) + 1.9361(gender) +
2.9766(previous_purchase) + 0.9233(social_media)
For example, if a 35 year old female who has made 3 previous purchases and
uses social media and has a satisfaction score of 63.28091 the model would
look like:
-0.1844(63.28091) + 1.5098(35) + 1.9361(1) + 2.9766(3) + 0.9233(0)
And provide a result of 52.0399.
```