

# Lebesgue Integration

---

March 25, 2022

# Preface

---

In this section, we will introduce the general theory of integration of a function with respect to a general measure, as introduced by Lebesgue. This is referred to as *integration*, *abstract integration*, or *Lebesgue integration*.

# Integration


---

Folland summarizes the difference between the Riemann and Lebesgue approaches thus: “to compute the Riemann integral of  $f$ , one partitions the domain [...] into subintervals”, while in the Lebesgue integral, “one is in effect partitioning the range of  $f$ ” (folland1999real) .

# Intuition


The figure below compares how the Riemann and Lebesgue approaches would approximate the area under the curve of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Riemann



$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* |A_i|$$

Lebesgue



$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* \mu(f^{-1}(B_i))$$

**What differences do you see?**

## One Difference – Grouping values adaptively

Since Lebesgue partitions the range and not the domain, it can *group values adaptively* when computing the area under the curve as the sum over  $n$  contributions.

The Lebesgue definition makes it possible to calculate integrals for a broader class of functions.

For example, consider the *Dirichlet function*, which is 0 where its argument is irrational and 1 otherwise. The Riemann integral is undefined, because the upper sum and lower sum don't converge as the partition gets finer.



## One Difference – Grouping values adaptively

Lebesgue summarized his approach to integration in a letter to Paul Montel:

*I have to pay a certain sum, which I have collected in my pocket. I take the bills and coins out of my pocket and give them to the creditor in the order I find them until I have reached the total sum. This is the Riemann integral. But I can proceed differently. After I have taken all the money out of my pocket I order the bills and coins according to identical values and then I pay the several heaps one after the other to the creditor. This is my integral.*

The insight is that one should be able to rearrange the values of a function freely, while preserving the value of the integral. This process of rearrangement can convert a very pathological function into one that is “nice” from the point of view of integration

## A second difference - Liberation from intervals

We can integrate over arbitrary regions, that aren't necessarily intervals.

Consider:

$$\int_{x : \text{some condition on } x \text{ holds}} f$$


## A third difference - arbitrary measure

The Reimann approach implicitly assumes that sets in the domain have sizes that are given by Lesbesgue measure ( $\mu(A) = |A|$ ), whereas the Lesbesgue approach allows sets in the domain to have sizes given by any arbitrary measure  $\mu$ .

## Two-dimensional example

Suppose we want to find a mountain's volume (above sea level).

- **The Riemann approach:** Divide the base of the mountain into a grid of 1 meter squares. Measure the altitude of the mountain at the center of each square.
- **The Lebesgue approach:** Draw a contour map of the mountain, where adjacent contours are 1 meter of altitude apart.



Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, fixed throughout the discussion.

In this section, we define integral of a measurable function  $h$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  against arbitrary measure  $\mu$ . The integral can be written as:

$$\int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu, \quad \int_{\Omega} h(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega), \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\Omega} h(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$$


$h$  is measurable if the inverse image of every measurable set is measurable.

# Integrals of simple functions

## Definition

Let  $h$  be simple, say  $h = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i I_{A_i}$  where the  $A_i$  are disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \mu(A_i). \quad (0.1)$$



Note: The integral of a simple function exists whenever  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  do not both appear in the sum.


Example: Integrating the Dirichlet function (see notes).

# Integrals of non-negative Borel measurable functions

## Definition

If  $h$  is non-negative Borel measurable, we define


$$\int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} s \, d\mu : s \text{ simple, } 0 \leq s \leq h \right\}$$



The integral of a non-negative Borel measurable function *always* exists (although it may take on the value  $+\infty$ ).

# Integrals of arbitrary Borel measurable functions

Let  $h$  be an arbitrary Borel measurable function. We will express an arbitrary Borel measurable function as the difference of two non-negative Borel measurable functions.



We can define the integral of  $h$  by

$$\int_{\Omega} h \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} h^+ \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} h^- \, d\mu$$

The integral of an arbitrary non-negative Borel function exists so long as it does not take the form  $+\infty - \infty$ .