

Case reproductive numbers across a simple epidemic

The Roswell conjecture is true.

The conjecture asserts that the “excess” (i.e., non-Poisson) variation of individual case reproductive numbers in the standard SIR model outbreak is 1.

Proof

Define x as the proportion of the population susceptible, y as the proportion infectious, and incidence $i = Bxy$.

Let f be the distribution of residence times in the infectious compartment. This is exponential in the standard SIR and we will make that assumption later. Define F as the survival distribution function $F(t) = 1 - \int_{\tau < t} d\tau f(\tau) = \int_{\tau > t} d\tau f(\tau)$ (these are equivalent because the full integral of the distribution f is one).

Individuals are characterized by their infection time τ and recovery time ρ . The size of each such class is $w(\tau, \rho) = i(\tau)f(\rho - \tau)$. The expected case reproductive number (which we will use to calculate the mean and the excess variance) is $C(\tau, \rho) = B \int_{\tau < t < \rho} x(t) dt$.

Define the raw moments of C as $C_k = \iint_{\tau < \rho} d\tau d\rho w(\tau, \rho)(C(\tau, \rho))^k$. We expect $C_0 = Z$, where the final size $Z = \int dt i(t)$. From there, we will calculate $\mu_C = C_1/C_0$, and the squared CV $\kappa_c = C_0 C_2 / C_1^2 - 1$. We expect $\mu_C = 1$. Thus the conjecture is equivalent to $\kappa_C = 1$.

We have:

$$C_0 = \iint_{\tau < \rho} d\tau d\rho w(\tau, \rho) \tag{1}$$

$$= \int d\tau i(\tau) \int_{\rho > \tau} d\rho f(\rho - \tau) \tag{2}$$

$$= \int d\tau i(\tau) \tag{3}$$

$$= Z, \tag{4}$$

as expected.

Next:

$$C_1 = \iint_{\tau < \rho} d\tau d\rho w(\tau, \rho) C(\tau, \rho) \tag{5}$$

$$= B \iiint_{\tau < t < \rho} d\tau dt d\rho i(\tau) f(\rho - \tau) x(t) \quad (6)$$

$$= B \iint_{\tau < t} d\tau dt i(\tau) x(t) \int_{\rho > t} d\rho f(\rho - \tau) \quad (7)$$

$$= B \iint_{\tau < t} d\tau dt i(\tau) x(t) F(t - \tau) \quad (8)$$

$$= B \int dt x(t) \int_{\tau < t} d\tau i(\tau) F(t - \tau). \quad (9)$$

Note that the inner integral in (9) counts the number of individuals who entered the infectious class before time t and remained until then $- y(t)$. Thus:

$$C_1 = B \int dt x(t) y(t) \quad (10)$$

$$= \int dt i(t) \quad (11)$$

$$= Z, \quad (12)$$

and $\mu_C = 1$, as expected.

In an attempt to pull similar tricks, we expand C^2 in our expression for C_2 as an integral over a square, which we write as twice the integral over one of the two symmetric triangles:

$$C_2 = \iint_{\tau < \rho} d\tau d\rho w(\tau, \rho) (C(\tau, \rho))^2 \quad (13)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iiint \int_{\tau < s < t < \rho} d\tau ds dt d\rho i(\tau) f(\rho - \tau) x(s) x(t) \quad (14)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iiint \int_{\tau < s < t} d\tau ds dt i(\tau) x(s) x(t) \int_{\rho > t} d\rho f(\rho - \tau) \quad (15)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iiint \int_{\tau < s < t} d\tau ds dt i(\tau) x(s) x(t) F(t - \tau) \quad (16)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iint_{s < t} ds dt x(s) x(t) \int_{\tau < s} d\tau i(\tau) F(t - \tau) \quad (17)$$

Now the inner integral counts the number of individuals who entered the infectious class before one time (s) and remained until another (t), which is much less pretty. There is no obvious way to move forward from here without using the Markovian property of our chosen infectious distribution, i.e., $F(a + b) = F(a)F(b)$:

$$C_2 = 2B^2 \iint_{s < t} ds dt x(s) x(t) \int_{\tau < s} d\tau i(\tau) F(t - s) F(s - \tau) \quad (18)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iint_{s < t} ds dt x(s)x(t)F(t-s) \int_{\tau < s} d\tau i(\tau)F(s-\tau) \quad (19)$$

$$= 2B^2 \iint_{s < t} ds dt x(s)x(t)F(t-s)y(s) \quad (20)$$

$$= 2B \iint_{s < t} ds dt x(t)F(t-s)i(s) \quad (21)$$

$$= 2B \int dt x(t) \int_{s < t} ds F(t-s)i(s) \quad (22)$$

$$= 2B \int dt x(t)y(t) \quad (23)$$

$$= 2 \int dt i(t) \quad (24)$$

$$= 2Z. \quad (25)$$

This gives $\kappa_C = 2 - 1 = 1$, as desired.

Comments

We calculate y from i and f , and close the loop by calculating i using x and y . But we never calculate the population susceptibility x . This is a tiny bit troubling, since it seems like there must be some assumptions about x necessary for our result. What it seems to mean is that the Roswell conjecture is true more broadly than conjectured – population susceptibility can change, or susceptibles can be replenished, and the excess variation in R_c is still 1 as long as the epidemic is bounded. Further, a limit argument suggests that it should also be true on average for a persistent periodic system.

It would be interesting to think about if there are any ways forward from (17) for other infectious-time distributions.