Annotated bibliography for building an ecosystem of practice in scientific computing

Michael Koontz

Overview

Baker (2017). Code alert

Scientific computing is a critical skill for ecologists.

Scientific computing benefits science

Pevzner and Shamir (2009). Computing has changed biology — biology education must catch up

Needed for reproducibility

Lowndes et al. (2017)

Needed for next generation ecology

White et al. (2015). The next generation of action ecology: Novel approaches towards global ecological research

Action ecology, macroscale questions

Hampton et al. (2015). The Tao of open science for ecology

Open science.

Poisot et al. (2016). Synthetic datasets and community tools for the rapid testing of ecological hypotheses

Macroscale questions.

Needed for quantitative analyses

Barraquand et al. (2014). Lack of quantitative training among early-career ecologists: A survey of the problem and potential solutions

Touchon and McCoy (2016). The mismatch between current statistical practice and doctoral training in ecology

We need to build an ecosystem of practice for scientific computing

The use of these skills should be normalized amongst ecologists at UC Davis, and the training required to progress ecologists from scientific computing novices to beginners to competent practitioners should be supported. This will require sustainable buy-in from the

Hannay et al. (2009). How do scientists develop and use scientific software?

Scientific computing skills are largely self-taught.

Stevens et al. (2018)

The Carpentry model offers a way forward

Freeman et al. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics.

Wilson (2014). Software Carpentry: Lessons learned

Wilson et al. (2014). Best practices for scientific computing

Wilson et al. (2017). Good enough practices in scientific computing

Teal et al. (2015). Data Carpentry: Workshops to increase data literacy for researchers

The ecosystem we build will have concomitant benefits

Hampton and Labou (2017): Careers in ecology

We need broader training in our PhD. We need teaching training in our PhD.

References

Baker, M. 2017. Code alert. Nature 541:563–565.

Barraquand, F., T. H. G. Ezard, P. S. Jørgensen, N. Zimmerman, S. Chamberlain, R. Salguero-Gómez, T. J. Curran, and T. Poisot. 2014. Lack of quantitative training among early-career ecologists: A survey of the problem and potential solutions. PeerJ 2:e285.

Freeman, S., S. L. Eddy, M. McDonough, M. K. Smith, N. Okoroafor, H. Jordt, and M. P. Wenderoth. 2014. Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:8410–8415.

Hampton, S. E., S. Anderson, S. C. Bagby, and C. Gries. 2015. The Tao of open science for ecology. Ecosphere 6:1–30.

Hampton, S. E., and S. G. Labou. 2017. Careers in ecology: A fine-scale investigation of national data from the U.S. Survey of Doctorate Recipients. Ecosphere 8.

Hannay, J. E., C. Macleod, and J. Singer. 2009. How do scientists develop and use scientific software? American Scientist:1–8.

Lowndes, J. S., B. D. Best, C. Scarborough, J. C. Afflerbach, M. R. Frazier, C. C. O'Hara, N. Jiang, and B. S. Halpern. 2017. Our path to better science in less time using open data science tools. Nature Ecology and Evolution 1.

Pevzner, P., and R. Shamir. 2009. Computing has changed biology — biology education must catch up. Science 325:541–542.

Poisot, T., D. Gravel, S. Leroux, S. A. Wood, M. J. Fortin, B. Baiser, A. R. Cirtwill, M. B. Araújo, and D. B. Stouffer. 2016. Synthetic datasets and community tools for the rapid testing of ecological hypotheses. Ecography 39:402–408.

Stevens, S. L. R., M. Kuzak, C. Martinez, A. Moser, P. Bleeker, and M. Galland. 2018. Building a local community of practice in scientific programming for Life Scientists. bioRxiv:1–14.

Teal, T. K., K. A. Cranston, H. Lapp, E. P. White, G. Wilson, K. Ram, and A. Pawlik. 2015. Data Carpentry: Workshops to increase data literacy for researchers. International Journal of Digital Curation 10:135–143.

Touchon, J. C., and M. W. McCoy. 2016. The mismatch between current statistical practice and doctoral training in ecology. Ecosphere 7:1–11.

White, R. L., A. E. Sutton, R. Salguero-Gómez, T. C. Bray, H. Campbell, E. Cieraad, N. Geekiyanage, L. Gherardi, A. C. Hughes, P. S. Jørgensen, T. Poisot, L. DeSoto, and N. Zimmerman. 2015. The next generation of action ecology: Novel approaches towards global ecological research. Ecosphere 6:art134.

Wilson, G. 2014. Software Carpentry: Lessons learned. F1000Research 62:1–21.

Wilson, G., D. A. Aruliah, C. T. Brown, N. P. Chue Hong, M. Davis, R. T. Guy, S. H. D. Haddock, K. D. Huff, I. M. Mitchell, M. D. Plumbley, B. Waugh, E. P. White, and P. Wilson. 2014. Best practices for scientific computing. PLoS Biology 12:e1001745.

Wilson, G., J. Bryan, K. Cranston, J. Kitzes, L. Nederbragt, and T. K. Teal. 2017. Good enough practices in scientific computing. PLOS Computational Biology 13:e1005510.