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# Prompts used in AIMS.au Paper

## Approval

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe whether the statement is approved by the principal governing body of one or more reporting entities. This governing body holds the primary responsibility for governance of the reporting entity. The Act explicitly prohibits the delegation of this approval authority to individuals, executive committees, sub-committees, or workgroups. It is crucial for entities to clearly identify that the approval has come directly from this sole governing body without ambiguity. Terms like “Executive Leadership Committee,” “Members of the Board,” or “Senior Executive on behalf of the Board” do not sufficiently demonstrate that the principal governing body itself has approved the modern slavery statement. Additionally, descriptions such as "considered by the board" or "put forward to the board" are also inadequate to fulfill the requirement of direct approval by the governing body.

If there is only a single reporting entity, the approval must come from its sole principal governing body.

Otherwise, for joint statements made by multiple reporting entities, there are three options for the approval of a statement:

1) The principal governing body of each reporting entity covered by the statement approves the statement.

2) The principal governing body of a higher entity (such as a global parent), which is in a position to influence or control each entity covered by the statement, approves the statement. The higher entity does not have to be a reporting entity itself.

3) If it is not practicable to comply with other options, the principal governing body of at least one reporting entity covered by the statement may approve the statement. In this case, the statement must also explain why this option was taken.

We therefore consider any sentence containing language that provides such approval as relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe whether the statement is approved by the principal governing body of one or more reporting entities. This governing body holds the primary responsibility for governance of the reporting entity. The Act explicitly prohibits the delegation of this approval authority to individuals, executive committees, sub-committees, or workgroups. It is crucial for entities to clearly identify that the approval has come directly from this sole governing body without ambiguity. Terms like “Executive Leadership Committee,” “Members of the Board,” or “Senior Executive on behalf of the Board” do not sufficiently demonstrate that the principal governing body itself has approved the modern slavery statement. Additionally, descriptions such as "considered by the board" or "put forward to the board" are also inadequate to fulfill the requirement of direct approval by the governing body.

If there is only a single reporting entity, the approval must come from its sole principal governing body.

Otherwise, for joint statements made by multiple reporting entities, there are three options for the approval of a statements:

The principal governing body of each reporting entity covered by the statement approves the statement.

The principal governing body of a higher entity (such as a global parent), which is in a position to influence or control each entity covered by the statement, approves the statement. The higher entity does not have to be a reporting entity itself.

If it is not practicable to comply with other options, the principal governing body of at least one reporting entity covered by the statement may approve the statement. In this case, the statement must also explain why this option was taken.

We therefore consider any sentence containing language that provides such approval as relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Signature

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe whether the statement is signed by a responsible member of the reporting entity. A responsible member is a decision-making member of the reporting entity. Where a reporting entity has a principal governing body, the responsible member will be a member of that body. A statement cannot be signed by individuals who do not meet the definition of a responsible member. It is important to ensure that the text accompanying the signature shows that the individual signing has the authority to sign the statement by including all the following information: the name of the responsible member; the title or position (e.g., CEO, Director, Member of the Board, Chairman, President, etc.) of the responsible member; and a copy of their signature (an image) OR wording to explicitly indicate the signature of the statement (e.g. “signed by”, “signature”, “s/”, a signature ID, or any form of electronic signature).

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe whether the statement is signed by a responsible member of the reporting entity. A responsible member is a decision-making member of the reporting entity. Where a reporting entity has a principal governing body, the responsible member will be a member of that body. A statement cannot be signed by individuals who do not meet the definition of a responsible member. It is important to ensure that the text accompanying the signature shows that the individual signing has the authority to sign the statement by including all the following information: the name of the responsible member; the title or position (e.g., CEO, Director, Member of the Board, Chairman, President, etc.) of the responsible member; and a copy of their signature (an image) OR wording to explicitly indicate the signature of the statement (e.g. “signed by”, “signature”, “s/”, a signature ID, or any form of electronic signature).

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Criteria 1

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that clearly identify which entities covered by the statement are the reporting entities. Entities must clearly identify who is required to report somewhere in the statement, and this can be done in various ways. For example, a statement can explicitly name a “reporting entity” or several “reporting entities” (or use similar language such as “required to report” or “required to submit a modern slavery statement”); or, if not explicitly stated, it can provide an introductory sentence that clearly presents the statement issuers with entity names. In rare cases where none of the above information is provided, if an entity name is found, then it should be considered as a reporting entity.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that clearly identify which entities covered by the statement are the reporting entities. Entities must clearly identify who is required to report somewhere in the statement, and this can be done in various ways. For example, a statement can explicitly name a “reporting entity” or several “reporting entities” (or use similar language such as “required to report” or “required to submit a modern slavery statement”); or, if not explicitly stated, it can provide an introductory sentence that clearly presents the statement issuers with entity names. In rare cases where none of the above information is provided, if an entity name is found, then it should be considered as a reporting entity.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Structure

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the structure of an entity, where structure refers to the legal and organizational form of the reporting entity. A reporting entity can describe its structure in multiple ways. For example, it can explain its general structure, which covers the entity’s legal classification (company, partnership, trust, etc.). If the entity is part of a larger group, the statement should provide details on the general structure of the overall group (upstream and downstream from the reporting entity). The statement may also describe the approximate number of workers employed by the entity and the registered office location of the entity (i.e., its statutory address). Additionally, it can explain what the entity owns or controls, such as a foreign subsidiary. Identifying any trading names or brand names associated with the reporting entity and entities it owns or controls is also relevant. Relevant examples include descriptions of “corporate structure,” “governance structure,” the company type, parent group details, number of employees, owned brand names, and the registered office location. Irrelevant examples include isolated information about the company name or ABN, establishment year, or vague statements such as “We operate in Australia”, “We make this statement on behalf of our associated entities.” ,“This statement covers our wholly-owned subsidiaries.” or “We work in the XYZ sector with offices located in 123 countries.”.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the structure of an entity, where structure refers to the legal and organizational form of the reporting entity. A reporting entity can describe its structure in multiple ways. For example, it can explain its general structure, which covers the entity’s legal classification (company, partnership, trust, etc.). If the entity is part of a larger group, the statement should provide details on the general structure of the overall group (upstream and downstream from the reporting entity). The statement may also describe the approximate number of workers employed by the entity and the registered office location of the entity (i.e., its statutory address). Additionally, it can explain what the entity owns or controls, such as a foreign subsidiary. Identifying any trading names or brand names associated with the reporting entity and entities it owns or controls is also relevant. Relevant examples include descriptions of “corporate structure,” “governance structure,” the company type, parent group details, number of employees, owned brand names, and the registered office location. Irrelevant examples include isolated information about the company name or ABN, establishment year, or vague statements such as “We operate in Australia”, “We make this statement on behalf of our associated entities.” ,“This statement covers our wholly-owned subsidiaries.” or “We work in the XYZ sector with offices located in 123 countries.”.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Operations

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the operations of an entity, where operations refer to the activities undertaken by the reporting entity or any of its owned or controlled entities to pursue its business objectives and strategy in Australia or overseas. The description of operations can include explaining the nature and types of activities undertaken by the entity (e.g., mining, retail, manufacturing) and any entities it owns or controls, identifying the countries or regions where the entity’s operations are located or take place, and providing facts and figures about the entity’s operations, such as factories, offices, franchises, and/or stores. Examples of relevant information include descriptions of employment activities, such as operating manufacturing facilities; processing, production, R&D, or construction activities; charitable or religious activities; the purchase, marketing, sale, delivery, or distribution of products or services; ancillary activities required for the main operations of the entity; financial investments, including internally managed portfolios and assets; managed/operated joint ventures; and leasing of property, products, or services. However, only the operations of the reporting entity and its owned/controlled entities are relevant, while the description of operations of parent or partner companies is not considered relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the operations of an entity, where operations refer to the activities undertaken by the reporting entity or any of its owned or controlled entities to pursue its business objectives and strategy in Australia or overseas. The description of operations can include explaining the nature and types of activities undertaken by the entity (e.g., mining, retail, manufacturing) and any entities it owns or controls, identifying the countries or regions where the entity’s operations are located or take place, and providing facts and figures about the entity’s operations, such as factories, offices, franchises, and/or stores. Examples of relevant information include descriptions of employment activities, such as operating manufacturing facilities; processing, production, R&D, or construction activities; charitable or religious activities; the purchase, marketing, sale, delivery, or distribution of products or services; ancillary activities required for the main operations of the entity; financial investments, including internally managed portfolios and assets; managed/operated joint ventures; and leasing of property, products, or services. However, only the operations of the reporting entity and its owned/controlled entities are relevant, while the description of operations of parent or partner companies is not considered relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Supply chains

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the SUPPLY

CHAINS of an entity, where supply chains refer to the sequences of processes involved in

the procurement of products and services (including labour) that contribute to the reporting

entity’s own products and services. The description of a supply chain can be related, for

example, to 1) the products that are provided by suppliers; 2) the services provided by

suppliers, or 3) the location, category, contractual arrangement, or other attributes that

describe the suppliers. Any sentence that contains these kinds of information is considered

relevant. Descriptions that apply to indirect suppliers (i.e. suppliers-of-suppliers) are

considered relevant. Descriptions of the supply chains of entities owned or controlled by the

reporting entity making the statement are also considered relevant. However, descriptions of

’downstream’ supply chains, i.e. of how customers and clients of the reporting entity use its

products or services, are NOT considered relevant. Finally, sentences that describe how the

reporting entity lacks information on some of its supply chain, or how some of its supply

chains are still unmapped or unidentified, are also considered relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the SUPPLY

CHAINS of an entity, where supply chains refer to the sequences of processes involved in

the procurement of products and services (including labour) that contribute to the reporting

entity’s own products and services. The description of a supply chain can be related, for

example, to 1) the products that are provided by suppliers; 2) the services provided by

suppliers, or 3) the location, category, contractual arrangement, or other attributes that

describe the suppliers. Any sentence that contains these kinds of information is considered

relevant. Descriptions that apply to indirect suppliers (i.e. suppliers-of-suppliers) are

considered relevant. Descriptions of the supply chains of entities owned or controlled by the

reporting entity making the statement are also considered relevant. However, descriptions of

’downstream’ supply chains, i.e. of how customers and clients of the reporting entity use its

products or services, are NOT considered relevant. Finally, sentences that describe how the

reporting entity lacks information on some of its supply chain, or how some of its supply

chains are still unmapped or unidentified, are also considered relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Risk Description

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the modern slavery risks identified by reporting entities. Specifically, these statements should detail risks in the entities' operations and supply chains that have the potential to cause or contribute to modern slavery. Descriptions of other business risks such as health risks (due to hazardous environments or materials), regulatory risks, or environmental risks are not considered relevant themselves. For a sentence to be considered relevant, it only needs to identify or describe a modern slavery risk; it does not need to describe the process used to identify, assess, or address that risk. A reporting entity might describe its modern slavery risks linked to specific areas, such as geographic regions (e.g., Indonesia), industries (e.g., electronics), commodities (e.g., palm oil), workforces (e.g., migrant workers), or global events (e.g., COVID-19). The description of any past or current instances of modern slavery within the entity's operations or supply chains is also considered relevant information. It is insufficient to present a hypothetical case of modern slavery or state that the entire reporting entity has a “low risk” of modern slavery or that its operations or supply chains are “slavery-free”; the reporting entity needs to be specific. Other examples of irrelevant or vague sentences include “Modern slavery has the potential to exist in the technology sector.” or “Modern slavery is defined as forced labor, debt bondage, or servitude.” In both cases, the link to the entity’s operations or supply chains is missing.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe the modern slavery risks identified by reporting entities. Specifically, these statements should detail risks in the entities' operations and supply chains that have the potential to cause or contribute to modern slavery. Descriptions of other business risks such as health risks (due to hazardous environments or materials), regulatory risks, or environmental risks are not considered relevant themselves. For a sentence to be considered relevant, it only needs to identify or describe a modern slavery risk; it does not need to describe the process used to identify, assess, or address that risk. A reporting entity might describe its modern slavery risks linked to specific areas, such as geographic regions (e.g., Indonesia), industries (e.g., electronics), commodities (e.g., palm oil), workforces (e.g., migrant workers), or global events (e.g., COVID-19). The description of any past or current instances of modern slavery within the entity's operations or supply chains is also considered relevant information. It is insufficient to present a hypothetical case of modern slavery or state that the entire reporting entity has a “low risk” of modern slavery or that its operations or supply chains are “slavery-free”; the reporting entity needs to be specific. Other examples of irrelevant or vague sentences include “Modern slavery has the potential to exist in the technology sector.” or “Modern slavery is defined as forced labor, debt bondage, or servitude.” In both cases, the link to the entity’s operations or supply chains is missing.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Risk Mitigation

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

After describing the modern slavery risks they face, reporting entities are required to describe how these risks are identified, assessed, and/or mitigated. You are currently looking for descriptions of RELEVANT ACTIONS to identify, assess, or mitigate modern slavery risks.

Examples of RELEVANT ACTIONS may include:

Participating in or launching industry initiatives (e.g. UN Global Compact, or Finance Against Slavery and Trafficking Initiative), or providing financial support to such initiatives;

Requiring suppliers and third-party entities to comply and follow with internal, regional, or international policies or labor laws (e.g. by adopting a supplier code of conduct or contract terms);

Ensuring that employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders are aware of the company’s policies and requirements (e.g. by conducting training sessions on modern slavery or on policies);

Requiring employees to follow company guidelines regarding human rights, labour rights, association (or unionization) rights, responsible recruitment, and/or responsible procurement (e.g. by adopting a code of conduct or a code of ethics that is linked with modern slavery);

Adopting, drafting, updating, or upholding a policy, framework, standard, or program that is meant to identify risks of modern slavery; for instance, a company may propose a formal process for:

Conducting desk research by reviewing secondary sources of information (e.g. reports from international organizations, frameworks such as the UN Guiding Principles, or research papers and articles on modern slavery) or by reviewing external expert advisers’ inputs;

Utilizing risk management tools or software (e.g. SEDEX);

Conducting risk-based questionnaires, surveys, or interviews with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders;

Auditing, screening, or directly engaging with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders.

Committing to paying all employees, migrant workers, temporary workers, and third-party workers a “living wage”, to support their freedom of association (or unionization), or to support collective bargaining;

Adopting a code of conduct or code of ethics that relates to combatting or preventing modern slavery and forced labour practices;

Incorporating provisions for onboarding and engaging with suppliers, including contract clauses and requirements, and extending the code of conduct to cover suppliers;

Enforcing responsible recruitment of workers (e.g., by not allowing the payment of recruitment fees by workers, or by not withholding part of their compensation for housing or licensing costs);

Implementing responsible procurement practices when establishing new supply chains (e.g., no excessive pressure on lead times for products or services they source);

Having a zero-tolerance policy that would force the entity to take action regarding threats, regarding intimidation and attacks against human rights defenders, or regarding modern slavery cases tied to their suppliers;

Establishing a whistleblowing policy that encourages and safeguards workers, employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders when reporting concerns;

Ensuring that modern slavery cases or concerns are reported and investigated (e.g. by adopting a whistleblowing hotline or other reporting mechanism);

Ensuring the application of these policies or frameworks by having an executive or board member participate in their elaboration or in oversight committees.

Notes:

Vague language stating that the reporting entity has “zero tolerance” towards modern slavery without mentioning a policy in this regard, or that it “is committed to fight” modern slavery is very common. However, such declarations do not describe a RELEVANT ACTION unless they are linked for example with a company policy.

Not all policies adopted by an entity may be related to modern slavery. If a policy does not explicitly cover modern slavery, then the statement should provide a justification why adopting this policy should be considered a relevant mitigation action.

Examples of irrelevant text:

“Company XYZ recognizes the importance of acting to reduce the risk of modern slavery in its operations and supply chains”, or “We are committed to maintaining the highest level of integrity and honesty throughout all aspects of our business.” (there is no description of a real action here)

“Our approach towards modern slavery is zero-tolerance.” (unless they have a policy or enforce this approach somehow, this is too vague)

“We respect human rights and expect the same from our suppliers”, or “We expect our suppliers to respect local laws.” (the “expectation” is too weak since there is no description of a requirement or enforcement action towards suppliers; this would be relevant if this was for example enforced through a supplier code-of-conduct or through contract terms)

“We have adopted a new sustainability policy” or “We have adopted a new worker safety policy.” (irrelevant unless they clearly state that their definition of “sustainability” or “worker safety” covers modern slavery elsewhere in the statement — same reasoning applies for example to “worker health”, “diversity”, ”discrimination”, and “harassment” policies)

“We follow the law.” (irrelevant, as this is always expected of the reporting entities)

Any description of audit measures or other measures meant to assess the effectiveness of existing PROCESSES and ACTIONS meant to prevent modern slavery (e.g. an assestment of a supplier audit process)

Any description of tools (e.g. dashboards, software) used to monitor and track modern slavery risks (only the development and use of those tools are actions and therefore relevant)

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

After describing the modern slavery risks they face, reporting entities are required to describe how these risks are identified, assessed, and/or mitigated. You are currently looking for descriptions of RELEVANT ACTIONS to identify, assess, or mitigate modern slavery risks.

Examples of RELEVANT ACTIONS may include:

Participating in or launching industry initiatives (e.g. UN Global Compact, or Finance Against Slavery and Trafficking Initiative), or providing financial support to such initiatives;

Requiring suppliers and third-party entities to comply and follow with internal, regional, or international policies or labor laws (e.g. by adopting a supplier code of conduct or contract terms);

Ensuring that employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders are aware of the company’s policies and requirements (e.g. by conducting training sessions on modern slavery or on policies);

Requiring employees to follow company guidelines regarding human rights, labour rights, association (or unionization) rights, responsible recruitment, and/or responsible procurement (e.g. by adopting a code of conduct or a code of ethics that is linked with modern slavery);

Adopting, drafting, updating, or upholding a policy, framework, standard, or program that is meant to identify risks of modern slavery; for instance, a company may propose a formal process for:

Conducting desk research by reviewing secondary sources of information (e.g. reports from international organizations, frameworks such as the UN Guiding Principles, or research papers and articles on modern slavery) or by reviewing external expert advisers’ inputs;

Utilizing risk management tools or software (e.g. SEDEX);

Conducting risk-based questionnaires, surveys, or interviews with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders;

Auditing, screening, or directly engaging with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders.

Committing to paying all employees, migrant workers, temporary workers, and third-party workers a “living wage”, to support their freedom of association (or unionization), or to support collective bargaining;

Adopting a code of conduct or code of ethics that relates to combatting or preventing modern slavery and forced labour practices;

Incorporating provisions for onboarding and engaging with suppliers, including contract clauses and requirements, and extending the code of conduct to cover suppliers;

Enforcing responsible recruitment of workers (e.g., by not allowing the payment of recruitment fees by workers, or by not withholding part of their compensation for housing or licensing costs);

Implementing responsible procurement practices when establishing new supply chains (e.g., no excessive pressure on lead times for products or services they source);

Having a zero-tolerance policy that would force the entity to take action regarding threats, regarding intimidation and attacks against human rights defenders, or regarding modern slavery cases tied to their suppliers;

Establishing a whistleblowing policy that encourages and safeguards workers, employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders when reporting concerns;

Ensuring that modern slavery cases or concerns are reported and investigated (e.g. by adopting a whistleblowing hotline or other reporting mechanism);

Ensuring the application of these policies or frameworks by having an executive or board member participate in their elaboration or in oversight committees.

Notes:

Vague language stating that the reporting entity has “zero tolerance” towards modern slavery without mentioning a policy in this regard, or that it “is committed to fight” modern slavery is very common. However, such declarations do not describe a RELEVANT ACTION unless they are linked for example with a company policy.

Not all policies adopted by an entity may be related to modern slavery. If a policy does not explicitly cover modern slavery, then the statement should provide a justification why adopting this policy should be considered a relevant mitigation action.

Examples of irrelevant text:

“Company XYZ recognizes the importance of acting to reduce the risk of modern slavery in its operations and supply chains”, or “We are committed to maintaining the highest level of integrity and honesty throughout all aspects of our business.” (there is no description of a real action here)

“Our approach towards modern slavery is zero-tolerance.” (unless they have a policy or enforce this approach somehow, this is too vague)

“We respect human rights and expect the same from our suppliers”, or “We expect our suppliers to respect local laws.” (the “expectation” is too weak since there is no description of a requirement or enforcement action towards suppliers; this would be relevant if this was for example enforced through a supplier code-of-conduct or through contract terms)

“We have adopted a new sustainability policy” or “We have adopted a new worker safety policy.” (irrelevant unless they clearly state that their definition of “sustainability” or “worker safety” covers modern slavery elsewhere in the statement — same reasoning applies for example to “worker health”, “diversity”, ”discrimination”, and “harassment” policies)

“We follow the law.” (irrelevant, as this is always expected of the reporting entities)

Any description of audit measures or other measures meant to assess the effectiveness of existing PROCESSES and ACTIONS meant to prevent modern slavery (e.g. an assestment of a supplier audit process)

Any description of tools (e.g. dashboards, software) used to monitor and track modern slavery risks (only the development and use of those tools are actions and therefore relevant)

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Risk Remediation

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe remediation actions for modern slavery cases. If one or more cases (or, synonymously, incidents, issues, or situations) have been declared by the reporting entity where it caused or contributed to modern slavery, the statement should describe the actions taken to remediate (or, synonymously, manage, address, or remedy) these cases. If no modern slavery case has been identified by the reporting entity, it may still describe actions used to remediate hypothetical cases if one should occur in the future. Relevant sentences are those that clearly refer to actions proposed by the reporting entity to remediate modern slavery cases, and not to actions proposed to mitigate the risks of modern slavery. Corrective actions and sanctions to remediate modern slavery cases include, for example: 1) conducting inquiries and investigations involving stakeholders, 2) providing worker assistance such as returning passports or helping file legal claims, 3) offering compensation for owed wages or penalties, 4) issuing formal apologies, 5) notifying management or authorities of incidents, and 6) ceasing business activities with non-compliant partners or suppliers by canceling or terminating contracts. Sentences declaring for example that "We understand the importance of workers knowing their rights and addressing violations when necessary" or "Remediation was not needed in this reporting period," are NOT considered relevant as they do not describe specific remediation actions.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe remediation actions for modern slavery cases. If one or more cases (or, synonymously, incidents, issues, or situations) have been declared by the reporting entity where it caused or contributed to modern slavery, the statement should describe the actions taken to remediate (or, synonymously, manage, address, or remedy) these cases. If no modern slavery case has been identified by the reporting entity, it may still describe actions used to remediate hypothetical cases if one should occur in the future. Relevant sentences are those that clearly refer to actions proposed by the reporting entity to remediate modern slavery cases, and not to actions proposed to mitigate the risks of modern slavery. Corrective actions and sanctions to remediate modern slavery cases include, for example: 1) conducting inquiries and investigations involving stakeholders, 2) providing worker assistance such as returning passports or helping file legal claims, 3) offering compensation for owed wages or penalties, 4) issuing formal apologies, 5) notifying management or authorities of incidents, and 6) ceasing business activities with non-compliant partners or suppliers by canceling or terminating contracts. Sentences declaring that "We understand the importance of workers knowing their rights and addressing violations when necessary" or "Remediation was not needed in this reporting period," are NOT considered relevant as they do not describe specific remediation actions.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Assessment of Effectiveness

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe how the reporting entity assesses the effectiveness of its actions taken to mitigate risks as well as to remediate modern slavery cases. Relevant sentences are those that describe actions to evaluate the success of implemented mitigation and remediation measures and processes in achieving their intended goals. Assessing the effectiveness of prior actions can be accomplished in many ways, for example, by examining or revising action plans or policies, by a review committee or by conducting annual assessments. It can also be achieved by setting up internal checks or a feedback process on the effectiveness of actions between key departments (or across owned or controlled entities) to facilitate regular engagement and communication among procurement, human resource management, and legal teams. Other relevant assessment actions include engaging with industry groups, external auditors, trusted NGOs, and stakeholders, including the workers themselves, to provide feedback or conduct independent reviews of actions, as well as analyzing trends in reported modern slavery cases through grievance or whistleblowing mechanisms. Additionally, reporting and monitoring Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure the effectiveness of actions is a relevant assessment measure. Examples of such KPIs include the number of contracts in which modern slavery cases were identified, the number of trainings delivered to employees, suppliers, or stakeholders, the awareness of employees and suppliers towards modern slavery as measured through polls, the number of initiatives taken to enhance suppliers' capacity to respond to modern slavery risks, the number of filed grievances, the resolution rates of reports filed through grievance or whistleblowing mechanisms, the number of completed audits, the number of visits to facilities operated by the entity or its subsidiaries, the number of assigned and completed corrective action plans, the number of contracts canceled due to identified modern slavery cases, and the number of identified modern slavery incidents.

It is important to note that entities often claim to have "improved their modern slavery risk mitigation" over a reporting period, but such statements are not relevant unless they detail how these improvements were observed and measured. Relevant text should explain how the entity determined the effectiveness of its actions. For example, if an entity states that it "improved its modern slavery risk mitigation actions following a comprehensive review process," this is relevant because it describes the process used to assess effectiveness. There is a clear distinction between actions taken to mitigate or remediate and those taken to assess the effectiveness of these mitigation or remediation actions. Assessing effectiveness involves a second “review” stage, which evaluates whether the prior actions achieved their goals. Sentences that are vague or do not explain how improvements were made or observed are not relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe

You are currently looking for sentences in statements that describe how the reporting entity assesses the effectiveness of its actions taken to mitigate risks as well as to remediate modern slavery cases. Relevant sentences are those that describe actions to evaluate the success of implemented mitigation and remediation measures and processes in achieving their intended goals. Assessing the effectiveness of prior actions can be accomplished in many ways, for example, by examining or revising action plans or policies, by a review committee or by conducting annual assessments. It can also be achieved by setting up internal checks or a feedback process on the effectiveness of actions between key departments (or across owned or controlled entities) to facilitate regular engagement and communication among procurement, human resource management, and legal teams. Other relevant assessment actions include engaging with industry groups, external auditors, trusted NGOs, and stakeholders, including the workers themselves, to provide feedback or conduct independent reviews of actions, as well as analyzing trends in reported modern slavery cases through grievance or whistleblowing mechanisms. Additionally, reporting and monitoring Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure the effectiveness of actions is a relevant assessment measure. Examples of such KPIs include the number of contracts in which modern slavery cases were identified, the number of trainings delivered to employees, suppliers, or stakeholders, the awareness of employees and suppliers towards modern slavery as measured through polls, the number of initiatives taken to enhance suppliers' capacity to respond to modern slavery risks, the number of filed grievances, the resolution rates of reports filed through grievance or whistleblowing mechanisms, the number of completed audits, the number of visits to facilities operated by the entity or its subsidiaries, the number of assigned and completed corrective action plans, the number of contracts canceled due to identified modern slavery cases, and the number of identified modern slavery incidents.

It is important to note that entities often claim to have "improved their modern slavery risk mitigation" over a reporting period, but such statements are not relevant unless they detail how these improvements were observed and measured. Relevant text should explain how the entity determined the effectiveness of its actions. For example, if an entity states that it "improved its modern slavery risk mitigation actions following a comprehensive review process," this is relevant because it describes the process used to assess effectiveness. There is a clear distinction between actions taken to mitigate or remediate and those taken to assess the effectiveness of these mitigation or remediation actions. Assessing effectiveness involves a second “review” stage, which evaluates whether the prior actions achieved their goals. Sentences that are vague or do not explain how improvements were made or observed are not relevant.

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

## Consultation

### Prompt template (no-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are looking for sentences in statements that describe how the reporting entity consulted with any entities it owns or controls during the preparation of its modern slavery statement. If the reporting entity does not own or control other entities, it does not need to describe its consultation process, but this must be explicitly indicated in the statement (and that sentence is deemed relevant). Other relevant information includes descriptions of actions to engage with different stakeholders across owned or controlled entities to inform them of policies, obligations, and requirements detailed in the statement, or of actions to obtain feedback on the statement, or mentions that the consultation process is unnecessary since the reporting entity does not own or control any other entity. Examples of relevant sentences include: “All our owned and controlled entities share the same governance structure, and therefore all of them were consulted in the preparation of this statement,” and “We consulted with the principal governing body of all our subsidiaries in the preparation of this statement.” When the sentence does not clearly link the consultation with owned or controlled entities to the development of the modern slavery statement, it is deemed irrelevant. For example, “This statement was prepared in consultation with all reporting entities” is IRRELEVANT (the statement should be prepared in consultation with owned/controlled entities, not reporting entities, which are themselves making the statement).

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine

if a target sentence is relevant or not. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague

descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant. The answer you provide

regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be ’YES’ or ’NO’, and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

### Prompt template (with-context)

You are an analyst that inspects modern slavery declarations made by Australian reporting

entities. You are specialized in the analysis of statements made with respect to the Australian

Modern Slavery Act of 2018, and not of any other legislation.

You are looking for sentences in statements that describe how the reporting entity consulted with any entities it owns or controls during the preparation of its modern slavery statement. If the reporting entity does not own or control other entities, it does not need to describe its consultation process, but this must explicitly indicate this in the statement (and that sentence is deemed relevant). Other relevant information includes descriptions of actions to engage with different stakeholders across owned or controlled entities to inform them of policies, obligations, and requirements detailed in the statement, or of actions to obtain feedback on the statement, or mentions that the reporting entity does not own or control any other entity, making the consultation process unnecessary. Examples of relevant sentences include: “All our owned and controlled entities share the same governance structure, and therefore all of them were consulted in the preparation of this statement,” and “We consulted with the principal governing body of all our subsidiaries in the preparation of this statement.” When the sentence does not clearly link the consultation with owned or controlled entities to the development of the modern slavery statement, it is deemed irrelevant. For example, “This statement was prepared in consultation with all reporting entities” is IRRELEVANT (the statement should be prepared in consultation with owned/controlled entities, not reporting entities, which are themselves making the statement).

Given the above definitions of what constitutes a relevant sentence, you will need to determine if a target sentence is relevant or not inside a larger block of text. The target sentence will first be provided by itself so you can know which sentence we want to classify. It will then be provided again as part of the larger block of text it originally came from (extracted from a PDF file) so you can analyze it with more context. While some of the surrounding sentences may be relevant according to the earlier definitions, we are only interested in classifying the target sentence according to the relevance of its own content. You must avoid labeling sentences with only vague descriptions or corporate talk (and no actual information) as relevant.

The answer you provide regarding whether the sentence is relevant or not can only be 'YES' or 'NO', and nothing else.

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Prompts used in [AIMSCheck Paper](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.07022)

NOTE: We only provide prompt examples for the UK statements. The sole difference in Canadian statements lies in the beginning: instead of stating

"You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act)," it should say,

"You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by Canadian reporting entities under The Canadian Fighting Against Forced Labour and Child Labour in Supply Chains Act (the Act).”

CoT with context Zero Shots

## Approval Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly state whether the statement has been approved by the principal governing body of the reporting entity or entities.

Key Rules:

- \*\*Approval Authority\*\*: The approval must come directly from the principal governing body (e.g., the Board of Directors). Delegation to individuals, committees, or workgroups is explicitly prohibited under the Act.

- \*\*Language Clarity\*\*: Phrases like "Executive Leadership Committee," "Senior Executive on behalf of the Board," or "considered by the board" are insufficient. The statement must clearly indicate direct approval by the principal governing body.

- \*\*Joint Statements\*\*: For statements involving multiple entities, approval can come from:

  - The principal governing body of each reporting entity.

  - The principal governing body of a higher entity (e.g., a global parent) that controls the reporting entities.

  - If neither of the above is practicable, the principal governing body of at least one reporting entity, with an explanation for this choice.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## Signature Zero Shots CoTPrompt:

"""You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly state whether the statement is signed by a responsible member of the reporting entity’s principal governing body.

Key Rules:

- \*\*Rule 1: Definition of a Responsible Member:\*\*

A responsible member is a decision-making individual within the reporting entity’s principal governing body (e.g., Board of Directors). Signatures by individuals who do not meet this definition (e.g., managers, team leads, committees) are not valid under the Act.

- \*\*Rule 2: Signature Requirements:\*\*

A signature include three elements:

Name of the responsible member.

Title or Position of the responsible member (e.g., CEO, Director, Chairman, Board Member).

Explicit Indication of Signature, which can be:a written confirmation (e.g., "signed by," "signature," "s/," "signature ID" or an image of a signature (which may not be verifiable in text format).

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above.

Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context.

If the sentence contains at least the name of the responsible member AND their title/position, your answer is YES.

If the sentence lacks the name or title of the responsible member, or the position does not qualify as a responsible member, your answer is NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Structure Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).Your task is to identify sentences that describe the structure of the reporting entity.

Key Rules:

The structure of an entity refers to the legal and organizational form of the reporting entity. A reporting entity can describe its structure in multiple ways. For example:

It can explain its general structure, which covers the entity’s legal classification (company, partnership, trust, etc.).

If the entity is part of a larger group, the statement should provide details on the general structure of the overall group (upstream and downstream from the reporting entity).

Describe the approximate number of workers employed by the entity and the registered office location of the entity (i.e., its statutory address, headquarters.

Explain what the entity owns or controls, such as a foreign subsidiary.

Identifying any trading names or brand names associated with the reporting entity and entities it owns or controls is also relevant.

- \*\*Relevant sentences\*\* could include descriptions of the company type, parent group details, number of employees, owned brand names, or the registered office location.

- \*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* could include isolated information about the company name or ABN, establishment year, or vague statements such as “We operate in the UK”, “We make this statement on behalf of our associated entities.”, “This statement covers our wholly-owned subsidiaries.” or “We work in the XYZ sector with offices located in 123 countries.”.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Operations Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences that describe the operations of an entity.

Key Rules:

Operations refer to the activities undertaken by the reporting entity or any of its owned or controlled entities to pursue its business objectives and strategy, both nationally and overseas.

The description of operations can include:

- Explaining the nature and types of activities undertaken by the entity and its owned and controlled entities (e.g., mining, retail, manufacturing).

- Identifying the countries or regions where the entity’s operations are located or conducted.

- Providing facts and figures about the entity’s factories, offices, franchises, and/or stores.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* can include descriptions of employment activities, such as operating manufacturing facilities; processing, production, R&D, or construction activities; charitable or religious activities; the purchase, marketing, sale, delivery, or distribution of products or services; ancillary activities required for the main operations of the entity; financial investments, including internally managed portfolios and assets; managed/operated joint ventures; and leasing of property, products, services, etc.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include the number of employees, the entity's headquarters, description of suppliers, descriptions of operations from other entities that are neither the reporting entity nor its own and controlled entities, such as parent or partner companies, etc.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Supply Chains Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences that describe the supply chains of an entity.

Key Rules:

Supply chains refer to the sequences of processes involved in the procurement of products and services (including labour) that contribute to the reporting entity’s own products and services.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* Relevant sentences may include descriptions such as:

The products that are provided by suppliers.

The services provided by suppliers.

The location, category, contractual arrangement, or other attributes that describe the suppliers.

Descriptions related to indirect suppliers (i.e., suppliers-of-suppliers).

Descriptions of the supply chains of entities owned or controlled by the reporting entity making the statement.

Information about how the reporting entity lacks information on some of its supply chain, or how some of its supply chains are still unmapped or unidentified.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include vague statements about suppliers without specific descriptions, or sentences describing downstream supply chains (i.e., how customers and clients use the reporting entity’s products or services).

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C3 Risks Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that describe the modern slavery risks identified by reporting entities.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* Relevant sentences may include descriptions such as:

Describing specific modern slavery risk areas such as geographic regions (e.g., Indonesia), industries (e.g., electronics), commodities (e.g., palm oil),

Sentences describing any past or current instances of modern slavery within the entity's operations or supply chains.

Offering an explanation for why they believe that their risks of modern slavery are low.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include:

Hypothetical scenarios or vague claims like “Modern slavery might exist within the technology sector,” as well as broad definitions of risk that do not specifically relate to the organisation’s operations or supply chains or its own and controlled entities.

Descriptions of other business risks (e.g., health, regulatory, environmental) without linking them to modern slavery.

Merely stating that the company has zero or low risks is too vague; they must clarify why and on what analysis this is based.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C4 Mitigation Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly describe the relevant actions to identify, assess, or mitigate modern slavery risks.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: Relevant sentences may include descriptions of relevant actions such as:

Participating in or launching industry initiatives (e.g. UN Global Compact, or Finance Against Slavery and Trafficking Initiative), or providing financial support to such initiatives;

Requiring suppliers and third-party entities to comply and follow with internal, regional, or international policies or labor laws (e.g. by adopting a supplier code of conduct or contract terms);

Ensuring that employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders are aware of the company’s policies and requirements (e.g. by conducting training sessions on modern slavery or on policies);

Requiring employees to follow company guidelines regarding human rights, labour rights, association (or unionization) rights, responsible recruitment, and/or responsible procurement (e.g. by adopting a code of conduct or a code of ethics that is linked with modern slavery);

Adopting, drafting, updating, or upholding a policy, framework, standard, or program that is meant to identify risks of modern slavery; for instance, a company may propose a formal process for:

Conducting desk research by reviewing secondary sources of information (e.g. reports from international organizations, frameworks such as the UN Guiding Principles, or research papers and articles on modern slavery) or by reviewing external expert advisers’ inputs;

Utilizing risk management tools or software (e.g. SEDEX);

Conducting risk-based questionnaires, surveys, or interviews with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders;

Auditing, screening, or directly engaging with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders.

Committing to paying all employees, migrant workers, temporary workers, and third-party workers a “living wage”, to support their freedom of association (or unionization), or to support collective bargaining;

Adopting a code of conduct or code of ethics that relates to combatting or preventing modern slavery and forced labour practices;

Incorporating provisions for onboarding and engaging with suppliers, including contract clauses and requirements, and extending the code of conduct to cover suppliers;

Enforcing responsible recruitment of workers (e.g., by not allowing the payment of recruitment fees by workers, or by not withholding part of their compensation for housing or licensing costs);

Implementing responsible procurement practices when establishing new supply chains (e.g., no excessive pressure on lead times for products or services they source);

Having a zero-tolerance policy that would force the entity to take action regarding threats, regarding intimidation and attacks against human rights defenders, or regarding modern slavery cases tied to their suppliers;

Establishing a whistleblowing policy that encourages and safeguards workers, employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders when reporting concerns;

Ensuring that modern slavery cases or concerns are reported (e.g. by adopting a whistleblowing hotline or other reporting mechanism);

Ensuring the application of these policies or frameworks by having an executive or board member participate in their elaboration or in oversight committees.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include:

Vague language stating that the reporting entity has “zero tolerance” towards modern slavery without mentioning a policy in this regard,  or that it “is committed to fight” modern slavery is very common. However, such declarations do not describe a RELEVANT ACTION unless they are  linked for example with a company policy.

Not every policy implemented by an entity is necessarily linked to modern slavery. If a policy—such as a sustainability or anti-bribery policy—does not explicitly address modern slavery, the justification for its adoption must clarify why it is a relevant mitigation action; otherwise, it lacks relevance.

The description alone of tools (e.g. dashboards, software) used to monitor and track modern slavery risks is not sufficient. Only the development and use of those tools are actions and, therefore, relevant).

Any description of audit measures or other measures meant to assess the effectiveness of existing PROCESSES and ACTIONS meant to prevent modern slavery (e.g. an assestment of a supplier audit process) is not relevant.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C4 Remediation Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).

Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly describe remediation actions for existing or potential modern slavery cases.

Key Rules:

\*\*Rule 1\*\*: If one or more cases (or, synonymously, incidents, issues, or situations) have been declared by the reporting entity where it caused or contributed to modern slavery, the statement should describe the actions taken to remediate (or, synonymously, manage, address, or remedy) these cases.

\*\*Rule 2\*\*: If no modern slavery case has been identified by the reporting entity, it may still describe actions used to remediate hypothetical cases if one should occur in the future.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: Relevant sentences could describe:

Actions proposed by the reporting entity to remediate modern slavery cases.

Corrective actions and sanctions to remediate modern slavery cases include, for example: 1) conducting inquiries and investigations involving stakeholders, 2) providing worker assistance such as returning passports or helping file legal claims, 3) offering compensation for owed wages or penalties, 4) issuing formal apologies, 5) notifying management or authorities of incidents, and 6) ceasing business activities with non-compliant partners or suppliers by cancelling or terminating contracts.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\*: Sentences describing actions proposed to mitigate the risks of modern slavery instead of remediate cases or sentences declaring, for example, that "We understand the importance of workers knowing their rights and addressing violations when necessary" are NOT considered relevant as they do not describe specific remediation actions.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C5 Assessment Zero Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that describe how the reporting entity assesses the effectiveness of its actions taken to mitigate risks as well as to remediate modern slavery cases.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: relevant sentances may include descriptions such as:

Detail the actions taken to evaluate the success of implemented mitigation and remediation measures and processes in achieving their intended objectives.

Clarify how the entity assessed the effectiveness of its actions, for example, by reviewing actions, conducting audits of the actions or policies, establishing feedback processes on the actions or policies, engaging with stakeholders with specific follow-up actions, analysing KPIs, etc.

Specify the methods or metrics used to evaluate effectiveness, such as Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), trend analysis, independent reviews, etc.

Present results from KPI assessments (e.g. 20% of our employees participated in training on modern slavery).

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\*: Sentences that only mention actions taken to mitigate or remediate modern slavery risks without detailing how their effectiveness is assessed are not relevant.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## Approval Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly state whether the statement has been approved by the principal governing body of the reporting entity or entities.

Key Rules:

- \*\*Approval Authority\*\*: The approval must come directly from the principal governing body (e.g., the Board of Directors). Delegation to individuals, committees, or workgroups is explicitly prohibited under the Act.

- \*\*Language Clarity\*\*: Phrases like "Executive Leadership Committee," "Senior Executive on behalf of the Board," or "considered by the board" are insufficient. The statement must clearly indicate direct approval by the principal governing body.

- \*\*Joint Statements\*\*: For statements involving multiple entities, approval can come from:

  - The principal governing body of each reporting entity.

  - The principal governing body of a higher entity (e.g., a global parent) that controls the reporting entities.

  - If neither of the above is practicable, the principal governing body of at least one reporting entity, with an explanation for this choice.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

### Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "This report was approved by the Board of Horizon Energy Corp on January 15, 2025."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence explicitly states that the report was approved by the "Board of Horizon Energy Corp," which is the principal governing body. This satisfies the requirement of direct approval.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "The Executive Leadership Committee reviewed and endorsed the report on behalf of the Board."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence mentions approval by the "Executive Leadership Committee," which is not the principal governing body. This does not meet the requirement.

Final Answer: NO

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "The report was put forward to the Board for consideration."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence uses vague language ("put forward to the Board") and does not confirm direct approval by the principal governing body. This does not meet the requirement.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## Signature Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).

Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly state whether the statement is signed by a responsible member of the reporting entity’s principal governing body.

Key Rules:

- \*\*Rule 1: Definition of a Responsible Member:\*\*

A responsible member is a decision-making individual within the reporting entity’s principal governing body (e.g., Board of Directors). Signatures by individuals who do not meet this definition (e.g., managers, team leads, committees) are not valid under the Act.

- \*\*Rule 2: Signature Requirements:\*\*

A signature include three elements:

1. Name of the responsible member.
2. Title or Position of the responsible member (e.g., CEO, Director, Chairman, Board Member).
3. Explicit Indication of Signature, which can be:a written confirmation (e.g., "signed by," "signature," "s/," "signature ID" or an image of a signature (which may not be verifiable in text format).

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above.

Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context.

If the sentence contains at least the name of the responsible member AND their title/position, your answer is YES.

If the sentence lacks the name or title of the responsible member, or the position does not qualify as a responsible member, your answer is NO.

###Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence:

"The Board of Directors of Duratec, its principal governing body, has unanimously approved this Statement on 28 January 2022 and authorized Robert Philip Harcourt as the responsible executive of Duratec to sign this Statement in accordance with the Act. Robert Philip Harcourt, Managing Director."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence mentions that "Robert Philip Harcourt" is the responsible member of the principal governing body. His role as the "Managing Director" is a recognized decision-making role within the governing body. The sentence also has a clear signature indication—"Authorized… to sign this Statement" explicitly confirms signing authority. Therefore, the sentence is relevant.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence:

"Danny Nielsen, Director, Vestas – Australian Wind Technology Pty Ltd, DATE: 29 June, 2022."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence mentions the name of a responsible member "Danny Nielsen", who is a "Director", a recognized decision-making role. While there is no signature indication, the sentence still contains at least the name of the responsible member and their title/position, making it relevant.

Final Answer: YES

Example 3:

Target Sentence:

"This statement was signed by John Lewis, Manager."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence mentions the name "John Lewis" and includes a signature indication ("signed by"). However, his position as "Manager" is not a recognized decision-making role within the governing body. Therefore, the sentence is not relevant.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Structure Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences that describe the structure of the reporting entity.

Key Rules:

The structure of an entity refers to the legal and organizational form of the reporting entity. A reporting entity can describe its structure in multiple ways. For example:

* It can explain its general structure, which covers the entity’s legal classification (company, partnership, trust, etc.).
* If the entity is part of a larger group, the statement should provide details on the general structure of the overall group (upstream and downstream from the reporting entity).
* Describe the approximate number of workers employed by the entity and the registered office location of the entity (i.e., its statutory address, headquarters.
* Explain what the entity owns or controls, such as a foreign subsidiary.
* Identifying any trading names or brand names associated with the reporting entity and entities it owns or controls is also relevant.

- \*\*Relevant sentences\*\* could include descriptions of the company type, parent group details, number of employees, owned brand names, or the registered office location.

- \*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* could include isolated information about the company name or ABN, establishment year, or vague statements such as “We operate in the UK”, “We make this statement on behalf of our associated entities.”, “This statement covers our wholly-owned subsidiaries.” or “We work in the XYZ sector with offices located in 123 countries.”.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Examples with reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "ABC Corp is a publicly traded company headquartered in Toronto, Ontario, with over 5,000 employees across the UK."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence provides information about the company's legal form (publicly traded), registered office location (Toronto), and workforce size (over 5,000 employees). It covers multiple key points relevant to structural information as required by the Act.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "XYZ Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Global Holdings Ltd., operating under the brand names 'TechPro' and 'InnoSolutions' in the UK market."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence describes the company's position within a larger group (subsidiary of Global Holdings Ltd.) and identifies associated brand names (TechPro and InnoSolutions). It provides relevant structural information as required by the Act.

Final Answer: YES

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "Our company was founded in 1985 and has grown to become a leader in the technology sector."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence only provides the establishment year and a vague description of the company's position in the market. It does not offer specific information about the company's structure as required by the Act.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Operations Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).Your task is to identify sentences that describe the operations of an entity.

Key Rules:

Operations refer to the activities undertaken by the reporting entity or any of its owned or controlled entities to pursue its business objectives and strategy, both nationally and overseas.

The description of operations can include:

- Explaining the nature and types of activities undertaken by the entity and its owned and controlled entities (e.g., mining, retail, manufacturing).

- Identifying the countries or regions where the entity’s operations are located or conducted.

- Providing facts and figures about the entity’s factories, offices, franchises, and/or stores.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* can include descriptions of employment activities, such as operating manufacturing facilities; processing, production, R&D, or construction activities; charitable or religious activities; the purchase, marketing, sale, delivery, or distribution of products or services; ancillary activities required for the main operations of the entity; financial investments, including internally managed portfolios and assets; managed/operated joint ventures; and leasing of property, products, services, etc.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include the number of employees, the entity's headquarters, description of suppliers, descriptions of operations from other entities that are neither the reporting entity nor its own and controlled entities, such as parent or partner companies, etc.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Examples with reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "The Group is composed of 5 Automotive Dealerships with over 200 staff in various locations in Canberra - ACT and Queanbeyan - NSW. The dealerships retail vehicles, supply spare parts and provide servicing requirements."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence outlines the operational activities of the group, including the number of dealerships, their geographic locations, and the specific services they offer. These details directly describe the entity's operations as defined by the key rules.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "Our operations include 640 branches in UK and New Zealand, and 189 branches across the Sun Belt region in the US."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence provides specific information about the number and locations of branches, indicating the geographical scope of the entity’s operations. This aligns with the criteria for describing operations under the key rules.

Final Answer: YES

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "Company XYZ trades on the New York Stock Exchange.”

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence does not describe operations as it does not imply that the company has trading activities itself; rather, it indicates that its shares are traded. Non of these details directly describe the entity's operations as defined by the key rules.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C2 Supply Chains Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences that describe the supply chains of an entity.

Key Rules:

Supply chains refer to the sequences of processes involved in the procurement of products and services (including labour) that contribute to the reporting entity’s own products and services.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* Relevant sentences may include descriptions such as:

* The products that are provided by suppliers.
* The services provided by suppliers.
* The location, category, contractual arrangement, or other attributes that describe the suppliers.
* Descriptions related to indirect suppliers (i.e., suppliers-of-suppliers).
* Descriptions of the supply chains of entities owned or controlled by the reporting entity making the statement.
* Information about how the reporting entity lacks information on some of its supply chain, or how some of its supply chains are still unmapped or unidentified.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include vague statements about suppliers without specific descriptions, or sentences describing downstream supply chains (i.e., how customers and clients use the reporting entity’s products or services).

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context from a modern slavery statement. Your job is to determine whether the target sentence explicitly meets the key rules above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its surrounding context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

Examples with reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "Our supply chain includes providers of remediation products and other project-focused materials purchased and distributed through Duratec warehouses or delivered directly to project sites through third parties. Products are purchased domestically and imported through third-party logistics providers. Our suppliers are located principally in the UK and at least 12 foreign countries."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence provides specific information about the types of products in the supply chain, the distribution methods, the geographical locations of suppliers, and the involvement of third-party logistics providers. These details directly describe the entity's supply chain as defined by the key rules.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "We procure goods and services from trusted suppliers across the world."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: While this sentence mentions procuring goods and services from suppliers globally, it is too vague and lacks specific details about the suppliers, their products or services, locations, or other attributes that describe the supply chain.

Final Answer: NO

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "We continue due diligence across all our direct and indirect suppliers.”

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: This sentence mentions due diligence efforts but does not provide specific descriptions of suppliers, their products or services, locations, or other attributes. It lacks the detailed information required to describe the supply chain.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C3 Risks Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that describe the modern slavery risks identified by reporting entities.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\* Relevant sentences may include descriptions such as:

* Describing specific modern slavery risk areas such as geographic regions (e.g., Indonesia), industries (e.g., electronics), commodities (e.g., palm oil),
* Sentences describing any past or current instances of modern slavery within the entity's operations or supply chains.
* Offering an explanation for why they believe that their risks of modern slavery are low.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include:

* Hypothetical scenarios or vague claims like “Modern slavery might exist within the technology sector,” as well as broad definitions of risk that do not specifically relate to the organisation’s operations or supply chains or its own and controlled entities.
* Descriptions of other business risks (e.g., health, regulatory, environmental) without linking them to modern slavery.
* Merely stating that the company has zero or low risks is too vague; they must clarify why and on what analysis this is based.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

### Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "Whilst the majority of our products are supplied from Japan, we recognise that certain sectors and industries that we supply from, such as agriculture, are globally recognised as high risk industries."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence identifies specific industries (agriculture) that are high risk for modern slavery, linking it to the entity’s supply chain operations. This meets the requirement.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "RetireUK has not identified any instances of Modern Slavery in our supply chains in the last Financial Year."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence is extracted because it is a negative declaration related to existing or past cases of modern slavery, which is still relevant.

Final Answer: Yes

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "As a primarily professional services-focused organisation with 98% of our staff employed in either the UK or New Zealand, Tesserent’s operations are generally considered to be low risk of slavery or human trafficking practices."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence indicates that the risk is low and explains why, making it relevant.

Final Answer: YES

Example 4:

Target Sentence: "In addition, Tiffany recognizes that the risks of forced or child labour vary around the world according to local regulations, local culture, and the enforcement of employment terms and conditions in applicable jurisdictions."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence discusses general factors affecting risks but does not link them specifically to the entity’s operations or supply chains.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C4 Mitigation Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly describe the relevant actions to identify, assess, or mitigate modern slavery risks.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: Relevant sentences may include descriptions of relevant actions such as:

* Participating in or launching industry initiatives (e.g. UN Global Compact, or Finance Against Slavery and Trafficking Initiative), or providing financial support to such initiatives;
* Requiring suppliers and third-party entities to comply and follow with internal, regional, or international policies or labor laws (e.g. by adopting a supplier code of conduct or contract terms);
* Ensuring that employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders are aware of the company’s policies and requirements (e.g. by conducting training sessions on modern slavery or on policies);
* Requiring employees to follow company guidelines regarding human rights, labour rights, association (or unionization) rights, responsible recruitment, and/or responsible procurement (e.g. by adopting a code of conduct or a code of ethics that is linked with modern slavery);
* Adopting, drafting, updating, or upholding a policy, framework, standard, or program that is meant to identify risks of modern slavery; for instance, a company may propose a formal process for:
  + Conducting desk research by reviewing secondary sources of information (e.g. reports from international organizations, frameworks such as the UN Guiding Principles, or research papers and articles on modern slavery) or by reviewing external expert advisers’ inputs;
  + Utilizing risk management tools or software (e.g. SEDEX);
  + Conducting risk-based questionnaires, surveys, or interviews with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders;
  + Auditing, screening, or directly engaging with employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders.
  + Committing to paying all employees, migrant workers, temporary workers, and third-party workers a “living wage”, to support their freedom of association (or unionization), or to support collective bargaining;
  + Adopting a code of conduct or code of ethics that relates to combatting or preventing modern slavery and forced labour practices;
  + Incorporating provisions for onboarding and engaging with suppliers, including contract clauses and requirements, and extending the code of conduct to cover suppliers;
  + Enforcing responsible recruitment of workers (e.g., by not allowing the payment of recruitment fees by workers, or by not withholding part of their compensation for housing or licensing costs);
  + Implementing responsible procurement practices when establishing new supply chains (e.g., no excessive pressure on lead times for products or services they source);
  + Having a zero-tolerance policy that would force the entity to take action regarding threats, regarding intimidation and attacks against human rights defenders, or regarding modern slavery cases tied to their suppliers;
  + Establishing a whistleblowing policy that encourages and safeguards workers, employees, suppliers, or other stakeholders when reporting concerns;
  + Ensuring that modern slavery cases or concerns are reported (e.g. by adopting a whistleblowing hotline or other reporting mechanism);
  + Ensuring the application of these policies or frameworks by having an executive or board member participate in their elaboration or in oversight committees.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\* may include:

* Vague language stating that the reporting entity has “zero tolerance” towards modern slavery without mentioning a policy in this regard,  or that it “is committed to fight” modern slavery is very common. However, such declarations do not describe a RELEVANT ACTION unless they are  linked for example with a company policy.
* Not every policy implemented by an entity is necessarily linked to modern slavery. If a policy—such as a sustainability or anti-bribery policy—does not explicitly address modern slavery, the justification for its adoption must clarify why it is a relevant mitigation action; otherwise, it lacks relevance.
* The description alone of tools (e.g. dashboards, software) used to monitor and track modern slavery risks is not sufficient. Only the development and use of those tools are actions and, therefore, relevant).
* Any description of audit measures or other measures meant to assess the effectiveness of existing PROCESSES and ACTIONS meant to prevent modern slavery (e.g. an assestment of a supplier audit process) is not relevant.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

### Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence:

"We require all suppliers to sign our Supplier Code of Conduct, which prohibits forced labor and human trafficking."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning:

This sentence explicitly describes a mitigation action—requiring suppliers to sign a Supplier Code of Conduct that prohibits forced labor and human trafficking. This qualifies as a supplier requirement and enforcement mechanism.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence:

"We conducted a risk assessment of our suppliers using SEDEX and identified high-risk areas for modern slavery."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning:

This sentence describes a risk identification action—conducting a supplier risk assessment using SEDEX. This directly relates to identifying modern slavery risks.

Final Answer: YES

Example 3:

Target Sentence:

"Our company has a zero-tolerance approach to modern slavery."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning:

The statement lacks a description of an actual action taken. Simply having a "zero-tolerance approach" does not specify enforcement mechanisms, policies, or training.

Final Answer: NO

Example 4:

Target Sentence:

"We expect our suppliers to respect local laws."

###Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning:

The statement only expresses an expectation but does not describe any enforcement action. It does not mention requiring compliance through a supplier code of conduct, contract terms, or audits. Therefore, it does not qualify as a mitigation action.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C4 Remediation Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act).

Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that explicitly describe remediation actions for existing or potential modern slavery cases.

Key Rules:

\*\*Rule 1\*\*: If one or more cases (or, synonymously, incidents, issues, or situations) have been declared by the reporting entity where it caused or contributed to modern slavery, the statement should describe the actions taken to remediate (or, synonymously, manage, address, or remedy) these cases.

\*\*Rule 2\*\*: If no modern slavery case has been identified by the reporting entity, it may still describe actions used to remediate hypothetical cases if one should occur in the future.

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: Relevant sentences could describe:

* Actions proposed by the reporting entity to remediate modern slavery cases.
* Corrective actions and sanctions to remediate modern slavery cases include, for example: 1) conducting inquiries and investigations involving stakeholders, 2) providing worker assistance such as returning passports or helping file legal claims, 3) offering compensation for owed wages or penalties, 4) issuing formal apologies, 5) notifying management or authorities of incidents, and 6) ceasing business activities with non-compliant partners or suppliers by cancelling or terminating contracts.

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\*: Sentences describing actions proposed to mitigate the risks of modern slavery instead of remediate cases or sentences declaring, for example, that "We understand the importance of workers knowing their rights and addressing violations when necessary" are NOT considered relevant as they do not describe specific remediation actions.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

### Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "We retain the right to terminate any contractual agreement or partnership in the event of a breach of our Modern Slavery Statement."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence clearly indicates the remedial action, which is to terminate any contractual agreement. This meets the requirement.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "So far, we have not received any reports of human trafficking or slavery involving our suppliers. However, if we did, we would swiftly act against the supplier and notify the appropriate authorities."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence includes the commitment to inform authorities if allegations arise, fulfilling the requirement of remediation.

Final Answer: Yes

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "We will take appropriate action if a violation is reported."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The phrase "take appropriate action" is ambiguous and fails to specify the remediation steps. This does not fulfill the requirement

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""

## C5 Assessment Few Shots CoT

Prompt:

You are an analyst specialising in the review of modern slavery declarations made by UK reporting entities under the UK Modern Slavery Act (the Act). Your task is to identify sentences in these declarations that describe how the reporting entity assesses the effectiveness of its actions taken to mitigate risks as well as to remediate modern slavery cases.

Key Rules:

\*\*Relevant sentences\*\*: relevant sentances may include descriptions such as:

* Detail the actions taken to evaluate the success of implemented mitigation and remediation measures and processes in achieving their intended objectives.
* Clarify how the entity assessed the effectiveness of its actions, for example, by reviewing actions, conducting audits of the actions or policies, establishing feedback processes on the actions or policies, engaging with stakeholders with specific follow-up actions, analysing KPIs, etc.
* Specify the methods or metrics used to evaluate effectiveness, such as Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), trend analysis, independent reviews, etc.
* Present results from KPI assessments (e.g. 20% of our employees participated in training on modern slavery).

\*\*Irrelevant sentences\*\*: Sentences that only mention actions taken to mitigate or remediate modern slavery risks without detailing how their effectiveness is assessed are not relevant.

Task:

You will be given a target sentence and its surrounding context. Your goal is to determine whether the target sentence is relevant based on the key rules given above. Relevance is determined solely by the content of the target sentence, not its context. Your answer must be YES or NO.

### Examples with Reasoning:

Example 1:

Target Sentence: "JFC Group has defined a set of key performance indicators and controls to combat modern slavery and human trafficking in our organisation and supply chain. These include: · How many employees have completed mandatory training by completing active reading of Modern Slavery Policy?"

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence specifies Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) used to assess the effectiveness of their action to mitigate risks, such as training completion rates, which align with the assessment of the effectiveness of mitigation actions.

Final Answer: YES

Example 2:

Target Sentence: "We will conduct a review and an audit of our modern slavery policy in 2024."

### Question: Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

Reasoning: The sentence describes actions (review and audit) to assess the modern slavery policy's effectiveness.

Final Answer: YES

Example 3:

Target Sentence: "We will continue to review and audit our suppliers and take necessary actions."

### Question: In this context, the assessment pertains directly to the suppliers rather than to the actions of reviewing and auditing. Therefore, the sentence is not relevant.

Final Answer: NO

Now classify the following target sentence:

The target sentence to classify is the following:

------------

{}

------------

The same target sentence inside its original block of text:

------------

{}

------------

### Question:

Is the target sentence relevant? (YES/NO)

# Answer: Lets think step-by-step. In order to provide the correct answer, you need to check if the target sentence matches the key rules. Provide the reasoning and the final answer (YES or NO).

Reasoning:

Final Answer: YES/NO"""