Semantics and Types - Assignment 5

Mikkel Willén bmq419

March 13, 2024

Task 5.1

Lemma 5.3 If $[] \vdash t : \tau$ then either t = c for some canonical form c, or $t \to t'$ for some term t'.

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-VnT}' \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}_0 \\ \vdash t_0 : \tau_0 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} \vdash \langle l = t_0 \rangle : \langle l : \tau_0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}}$$

As in the case for select, we consider only the T-VNT' rule. By IH on \mathcal{T}_0 , the term t is already canonical or can take a step, which is exactly what we needed to show.

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-Case} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}_0 & \mathcal{T}_1 \\ \mathcal{T}_1 & ((l_i : \tau_i)^{i \in 1...n} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}_1 & ([x_i \mapsto \tau_i] \vdash t_i : \tau)^{i \in 1...n} \end{bmatrix}}$$

By IH on \mathcal{T}_0 either $t_0 \to t'_0$ and $t = (\mathbf{case}\ t_0\ \mathbf{of}\ (\langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i)^{i \in 1...n} \to \mathbf{case}\ t'_0\ \mathbf{of}\ (\langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i)^{i \in 1...n})$ by S-Case1 or t_0 is on canonical form. In the latter case, by Lemma 5.2(d), we must have $t_0 = \langle l = c_0 \rangle$, with $l = l_k$ for some k, and thus $\mathbf{case}\ t_0\ \mathbf{of}\ (\langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i)^{i \in 1...n}$ reduces to $t_k[c_0/x_k]$ by T-Case.

Lemma 5.5 If $[] \vdash t : \tau \text{ and } t \rightarrow t', \text{ then } [] \vdash t' : \tau.$

Case
$$S = S-SEL \frac{1}{\{(l_i = c_i)^{i \in 1...n}\}.l_k \to c_k}$$

where $k \in 1 \dots n$. Since the typing derivation cannot end in T-Sub, it must end with a use of T-Sel.

$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-Sel} \frac{[] \vdash \{(l_i = c_i)^{i \in 1...n}\} : \{(l_i : \tau_i)^{i \in 1...n}\}}{[] \vdash \{(l_i = c_i)^{i \in 1...n}\} . l_k : \tau_k}$$

where $k \in 1...n$. Using Lemma 5.2(c) on \mathcal{T}_0 (where m is taken as n, and $c = (\{(l_i = c_i)^{i \in 1...n}\}.l_k)$, we get that there exists an $i' \in 1...n$ such that $l'_i = l_k$ and that $[] \vdash c_i : \tau_i$ (by some \mathcal{T}_{00}). But since labels in record types are required to be distinct, we must have that i' = k and thus we also have that $[] \vdash c_i : \tau_k$ and using the Substitution Lemma on \mathcal{T}_k and \mathcal{T}_{00} we get $[] \vdash c_k : \tau_k$.

Task 5.2

We consider the system with just T-Var, T-Lam, T-App, T-Sub, ST-Refl, ST-Trans and ST-Fun. We show, that the following type is admissible:

T-ETA:
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.(tx) : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \ (x \notin FV(t))$$

We have that if $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau'] \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin FV(t)$, then also $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$. We start by showing the following Lemma.

Lemma S. If \mathcal{T} is a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$, then for some τ' , there exists a derivation \mathcal{T}' of $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau'$, where \mathcal{T}' does not end in a use of T-Sub, and a derivation \mathcal{ST} of $\tau' \leq \tau$.

We do this by induction on derivations:

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-Var} \frac{\Gamma \vdash x \cdot \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x \cdot \tau} (\Gamma(x) = \tau)$$

Since the typing derivation \mathcal{T}' cannot end in T-Sub, must must end with T-Var.

$$\mathcal{T}' = \text{T-Var} \frac{1}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau'} (\Gamma(x) = \tau')$$

We have that x maps to some τ_0 in Γ , and the lookup of this in the same Γ must have the same type, so we have that $\tau' = \tau$ which is true by ST-Refl.

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-Lam} \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash t_0 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t_0 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2}$$

Since the typing derivation \mathcal{T}' cannot end in T-Sub, must must end with T-Lam.

$$\mathcal{T}' = \text{T-Lam} \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash t_0 : \tau_2'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t_0 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

We have that $\tau = \tau_1 \to \tau_2$ and $\tau' = \tau_1 \to \tau_2'$. By IH on \mathcal{T}_0 with \mathcal{T}_0' we have that $\tau_2' \le \tau_2$ and then by ST-Fun with ST-Refl on t_1 we have that $\tau_1 \to \tau_2' \le \tau_1 \to \tau_2$.

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-App} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : \tau_2}$$

Since the typing derivation \mathcal{T}' cannot end in T-Sub, must must end with T-App.

$$\mathcal{T}' = \text{T-App} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2' \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : \tau_2'}$$

We have that $\tau = \tau_2$ and $\tau' = \tau'_2$. By IH on \mathcal{T}_0 with \mathcal{T}'_0 we have that $\tau_1 \to \tau_2 \le \tau_1 \to \tau'_2$ and then by ST-FuN backwards, we have that $\tau'_2 \le \tau_2$.

Case
$$\mathcal{T} = \text{T-Sub} \frac{\Gamma' \quad \mathcal{ST}}{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau' \quad \tau' \leq \tau}$$

The derivation is trivially true.

Now we have

$$\text{T-App} \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash t : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash t_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash tx : \tau_2} \\ \text{T-Eta} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.(tx) : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \quad (x \notin FV(t))$$

then by (strengthening) on \mathcal{T}_0 (where $\tau' = \tau_1$, $\tau = \tau_1 \to \tau_2$ and $x \notin FV(t)$) we have that T-ETA holds.

Task 3

 \mathbf{a}

We write out the typing rules for **binary-sum** type.

$$\text{T-BSum}: \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{case} \ t_0 \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{inl}(x_1) \Rightarrow t_1, \ \mathbf{inr}(x_2) \Rightarrow t_2 : \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

$$\text{T-InL}: \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : \tau_1 + \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inl}(t_0) : \tau_1} \qquad \text{T-InR}: \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : \tau_1 + \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inr}(t_0) : \tau_2}$$

$$\text{CT-BSum}: \frac{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} t_{0} : \hat{\tau_{0}} \mid^{i''} C_{0} \quad \hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i''} t_{1} : \hat{\tau_{1}} \mid^{i'''} C_{1} \quad \hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i'''} t_{2} : \hat{\tau_{2}} \mid^{i'} C_{2}}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} \mathbf{case} \ t_{0} \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{inl}(x_{1}) \Rightarrow t_{1}, \ \mathbf{inr}(x_{2}) \Rightarrow t_{2} : \hat{\tau_{1}} + \hat{\tau_{2}} \mid^{i'} C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \hat{\tau_{1}} + \hat{\tau_{2}}}$$

$$\text{CT-InL}: \frac{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} t_{0} : \hat{\tau_{0}} \mid^{i'} C_{0}}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} \mathbf{inl}(t_{0}) : \boxed{i'} \mid^{i'+2} C_{0}, \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \boxed{i'} + \boxed{i'+1}}$$

$$\text{CT-INR}: \frac{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} t_{0} : \hat{\tau_{0}} \mid^{i'} C_{0}}{\hat{\Gamma} \vdash^{i} \mathbf{inr}(t_{0}) : \boxed{i'+1} \mid^{i'+2} C_{0}, \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \boxed{i'} + \boxed{i'+1}}$$

c)

$$\text{CT-Var} \frac{\text{CT-InL}_x \quad \text{CT-InL}_z}{\left[x \mapsto \boxed{0}\right] \vdash^1 x : \boxed{0}\mid^{1''}} \quad \text{CT-InL}_x \quad \text{CT-InL}_z$$

$$\text{CT-Lam} \frac{\text{CT-Lam} \left[x \mapsto \boxed{0}\right] \vdash^{0+1} \mathbf{case} \ x \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{inl}(y) \Rightarrow x, \mathbf{inr}(z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{inl}(z) : \hat{\tau_0}\mid^{0'} C_0}{\left[\mid \vdash^0 \lambda x. \mathbf{case} \ x \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{inl}(y) \Rightarrow x, \mathbf{inr}(z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{inl}(z) : \boxed{0} \rightarrow \hat{\tau_0}\mid^{0'} C_0}\right]$$

$$\text{CT-InL}_{x} \frac{\text{CT-InL}_{x}}{[x \mapsto \boxed{0}] \vdash^{1} x : \boxed{1'} \mid^{1'}} \\ \text{CT-InL}_{x} \frac{[x \mapsto \boxed{0}] \vdash^{1} \mathbf{inl}(y) : \boxed{1'} \mid^{1'+2} \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \boxed{1'} + \boxed{1'+1}}{[x \mapsto \boxed{0}] \vdash^{1} \mathbf{inl}(y) : \boxed{1'} \mid^{1'+2} \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \boxed{1'} + \boxed{1'+1}}$$

$$\text{CT-InL}_{z} \frac{\text{CT-Var}}{[x \mapsto \boxed{0}] \vdash^{2} z : \boxed{2'} \mid^{2'}}{[x \mapsto \boxed{0}] \vdash^{2} \mathbf{inl}(z) : \boxed{2'} \mid^{2'+2} \hat{\tau_{0}} \doteq \boxed{1'} + \boxed{1'+1}}$$

We find that the expression has type $\boxed{0} \rightarrow \boxed{1} + \boxed{2}$ and we have that $\boxed{0} \doteq \boxed{1}$ and $\boxed{2} \doteq \boxed{2}$.

d)

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{2} \doteq \underline{2}, \ \underline{0} \doteq \underline{1}, \ \underline{0} \rightarrow \underline{1} + \underline{2} \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow (\mathrm{by\ CS-Triv}) \\ \underline{0} \doteq \underline{1}, \ \underline{0} \rightarrow \underline{1} + \underline{2} \\ \\ \rightsquigarrow (\mathrm{by\ CS-ELIML} \\ \underline{0} \rightarrow \underline{1} + \underline{2}, \ \underline{0} \doteq^{\checkmark} \underline{1} \end{array}$$

$$\boxed{0} \doteq \boxed{1}, \boxed{0} \rightarrow \boxed{1} + \boxed{2}$$

$$\boxed{0} \rightarrow \boxed{1} + \boxed{2}, \boxed{0} \doteq^{\checkmark} \boxed{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
-\\
1
\end{array}
\rightarrow 1 + 2, 0 \doteq^{\checkmark} 1$$

and we are done.