Predicting Frictional Properties of Graphene Kirigami Using Molecular Dynamics and Neural Networks

Designs for a negative friction coefficient

Mikkel Metzsch Jensen

University of Oslo

June 02, 2023



Outline

Thesis overview

System preview



Figure: System of choice: Graphene sheet (red) on a silicon substrate (blue).

Thesis overview

1. **Sheet modification**: Atomic-scale cuts and stretching
2. **Forward simulation**: Simulate system and measure friction
3. **Pattern search**: Use machine learning to search for new designs

Main research question

Can we control the friction of a nanoscale Kirigami sheet with pattern design and stretching of the sheet?

Motivation
Kirigami

- Kirigami: Variation of origami with cuts permitted
- Designs: Macroscale → nanoscale



Figure: Example of macroscale Kirigami designs implemented on a microscale using a focused ion beam. Black scale bars: 1 μm. Reproduced from [1].

- Out-of-plane buckling
- Surface properties are important for friction
  - Asperity theory: Contact area



(a) Small load. (b) High load.

Figure: Qualitative illustration of microscopic asperity deformation under increasing load. Reproduced from [2].

System setup

Regions

- Red: *NVE*
- Green: Thermostat *NVT*
- Blue: Rigid

System size

- Atoms $\sim 60,000$
- Sheet $\sim 130 \times 165$ Å

(a) Side view.



(b) Top view.

$$M \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{62\times 106}, \qquad \text{Combinations} = 2^{6572} = 10^{1978}$$



Figure: Graphene atom site indexing.

Sheet Kirigami

Macroscale inspiration



(a) Tetrahedron: Alternating perpendicular cuts producing a tetrahedron-shaped surface buckling when stretched. Reproduced from [3].

(b) Honeycomb: Scotch^{TM} Cushion Lock^{TM} [4] producing a honeycomb-shaped surface buckling when stretched. Reproduced from [4].

Figure: Macroscale kirigami cut patterns used as inspiration for the nanoscale implementation.

Sheet Kirigami

Tetrahedron patterns





Sheet Kirigami

Honeycomb patterns







Sheet Kirigami
Random walk patterns



Friction behaviour

Friction metrics



(a) 10 Å sliding.



(b) 100 Å sliding.

Figure: Friction force traces. The red line represents a Savgol filter.

Out-of-plane buckling



Figure: Kirigami sheet stretch in a vacuum.

Out-of-plane buckling



Figure: Kirigami stretch in contact with the substrate.

Out-of-plane buckling



Figure: Contact area approximation: Number of C–Si bonds within a threshold distance of 110% the LJ interaction equilibrium distance.

Contact-strain profile



Figure: Contact-strain profile for $F_N = \{0.1, 1, 10\}$nN.

Friction-strain profile



Figure: Friction-strain profile for $F_N = \{0.1, 1, 10\}$nN.

Negative friction coefficient

Coupling of load to sheet tension

$$F_t = T \cdot F_N, \qquad T = 6$$



Figure: Working sketch for a nanomachine design translating applied load to a strain of the sheet (shown in red).

Negative friction coefficient

Tetrahedron results



Figure: Tetrahedron $(7, 5, 1)$

Negative friction coefficient

Honeycomb results



Figure: Honeycomb $(2, 2, 1, 5)$

Negative friction coefficient

Honeycomb deformations



Strain = 0.20

Machine learning

Dataset

- 216 Kirigami configurations ($\sim 10,000$ data points)

Machine learning

- Input: Kirigami configuration, strain and load
- Output: Mean friction, rupture



Figure: Convolutional neural network. Reproduced from [5].

Properties of interest

$$(1)\ \min F_{\text{fric}}, \qquad (2)\ \max F_{\text{fric}}, \qquad (3)\ \max \Delta F_{\text{fric}}, \qquad (4)\ \max \text{drop}.$$



Figure: Properties of interest.

Accelerated search for new designs

Two search approaches

1. Extended dataset search

   Tetrahedron: 1.35×10^5, Honeycomb: 2.025×10^6, Random walk: 10^4

2. Genetic algorithm
   - Survival of the fittest

Accelerated search

Grad-CAM



Figure: Honeycomb $(3, 3, 5, 3)$. Top: Friction-strain curve and configuration. Bottom: Grad-CAM analysis.

Outline

Summary and outlook

Key findings

- Non-monotonous friction-strain
- Negative friction coefficient
- Machine learning needs more data

Further studies

- Underlying mechanism
  - Commensurability hypothesis
- Friction-strain relationship at different physical conditions
- Edge and thermostat effects
- Improve dataset with active learning





UiO : Department of Physics
University of Oslo

Mikkel Metzsch Jensen

Predicting Frictional Properties of Graphene Kirigami Using Molecular Dynamics and Neural Networks

Designs for a negative friction coefficient

References

[1] J. Li and L. Zhiguang, "Focused-ion-beam-based nano-kirigami: from art to photonics", Nanophotonics **7**, 10.1515/nanoph-2018-0117 (2018).

[2] W. Commons, *File:asperities.svg — wikimedia commons, the free media repository*, (2023) https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?title=File:Asperities.svg&oldid=659167170 (visited on 02/03/2023).

[3] L. Burrows, *New pop-up strategy inspired by cuts, not folds*, (Feb. 24, 2017) https://seas.harvard.edu/news/2017/02/new-pop-strategy-inspired-cuts-not-folds.

[4] *Scotch cushion lock protective wrap*, https://www.scotchbrand.com/3M/en_US/scotch-brand/products/catalog/~/Scotch-Cushion-Lock-Protective-Wrap/?N=4335+3288092498+3294529207&rt=rud.

[5] Neurohive, *VGG16 — Convolutional Network for Classification and Detection*, (2018) https://neurohive.io/en/popular-networks/vgg16/ (visited on 05/07/2023).