Tell Me Your Prompts and I Will Make Them True: The Alchemy of Prompt Engineering and Generative AI

ARAS BOZKURT (iD)

INTERNATIONAL
COUNCIL FOR OPEN AND
DISTANCE EDUCATION

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the emerging field of prompt engineering within generative AI, emphasizing its role as a critical intersection between art and science. Prompt engineering is identified as the key to unlocking the full potential of generative AI technologies by optimizing human-AI communication. Through a comprehensive analysis of the related literature, this study illustrates how prompt engineering transcends mere technical manipulation, requiring a blend of creativity, strategic thinking, and a deep understanding of generative AI capabilities. This paper provides various strategies for crafting effective prompts, from simple to sophisticated techniques, highlighting the importance of ethical considerations and the potential risks associated with prompt manipulation. By establishing a set of principles and guidelines, this paper aims to advance prompt engineering as a discipline essential for enhancing AI's functionality and reliability and, with this justification, introduces the 'Prompt Engineering for Gen[i]erative AI Framework'. After all, this paper calls for a multidisciplinary approach to prompt engineering, advocating for its recognition and development as a pivotal component of AI literacy and application. Through this exploration, this paper intends to contribute to the evolving dialogue on the integration of human creativity with generative AI capabilities, offering insights into the future of effective and ethical AI interaction.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Aras Bozkurt
Anadolu University, Türkiye
arasbozkurt@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION: THE AGE OF GENERATIVE AI

"We're only at the beginning of what AI can accomplish. Whatever limitations it has today will be gone before we know it" – Bill Gates

Upon the release of OpenAI's ChatGPT on November 30, 2022, generative AI made the transition to public accessibility (OpenAI, 2022). Described as a disruptive technology (Bozkurt, 2024), the emergence of generative AI—powered by large language models (LLMs) and natural language processing (NLP) techniques—marks the beginning of an era dominated by generative AI (Bozkurt, 2023a; Gates, 2023). This era is characterized by a mix of excitement, hype, hope, and speculation, with significant implications for educational landscapes (Bozkurt, 2023b; Bozkurt et al., 2023; Dwivedi et al., 2023).

Generative AI holds the promise of co-creation through human-machine interaction (Bozkurt, 2023c; Bozkurt, 2024), facilitating new ways of communicating and interacting with generative AI technologies (Bozkurt, 2023c). Within this context, prompt engineering has emerged as a crucial discipline (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2023; Bsharat et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023; Dang et al., 2022; Sarı et al., 2024), manifesting effective and efficient methodologies for communication and interaction with generative AI. Thus, the emergence of prompt engineering as a new form of emerging discipline is not just timely; it is essential in harnessing the full spectrum of possibilities offered by AI, marking a critical next step in our ongoing journey with generative AI.

PROMPT ENGINEERING AS A NEW FORM OF *GEN[I]E*RATIVE ART AND SCIENCE

"Prompt engineering is the art of communicating and interacting with generative AI"

– Aras Bozkurt

Etymologically, *art* has been associated with skill, craft, and knowledge (Merriam-Webster, 2024a), while *science* denotes awareness, understanding, and a systematic branch of knowledge (Merriam-Webster, 2024b). This duality underpins the emergence of prompt engineering as both an art and a science in the domain of generative AI technologies.

Generative AI capabilities, encompassing the ability to process visual, auditory, and linguistic inputs (OpenAI, 2023a), signify the necessity for skillfully crafted prompts (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2023; Sharma & Bozkurt, 2024). These prompts are pivotal for facilitating effective communication and interaction with AI, blending technical precision with creative insight (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2023; Sharma & Bozkurt, 2024).

Prompt engineering is defined as the process of designing, crafting, and refining inputs to elicit specific responses from a generative AI model, aiming to optimize interaction outcomes through careful consideration of the prompts (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2023). Bozkurt and Sharma (2023) describe the process metaphorically: "If generative AI is Aladdin's magic lamp, then your prompts are your wishes" (p.2) and your prompts have the ability to free the "*gen[i]e*rative AI from its realm of algorithms" (p. 4), highlighting that well-constructed prompts can unlock the full potential of generative AI beyond its algorithmic constraints. They further argue that;

> "Generative AI possesses immense power, but its true potential is unlocked when guided by human prompts. When humans direct the output of generative AI, the creative possibilities seem limitless. The key to facilitating effective communication and interaction between humans and generative AI lies in skillfully crafting prompts. Crafting and engineering the right prompts is of utmost importance as it directly impacts the capabilities of generative AI. Prompt engineers, who can be individuals from various educational backgrounds, should possess an understanding of how subtle nuances in language can significantly alter the meaning of a prompt. Eventually, a well-crafted prompt serves to enhance the capabilities of generative AI, allowing for more meaningful and contextually relevant outcomes." (Bozkurt & Sharma, 2023, p. 4).

The effectiveness of generative AI models significantly depends on the algorithms and training data they are built upon, as well as the quality of the prompts they receive (Bsharat et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023; Kakun & Tytenko, 2023; Liu et al., 2023a; Lo, 2023a; Lo, 2023b; O'Connor et al., 2024; Velásquez-Henao et al., 2023; White et al., 2023). Moreover, well-crafted prompts play a pivotal role in minimizing the occurrence of misleading or inaccurate outputs, often referred to as hallucinations, in generative AI models (Johnson, 2023). The background and diverse skill set of prompt engineers, encompassing design thinking, creative thinking, contextual thinking, critical thinking, analytical thinking, algorithmic thinking, ethical and responsible thinking, and linguistic and semantic thinking, are crucial in shaping the quality and effectiveness of these prompts.

Given the nuanced interplay between these skills and the quality of generative AI interactions, this paper aims to establish a comprehensive guideline. This guideline will outline design principles and strategies for crafting well-structured prompts. By adopting a systematic approach to prompt engineering, this paper aims to facilitate more meaningful, effective, and efficient interactions with generative AI technologies.

CRAFTING WELL-DESIGNED PROMPTS FOR GENERATIVE AI

> *"Crafting is the art of turning ordinary objects into extraordinary things." – Anonymous*

Crafting effective prompts is crucial for eliciting desired responses from generative AI models. This involves various strategies, ranging from simple to complex. Zero-shot prompting, where no examples are provided, and few-shot prompting, where one or more examples guide the model, showcase how prompts can be tailored to train AI without explicit training (Liu et al., 2023a; Yong et al., 2023). More sophisticated strategies, such as chain-of-thought prompting (Wei et al., 2022), least-to-most prompting (Zhou et al., 2022), tree of thoughts (Yao et al., 2023), retrieval augmented generation (Lewis et al., 2020), automatic reasoning and tool-use (Paranjape et al., 2023), active-prompt (Diao et al., 2023), directional stimulus prompting (Li et al., 2023), ReAct prompting (Yao et al., 2022), multimodal chain of thoughts prompting (Zhang et al., 2023) and GraphPrompts (Liu et al., 2023b) can facilitate enhanced reasoning and output quality by structuring prompts to better communicate with generative AI technologies.

However, it's also essential to recognize the potential ethical pitfalls associated with prompt engineering. While AI models are designed to avoid generating harmful outputs, they are not foolproof. Techniques like "reverse engineering" or "jailbreaking" (Liu et al., 2023c) can exploit model vulnerabilities, raising significant ethical concerns regarding the ethical and responsible use of generative AI (Ansari et al., 2023; Bozkurt, 2024; McGuire, 2023).

> *"We are all apprentices in a craft where no one ever becomes a master." – Ernest Hemingway.*

To craft and engineer high-quality prompts, it is critical to understand and apply various tips, tricks, and strategies (OpenAI, 2023b), including innovative strategies such as the incentivization of generative AI models for better performance (Bsharat et al., 2023). Considering that generative AI cannot read human thoughts (OpenAI, 2023b), clear, well-structured prompts are essential for facilitating accurate and meaningful generative AI responses. Grounding on this perspective, this paper presents specific prompt engineering design principles, aiming to enhance the clarity and effectiveness of communication with generative AI models. These principles are based on a deep understanding of both the technical capabilities of generative AI and the nuances of human language and cognition. In this regard, this paper introduces 10 principles and 15 strategies that refer to 'Prompt Engineering for *Gen[i]*erative AI Framework' to unleash your prompts and, metaphorically, make your wishes true. Accordingly:

1. Explore and Comprehend the Model's Capabilities: Familiarize yourself with the strengths and limitations of the generative AI model to create prompts that leverage its areas of expertise. Avoid queries that are too complex or specialized, which may fall outside the model's training. Ethical considerations should guide any attempts to extend the model's capabilities.

2. Give the Model Opportunity to Think and Reason: To reduce reasoning errors, structure your prompts to encourage thorough analysis. Break down complex tasks into a series of simpler questions, enabling the model to process each element carefully before reaching a conclusion.
3. Be Creative in Prompt Design: Use your creativity to craft unique prompts that stand out. Generic prompts tend to yield generic responses. Stay updated with the latest research and community insights, experimenting with different approaches to prompt engineering.
4. Consider Prompt Length: Find the right balance between detail and conciseness. While details are necessary for clarity, overly complex prompts can confuse the model. Aim for succinctness without sacrificing essential information.
5. Use Sequential Prompts and Iterative Refinement: For intricate inquiries, employ a strategy of sequential questioning. Begin with broad questions and narrow down based on the responses, refining your prompts iteratively for depth and specificity. Adjust your prompts based on the outcomes, aiming for the highest quality in the generated responses.
6. Fine-tune, Optimize, Refine, and Debug Prompts: Continuously refine your prompts to improve the accuracy and relevance of the responses. Debugging is crucial when facing undesired outcomes, involving iterative adjustments based on feedback.
7. Reverse the Interaction: Redefine the traditional user-AI interaction by encouraging the generative AI to analyze content rather than merely generate it. Allow generative AI to ask you questions. This approach can lead to a better understanding of your intentions.
8. Refine Grammar and Vocabulary While Maintaining Original Style: When improving text, focus on grammar and vocabulary while preserving the original style. This ensures that the content remains true to its initial tone and formality.
9. Explicitly State Requirements: Articulate specific requirements for content generation through keywords, regulations, hints, or instructions, guiding the model toward desired outcomes.
10. Benchmark Different Generative AI Models: Compare the outputs of various models against a set of gold-standard answers to identify the most effective model for your needs.

These principles ensure a consistent, clear, and effective approach to prompt engineering, combining the insights from our previous discussions with structured strategies for optimal generative AI interaction. Expanding on the foundational principles of prompt engineering, the following additional strategies offer a detailed roadmap for creating effective prompts. Each strategy is outlined with an explanation to ensure clarity and applicability:

1. Define Your Objective: Start by clearly stating the purpose of your prompt. Understanding the specific response or information you seek helps tailor the prompt to achieve the desired outcome or interaction.
2. Be Clear, to the Point, and Precise: Ensure prompts are straightforward and unambiguous. Specify steps if necessary to avoid complexity or vagueness that might lead to irrelevant responses. Craft a prompt to get what you want, not what you don't want.
3. Assign a Role or Persona: Crafting prompts with a specific style or personality in mind can shape how the generative AI model interacts with you, enhancing the relevance and engagement of the responses.
4. Provide Contextual Background: Introduce relevant context to help the model grasp the task or subject matter better, ensuring responses are on-topic and informed by the given situation.
5. Use Key Concepts or Keywords: Provide specific keywords or concepts to guide the model's understanding of your query, ensuring it focuses on and incorporates relevant information into its response.
6. Identify the Scope: Clearly define the topic's range by specifying what to include or exclude, helping to focus the model's responses within the desired parameters.
7. Explain the Length: If you have a preferred output length, specify it. Use additional prompts to adjust the response's length as needed.
8. Set the Tone: If the tone of the response matters (e.g., formal, informal, casual, persuasive), make it clear in your prompt to align the model's output with your expectations.

9. Specify the Format: Indicate if a specific response format is required, such as a list, essay, or step-by-step guide, to receive the information in the desired structure.
10. Guide with Examples or Templates: Offering examples or templates can guide the AI in generating outputs that align with your needs, improving accuracy and efficiency. Furthermore, you can use delimiters to clearly indicate distinct parts of the input.
11. Identify the Target Group or Audience: Tailor your prompts by considering the demographics and characteristics of your intended audience, ensuring the outputs are appropriately customized.
12. Ask for Proofs: To enhance credibility, request citations or references from the model, which can also discourage the generation of unfounded claims or refrain the generative AI model from hallucinating. However, it is critical to double-check the reference points provided.
13. Require Points of View or Perspectives: Solicit responses that reflect multiple viewpoints to enrich the discussion and provide a well-rounded perspective on the topic.
14. Request Discussing the Output: Encourage the model to present confirmations and counterarguments, fostering a more critical and balanced analysis.
15. Be Committed to Being Ethical, Sensitive, and Unbiased: Emphasize the importance of ethics, sensitivity, and impartiality in the generated content, guiding the model to consider these aspects in its responses.

These strategies, when integrated with the initial principles, create a comprehensive framework for engaging with generative AI in a manner that is effective, ethical, and tailored to specific needs. By carefully applying these guidelines, users can enhance the quality and relevance of AI-generated responses, facilitating more productive and insightful interactions.

CONCLUSIONS, SUGGESTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

> *"In our period, new technology has been developed, but remains in need of a guiding philosophy."* —— Henry Kissinger

As we navigate the dawn of generative AI, the conversation evolves from merely understanding its capabilities to actively shaping its interactions through prompt engineering. This discipline, therefore, represents both an art and a science, emphasizing effective communication with generative AI to harness its full potential. Prompt engineering emerges as an interdisciplinary field of study, providing the know-how that enables these technologies to be used in their true capacity, beyond the know-what understanding that focuses only on generative AI technology. This field is, therefore, crucial for guiding generative AI's performance, revealing its true potential not just in its capabilities but in how we direct those capabilities to achieve desired outcomes.

As a technology that infiltrates all layers of our lives, prompt engineering is becoming an indispensable component of generative AI literacy, requiring a robust foundation in both conceptual knowledge and practical skills. The field stands at the intersection of creativity and systematic inquiry, demanding a blend of patience, practice, and innovation from its practitioners. To ensure its continued development and relevance, prompt engineering must be enriched with a spectrum of studies—conceptual, theoretical, and practical—aimed at fostering its cumulative progress. In recognizing prompt engineering's dual nature, this paper acknowledges the intricate dance between the artistry of crafting prompts and the scientific rigor of analyzing their effectiveness.

In all, the future of prompt engineering in generative AI holds promising avenues for exploration, including advanced prompt techniques, ethical frameworks, interdisciplinary studies, automated prompt generation, and empirical efficacy research. These directions not only promise to enhance the interaction between humans and generative AI but also highlight the importance of ethical considerations and the potential for automation in prompt design. As we advance, a multidisciplinary approach will be critical in navigating the complexities of generative AI interactions, ensuring that prompt engineering continues to evolve as a vital field of study.

As a final remark and also as a reminder, this paper argues that we should ask ourselves the following question: *What if the key to unlocking the full potential of our digital counterparts lies not*

in the complexity of their algorithms, but in the simplicity of our well-crafted prompts and queries? The critical role of prompt engineering in generative AI and human-AI interaction cannot be overstated; it is the bridge that connects human ingenuity with generative AI. As we stand on the edge of a new era in generative AI technology, the call to action for any stakeholders in this area is clear: we must invest in the art and science of prompt engineering, fostering a collaborative future where humans and generative AI can co-create with efficiency and creativity.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FUNDING INFORMATION

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author has no competing interests to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS (CRediT)

Aras Bozkurt: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing. The author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

AUTHOR NOTES

This paper was proofread, edited, and refined with the assistance of OpenAI's GPT-4 and DeepL (Version as of January 25, 2024), complementing the human editorial process. The human author critically assessed and validated the content to maintain academic rigor. The author also assessed and addressed potential biases inherent in AI-generated content. The final version of the paper is the sole responsibility of the human author.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Aras Bozkurt orcid.org/0000-0002-4520-642X
Anadolu University, Türkiye

REFERENCES

Ansari, A. N., Ahmad, S., & **Bhutta, S. M.** (2023). Mapping the global evidence around the use of ChatGPT in higher education: A systematic scoping review. *Education and Information Technologies,* 1–41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12223-4

Bozkurt, A. (2023a). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) powered conversational educational agents: The inevitable paradigm shift. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 18*(1), 198–204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7716416

Bozkurt, A. (2023b). Unleashing the potential of generative AI, conversational agents and chatbots in educational praxis: A systematic review and bibliometric analysis of GenAI in education. *Open Praxis, 15*(4), 261–270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.15.4.609

Bozkurt, A. (2023c). Generative AI, synthetic contents, open educational resources (OER), and open educational practices (OEP): A new front in the openness landscape. *Open Praxis, 15*(3), 78–184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.15.3.579

Bozkurt, A. (2024). GenAI et al.: Cocreation, authorship, ownership, academic ethics and integrity in a time of generative AI. *Open Praxis, 16*(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.16.1.654

Bozkurt, A., & **Sharma, R. C.** (2023). Generative AI and prompt engineering: The art of whispering to let the genie out of the algorithmic world. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 18*(2), i–vii. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8174941

Bozkurt, A., Xiao, J., Lambert, S., Pazurek, A., Crompton, H., Koseoglu, S., Farrow, R., Bond, M., Nerantzi, C., Honeychurch, S., Bali, M., Dron, J., Mir, K., Stewart, B., Costello, E., Mason, J., Stracke, C. M., Romero-Hall, E., Koutropoulos, A., Toquero, C. M., Singh, L., Tlili, A., Lee, K., Nichols, M., Ossiannilsson, E., Brown, M., Irvine, V., Raffaghelli, J. E., Santos-Hermosa, G., Farrell, O., Adam, T., Thong, Y. L., Sani-Bozkurt, S., Sharma, R. C., Hrastinski, S., & **Jandrić, P.** (2023). Speculative futures on ChatGPT and generative artificial intelligence (AI): A collective reflection from the educational landscape. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 18*(1), 53–130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7636568

Bsharat, S. M., Myrzakhan, A., & **Shen, Z.** (2023). Principled Instructions Are All You Need for Questioning LLaMA-1/2, GPT-3.5/4. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.16171

Chen, B., Zhang, Z., Langrené, N., & **Zhu, S.** (2023). Unleashing the potential of prompt engineering in Large Language Models: a comprehensive review. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.14735

Dang, H., Mecke, L., Lehmann, F., Goller, S., & **Buschek, D.** (2022). How to prompt? Opportunities and challenges of zero-and few-shot learning for human-AI interaction in creative applications of generative models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.01390

Descartes, R. (1637). Discourse on the Method of Rightly Conducting One's Reason and of Seeking Truth in the Sciences. Project Gutenberg. https://www.gutenberg.org/files/59/59-h/59-h.htm

Diao, S., Wang, P., Lin, Y., & **Zhang, T.** (2023). Active prompting with chain-of-thought for large language models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.12246

Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., … Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 71*, 102642. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642

Gates, B. (2023). The Age of AI has begun. Gates Notes. https://www.gatesnotes.com/The-Age-of-AI-Has-Begun

Johnson, W. L. (2023). How to Harness Generative AI to Accelerate Human Learning. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education,* 1–5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-023-00367-w

Kakun, A., & **Tytenko, S.** (2023). Generative AI And Prompt Engineering in Education. *Modern Engineering and Innovative Technologies, 1*(29–01), 117–121. DOI: https://doi.org/10.30890/2567-5273.2023-29-01-052

Lewis, P., Perez, E., Piktus, A., Petroni, F., Karpukhin, V., Goyal, N., Kuttler, H., Lewis, M., Yih, W., Rocktäschel, T., Riedel, S., & **Kiela, D.** (2020). Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33*, 9459–9474. https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.5555/3495724.3496517

Li, Z., Peng, B., He, P., Galley, M., Gao, J., & **Yan, X.** (2023). Guiding Large Language Models via Directional Stimulus Prompting. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.11520

Liu, Y., Deng, G., Xu, Z., Li, Y., Zheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhao, L., Zhang, T., & **Liu, Y.** (2023c). Jailbreaking chatGPT via prompt engineering: An empirical study. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.13860

Liu, P., Yuan, W., Fu, J., Jiang, Z., Hayashi, H., & **Neubig, G.** (2023a). Pre-train, prompt, and predict: A systematic survey of prompting methods in natural language processing. *ACM Computing Surveys, 55*(9), 1–35. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3560815

Liu, Z., Yu, X., Fang, Y., & **Zhang, X.** (2023b). Graphprompt: Unifying pre-training and downstream tasks for graph neural networks. In Proceedings of *the ACM Web Conference 2023* (pp. 417–428). 30 April 2023–4 May 2023, Austin TX USA. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3543507.3583386

Lo, L. S. (2023a). The CLEAR path: A framework for enhancing information literacy through prompt engineering. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 49*(4), 102720. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102720

Lo, L. S. (2023b). The Art and Science of Prompt Engineering: A New Literacy in the Information Age. *Internet Reference Services Quarterly, 27*(4), 203–210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10875301.2023.2227621

McGuire, A. (2023). Leveraging ChatGPT for Rethinking Plagiarism, Digital Literacy, and the Ethics of Co-Authorship in Higher Education: A Position Paper and Comparative Critical Reflection of Composing Processes. *Irish Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning, 7*(2), 21–31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22554/ijtel.v7i2.131

Merriam-Webster. (2024a). Art. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary. https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/art

Merriam-Webster. (2024b). Science. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary. https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/science

O'Connor, S., Peltonen, L.-M., Topaz, M., Chen, L.-Y. A., Michalowski, M., Ronquillo, C., Stiglic, G., Chu, C. H., Hui, V., & Denis-Lalonde, D. (2024). Prompt engineering when using generative AI in nursing education. *Nurse Education in Practice, 74*, 103825. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2023.103825

OpenAI. (2022). Introducing ChatGPT. https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt

OpenAI. (2023a). ChatGPT can now see, hear, and speak. https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt-can-now-see-hear-and-speak

OpenAI. (2023b). Prompt engineering. https://platform.openai.com/docs/guides/prompt-engineering

Paranjape, B., Lundberg, S., Singh, S., Hajishirzi, H., Zettlemoyer, L., & Ribeiro, M. T. (2023). ART: Automatic multi-step reasoning and tool-use for large language models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.09014

Sarı, T., Nayir, F., & Bozkurt, A. (2024). Reimagining education: Bridging artificial intelligence, transhumanism, and critical pedagogy. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, 7(1), 102–115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.1308022

Sharma, R. C., & Bozkurt, A. (2024). *Transforming Education With Generative AI: Prompt Engineering and Synthetic Content Creation*. IGI Global. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1351-0

Velásquez-Henao, J. D., Franco-Cardona, C. J., & Cadavid-Higuita, L. (2023). Prompt Engineering: a methodology for optimizing interactions with AI-Language Models in the field of engineering. *DYNA, 90*(230), 9–17. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15446/dyna.v90n230.111700

Wei, J., Wang, X., Schuurmans, D., Bosma, M., Chi, E. H., Xia, F., Le, Q., & Zhou, D. (2022). Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35*, 24824–24837. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/hash/9d5609613524ecf4f15af0f7b31abca4-Abstract-Conference.html

White, J., Fu, Q., Hays, S., Sandborn, M., Olea, C., Gilbert, H., Elnashar, A., Spencer-Smith, J., & Schmidt, D. C. (2023). A Prompt Pattern Catalog to Enhance Prompt Engineering with ChatGPT. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.11382

Yao, S., Yu, D., Zhao, J., Shafran, I., Griffiths, T. L., Cao, Y., & Narasimhan, K. (2023). Tree of thoughts: Deliberate problem solving with large language models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.10601

Yao, S., Zhao, J., Yu, D., Du, N., Shafran, I., Narasimhan, K., & Cao, Y. (2022). React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.03629

Yong, G., Jeon, K., Gil, D., & Lee, G. (2023). Prompt engineering for zero-shot and few-shot defect detection and classification using a visual-language pretrained model. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, *38*(11), 1536–1554.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12954

Zhang, Z., Zhang, A., Li, M., Zhao, H., Karypis, G., & Smola, A. (2023). Multimodal chain-of-thought reasoning in language models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.00923

Zhou, D., Scharli, N., Hou, L., Wei, J., Scales, N., Wang, X., Schuurmans, D., Bousquet, O., Le, Q., & Chi, E. H. (2022). Least-to-Most Prompting Enables Complex Reasoning in Large Language Models. *arXiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.10625