

# **Software Testing, Quality Assurance & Maintenance—Lecture 3**

Patrick Lam  
University of Waterloo

January 9/11, 2019

# Plan

More examples on faults, errors and failures:

- numZero example (again);
- assignment 1-like exercise for `findLast`;
- testing line intersection algorithm

```
public static numZero(int[] x) {  
    int count = 0;  
    for (int i = 1; i < x.length; i++) {  
        if (x[i] == 0) count++;  
    }  
    return count;  
}
```

```
static public int findLast(int[] x, int y) {  
    for (int i = x.length - 1; i > 0 ; i--) {  
        if (x[i] == y) {  
            return i;  
        }  
    }  
    return -1;  
}
```

@Test

```
public void testFindLast() {  
    int[] x = new int[] {2, 3, 5};  
    assertEquals(0, FindLast.findLast(x, 2));  
}
```

## Exercise: Faults

Read the faulty program `findLast`, which includes a test case exhibiting a failure.

b) trick question,  $x = \text{null}$ ,  $y = 3$

Answer the following questions:

- (a) Identify the fault, and fix it.  $i > 0$ , should be  $i \geq 0$
- (b) If possible, identify a test case that does not execute the fault.  $x = [1, 2]$ ,  $y = 2$   $\leftarrow$  wrong because still executes  $i > 0$
- (c) If possible, identify a test case that executes the fault, but does not result in an error state.  $x = [1, 2]$ ,  $y = 2$ . executes  $i > 0$
- (d) If possible, identify a test case that results in an error, but not a failure. (Hint: PC)  $x = [0]$ ,  $y = 1$  or  $x = [0, 2]$ ,  $y = 1$
- (e) For the given test case, identify the first error state. Be sure to describe the complete state.

$x = [0, 2]$ ,  $y = 1$ ,  $i = 0$ , PC =  $i > 0$

PC never reaches inside the loop when  $i = 0$

```
class LineSegment:
    def __init__(self, x1, x2):
        self.x1 = x1; self.x2 = x2;

    def intersect(a, b):
        return (a.x1 < b.x2) & (a.x2 > b.x1);

def test_aAbB(self):
    a = LineSegment(0, 2)
    b = LineSegment(3, 7)
    self.assertFalse(intersect(a, b))
    self.assertFalse(intersect(b, a))
    -> satisfies statement coverage (trivially) and branch coverage
```

Other ways of generating tests:

- be sure to cover all outputs (or at least all classes of outputs)
- generate cases randomly
  - need to know expected answer
  - hard to hit known interesting points in the problem space
- cover all values of logical sub-expressions
- cover all interesting combinations of input classes (input space coverage)

Sketch of proof of correctness of intersect

Rename inputs:

a	A	b	B
a.x1	a.x2	b.x1	b.x2

assume all points distinct (then check the assumption!)

write cases

a = A	a = b	a = B
b = a	b = A	b = B

assume  $a < b$  (and then swap them)

assume  $a < A$  and  $b < B$  (constructor should reject violating lines)

Have reduced input space to permutations:

aAbB

abAB

abBA