Social Networks Analysis using Compressive Sensing

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

Social Networks are well-known for their massive scale. Analyzing the social networks to extract meaningful information is one of the most significant aspects of managing such massive user data. Larger the data, more valuable is the extracted information. But such scale of data is not always an advantage. When social networks have billions of nodes and relationships, the traditional graph algorithms that run in polynomial time pose a significant disadvantage for analyzing the social networks. Therefore, in this paper, we will try to find ways to apply compressive sensing to social networks. The idea is to find some set of sparse characteristics in a social network that allows us to group the users together and makes it easier to analyze the entire social networks in groups.

Index - compressive sensing, social networks, inter-community detection.

12 1 Introduction

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

Graphs have always been an efficient way of representing large amount of data. The nodes stand for individual entities and the edges represent some non-trivial relation between them. This idea has been 14 extended to represent billions of users and their mutual relationships in the real-world systems such 15 as social networks [7]. A typical representation of social networks using graphs, or social graphs, 16 is to represent the users as nodes and their relationships such as being friends, accessing similar 17 content is represented by edges. The edges can further have some weights associated with them 18 to denote the degree of associativity between the users. Such structural representation can often 19 blow up in size. It becomes very difficult to look at all the nodes and edges to quickly retrieve any meaningful information. Something as simple as finding top k-nodes can take order of few minutes 21 using polynomial time algorithms. 22

To avoid this problem, it's sometimes easier to group the nodes together and analyze the clusters of 23 multiple nodes together. This shrinks down the problem of analyzing billions of nodes to analyzing 24 a few hundred or thousand nodes depending on the degree of such clustering. Such groups, or 25 communities of users correspond to the real-world social groups [2]. This makes such groups even 26 more significant because of the important characteristics it stands for. These communities are the 27 more intensely connected groups with relatively small number of edges between them. In this paper, 28 we try to analyze applications of compressive sensing to identifying these small number of important 29 edges that connect multiple communities. Naturally, by treating the graph as a signal, the problem 30 gets converted into that of retrieving some k-sparse vector corresponding to k such edges [1]. 31

The rest of the paper discusses brief background and current scenario of applications of compressive sensing to social networks in section 2. Then we take a closer look at one such algorithm called LSR-weighted in section 3. Then section 4 discusses various real-world applications to utilize such community detection. Section 5 describes the results of running LSR-weighted and shows how these communities look by 3D visualization. Then we conclude by looking at important characteristics and limitations of these algorithms and mention few possible enhancements.

2 Related Work

Within past decade, online social networks have emerged and have given a new dimension to complex graph networks. For example, according to Nielsen [2], worldwide users spend over 110 billion minutes on social media sites per month, which accounts for 22% of all the time spent online, surpassing even the time spent on email. In the analysis of social networks, the existence of missing data is almost inevitable because the aforementioned constraints may prevent access to entire data of the networks. Mostly, direct measurement of each individual node can be difficult, costly, and sometimes impossible due to massive scale, distributed management, and access limitation of real social networks.

The developments in compressive sensing started with the seminal works in [1]. The authors noted that the combination of 11-minimization and random matrices can lead to efficient recovery of sparse 48 vectors and also has strong potential to be used in many applications. For the last couple of years, 49 CS has been considered in signal processing, but its role in network applications is still in its early 50 stages due to some challenging issues [3]. In networks, measurements are restricted by network 51 topological constraints which is again absent in existing CS research. In other words, for every 52 measurement, only links that induce a path or connected sub-graph can be aggregated together in the 53 same measurement [5]. As a result, compressive sensing for network applications is quite different 54 from other CS problems, although it is interesting in its own right because we can represent many 55 real-world systems by their graphs/networks. 56

The first paper we found that applied compressive sensing to large graph networks was [3] which used compressive sensing to computer networks to identify congested links that are vulnerable to packet drops. This research falls under the category of $network\ tomography$ and states many theoretical constraints on using traditional compressive sensing techniques on graph networks. The algorithm proposed is quite efficient and serves the purpose of monitoring computer networks. But the main disadvantage it has is the biased output due to a technique called random walks. While randomly traversing the graph, the algorithm is biased towards nodes of high degree. So it fails to capture the weighted unbiasedness in a network.

This lack of weighted constraint is solved solved by considering weights while traversing the graph in [6]. This algorithm is also equally efficient and talks about important null-space property of measurement matrix which guarantees correctness on the algorithm. But this algorithm doesn't talk about the applications of Compressive Sensing in Social Networks. This topic is discussed in detail, probably for the first time, in [4]. This work describes how we can overcome the theoretical challenges discussed in [6] while enabling weighted unbiased output for detecting inter-community structures. The paper also briefly describes a relatively new *l*1-minimization technique called Lasso [9]. This algorithm is very similar to LSR algorithm which is described below.

3 LSR Algorithm

73

79

80

81

82

85

86

87

88

LSR stands for low-cost sparse recovery framework. It takes advantage of the sparsity property in social networks, which in most cases, are graphs represented by nodes and edges. Sparsity is a natural assumption in some social network analysis such as the detection of inter-community links in social networks and identification of top-k central nodes in networks. Because the number of such nodes and links are much smaller than the set of all nodes and links in networks.

There are two constraint worth mentioning in this field

- Measurement matrix is in a more restrictive class taking only non-negative integer entries, while random Gaussian measurement matrices are usually used in CS literature.
- Network topological constrains which is not considered in existing CS researches.

And there are a few recent works that do consider the network topological constraints in order to construct a feasible measurement matrix. But the problem is they don't take into account the strength of links, which is definitely not realistic. The previous works include the state-of-the-art CS-based algorithms ,RW, and UCS-WN. LSR-weighted algorithms improve the functionality in the following two major aspects

• A feasible measurement matrix with less number of measurements and relative low-error

90 3.1 General Model

89

93

101

106

114

120

The general model is:

$$y_{m\times} = A_{m\times|E|} x_{|E|\times 1}$$

input for the algorithm is the graph ,and the output is the A measurement matrix. Here is an A matrix from a random graph that can give you better intuition about what it is:

$$\mathcal{A} = m_1: v_4 \leadsto v_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_2: v_1 \leadsto v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ m_3: v_1 \leadsto v_4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so in this example, we have 3 rows, which means 3 measurements, and each measurement is an iteration of the algorithm, and at the same time, it is also the traversal of a certain part of the graph. The number of columns denotes the number of edges in the graph.

Besides, we represented the graph using upper adjacency matrix, and all the functions and operations we wrote are all based on the property of upper adjacency matrix.

The edge index of the graph is simply defined in this way: it starts from the (1,2) to (1,3) to (1,4) t

4 Applications

With this algorithm, it's easy to identify the inter-community edges that define some clustered graph structure. Till now, we've seen that a graph can represent multiple types of relations. By interpreting the edges in various ways, we can use this same algorithm to group the users by different heuristics and extract different types of information. We discuss a few possible application here.

4.1 Friend Recommendations

The relationship between two users can be that of friendship. This relation itself can be described using two different entities of weighted and unweighted edges. If we define friendship relation exists if two users are online friends, it's unweighted relation. If we describe the degree to which they've mutual online friends, then it becomes a weighted graph with weight of the edge describing the degree of connectivity. By running LSR-weighted on such graph, we can easily group the users together. Such communities can then be used to suggest friends within the same community or across different communities.

4.2 Advertising Content

By representing the edges as the degree to which users access similar content, we can group the users together based on accessed content correlation. If this content is specifically the advertisements that users found relevant, then we get an interesting clustering of users based on similarity of advertisements. It can help targeted advertising [10] where users from the same community can get similar advertisements to optimize the user experience and in turn increase revenue.

4.3 Detecting Filter Bubbles

Social networks have been under close scrutiny for filtering the content according to what the user wants rather than exposing variety of content. By using this data of accessed articles, videos, photos, etc., we can identify groups of people who are restricted to a small subset of the shared data. Using these clusters, we can further suggest data consisting of different view points than what the community is restricted to.

26 4.4 Detecting False Information

- Suppose we identify the groups of users interested in similar type of content. If we rely on users to
- notify this false information, there is a good chance that users that disagree with valid information
- might report it as false information just to discourage that source. Instead, we can aggregate this user
- input across different communities and effectively identify the real false information sources.
- There could be plenty more applications where analyzing a cluster of users can be more effective and
- efficient rather than looking at individual user. These are small subset of such applications that could
- be extended further as more sophisticated approach to solve the corresponding problems.

134 5 Results

- 135 Using the algorithm presented above, we evaluated it on several datasets. Our data included both
- previously compiled data as well as real-world examples compiled specifically for this project. Here
- we will explain the structure and source of our datasets, then we will show the results and present our
- 138 analysis of those tests.

139 **5.1 Dataset**

- There are three primary datasets we tested the algorithm on. All of these datasets are real-world
- weighted social networks with different sets of links. The first dataset is the network of personal
- relationships via the Freeman EIES System which has been examined in previous papers. Our other
- two datasets come from a popular social media and forum discussion website known as Reddit.

144 5.1.1 Reddit Datasets

- The Reddit platform consists of a series of forum pages on which users can post submissions and
- comment on other people's submissions. This allows users to demonstrate their interest in a variety
- of topics, as each forum page is dedicated to a specific topic. A users posting and comment history is
- publically available. Taking advantage of this, we crawled the Reddit's pages, and acquired a list
- of users who have made comments on those pages. From there, we linked back to the user, and
- acquired a list of the pages that the users posted on. If a user had a large number of posts on a specific
- forum's page, this was a clear sign of interest in that topic. Using this scheme, we constructed a
- relative interest chart for each user. We then correlated the users based on shared interests to create a
- weighted graph. Each node represented a user, and each edge was the amount of shared interest two
- users had.
- Using this as the base data-set, we constructed two types of graphs to view the relationship between
- users. The first type of graph consisted of random users chosen from the datasets with a set number
- of links between them. Since the users present here was random there was no intrinsic structure to
- this type of graph. The second type of graph involved taking users who predominantly posted to
- one of the pages. After acquiring several such groups of users, we examined the the links they had
- in-between the different groups. Since the user's primary interests were not related, the links between
- users in two different groups were much weaker than those within a single group. From here we ran
- the algorithm to see if we could decrease the graph complexity while still retaining the inter-graph
- 163 connections.

164 5.2 Visualization

- In order to better understand the sparse structure of the graphs, we created a visualization engine
- that would plot the different networks overlayed with the sparse structure. In an effort to acquire
- groupings for the non-grouped data, we ran the datasets through a K-Means grouping algorithm and
- used those groups in the display.

- 169 **5.3** Graphs
- 170 5.4 Results
- 171 5.5 Analysis

172 6 Conclusion

- In this paper, we've looked at compressive sensing as an effective way of handling massive scale data
- in social networks. We discussed the major theoretical limitations faced by compressive sensing in
- social networks analysis. Along with LSR-weighted algorithm, we also looked at various approaches
- studied so far. The implementation of LSR-weighted also produces some encouraging results seen
- in the results section after running the algorithm on real-world dataset from reddit [?]. The main
- limitation we've found here is the coherence in social network. When we've a sparse network, the
- measurement matrix can be empty where we fail to output any sparse edges. But overall, it works
- well and there are some interesting applications as well to utilize this information of clustered users.

7 Acknowledgements and Credits

- We would like to thank Prof. Peter Chin for helping us understand the problem statement and giving
- a few basic ideas to begin investigation. The techniques taught during CS591C2 course were also
- 184 helpful during this project.
- For most of the project, we worked together as a group. A rough distribution of work can be seen as
- 186 follows,

181

- Sahil initial research involving going through a few papers, help with coding, applications of
- 188 LSR-weighted
- 189 Mikhail Acquiring real-world data, visualization, and testing
- 190 Chen Implemented the first and second version of LSR algorithm
- 191 Stephenie Joined the group after most of the ground work was done. Assisted in data compilation
- 192 and results analysis.

193 References

- 194 [1] D. Donoho, "Compressed sensing," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, Apr. 2006.
- 195 [2] Nielsen statistics and measurements, june 2010. [Online]. mobile/social- Available:
- http://blog.nielsen.com/nielsenwire/online media-accounts-for-22-percent-of-time-online.
- 197 [3] J. Haupt, W. Bajwa, M. Rabbat, and R. Nowak, "Compressed sensing for networked data," IEEE Signal
- 198 Processing Magazine, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 92–101, Mar. 2008.
- 199 [4] H. Mahyar, H. R. Rabiee, Z. S. Hashemifar, and P. Siyari, "UCS-WN: An Unbiased Compressive Sensing
- 200 Framework for Weighted Networks," in Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, CISS 2013, Baltimore,
- 201 USA, Mar. 2013.
- 202 [5] M. Wang, W. Xu, E. Mallada, and A. Tang, "Sparse recovery with graph constraints: Fundamental limits and
- measurement construction," in IEEE INFOCOM, Mar. 2012, pp. 1871–1879.
- 204 [6] W. Xu, E. Mallada, and A. Tang, "Compressive sensing over graphs," in IEEE INFOCOM, Apr. 2011, pp.
- 205 2087–2095.
- 206 [7] Reddit www.reddit.com
- 207 [8] H. Mahyar, H. R. Rabiee, A. Movaghar, E. Ghalebi, and A. Nazemian, "CS-ComDet: A Compressive Sens-
- 208 ing Approach for Inter-Community Detection
- 209 [9] R. Tibshirani, "Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B,
- vol. 58, pp. 267-288, 1994.
- 211 [10] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Targeted_advertising