https://mp4-serenitea-emporium-5454dc22e46f.herokuapp.com/reviews/review_product/603/

97 Performance

84 Accessibility

100 Best Practices

91 SEO

97

Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The [performance score is calculated](#) directly from these metrics. [See calculator.](#)

▲ 0–49   ■ 50–89   ● 90–100

METRICS

Expand view

■ First Contentful Paint

0.9 s

● Largest Contentful Paint

1.1 s

● Total Blocking Time

0 ms

● Cumulative Layout Shift

0.006

● Speed Index

0.9 s

[⊞ View Treemap]

Show audits relevant to: [All] FCP LCP TBT CLS

DIAGNOSTICS

▲ Eliminate render-blocking resources   —   Potential savings of 630 ms   ⌄

▲ Enable text compression   —   Potential savings of 27 KiB   ⌄

▲ Reduce unused JavaScript   —   Potential savings of 133 KiB   ⌄

▲ Properly size images   —   Potential savings of 118 KiB   ⌄

▲ Serve images in next-gen formats   —   Potential savings of 27 KiB   ⌄

▲ Reduce unused CSS   —   Potential savings of 45 KiB   ⌄

▲ Page prevented back/forward cache restoration   —   1 failure reason   ⌄

■ Image elements do not have explicit `width` and `height`   ⌄

■ Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy   —   3 resources found   ⌄

■ Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers   —   Potential savings of 0 KiB   ⌄

○ Avoid large layout shifts — 2 layout shifts found ⌄

○ Initial server response time was short — Root document took 110 ms ⌄

○ Avoids enormous network payloads — Total size was 625 KiB ⌄

○ Avoids an excessive DOM size — 417 elements ⌄

○ Avoid chaining critical requests — 10 chains found ⌄

○ JavaScript execution time — 0.0 s ⌄

○ Minimizes main-thread work — 0.2 s ⌄

○ Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms ⌄

○ Largest Contentful Paint element — 1,050 ms ⌄

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't [directly affect](#) the Performance score.

PASSED AUDITS (19)   Show

84

Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so [manual testing](#) is also encouraged.

NAMES AND LABELS

▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name ⌄

▲ Links do not have a discernible name ⌄

▲ Select elements do not have associated label elements. ⌄

These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

NAVIGATION

▲ Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order ⌄

These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)   Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on [conducting an accessibility review](#).

PASSED AUDITS (19)   Show

NOT APPLICABLE (34)   Show

100

Best Practices

TRUST AND SAFETY

○   Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks   ⌄

GENERAL

○   Detected JavaScript libraries   ⌄

PASSED AUDITS (14)   Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)   Show

91

SEO

These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on Core Web Vitals. Learn more about Google Search Essentials.

CONTENT BEST PRACTICES

▲   Document does not have a meta description   ⌄

Format your HTML in a way that enables crawlers to better understand your app's content.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (1)   Show

Run these additional validators on your site to check additional SEO best practices.

PASSED AUDITS (7)   Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)   Show

🗓 Captured at Aug 19, 2024, 8:23 AM GMT+1	🖥 Emulated Desktop with Lighthouse 12.0.0	⚬ Single page session
⏱ Initial page load	⌇ Custom throttling	◎ Using Chromium 127.0.0.0 with devtools

Generated by **Lighthouse** 12.0.0 | File an issue