# Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Particle Physics

#### Popovic Milutin

Week 7: 23.04 - 27.04

### **Contents**

1	Classification of Finite Real Spectral Triples	1
2	Real Algebras and Krajewski Diagrams	6
3	Classification of Irreducible Geometries	7

# **Classification of Finite Real Spectral Triples**

Here we classify finite real spectral triples modulo unitary equivalence with Krajewski Diagrams. We extend  $\Lambda$ -decorated graphs to the case of real spectral triples (grading and real structure).

The Algebra:Like before:

$$A \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{with } \hat{A} = \{\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_N\}$$
 (1)

Where  $\mathbf{n}_i$  are irreducible representation of A on  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ 

**The Hilbertspace:** Faithful irreducible representation on *A* are the direct sum of  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ 's, which act on A by left block-diagonal matrix multiplication.

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \tag{2}$$

Furthermore we need a representation of  $A^{\circ}$  on H that commutes with A. That is

$$A^{\circ} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})^{\circ}$$
 with  $\hat{A}^{\circ} = \{\mathbf{n}_1^{\circ}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_N^{\circ}\}$  (4)

with 
$$\hat{A}^{\circ} = \{\mathbf{n}_{1}^{\circ}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{N}^{\circ}\}$$
 (4)

and 
$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}^{n_i \circ}$$
 (5)

And we need the multiplicity space  $V_{ij}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ}$ . Thus making the Hilbertspace:

$$H = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ij}$$
 (6)

- $\mathbf{n}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_i^{\circ}$  form a grid
- if there is a node at  $(\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_i^{\circ})$  then  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ}$  is nonzero in H.
- multiplicity implies multiple nodes

**Example 1.**  $A = \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , two options of the Hilbertspace.

	1	2		1	2
<b>1</b> °	0		<b>1</b> °	0	0
<b>2</b> °		0	<b>2</b> °		

The first diagram corresponds to  $H_1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , to the second  $H_2 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ .

#### Exercise 1

Let J be an anti-unitary operator on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Show that  $J^2$  is an unitary operator

Straight forward, say  $J: H \to H$ , then let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$ :

$$\langle J^{2}\xi_{1}, J^{2}\xi_{2} \rangle = \langle J(J\xi_{1}), J(J\xi_{2}) \rangle =$$
 (7)  
= $\langle J\xi_{2}, J\xi_{1} \rangle = \langle \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \rangle$  (8)

The real Structure:  $J: H \rightarrow H$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let J be an anti-unitary operator on a finite-dimensional Hilbertspace H with  $J^2 = \pm 1$ 

1. If 
$$J^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \exists an \ ONB \{e_k\} \ of \ H$$
  
with  $Je_k = e_k$ .

2. If 
$$J^2 = -1 \Rightarrow \exists$$
 an ONB  $\{e_k, f_k\}$  of  $H$  with  $Je_k = f_k$  and consequently  $Jf_k = -e_k$ .

*Proof.* **1.**  $J^2 = 1$ 

 $v \in H$  and set:

$$e_1 := \begin{cases} c(v+Jv) & \text{if } Jv \neq -v \\ iv & \text{if } Jv = -v \end{cases}$$
 (9)

Where c is a normalization constant, then take  $Je_1$ 

$$J(v+Jv) = Jv + J^2v = v + Jv$$
 and (10)

$$J(iv) = -iJv = iv \tag{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow Je_1 = e_1 \tag{12}$$

Take  $v' \perp e_1$  making:

$$\langle e_1, Jv' \rangle = \langle J^2v', Je_1 \rangle = \langle v', Je_1 \rangle = \langle v', e_1 \rangle = 0$$
 (13)

Construct  $e_2 \perp e_1$  with v':

$$e_2 := \begin{cases} c(v' + Jv') & \text{if } Jv' \neq -v' \\ iv' & \text{if } Jv' = -v' \end{cases}$$
 (14)

Do this *k* times and get  $\{e_k\}$  ONB of *H* for  $J^2 = 1$ .

**2.** 
$$J^2 = -1$$

 $v \in H$  and set  $e_1 = cv$ , c normalization constant. Then we set  $f_1 = Je_1$  with  $f_1 \perp e_1$ , this is automatically the case because:

$$\langle f_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle Je_1, e_1 \rangle = -\langle Je_1, J^2 e_1 \rangle =$$
 (15)

$$= - \langle Je_1, e_1 \rangle = - \langle f_1, e_1 \rangle$$
 (16)

this only holds for 0. Then take some  $v' \perp e_1, f_1$  and set  $e_2 = c'v'$  and  $f_2 = Je_2 \perp e_2, f_1, e_1$ .

$$\langle e_1, f_2 \rangle = \langle e_1, Je_2 \rangle = -\langle J^2 e_1, Je_2 \rangle = -\langle e_2, Je_1 \rangle = -\langle e_2, f_1 \rangle = 0$$
 (17)

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \langle Je_1, Je_2 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_1 \rangle = 0.$$
 (18)

Do this k times and get  $\{e_k, f_k\}$  ONB of H for  $J^2 = -1$ 

Apply Lemma 1 to the real structure J on a spectral triple. J implements right action of A on H with

$$a^{\circ} = Ja^*J^{-1} \tag{19}$$

and satisfying  $[a, b^{\circ}] = 0$ . With the block form of A, this implies

$$J(a_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus a_N^*) = (a_1^\circ \oplus \cdots \oplus a_N^\circ)J. \tag{20}$$

With this we can conclude that the Krajewski diagram for a real finite spectral triple is symmetric along the diagonal. *J* hast then the following bilinear mapping:

$$J: \ \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i \circ} \otimes V_{ii}. \tag{21}$$

**Proposition 1.** Let J be a real structure on a finite real spectral triple (A, H, D; J).

1. If  $J^2 = 1$  (K0-dimension 0, 1, 6, 7) Rightarrow  $\exists$  an ONB  $\{e_k^{(ij)}\}$  with  $e_k^{(ij)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ij}$  such that

$$Je_k^{(ij)} = e_k^{(ij)} \quad (i, j = 1, ..., N; k = 1, ...dim(V_{ij}))$$
 (22)

2. If  $J^2 = -1$  (KO-dimension 2, 3, 4, 5)  $\Rightarrow \exists ONB \{e_k^{(ij)}, f_k^{(ji)}\}\$  with  $e_k^{(ij)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ij}$  and  $f_k^{(ji)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i \circ} \otimes V_{ji}$  such that

$$Je_k^{(ij)} = f_k^{(ji)} \quad (i \le j = 1, \dots, N; \ k = 1, \dots, dim(V_{ji})).$$
 (23)

*Proof.* Similar to Lemma 1.

For whatever unknown reasons this implies that in the case of KO-dimension 2, 3, 4, 5, diagonals  $H_i i$  need to have even multiplicity.

The finite Dirac Operator: Is a mapping between  $H_{ij}$  to  $H_{kl}$ 

$$D_{ii,kl}: \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ii} \to \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_l \circ} \otimes V_{kl}$$
 (24)

We have  $D_{kl,ij} = D_{ij,kl}^*$ . And in the diagram we have a line between the nodes  $(\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_j^\circ)$  and  $(\mathbf{n}_l, \mathbf{n}_k^\circ)$ . But instead of drawing directional lines draw a single undirected line that represents both  $D_{ij,kl}$  and the adjoint  $D_{kl,ij}$ .

**Lemma 2.** The conditions  $JD = \pm DJ$  and  $[[D,a],b^{\circ}] = 0$  imply that the connections in the diagram run only vertically or horizontally and thereby the diagonal symmetry between the nodes is preserved.

*Proof.* The condition  $JD = \pm DJ$  has the following commutative diagram.

$$\mathbb{C}^{n_i \circ} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes V_{ij} \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{C}^{n_k \circ} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_l \circ} \otimes V_{kl} 
\downarrow J 
\mathbb{C}^{n_j \circ} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i \circ} \otimes V_{ji} \xrightarrow{\pm D} \mathbb{C}^{n_l \circ} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k \circ} \otimes V_{lk}$$

Relating  $D_{ij,kl}$  to  $D_{ji,lk}$  and maintaining diagonal symmetry. Wit the condition  $[[D,a],b^{\circ}]=0$  for the diagonal elements  $a=\lambda_{1}\mathbb{I}_{n_{1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\lambda_{N}\mathbb{I}_{n_{N}}\in A$  and  $b=\mu_{1}\mathbb{I}_{n_{1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mu_{N}\mathbb{I}_{n_{N}}\in A$ , with some  $\lambda_{i},\mu_{i}\in\mathbb{C}$ , we can commute:

$$D_{ijkl}(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\bar{\mu}_i - \bar{\mu}_l) = 0 \tag{25}$$

 $\forall \lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , thus  $D_i j, kl = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  or  $j \neq i$ .

**The Grading:**  $\gamma: H \to H$  each node gets labeled by a + or a - sign.

- D only connects nodes with different signs
- If  $(\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_j^{\circ})$  has a  $\pm$  sing then  $(\mathbf{n}_j, \mathbf{n}_i^{\circ})$  has a  $\mp$ ,  $\varepsilon''$  sign according to  $J\gamma = \varepsilon''\gamma J$

**Definition 1.** A Krajewski Diagram of KO-dimension k is an ordered pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  where  $\Gamma$  is a finite graph and  $\Lambda$  is a set of positive integers with a labeling:

- of  $v \in \Gamma^{(0)}$  of vertices by elements  $\iota(v) = (n(v), m(v)) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$ , an edge from v to v' implies that either n(v) = n(v') or m(v) = m(v') or both
- of  $e = (v_1, v_2) \in \Gamma^{(1)}$  edges with non-zero operators  $D_e$  and their adjoints  $D_e^*$ :

$$D_e: \mathbb{C}^{n(v_1)} \to \mathbb{C}^{n(v_2)} \qquad \text{if} \quad m(v_1) = m(v_2)$$
$$D_e: \mathbb{C}^{m(v_1)} \to \mathbb{C}^{m(v_2)} \qquad \text{if} \quad n(v_1) = n(v_2)$$

Together with an involutive graph automorphism  $j: \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma$  such that the following conditions hold:

- 1. every row or column in  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  has non-empty intersection with  $\iota(\Gamma)$
- 2. for each vertex v we have n(j(v)) = m(v)
- 3. for each edge e we have  $D_e = \varepsilon' D_{i(e)}$
- 4. if KO dimension k is even, then the vertices are labeled by  $\pm 1$  and the edges only connect opposite signs. The signs at v and j(v) differ by a factor of  $\varepsilon$
- 5. if the K0-dimension is 2, 3, 4, 5 then the inverse image of  $\iota$  of the diagonal elements in  $\Lambda \times \Lambda$  contains an even number of vertices of  $\Gamma$

With this definition we can label different vertices by the same element in  $\Lambda \times \Lambda$  (accounting for the multiplicities in  $V_{ii}$ )

**Diagram:** To sum it up we have the following diagram

- Node at  $(\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_i^{\circ})$  for each vertex with that label
- Operators  $D_e$  add up to  $D_{ij,kl}$  connecting nodes  $(\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_i^{\circ})$  with  $(\mathbf{n}_k, \mathbf{n}_l^{\circ})$

$$D_{ij,kl} = \sum_{\substack{e = (v_1, v_2) \in \Gamma^{(1)} \\ \iota(v_1) = (\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_j) \\ \iota(v_2) = (\mathbf{n}_k, \mathbf{n}_l)}} D_e$$

$$(26)$$

· only vertical or horizontal connections

**Theorem 1.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between finite real spectral triples  $(A, H, D; J, \gamma)$  of K0-dimension k modulo unitary equivalence and Krajewski diagrams of KO-dimension k in the following way:

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \tag{27}$$

$$H = \bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma^{(0)}} \mathbb{C}^{n(v)} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m(v)}$$
 (28)

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$H = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \Gamma^{(0)}} \mathbb{C}^{n(\nu)} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m(\nu)}$$

$$D = \sum_{e \in \Gamma^{(1)}} D_e + D_e^*$$

$$(27)$$

$$(28)$$

The real structure  $J: H \to H$  is given as as in Proposition 1 with a basis dictated by a graph automorphism  $j: \Gamma \to \Gamma$ . The grading  $\gamma$  is differed by setting  $\gamma = \pm 1$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n(\nu)} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m(\nu) \circ} \subset H$  according to the labeling  $\pm$  of the vertex  $\nu$ .

**Example 2.**  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\hat{A} = \mathbf{n}$ . We have the following Krajewski diagram.

n n° ○

- We can label the node either with a + or a sign, the choice being irrelevant
- $H = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n \circ} \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C})$
- $\gamma$  trivial grading (+1)
- *J* is a combination of complex conjugation and the flip  $n \otimes n^{\circ}$  ( $\Rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  as matrix adjoint)
- Because node label is  $\pm$  there is no non-zero Dirac operator
- $\Rightarrow$   $(A = M_n(\mathbb{C}), H = M_n(\mathbb{C}), D = 0; J = (\cdot)^*, \gamma = 1)$

## 2 Real Algebras and Krajewski Diagrams

**Definition 2.** A real Algebra is a Vector space A over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $A \times A \to A$ ,  $(a,b) \mapsto ab$  and  $1a = a1 = a \ \forall a \in A$ 

A real \*-algebra is a real algebra with a bilinear map  $*: A \to A$  such that  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  and  $(a^*)^* \quad \forall a,b \in A$ 

**Example 3.** Real \*-algebra of quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  subalgebra of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$
 (30)

 $\mathbb{H}$  consists of matricies that commute in  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  with the operator I defined by:

$$I\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{v}_2 \\ \bar{v}_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

The involution is the hermitian conjugation of  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

#### Exercise 2

- 1. Show that  $\mathbb H$  is a real \*-algebra which contains a real subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathbb C.$
- **2.** Show that  $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq M_2(\mathbb{C})$  as complex \*-algebras.
- 3. Show that  $M_k(\mathbb{H})$  is areal \*-algebra for any k
- **4.** Show that  $M_k(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$  as complex \*algebras.

**Definition 3.** A representation of a finite-dimensional real \* algebra *A* is a pair  $(\pi, H)$ , *H*-Hilbertspace,  $\pi: A \to L(H)$ 

#### Exercise 3

Show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Hilbertspace representations of real \*-algebras A and complex representations of its complexification  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . Conclude that the unique irreducible Hilbertspace representation of  $M_k(\mathbb{H})$  is  $\mathbb{C}^{2k}$ 

**Lemma 3.** Real \*-algebra A represented faithfully on a finite dimensional Hilbertspace H through a real linear \*-algebra map  $\pi: A \to L(H)$  hen A is a matrix algebra.

$$A \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_i) \tag{32}$$

*Where*  $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$  *depending on i.* 

*Proof.*  $\pi$  allows A to be considered as a real \*-subalgebra of  $M_{dim(H)}(\mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow A+iA$  complex \*-subalgebra of  $M_{dim(H)}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then A+iA is a matrix algebra and  $A+iA=M_k(\mathbb{C})$  for  $k\geq 1$ . Thus we have

$$A \cap iA = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } A = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \\ A + iA = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \end{cases}$$
(33)

Furthermore A is a fixed point algebra of an anti-linear automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\alpha(a+ib)=a-ib$  for  $a,b\in A$ . Implement  $\alpha$  by an anti-linear isometry I on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\alpha(x)=I\times I^{-1}\quad \forall x\in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ . Now since  $\alpha^2=1$ ,  $I^2$  commutes with  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and is proportional to a complex scalar  $I^2=\pm 1$  and A is the commutant of I

- if  $I^2 = 1 \implies \exists \{e_i\} \text{ ONB of } \mathbb{C}^k \text{ with } Ie_i = e_i, \text{ then } A = M_k(\mathbb{R})$
- if  $I^2 = -1 \Rightarrow \exists \{e_i, f_i\}$  ONB of  $\mathbb{C}^k$  with  $Ie_i = f_i$ , (k even)Therefor I must be a  $k/2 \times k/2$  matrix because of commutation with  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $A = M_{k/2}(\mathbb{H})$

The Krajewski diagrams can also classify real algebras, as long as we take  $\mathbb{F}_i$  for each i into account. That is we enhance the set  $\Lambda$  to be

$$\Lambda = \{\mathbf{n}_1 \mathbb{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_N \mathbb{F}_N\} \tag{34}$$

Reducing in to the previous  $\Lambda$  if all  $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{C}$ .

# 3 Classification of Irreducible Geometries

Classify irreducible real spectral triples based on  $M_N(\mathbb{C} \oplus M_N(\mathbb{C}))$  for some N

7

**Definition 4.** A finite real spectral triple  $(A, H, D; J, \gamma)$  is called irreducible if the triple (A, H, J) is irreducible, that is when

- 1. The representation of A and J on H are irreducible
- 2. The action of A on H has a separating vector

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(A,H,D;J,\gamma)$  be an irreducible finite real spectral triple of KOdimension 6. Then exists a positive integer N such that  $A \simeq M_N(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(A, H, D; J, \gamma)$  be an arbitrary finite real spectral triple, corresponding to

$$A = \bigoplus_{i}^{N} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{35}$$

$$A = \bigoplus_{i}^{N} M_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$H = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}^{n_{i}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_{j} \circ} \otimes V_{ij}$$

$$(35)$$

Remember that each  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$  is a irreducible representation of A. In order for H to support the real structure J we need both  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ . With Lemma 1 with  $J^2 = 1$  with multiplicity  $dim(V_{ij}) = 1$  we have such a structure. Hence

$$H = \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \tag{37}$$

For  $i, j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ 

For the second condition (existence of the separating vector). The representations of A in H are only faithful if  $A = M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ . The stronger condition applies  $n_i = n_j$ then we have  $A'\xi = H$  with the commutant of A and  $\xi \in H$  the separating vector. Normally since  $A' = M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $dim(A') = n_i^2 + n_j^2$  and  $dim(H) = 2n_i n_j$  we have a equality  $n_i = n_i$ .