

Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Particle Physics

Popovic Milutin

Week 1: 05.02 - 12.02

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Noncommutative Geometric Spaces | 1 |
| 1.1 | Matrix Algebras and Finite Spaces | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | *-Algebra | 1 |
| 1.1.2 | Functions on Discrete Spaces | 1 |
| 1.1.3 | Isomorphism Property | 2 |
| 1.1.4 | Mapping Finite Discrete Spaces | 2 |
| 1.1.5 | Matrix Algebras | 3 |
| 1.1.6 | Finite Inner Product Spaces and Representations | 3 |
| 1.2 | Commutative Matrix Algebras | 5 |
| 1.3 | Noncommutative Matrix Algebras | 5 |
| 1.3.1 | Algebraic Modules | 5 |

1 Noncommutative Geometric Spaces

1.1 Matrix Algebras and Finite Spaces

1.1.1 *-Algebra

Definition 1. A vector space A over \mathbb{C} is called a *complex, unital Algebra* if,
 $\forall a, b \in A$:

1. $A \times A \rightarrow A$ *bilinear*
 $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$
2. $1a = a1 = a$ *unital*

Definition 2. A *-algebra is an algebra A with a *conjugate linear map (involution)*
 $*$: $A \rightarrow A$, $\forall a, b \in A$ satisfying:

1. $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ *antidistributive*
2. $(a^*)^* = a$ *closure*

In the following all unital algebras are referred to as algebras.

1.1.2 Functions on Discrete Spaces

Let X be a *discretized topological* space with N points. Consider functions of a continuous $*$ -algebra $C(X)$ assigning values to \mathbb{C} , for $f, g \in C(X)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in X$ they provide the following structures:

- *pointwise linear*
 $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$
 $(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda(f(x))$
- *pointwise multiplication*
 $fg(x) = f(x)g(x)$ same as $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$?
- *pointwise involution*
 $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$

Question 1. Mathematical difference between Topological Discrete Spaces and just Discrete Spaces?

The author indicates that \mathbb{C} -valued functions on X are automatically continuous.

Proof Idea. CAN WE USE THE METRIC? NO! We know that X is a finite discrete space, meaning in an ε - δ approach for each $x \in X$ the only $y \in X$, that is small enough is x by itself, which implies ε is always bigger than zero, thus every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous.

1.1.3 Isomorphism Property

Furthermore $C(X)$ $*$ -algebra is *isomorphic* to a $*$ -algebra \mathbb{C}^N with involution (N number of points in X), written as $C(X) \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be represented with $N \times N$ diagonal matrices, where the value (ii) is the value of the function at the corresponding i -th point ($i = 1, \dots, N$). The structure is preserved because of the definitions of matrix multiplication and the hermitian conjugate of matrices.

Question 2. Can isomorphisms between $C(X)$ and \mathbb{C}^N be shown with matrix factorization?

Isomorphisms are bijective preserve structure and don't lose physical information/

1.1.4 Mapping Finite Discrete Spaces

Definition 3. A map between finite discrete spaces X_1 and X_2 is a function $\phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$

For every map between finite discrete spaces there exists a corresponding map $\phi^* : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_1)$, which 'pulls back' values even if ϕ is not bijective. Note that the pullback doesn't map points back, but maps functions on an $*$ -algebra $C(X)$.

This map is called a pullback (or a $*$ -homomorphism or a $*$ -algebra map under pointwise product). Under the pointwise product:

- $\phi^*(fg) = \phi^*(f)\phi^*(g)$
- $\phi^*(\overline{f}) = \overline{\phi^*(f)}$
- $\phi^*(\lambda f + g) = \lambda \phi^*(f) + \phi^*(g)$

Question 3. ϕ is in most cases not bijective, so how can we prove that there exists such a pullback for every map between discrete spaces which preserves information? For bijective it is given by its inverse, which by definition exists because ϕ is a map. Or I didn't understand this correctly?

Exercise 1

Show that $\phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is injective (surjective) map of finite spaces iff $\phi^* : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_1)$ is surjective (injective).

Consider X_1 with n points and X_2 with m points. Then there are three cases:

1. $n = m$
Obviously ϕ is bijective and ϕ^* too.
2. $n \geq m$
 ϕ assigns n points to m points when $n \geq m$, which is by definition surjective.
 ϕ^* assigns m points to n points when $n \geq m$, which is by definition injective.
3. $n < m$
analogous

1.1.5 Matrix Algebras

Definition 4. A (complex) matrix algebra A is a direct sum, for $n_i, N \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

The involution is the hermitian conjugate, a $*$ algebra with involution is referred to as a matrix algebra

So from a topological discrete space X , we can construct a $*$ -algebra $C(X)$ which is isomorphic to a matrix algebra A . The question is can we construct X given A ? A is a matrix algebra, which are in most cases is not commutative, so the answer is generally no.

There are two options. We can restrict ourselves to commutative matrix algebras, which are the vast minority and not physically interesting. Or we can allow more morphisms (isomorphisms) between matrix algebras.

Question 4. Why are non-commutative algebras not physically interesting? Maybe too far fetched, but because physical observables (QM-Operators) are not commutative?

Exactly.

1.1.6 Finite Inner Product Spaces and Representations

Until now we looked at a finite topological discrete space, moreover we can consider a finite dimensional inner product space H (finite Hilbert-spaces), with inner product

$(\cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. $L(H)$ is the $*$ -algebra of operators on H with product given by composition and involution given by the adjoint, $T \mapsto T^*$. $L(H)$ is a *normed vector space* with

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\|^2 &= \sup_{h \in H} \{(Th, Th) : (h, h) \leq 1\} & T \in L(H) \\ \|T\| &= \sup \{\sqrt{\lambda} : \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } T\}\end{aligned}$$

Definition 5. The *representation* of a finite dimensional $*$ -algebra A is a pair (H, π) . H is a finite, dimensional inner product space and π is a $*$ -algebra map

$$\pi : A \rightarrow L(H)$$

Definition 6. (H, π) is called *irreducible* if:

- $H \neq \emptyset$
- only \emptyset or H is invariant under the action of A on H

Examples for reducible and irreducible representations

- $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, representation $H = \mathbb{C}^n$, A acts as matrix multiplication
 H is irreducible.
- $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, representation $H = \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$, with $a \in A$ acting in block form
 $\pi : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ is reducible.

Definition 7. Let (H_1, π_1) and (H_2, π_2) be representations of a $*$ -algebra A . They are called *unitary equivalent* if there exists a map $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that.

$$\pi_1(a) = U^* \pi_2(a) U$$

Question 5. In matrix representation this is diagonalization condition? (unitary diagonalization)

Yes

Definition 8. A $*$ -algebra then, \hat{A} is called the structure space of all *unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A*

Question 6. Gelfand duality and the spectrum of \hat{A} , examples Fourier-Transform and Laplace-Transform for simple spaces.

More on that in later chapters.

Exercise 2

Given (H, π) of a $*$ -algebra A , the commutant $\pi(A)'$ of $\pi(A)$ is defined as a set of operators in $L(H)$ that commute with all $\pi(a)$

$$\pi(A)' = \{T \in L(H) : \pi(a)T = T\pi(a) \quad \forall a \in A\}$$

1. Show that $\pi(A)'$ is a $*$ -algebra.
2. Show that a representation (H, π) of A is irreducible iff the commutant $\pi(A)'$ consists of multiples of the identity

1. To show that $\pi(A)'$ is a $*$ -algebra we have to show that it is unital, associative and involute. And note that $\pi(a) \in L(H) \forall a \in A$. Unitarity is given by the unital operator of the $*$ -algebra of operators $L(H)$, which exists by definition because H is a inner product space. Associativity is given by $*$ -algebra of $L(H)$, $L(H) \times L(H) \mapsto L(H)$, which is associative by definition. Involutnes is also given by the $*$ -algebra $L(H)$ with a map $*$: $L(H) \mapsto L(H)$ only for T that commute with $\pi(a)$.
2.?

Exercise 3

1. If A is a unital $*$ -algebra, show that the $n \times n$ matrices $M_n(A)$ with entries in A form a unital $*$ -algebra.
2. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a representation of a $*$ -algebra A and set $H^n = H \oplus \dots \oplus H$, n times. Show that $\tilde{\pi} : M_n(A) \rightarrow L(H^n)$ of $M_n(A)$ with $\tilde{\pi}((a_{ij})) = (\tilde{\pi}(a_{ij})) \in M_n(A)$.
3. Let $\tilde{\pi} : M_n(A) \rightarrow L(H^n)$ be a $*$ algebra representation of $M_n(A)$. Show that $\pi : A \rightarrow L(H^n)$ is a representation of A .

1. We know A is a $*$ algebra. Unitary operator in $M_n(A)$ is given by the identity Matrix, which has to exists because every entry in $M_n(A)$ has to behave like in A . Associativity is given by matrix multiplication. Involutnes is given by the conjugate transpose.
2. $A \simeq M_n(A)$ and $H \simeq H^n$ meaning $\tilde{\pi}$ is a valid reducible representation.
3. $\tilde{\pi}$ and π are unitary equivalent, there is a map $U : H^n \rightarrow H^n$ given by $U = \mathbb{1}_n$:
 $\pi(a) = \mathbb{1}_n^* \tilde{\pi}((a_{ij})) \mathbb{1}_n = \tilde{\pi}((a_{ij})) = \pi(a_{ij}) \Rightarrow a_{ij} = a \mathbb{1}_n$.

1.2 Commutative Matrix Algebras

- Commutative matrix algebras can be used to reconstruct a discrete space given a matrix *commutative* matrix algebra.
- The structure space \hat{A} is used for this. Because $A \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$ we all any irreducible representation are of the form $\pi_i : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \mapsto \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N \Rightarrow \hat{A} \simeq \{1, \dots, N\}$.
- Conclusion is that there is a duality between discrete spaces and commutative matrix algebra this duality is called the *finite dimensional Gelfand duality*

1.3 Noncommutative Matrix Algebras

Aim is to construct duality between finite dimensional spaces and *equivalence classes* of matrix algebras, to preserve general non-commutivity of matrices.

- Equivalence classes are described by a generalized notion of isomorphisms between matrix algebras (*Morita Equivalence*)

1.3.1 Algebraic Modules

Definition 9. Let A, B be algebras (need not be matrix algebras)

1. *left* A -module is a vector space E , that carries a left representation of A , that is \exists a bilinear map $\gamma: A \times E \rightarrow E$ with

$$(a_1 a_2) \cdot e = a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot e); \quad a_1, a_2 \in A, e \in E$$

2. *right* B -module is a vector space F , that carries a right representation of A , that is \exists a bilinear map $\gamma: F \times B \rightarrow F$ with

$$f \cdot (b_1 b_2) = (f \cdot b_1) \cdot b_2; \quad b_1, b_2 \in B, f \in F$$

3. *left* A -module and *right* B -module is a *bimodule*, a vector space E satisfying

$$a \cdot (e \cdot b) = (a \cdot e) \cdot b; \quad a \in A, b \in B, e \in E$$

Notion of **A-module homomorphism** as linear map $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ which respects the representation of A , e.g. for left module.

$$\phi(ae) = a\phi(e); \quad a \in A, e \in E.$$

Remark on the notation

- ${}_A E$ left A -module E ;
- E_B right B -module F ;
- ${}_A E_B$ A - B -bimodule E ;

Exercise 4

Check that a representation of $\pi: A \rightarrow L(H)$ of a $*$ -algebra A turns H into a left module ${}_A H$.

Not quite sure but

$a \in A, h_1, h_2 \in H$, we know $\pi(a) = T \in L(H)$ than

$$\langle \pi(a)h_1, \pi(a)h_2 \rangle = \langle Th_1, Th_2 \rangle = \langle T^*Th_1, h_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$$

Or maybe this

If ${}_A H$ than $(a_1 a_2)h = a_1(a_2 h)$ for $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $h \in H$.

Then we take the representation of an $a \in A, \pi(a)$:

$$(\pi(a_1)\pi(a_2))h = \pi(a_1)(\pi(a_2)h) = (T_1 T_2)h = T_1(T_2 h)$$

For $T_1, T_2 \in L(H)$, which operate naturally from the left on h .

Exercise 5

Show that A is a bimodule ${}_A A_A$ with itself.

$\gamma: A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ which is given by the inner product of the $*$ -algebra.