

Quality Report



Generated with Pix4Dmapper Pro version 4.2.27



Important: Click on the different icons for:



Help to analyze the results in the Quality Report



Additional information about the sections



Click [here](#) for additional tips to analyze the Quality Report

Summary



Project	eldo_3k_3_x3
Processed	2018-08-18 11:39:56
Camera Model Name(s)	FC350_3.6_4000x3000 (RGB)
Average Ground Sampling Distance (GSD)	5.44 cm / 2.14 in
Area Covered	0.649 km ² / 64.8990 ha / 0.25 sq. mi. / 160.4520 acres
Time for Initial Processing (without report)	02h:30m:18s

Quality Check



Images	median of 12635 keypoints per image	
Dataset	2008 out of 2014 images calibrated (99%), all images enabled	
Camera Optimization	0.86% relative difference between initial and optimized internal camera parameters	
Matching	median of 961.456 matches per calibrated image	
Georeferencing	yes, no 3D GCP	

Preview




Figure 1: Orthomosaic and the corresponding sparse Digital Surface Model (DSM) before densification.

Calibration Details



Number of Calibrated Images	2008 out of 2014
Number of Geolocated Images	2014 out of 2014

ⓘ Initial Image Positions






Figure 2: Top view of the initial image position. The green line follows the position of the images in time starting from the large blue dot.

ⓘ Computed Image/GCPs/Manual Tie Points Positions





Uncertainty ellipses 50x magnified

Figure 3: Offset between initial (blue dots) and computed (green dots) image positions as well as the offset between the GCPs initial positions (blue crosses) and their computed positions (green crosses) in the top-view (XY plane), front-view (XZ plane), and side-view (YZ plane). Red dots indicate disabled or uncalibrated images. Dark green ellipses indicate the absolute position uncertainty of the bundle block adjustment result.

Absolute camera position and orientation uncertainties

	X[m]	Y[m]	Z[m]	Omega [degree]	Phi [degree]	Kappa [degree]
Mean	0.089	0.091	0.195	0.042	0.042	0.014
Sigma	0.014	0.014	0.041	0.005	0.004	0.001

Overlap




Figure 4: Number of overlapping images computed for each pixel of the orthomosaic. Red and yellow areas indicate low overlap for which poor results may be generated. Green areas indicate an overlap of over 5 images for every pixel. Good quality results will be generated as long as the number of keypoint matches is also sufficient for these areas (see Figure 5 for keypoint matches).

Bundle Block Adjustment Details

Number of 2D Keypoint Observations for Bundle Block Adjustment	1968899
--	---------

Number of 3D Points for Bundle Block Adjustment	691473
Mean Reprojection Error [pixels]	0.136



💡 Internal Camera Parameters

🕒 FC350_3.6_4000x3000 (RGB). Sensor Dimensions: 6.317 [mm] x 4.738 [mm]



EXIF ID: FC350_3.6_4000x3000

	Focal Length	Principal Point x	Principal Point y	R1	R2	R3	T1	T2
Initial Values	2285.722 [pixel] 3.610 [mm]	2000.006 [pixel] 3.159 [mm]	1500.003 [pixel] 2.369 [mm]	-0.130	0.106	-0.016	-0.000	0.000
Optimized Values	2305.516 [pixel] 3.641 [mm]	1986.177 [pixel] 3.137 [mm]	1503.543 [pixel] 2.375 [mm]	-0.129	0.110	-0.015	0.001	0.000
Uncertainties (Sigma)	1.593 [pixel] 0.003 [mm]	0.049 [pixel] 0.000 [mm]	0.059 [pixel] 0.000 [mm]	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000



💡 2D Keypoints Table



	Number of 2D Keypoints per Image	Number of Matched 2D Keypoints per Image
Median	12635	961
Min	10669	238
Max	14790	2710
Mean	12596	981

💡 3D Points from 2D Keypoint Matches



	Number of 3D Points Observed
In 2 Images	469796
In 3 Images	110904
In 4 Images	44516
In 5 Images	22484
In 6 Images	13184

In 7 Images	8320
In 8 Images	5567
In 9 Images	3887
In 10 Images	2789
In 11 Images	1957
In 12 Images	1583
In 13 Images	1188
In 14 Images	926
In 15 Images	743
In 16 Images	576
In 17 Images	495
In 18 Images	387
In 19 Images	290
In 20 Images	250
In 21 Images	235
In 22 Images	200
In 23 Images	148
In 24 Images	134
In 25 Images	107
In 26 Images	101
In 27 Images	75
In 28 Images	70
In 29 Images	70
In 30 Images	47
In 31 Images	53
In 32 Images	52
In 33 Images	39
In 34 Images	30
In 35 Images	25
In 36 Images	21
In 37 Images	30
In 38 Images	23
In 39 Images	19
In 40 Images	15
In 41 Images	14
In 42 Images	14
In 43 Images	8
In 44 Images	14
In 45 Images	10
In 46 Images	7
In 47 Images	6
In 48 Images	3
In 49 Images	5
In 50 Images	8
In 51 Images	3
In 52 Images	3
In 53 Images	4
In 54 Images	7
In 55 Images	5
In 56 Images	3
In 57 Images	1
In 58 Images	2
In 60 Images	1
In 61 Images	3
In 62 Images	1
In 65 Images	1
In 67 Images	2
In 70 Images	2
In 73 Images	1

In 74 Images	1
In 76 Images	3
In 77 Images	1
In 79 Images	1
In 80 Images	1
In 88 Images	1
In 101 Images	1

2D Keypoint Matches

i




Figure 5: Computed image positions with links between matched images. The darkness of the links indicates the number of matched 2D keypoints between the images. Bright links indicate weak links and require manual tie points or more images.

Geolocation Details

i

Absolute Geolocation Variance



Min Error [m]	Max Error [m]	Geolocation Error X[%]	Geolocation Error Y[%]	Geolocation Error Z[%]
-	-15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-15.00	-12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-12.00	-9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-9.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-6.00	-3.00	0.00	14.69	0.00
-3.00	0.00	47.91	35.26	50.90
0.00	3.00	51.15	31.37	48.95
3.00	6.00	0.95	18.68	0.15
6.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mean [m]		-0.000000	-0.000000	0.000001
Sigma [m]		0.662917	2.673265	0.878016
RMS Error [m]		0.662917	2.673265	0.878016

Min Error and Max Error represent geolocation error intervals between -1.5 and 1.5 times the maximum accuracy of all the images. Columns X, Y, Z show the percentage of images with geolocation errors within the predefined error intervals. The geolocation error is the difference between the initial and computed image positions. Note that the image geolocation errors do not correspond to the accuracy of the observed 3D points.

Relative Geolocation Variance



Relative Geolocation Error	Images X[%]	Images Y[%]	Images Z[%]
[-1.00, 1.00]	99.80	97.06	100.00
[-2.00, 2.00]	100.00	100.00	100.00
[-3.00, 3.00]	100.00	100.00	100.00
Mean of Geolocation Accuracy [m]	5.000000	5.000000	10.000000
Sigma of Geolocation Accuracy [m]	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

Images X, Y, Z represent the percentage of images with a relative geolocation error in X, Y, Z.

Geolocation Orientational Variance	RMS [degree]
Omega	0.823
Phi	0.808
Kappa	4.713

Geolocation RMS error of the orientation angles given by the difference between the initial and computed image orientation angles.

Initial Processing Details



System Information



Hardware	CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8700K CPU @ 3.70GHz RAM: 64GB GPU: NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti (Driver: 23.21.13.9125), Intel(R) UHD Graphics 630 (Driver: 22.20.16.4758)
Operating System	Windows 10 Education, 64-bit

Coordinate Systems



Image Coordinate System	WGS84 (egm96)
Output Coordinate System	WGS 84 / UTM zone 10N (egm96)

Processing Options



Detected Template	RGB Local structure bark beetle severity*
Keypoints Image Scale	Custom, Image Scale: 0.5
Advanced: Matching Image Pairs	Aerial Grid or Corridor
Advanced: Matching Strategy	Use Geometrically Verified Matching: no
Advanced: Keypoint Extraction	Targeted Number of Keypoints: Automatic
Advanced: Calibration	Calibration Method: Standard Internal Parameters Optimization: All External Parameters Optimization: All Rematch: Auto, no

Point Cloud Densification details



Processing Options



Image Scale	multiscale, 1/2 (Half image size, Default)
Point Density	Optimal
Minimum Number of Matches	3
3D Textured Mesh Generation	yes
3D Textured Mesh Settings:	Resolution: Medium Resolution (default) Color Balancing: no
LOD	Generated: no
Advanced: 3D Textured Mesh Settings	Sample Density Divider: 1
Advanced: Image Groups	group1
Advanced: Use Processing Area	yes
Advanced: Use Annotations	yes
Time for Point Cloud Densification	07h:29m:16s
Time for Point Cloud Classification	NA
Time for 3D Textured Mesh Generation	46m:01s

Results



Number of Generated Tiles	4
Number of 3D Densified Points	80417461
Average Density (per m ³)	19.12

DSM, Orthomosaic and Index Details



Processing Options



DSM and Orthomosaic Resolution	1 x GSD (5.44 [cm/pixel])
DSM Filters	Noise Filtering: yes Surface Smoothing: yes, Type: Sharp
Raster DSM	Generated: yes Method: Triangulation Merge Tiles: yes
Orthomosaic	Generated: yes Merge Tiles: yes GeoTIFF Without Transparency: no Google Maps Tiles and KML: no
Time for DSM Generation	06m:30s
Time for Orthomosaic Generation	18h:20m:01s
Time for DTM Generation	00s
Time for Contour Lines Generation	00s
Time for Reflectance Map Generation	00s
Time for Index Map Generation	00s

