

# Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Particle Physics

Popovic Milutin

Week 2: 12.02 - 19.02

## Contents

<b>1 Noncommutative Geometric Spaces</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Noncommutative Matrix Algebras . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Balanced Tensor Product and Hilbert Bimodules . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Kasparov Product and Morita Equivalence . . . . .	2

## 1 Noncommutative Geometric Spaces

### 1.1 Noncommutative Matrix Algebras

#### 1.1.1 Balanced Tensor Product and Hilbert Bimodules

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be an algebra,  $E$  be a *right*  $A$ -module and  $F$  be a *left*  $A$ -module. The *balanced tensor product* of  $E$  and  $F$  forms a  $A$ -bimodule.

$$E \otimes_A F := E \otimes F / \left\{ \sum_i e_i a_i \otimes f_i - e_i \otimes a_i f_i : a_i \in A, e_i \in E, f_i \in F \right\}$$

**Question 1.** Does  $/$  denote the complement, because one usually writes  $\setminus$ .

In other words the balanced tensor product forms only elements of

- $E$  that preserve the *left* representation of  $A$  and
- $F$  that preserve the *right* representation of  $A$ .

Which is the same saying:

$$E \otimes_A F = \{ea \otimes_A f = e \otimes_A af : a \in A, e \in E, f \in F\}$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $A, B$  be matrix algebras. The *Hilbert bimodule* for  $(A, B)$  is given by

- $E$ , an  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule  $E$  and by
- an  $B$ -valued *inner product*  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : E \times E \rightarrow B$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  needs to satisfy the following for  $e, e_1, e_2 \in E$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1, a \cdot e_2 \rangle_E &= \langle a^* \cdot e_1, e_2 \rangle_E && \text{sesquilinear in } A \\ \langle e_1, e_2 \cdot b \rangle_E &= \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E b && \text{scalar in } B \\ \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E &= \langle e_2, e_1 \rangle_E^* && \text{hermitian} \\ \langle e, e \rangle_E &\geq 0 && \text{equality holds iff } e = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $KK_f(A, B)$  the set of all *Hilbert bimodules* of  $(A, B)$ .

**Exercise 1.** Check that a representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow L(H)$  of a matrix algebra  $A$  turns  $H$  into a Hilbert bimodule for  $(A, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Solution 1.** We check if the representation of  $a \in A$ ,  $\pi(a) = T \in L(H)$  fulfills the conditions on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued inner product for  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ :

- $\langle h_1, \pi(a)h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle h_1, Th_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle T^*h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $T^*$  given by the adjoint
- $\langle h_1, h_2\pi(a) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle h_1, h_2T \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $T$  acts from the left
- $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \langle h_2, h_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ , hermitian because of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued inner product
- $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued inner product.

**Exercise 2.** Show that the  $A - A$  bimodule given by  $A$  is in  $KK_f(A, A)$  by taking the following inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : A \times A \rightarrow A$ :

$$\langle a, a' \rangle_A = a^* a' \quad a, a' \in A$$

**Solution 2.** We check again the conditions on  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ , let  $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ :

- $\langle a_1, a \cdot a_2 \rangle_A = a^* a \cdot a_2 = (a^* a_1)^* a_2 = \langle a^* a_1, a_2 \rangle$
- $\langle a_1, a_2 \cdot a \rangle_A = a_1^* (a_2 \cdot a) = (a^* a_2) \cdot a = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_A a$
- $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_A^* = (a_1^* a_2)^* = a_2^* (a_1^*)^* = a_2^* a_1 = \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle$

**Example 1.** Consider a  $*$  homomorphism between two matrix algebras  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ . From it we can construct a Hilbert bimodule  $E_\phi \in KK_f(A, B)$  in the following way. We let  $E_\phi$  be  $B$  in the vector space sense and an inner product from the above Exercise 2, with  $A$  acting on the left with  $\phi$ .

$$a \cdot b = \phi(a)b \quad a \in A, b \in E_\phi$$

### 1.1.2 Kasparov Product and Morita Equivalence

**Definition 3.** Let  $E \in KK_f(A, B)$  and  $F \in KK_f(B, D)$  the *Kasparov product* is defined as with the balanced tensor product

$$F \circ E := E \otimes_B F$$

Such that  $F \circ E \in KK_f(A, D)$  with a  $D$ -valued inner product.

$$\langle e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{E \otimes_B F} = \langle f_1, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E f_2 \rangle_F$$

**Question 2.** How do we go from  $\langle e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{E \otimes_B F}$  to  $\langle f_1, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E f_2 \rangle_F$

**Question 3.** What is the meaning of ‘associative up to isomorphism’? Isomorphism of  $F \circ E$  or of  $A, B$  or  $D$ ?

**Exercise 3.** Show that the association  $\phi \rightsquigarrow E_\phi$  (from the previous Example) is natural in the sense

1.  $E_{\text{id}_A} \simeq A \in KK_f(A, A)$
2. for  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  we have an isomorphism

$$E_\psi \circ E_\phi \equiv E_\phi \otimes_B E_\psi \simeq E_{\psi \circ \phi} \in KK_f(A, C)$$

**Solution 3.**

1.  $\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A$ .  
To construct  $E_\phi \in KK_f(A, A)$ , we let  $E_\phi$  be  $A$  with a natural right representation, so  $\Rightarrow E_\phi \simeq A$ .  
With an inner product, acting on  $A$  from the left with  $\phi, a', a \in A$   
 $a'a = (\phi(a')a) \in A$ , which is satisfied by  $\text{id}_A$ , so  $\phi = \text{id}_A$ .
2. Not sure but:  $a \cdot b \cdot c = \psi(\phi(a) \cdot b) \cdot c$  which is in a sense  $\psi \circ \phi$

**Exercise 4.** In the definition of Morita equivalence:

1. Check that  $E \otimes_B F$  is a  $A - D$  bimodule
2. Check that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E \otimes_B F}$  defines a  $D$  valued inner product
3. Check that  $\langle a^*(e_1 \otimes f_1), e_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{E \otimes_B F} = \langle e_1 \otimes f_1, a(e_2 \otimes f_2) \rangle_{E \otimes_B F}$ .

**Solution 4.**

1.  $E \otimes_B F = E \otimes F / \{\sum_i e_i b_i \otimes f_i - e_i \otimes b_i f_i; e_i \in E, b_i \in B, f_i \in F\}$  the last part takes out all tensor product elements of  $E$  and  $F$  that don't preserve the left/right representation.
2.  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E \in B$  and  $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_F \in C$  by definition. So let  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E = b$ .  
Then  $\langle e_1 \otimes f_1, e_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{E \otimes_B F} = \langle f_1, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E f_2 \rangle_F = \langle f_1, b f_2 \rangle_F \in C$
3. Check Question 2.  
But let  $G := E \otimes_B F \in KK_f(A, C)$  then  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $a \in A$  we need by definition  $\langle g_1, a g_2 \rangle_G = \langle a^* g_1, g_2 \rangle_G$  and we set  $g_1 = e_1 \otimes f_1$  and  $g_2 = e_2 \otimes f_2$  for some  $e_1, e_2 \in E$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in F$ , or else  $G \notin KK_f(A, C)$  which would violate the Kasparov product

**Definition 4.** Let  $A, B$  be matrix algebras. They are called *Morita equivalent* if there exists an  $E \in KK_f(A, B)$  and an  $F \in KK_f(B, A)$  such that:

$$E \otimes_B F \simeq A \quad \text{and} \quad F \otimes_A E \simeq B$$

Where  $\simeq$  denotes the isomorphism between Hilbert bimodules, note that  $A$  or  $B$  is a bimodule by itself.

**Question 4.** Why are  $E$  and  $F$  each others inverse in the Kasparov Product?

**Example 2.**

- Hilbert bimodule of  $(A, A)$  is  $A$

- Let  $E \in KK_f(A, B)$ , we take  $E \circ A = A \oplus_A E \simeq E$
- we conclude, that  ${}_A A_A$  is the identity in the Kasparov product (up to isomorphism)

**Example 3.** Let  $E = \mathbb{C}^n$ , which is a  $(M_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$  Hilbert bimodule with the standard  $\mathbb{C}$  inner product.

On the other hand let  $F = \mathbb{C}^n$ , which is a  $(\mathbb{C}, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  Hilbert bimodule by right matrix multiplication with  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  valued inner product:

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \bar{v}_1 v_2^t \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

Now we take the Kasparov product of  $E$  and  $F$ :

- $F \circ E = E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$
- $E \circ F = F \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E \simeq \mathbb{C}$

$M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are Morita equivalent

**Theorem 1.** Two matrix algebras are Morita Equivalent iff their Structure spaces are isomorphic as discrete spaces (have the same cardinality / same number of elements)

*Proof.* Let  $A, B$  be Morita equivalent. So there exists  ${}_A E_B$  and  ${}_B F_A$  with

$$E \otimes_B F \simeq A \quad \text{and} \quad F \otimes_A E \simeq B$$

Consider  $[(\pi_B, H)] \in \hat{B}$  then we construct a representation of  $A$ ,

$$\pi_A \rightarrow L(E \otimes_B H) \quad \text{with} \quad \pi_A(a)(e \otimes v) = ae \otimes w$$

**Question 5.** Is  $E \simeq H$  and  $F \simeq W$ ?

vice versa, consider  $[(\pi_A, W)] \in \hat{A}$  we can construct  $\pi_B$

$$\pi_B : B \rightarrow L(F \otimes_A W) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_B(b)(f \otimes w) = bf \otimes w$$

These maps are each others inverses, thus  $\hat{A} \simeq \hat{B}$  □

**Exercise 5.** Fill in the gaps in the above proof:

1. show that the representation of  $\pi_A$  defined is irreducible iff  $\pi_B$  is.
2. Show that the association of the class  $[\pi_A]$  to  $[\pi_B]$  is independent of the choice of representatives  $\pi_A$  and  $\pi_B$

**Solution 5.**

1.  $(\pi_B, H)$  is irreducible means  $H \neq \emptyset$  and only  $\emptyset$  or  $H$  is invariant under the Action of  $B$  on  $H$ . Then  $E \otimes_B H$  cannot be empty, because also  $E$  preserves left representation of  $A$  and also  $E \otimes_B H \simeq A$ .
2. The important thing is that  $[\pi_A] \in \hat{A}$  respectively  $[\pi_B] \in \hat{B}$ , hence any choice of representation is irreducible, because the structure space denotes all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations.

**Lemma 1.** *The matrix algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  has a unique irreducible representation (up to isomorphism) given by the defining representation on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

*Proof.* We know  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a irreducible representation of  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $H$  be irreducible and of dimension  $k$ , then we define a map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : A \oplus \dots \oplus A &\rightarrow H^* \\ (a_1, \dots, a_k) &\mapsto e^1 \circ a_1^t + \dots + e^k \circ a_k^t\end{aligned}$$

With  $\{e^1, \dots, e^k\}$  being the basis of the dual space  $H^*$  and  $(\circ)$  being the pre-composition of elements in  $H^*$  and  $A$  acting on  $H$ . This forms a morphism of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  modules, provided a matrix  $a \in A$  acts on  $H^*$  with  $v \mapsto v \circ a^t$  ( $v \in H^*$ ). Furthermore this morphism is surjective, thus making the pullback  $\phi^* : H \mapsto (A^k)^*$  injective. Now identify  $(A^k)^*$  with  $A^k$  as a  $A$ -module and note that  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \oplus^n \mathbb{C}^n$  as a  $n$   $A$  module. It follows that  $H$  is a submodule of  $A^k \simeq \oplus^{nk} \mathbb{C}$ . By irreducibility  $H \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.** Consider two matrix algebras  $A$ , and  $B$ .

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \quad B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^M M_{m_j}(\mathbb{C})$$

Let  $\hat{A} \simeq \hat{B}$  that implies  $N = M$  and define  $E$  with  $A$  acting by block-diagonal matrices on the first tensor and  $B$  acting in the same way on the second tensor. Define  $F$  vice versa.

$$E := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \quad F := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$$

Then we calculate the Kasparov product.

$$\begin{aligned}E \otimes_B F &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^N (\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_i}) \otimes_{M_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})} (\mathbb{C}^{m_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \left( \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \otimes_{M_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \right) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{C}^{m_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \simeq A\end{aligned}$$

and from  $F \otimes_A E \simeq B$ .

We conclude that.

- There is a duality between finite spaces and Morita equivalence classes of matrix algebras.
- By replacing  $*$ -homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  with Hilbert bimodules  $(A, B)$  we introduce a richer structure of morphism between matrix algebras.