

Semantics and Types - Assignment 2

Mikkel Willén
bmq419

February 21, 2024

Task 2.2

Theorem 2.19 *If $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$, then $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma' \rangle$.*

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_0} \sigma'' \quad \langle c_1, \sigma'' \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_1} \sigma'}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$$

By IH on \mathcal{E}_0 we get

$$\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma'' \rangle$$

Then by iterated SC-SEQ1 we get

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma'' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma'' \rangle$$

which we recognize to be \mathcal{E}_1 . By IH on \mathcal{E}_1 we get

$$\langle c_1, \sigma'' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma' \rangle$$

Concatenating these we get

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma' \rangle$$

Lemma 2.20 *Given a derivation \mathcal{S} of $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', \sigma' \rangle$, and a derivation \mathcal{E}' of $\langle c', \sigma' \rangle \downarrow \sigma''$, then there also exists a derivation \mathcal{E} of $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''$.*

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_0} \langle c'_0, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c'_0; c_1, \sigma' \rangle}$$

We have $c = (c_0; c_1)$ and $c' = (c'_0; c_1)$. Since there is only one big-step rule for **sequence** commands, \mathcal{E}' must have the shape:

$$\mathcal{E}' = \frac{\langle c'_0, \sigma' \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_0} \sigma'_2 \quad \langle c_1, \sigma'_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_1} \sigma'_1}{\langle c'_0; c_1, \sigma' \rangle \downarrow \sigma'_1}$$

where $\sigma'' = \sigma'_1$. By IH on \mathcal{S}_0 and \mathcal{E}'_0 we get a big-step derivation of \mathcal{E}_0 of $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'_2$ which we can use to construct \mathcal{E} as follows

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_0} \sigma'_2 \quad \langle c_1, \sigma'_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_1} \sigma''}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \frac{}{\langle \mathbf{skip}; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle}$$

Here $c = (\mathbf{skip}; c_1)$, $c' = c_1$ and $\sigma' = \sigma$. Since $\sigma' = \sigma$ we can use the supplied big-step derivation \mathcal{E}' directly to construct \mathcal{E} using EC-SKIP

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \mathbf{skip}; c_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

Task 2.3

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \overline{\langle \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{if } b \text{ then } (c_0; \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0) \text{ else skip}, \sigma \rangle}$$

We have $c = (\mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0)$, $c' = (\mathbf{if } b \text{ then } (c_0; \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0) \text{ else skip})$ and $\sigma' = \sigma$. By Theorem 2.2 we have that $c \sim c'$ and since there are two big-step rules for **conditionals**, \mathcal{E}' can have the two following shapes:

$$\text{Subcase } \mathcal{E}' = \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_0} \mathbf{true} \quad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_1} \sigma' \quad \langle \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma' \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_2} \sigma''}{\langle c_0; \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}}{\langle \mathbf{if } b \text{ then } (c_0; \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0) \text{ else skip}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_1} \sigma' \quad \langle \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma' \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_2} \sigma''}{\langle \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\text{Subcase } \mathcal{E}' = \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_0} \mathbf{false} \quad \overline{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}}{\langle \mathbf{if } b \text{ then } (c_0; \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0) \text{ else skip}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{false}}{\langle \mathbf{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma''}$$

where $\sigma'' = \sigma$.

Task 2.4

(No rules for t : already fully executed)

$$\text{SB-EQ1} : \frac{\sigma \vdash a_0 \rightarrow a'_0}{\sigma \vdash a_0 = a_1 \rightarrow a'_0 = a_1} \quad \text{SB-EQ2} : \frac{\sigma \vdash a_1 \rightarrow a'_1}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n_0} = a_1 \rightarrow \overline{n_0} = a'_1}$$

$$\text{SB-EQT} : \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n} = \overline{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{true}} \quad \text{SB-EQF} : \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n} = \overline{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{false}} \quad (n_0 \neq n_1)$$

$$\text{SB-LEQ1} : \frac{\sigma \vdash a_0 \rightarrow a'_0}{\sigma \vdash a_0 \leq a_1 \rightarrow a'_0 \leq a_1} \quad \text{SB-LEQ2} : \frac{\sigma \vdash a_1 \rightarrow a'_1}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n_0} \leq a_1 \rightarrow \overline{n_0} \leq a'_1}$$

$$\text{SB-LEQT} : \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n_0} \leq \overline{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{true}} \quad (n_0 \leq n_1) \quad \text{SB-LEQF} : \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \overline{n_0} \leq \overline{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{false}} \quad (n_0 > n_1)$$

$$\text{SB-NEG1} : \frac{\sigma \vdash b \rightarrow b'}{\sigma \vdash \neg b \rightarrow \neg b'}$$

$$\text{SB-NEGT} : \frac{}{\neg \mathbf{true} \rightarrow \mathbf{false}} \quad \text{SB-NEGT} : \frac{}{\neg \mathbf{false} \rightarrow \mathbf{true}}$$

Task 2.5

Theorem 2.15 *If $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow t$ then $\sigma \vdash b \rightarrow^* t$.*

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{true} \quad \langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_1} t}{\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow t}$$

By IH on \mathcal{E}_0 we get \mathcal{SS}_0 of $\sigma \vdash b_0 \rightarrow^* \mathbf{true}$. Using SB-AND1 we get

$$\sigma \vdash b_0 \wedge b_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \wedge b_1$$

which we can concatenate with SB-ANDT to obtain $\sigma \vdash \mathbf{true} \wedge b_1 \rightarrow^* b_1$. By IH on \mathcal{E}_1 we get $\sigma \vdash b_1 \rightarrow^* t$ to obtain

$$\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* t$$

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{false}}{\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}}$$

By IH on \mathcal{E}_0 we get $\sigma \vdash b_0 \rightarrow^* \mathbf{false}$. Using SB-AND1 we get

$$\sigma \vdash b_0 \wedge b_1 \rightarrow^* \mathbf{false} \wedge b_1$$

which we can concatenate with SB-ANDF we get $\sigma \vdash \mathbf{false} \wedge b_1 \rightarrow^* \mathbf{false}$ and we have

$$\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* t$$

Lemma 2.16 *If $\sigma \vdash b \rightarrow b'$ and $\langle b', \sigma \rangle \downarrow t$ then $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \downarrow t$.*

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \text{SB-AND1} \frac{\sigma \vdash b_0 \rightarrow b'_0}{\sigma \vdash b_0 \wedge b_1 \rightarrow b'_0 \wedge b_1}$$

So $b = (b_0 \wedge b_1)$ and $b' = (b'_0 \wedge b_1)$. Since there are two big-step rules for **conjunctions**, \mathcal{E}' must have one of the two following shapes:

$$\text{Subcase } \mathcal{E}' = \text{EB-ANDT} \frac{\langle b'_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_0} \mathbf{true} \quad \langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_1} t}{\langle b'_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow t}$$

By IH on \mathcal{S}_0 with \mathcal{E}'_0 we get a derivation \mathcal{E}_0 of $\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{true}$ and we can construct \mathcal{E} as

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{EB-ANDT} \frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{true} \quad \langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_1} t}{\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow t}$$

$$\text{Subcase } \mathcal{E}' = \text{EB-ANDF} \frac{\langle b'_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}'_0} \mathbf{false}}{\langle b'_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}}$$

By IH on \mathcal{S}_0 with \mathcal{E}'_0 we get a derivation \mathcal{E}_0 of $\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}$ and we can construct \mathcal{E} as

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{EB-ANDF} \frac{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{false}}{\langle b_0 \wedge b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}}$$

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \text{SB-ANDT} \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \mathbf{true} \wedge b_1 \rightarrow b_1}$$

So $b = (\mathbf{true} \wedge b_1)$ and $b' = b_1$. Since there is only one possible big-step rule for evaluation of b' , namely EB-CST, we must have

$$\mathcal{E}' = \text{EB-CST} \frac{}{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow b_1}$$

and we can construct

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{EB-CST} \frac{}{\langle b_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow b_1}$$

$$\text{Case } \mathcal{S} = \text{SB-ANDF} \frac{}{\sigma \vdash \mathbf{false} \wedge b_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{false}}$$

So $b = (\mathbf{false} \wedge b_1)$ and $b' = \mathbf{false}$. Since there is only one possible big-step rule for evaluation of b' , namely EB-CST, we must have

$$\mathcal{E}' = \text{EB-CST} \frac{}{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}}$$

and we can construct

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{EB-CST} \frac{}{\langle b_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \mathbf{false}}$$