

UOF REPORT - CASE DETAILS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Case Categorization

Unintentional Head Strike:

FID Contact Name:

Case Details

Incident Date: 06/05/2023 17:25 - Monday
Master Incident No.:
Comm Div Inc No.: 230605003579
Reporting District: 0331
Area of Occurrence: Southwest
Outside Agency Involved: No
Organization Credited with Use of Force: SW PAT W2 DENE
Address: SE Quadrant of Adams - Longwood
Los Angeles CA 90016

Incident Overview:

Lighting Conditions: Daylight - Outdoor
Weather Conditions: Cloudy
Investigating Supervisor Serial No: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Related Reports

Supervisor On-Scene

Primary Supervisor On Scene at Time of Incident: No
Primary Supervisor's Name: -

CO 14-Day Review

Required 14-Day Review: Yes
Date Reviewed: 06/13/23 00:00
If No, Details:
If Date Reviewed Not Today, Explain:

Investigative Summary

Activity Source: Radio Call / Other - Arson Investigation
Scene Canvassed for Witness: Yes
Details: Sergeant Borunda #38727 and Sergeant Bendimez #41901 canvassed the area for witnesses and video cameras with negative results.
Yes
Scene Canvassed for Physical Evidence: Yes
Details: Sergeant Borunda #38727 and Sergeant Bendimez #41901 canvassed the area for witnesses and video cameras with negative results.
In Car Audio: Yes - Captured on Evidence.com under Incident # 23060500003579.
Yes - Captured on Evidence.com under Incident # 23060500003579.
In Car Video: Digital photographs of the suspect and UOF scene were taken and submitted in a Digital Disc to TID/ D# 0665101.

Body Worn Video:

All known Audio and Video recordings have been retained at SID:	Yes
Control Number Or Explain:	Digital photographs of the suspect and UOF scene were taken and

UOF REPORT - CASE DETAILS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

	submitted in a Digital Disc to TID/ D# 0665101.
--	--

Addenda Items

File Name	File Type	Description	Report Type	Date	Created By
cchrs2038283.pdf	.pdf	CCHRS	OTR	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
increcall2038283.pdf	.pdf	Incident Recall	OTR	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
susptorso.jpg	.jpg	Photo of suspect	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
		I have reviewed this case. - Officer Navarro, CIRD.		11/15/23	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN - 40171
suspeye1.jpg	.jpg	Photo of suspect	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
suspleg1.jpg	.jpg	Photo of suspect bean bag injury	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
314 u8283s.pdf	.pdf	3.14	FU	6/12/23	RAMIREZ, GILBERT - 34943
img_2417.jpg	.jpg	Photo of UOF scene	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
img_2416.jpg	.jpg	Photo of UOF scene	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
medrelease2038283.pdf	.pdf	Medical Release Form	OTR	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
rpt2038283.pdf	.pdf	IR Report	IR	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
img_2415.jpg	.jpg	Photo of UOF scene	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
img_2416.jpg	.jpg	Photo of UOF scene	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
uofnotes2038283.docx	docx	UOF Sgt. Notes	UOF	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
[REDACTED]	.jpg	Photo of Suspect	PHOTO	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Investigative Narrative Comments

Officer Chavez and Officer Tobias did not immediately go hands on the suspect due to his aggressive demeanor. Officers believed a UOF might occur and immediately requested Back-up to gather resources before detaining the suspect.

Officer Bonilla and Officer Manning are aware of the suspect holding an item with his hand but believed the item was not hard enough to cause physical harm. Officers formulate a plan to go hands on the suspect and detain him (Officer Bonilla's BWV 2:51 time marker). Officers did not communicate what they believe the suspect was holding.

Suspect turned around and threw the item at Officers as Officers approached suspect (Officer Arellano's BWV 6:47 time marker). Officer Arellano believed the item would cause physical harm to Officers and shot a bean bag round toward the suspect's abdomen area to stop the threat. The bean bag round hit the suspect's right thigh. Due to lag time from acquiring his sights on the target with his background cleared, Officer Arellano shot the

UOF REPORT - CASE DETAILS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Investigative Narrative Comments

bean bag at the same time Officer Bonilla grabbed the suspect, causing a crossfire situation (Officer Arellano's BWV 6:48 Time marker). Officer Arellano did not provide a verbal warning prior to shooting the bean bag due to the fluid and spontaneous actions from the suspect. The suspect immediately turned around and threw the item at Officers as Officers approached, giving Officer Arellano no time to give the verbal warning. I spoke with Officers about the importance of communicating with each other to prevent a crossfire situation. Also, to allow Officers more time to formulate a plan, including a verbal warning of the bean bag before Officers approach. Officers were receptive and understood the importance of communicating.

Sergeant Borunda #38727 and Sergeant Bendimez #41901 canvassed the area for witnesses and video cameras with negative results. The involved Officers were interviewed separately. All Officers statements were consistent with the UOF report.

Digital photographs of the suspect and UOF scene were taken and submitted in a Digital Disc to TID/ D# 0665101.

I advised the suspect of his rights per LAPD form 15.03. at scene in which he was unresponsive and refused to provide a statement. Additionally, Suspect refused to sign the authorization to release medical information.

The suspect had a pre-existing injury of [REDACTED] as seen on Officer Chavez BWV (5:49 time marker). The suspect received approximately [REDACTED] consistent with a bean bag impact. There were no other observable injuries on the suspect. RA 94 (Dunn #420627 and Vadunkul #362408) transported the suspect to Cedar Sinai Hospital. Doctor Polanski treated the suspect for his injuries and confirmed the suspect's [REDACTED] was a pre-existing injury (MRN #203-269-08/2922-596-7151). The suspect was admitted to the hospital for the [REDACTED], not related to the UOF.

Officers released suspect at Cedar Sinai Hospital due to being admitted for pre-existing injury of [REDACTED]. Officers completed a vandalism report with the approval of Southwest Watch Commander Lt. Adkins #35881.

While chasing the suspect, Officer Vargas tripped and fell in the middle of the street and [REDACTED]. I/O did not take photos of her injuries.

UOF REPORT - SUSPECT(S)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Deguevara, Gabriel

General

Gender: Male Descent: Hispanic
DOB: [REDACTED] Age: [REDACTED]
Weight: [REDACTED] Height: [REDACTED]
Home Phone No.: [REDACTED] Homeless: [REDACTED]
Other Phone No.: [REDACTED] Business Phone No.: [REDACTED]
Home Address: [REDACTED]

Business Address: [REDACTED]

Identification

Other: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Suspect Detail Information

Arrested: No Booking No.:
Charge/Hold: DR No.: 23 - 0311852
Private Person's Arrest:
Suspect/Subject on Parole: No DR Type: Use of Force Report
Suspect/Subject on Probation: No Parole Unknown Desc:
Crime Against Police Officer: No Probation Unknown Desc:
Hobble Used: No Were photos taken:
Part of Body Restrained by Hobble: No Photos Comments:
Time Restrained by Hobble:
Body Position after Application:

Other Part of Body Restrained by Hobble:

Explain Other Body Position after Application:

Suspect Contributing Behavior

Weapons Used by Suspect During Use of Force:
Bodily Force, Impact Device

Suspect's Impairment Determined by Investigator:
No Impression

Suspect Action Prior to UOF: Assault Officer w/Impact Device

[REDACTED]

Suspect Statements

Statement Consistency: Refused to give statement
Read Miranda Rights: Y
If No, Explain:
Suspect Waived Rights: N

Suspect Injury/Medical Treatment Information

Suspect Injured:	Yes	Hospitalized:	Yes
Received Medical Treatment:	Yes	Hospitalization UOF Related:	No
Medical Release Form Requested:	Yes	Treated By Physician Name:	Polanski,
Medical Release Form Obtained:	No		
If No, Explain:	Suspect refused medical release form		
Medical Action Taken:			
Date FID Contacted for Advice:	Serial No. of Contact at FID: -		

UOF REPORT - SUSPECT(S)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Suspect Injuries

Type:	[REDACTED]	Description:	[REDACTED]
Source of Injury Info:	Observed or Reported by Non-Medical Witnesses and/or Department Employees		
UOF Related: If No or Unknown, Explain:	Yes	Location:	[REDACTED]
Type:	[REDACTED]	Description:	[REDACTED]
Source of Injury Info:	Verified or Provided by Medical Personnel		
UOF Related: If No or Unknown, Explain:	No	Location:	[REDACTED] Suspect received laceration prior to UOF as seen in BWV upon contact with suspect.

Medical Treatment Description

Explain why suspect injured, but no medical treatment received:

Indicate any medical treatment provided (what treatment was done and where):

Treated by Doctor Polanski at Cedar Sinai Hospital
for Pre-existing [REDACTED]

Attendants

Treated by Physician Name: Polanski,
R/A Fire Unit Names: Dunn #420627/ Vadunkul #362408

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

SARMIENTO, RODOLFO - 38342

General


Role:	Involved	Gender	M
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:	[REDACTED]	Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W2 DEND	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	[REDACTED]
Partner1:	ARELLANO, ANDRES - 41236		PATROL
Partner2:	-		CAR
Supervisor:	WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
TASER	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent

Miranda Rights:

Waived:

Lybarger Admonishment:

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement
Statement Filename:
Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 17:55
Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min
Interviewed Independently: Yes
If No, Details:
Interview Recorded:
If No, Details:
Interview Transcribed:
Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
Media Type:
Media Control No.:
Media Comments:

ARELLANO, ANDRES - 41236

General

Role:	Involved	Gender	M
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:	[REDACTED]	Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W2 DENE	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	[REDACTED]
Partner1:	SARMIENTO, RODOLFO - 38342		PATROL
Partner2:	-		CAR
Supervisor:	WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
TASER	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	Yes
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS


Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent
Miranda Rights:
Waived:
Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement
Statement Filename:
Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 17:50
Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min
Interviewed Independently: Yes
If No, Details:
Interview Recorded:
If No, Details:
Interview Transcribed:
Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
Media Type:
Media Control No.:
Media Comments:

BONILLA, DEVIN - 44180

General

Role:	Involved	Gender:	M
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:	[REDACTED]	Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W7 DENA	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	PATROL CAR
Partner1:	MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206		
Partner2:	-		
Supervisor:	ADKINS, JASON - 35881		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283


DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
TASER	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes



Statement Consistency: Statement consistent

Miranda Rights:

Waived:

Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type:

Employee Oral Statement

Statement Filename:

Statement Comments:

Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.

Interview Date/Time:

06/05/2023 20:30

Interview Duration:

0 Hrs : 0 Min

Interviewed Independently:

Yes

If No, Details:

Interview Recorded:

If No, Details:

Interview Transcribed:

BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Interviewed By:

Media Type:

Media Control No.:

Media Comments:

MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206

General

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Role: Involved
Descent: [REDACTED]
Weight:
Organization: SW PAT W7 DENA
Rank/Pay Grade: PO 2
Partner1: BONILLA, DEVIN - 44180
Partner2:
Supervisor: ADKINS, JASON - 35881


Gender
Age:
Height:
Function:
Duty Assignment: M
[REDACTED]
PATROL
CAR

Details

On Duty: Yes In-Uniform: Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:
In Body Armor: Yes Duty Type: Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
TASER	Yes	Yes
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent
Miranda Rights:
Waived:
Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement
Statement Filename:
Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 20:35

Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min

Interviewed Independently: Yes

If No, Details:

Interview Recorded:

If No, Details:

Interview Transcribed:

Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Media Type:

Media Control No.:

Media Comments:

VARGAS, CORRINA - 44600

General

Role:	Involved	Gender	F
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:		Height:	
Organization:	SW PAT W2 DEND	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	PATROL CAR
Partner1:	CARTER, JAMES - 43928		
Partner2:	-		
Supervisor:	WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
TASER	Yes	Yes

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) USING FORCE

UOFS


Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent

Miranda Rights:

Waived:

Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement

Statement Filename:

Statement Comments:

Interview Date/Time:

Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.

06/05/2023 18:00

Interview Duration:

0 Hrs : 0 Min

Interviewed Independently:

Yes

If No, Details:

Interview Recorded:

If No, Details:

Interview Transcribed:

BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Interviewed By:

Media Type:

Media Control No.:

Media Comments:

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) WITNESS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

CHAVEZ, GABRIEL - 36761

General

Role:	Witness	Gender	
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	M
Weight:		Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W7 DENB	Function:	PATROL
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	CAR
Partner1:	TOBIAS, RICHARD - 39622		
Partner2:	-		
Supervisor:	ADKINS, JASON - 35881		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
TASER	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
OC Spray	Yes	Yes

Injuries

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) WITNESS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement
Statement Filename:
Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 17:40
Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min
Interviewed Independently: Yes
If No, Details:
Interview Recorded:
If No, Details:
Interview Transcribed:
Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
Media Type:
Media Control No.:
Media Comments:

TOBIAS, RICHARD - 39622

General

Role:	Witness	Gender	M
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:	[REDACTED]	Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W7 DENA	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	[REDACTED]
Partner1:	CHAVEZ, GABRIEL - 36761		[REDACTED]
Partner2:	-		[REDACTED]
Supervisor:	ADKINS, JASON - 35881		PATROL CAR

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
Baton	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
TASER	Yes	Yes
OC Spray	Yes	Yes

Injuries

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) WITNESS

UOFS


Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent
Miranda Rights:
Waived:
Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement
Statement Filename:
Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 17:45
Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min
Interviewed Independently: Yes
If No, Details:
Interview Recorded:
If No, Details:
Interview Transcribed:
Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727
Media Type:
Media Control No.:
Media Comments:

CARTER, JAMES - 43928

General

Role:	Witness	Gender	M
Descent:	[REDACTED]	Age:	[REDACTED]
Weight:	[REDACTED]	Height:	[REDACTED]
Organization:	SW PAT W2 DENE	Function:	[REDACTED]
Rank/Pay Grade:	PO 2	Duty Assignment:	PATROL CAR
Partner1:	VARGAS, CORRINA - 44600		
Partner2:	-		
Supervisor:	WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358		

Details

On Duty:	Yes	In-Uniform:	Yes
Off Duty In Uniform Reason:			
In Body Armor:	Yes	Duty Type:	Uniform

Equipment

UOF REPORT - EMPLOYEE(S) WITNESS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283


DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Equipment	Available?	On Person?
Hobble Restraint Device	Yes	Yes
Bean Bag Shotgun	Yes	No
OC Spray	Yes	Yes
TASER	Yes	Yes
Baton	Yes	Yes



Statements

Statement Consistency: Statement consistent

Miranda Rights:

Waived:

Lybarger Admonishment:

Statement Details 1

Statement Type: Employee Oral Statement

Statement Filename:

Statement Comments: Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.

Interview Date/Time: 06/05/2023 18:05

Interview Duration: 0 Hrs : 0 Min

Interviewed Independently: Yes

If No, Details:

Interview Recorded:

If No, Details:

Interview Transcribed:

Interviewed By: BORUNDA, RAMON - 38727

Media Type:

Media Control No.:

Media Comments:

UOF WITNESSES - NON EMPLOYEE WITNESS

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Case Status: Closed

UOF REPORT - USE OF FORCE REVIEW

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Suspect : Deguevara, Gabriel

Suspect Contributing Behavior

Suspect Controlled: Yes

Weapons Used by Suspect During Use of Force: Bodily Force, Impact Device

Mental Illness: No

Action Prior to UOF: Assault Officer w/Impact Device

Suspect Contributing Behavior

Investigating Supervisor's Observation:

Suspect's Self-Reporting of Impairment:

Employee's Observation: No Impression

Impairment Confirmed:

Comment's:

Control of Suspect

Hobble Used: No

Time Restrained with Hobble:

Hobble Body Position:

Part of Body Restrained:

Types of Force Used

UOF Type	Qty	Employee & Role	Body Area	Last Controlling Force
Beanbag Shotgun	1	ARELLANO, ANDRES - 41236 Applied	Right Leg	
Bodyweight	1	MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206 Applied	Buttocks	
Bodyweight	1	VARGAS, CORRINA - 44600 Applied	Buttocks	
Firm Grip	1	SARMIENTO, RODOLFO - 38342 Applied	Right Arm	
Firm Grip	1	VARGAS, CORRINA - 44600 Applied	Left Wrist	Yes
Firm Grip	2	BONILLA, DEVIN - 44180 Applied	Left Arm,Right Arm	
Firm Grip	2	MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206 Applied	Left Arm,Right Arm	
Takedown	1	MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206 Applied	Left Arm,Left Shoulder	

Weapon or Device Used:

If "Other" Impact Weapon or Device Used:

Use of Force Type : Bodyweight

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

Use of Force Type : Firm Grip

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

Use of Force Type : Takedown

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

UOF REPORT - USE OF FORCE REVIEW

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Use of Force Type : Beanbag Shotgun

Prior Warning Required:

Yes

Verbal Warning Given:

No

Verbatim Warning Given: suspect spontaneously turn around and threw item at Officers not allowing time for Officer to give warning to prevent physical harm on Officers.

Person Struck:

Skin Penetrated:

Contact No.	Feet	Firing Position	Background
1	7'		

Use of Force Type : Firm Grip

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

Use of Force Type : Firm Grip

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

Use of Force Type : Firm Grip

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

Use of Force Type : Bodyweight

Prior Warning Required: No

Verbal Warning Given:

Verbatim Warning Given:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

SARMIENTO, RODOLFO - 38342

Watch Commanders Insight

I, Lieutenant Scott Wilhelm, Serial No. 36358, Southwest Division Watch Commander reviewed this case. I reviewed the arrest report, the UOF investigation, the photos, BWV, DICVS, and the statements. I am providing this insight.

Note- The Use of Force Incident originated during an investigation of an Arson suspect. The primary unit, Officers Chavez and Tobias, were not involved in the Use of Force.

Upon arrival at the scene, Officers Chavez and Tobias tactically deployed their vehicle. Officers contacted the possible suspect of the Arson radio call. The suspect immediately walked away from officers, disregarding their directives.

Officers Chavez and Tobias requested additional resources and walked after the suspect. Officers continuously broadcast their location as the suspect walked through traffic. Prior to additional resources arriving, the suspect turned and ran from the officers. Officers initially slowly continued walking after the suspect until additional officers arrived. Officers Chavez and Tobias directed responding units towards the suspect, who had now run out of sight.

Upon arriving at Adams and Longwood, a team of responding officers contacted the suspect. The suspect, making erratic movements and holding an unknown object in an elevated, baseball pitcher's throwing motion, refused to comply with the officers' commands.

Note- At this time, officers had formed a semi-circle near the suspect. Several officers can be heard issuing directives to the suspect, but the officers did not verbalize well amongst each other.

Officers Manning, Bonilla, Sarmiento, and Arellano were amongst the officers closing in on the suspect. Officer Arellano was equipped with a Bean Bag shotgun, and while he is first heard on his body worn video declaring, 'Bean Bag Up,' he made this declaration some ways away from most all other officers.

Without verbalizing to each other, Officers Manning and Bonilla seemed to both identify an opportunity to go hands on with the suspect. Both officers made forward movements towards the suspect to control him. Prior to the officers grabbing him, the suspect raised his left arm into a throwing position, Officer Arellano believed the suspect was going to throw the unknown object in his left hand at one of the officers, potentially causing great injury, Officer Arellano discharged his bean bag shotgun one time into the right leg of the suspect.

Note- Officer Arellano did not verbalize with his partners that he had the bean bag shotgun and was prepared to use it. The standard preparatory alert, 'Beanbag Ready!', was not issued.

Upon being struck with the Beanbag sock round, the suspect immediately stopped his erratic movements and was taken to the ground by officer Manning. Officers Manning, Vargas, Sarmiento, and Bonilla gained control of the suspect through bodyweight, firm grips, and handcuffing.

Sergeant Borunda responded to the scene and conducted a UOF investigation. Sergeant Borunda did not locate any witnesses.

I recommend the following:

Takedown, Firm Grip, Bodyweight- Manning: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Bodyweight, Firm Grips- Vargas: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Sarmiento: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Bonilla: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Beanbag Shotgun- Arellano: In Policy/ Training for Tactics and UOF, Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 6.4, 'Beanbag Shotgun,' dated September 2020.

The discharge of the Beanbag shotgun, from approximately 7', was an appropriate force response to the suspect's actions of preparing to imminently throw an object at officers. From Officer Arellano's perspective and statements, he believed that the suspect both had the capacity and intention of throwing an unknown object at officers. The discharge of a Beanbag shotgun at such proximity to the suspect and other officers has an increased risk of missing the intended target. In this incident though, Officer Arellano's strike was both true and effective.

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Officer Arellano can clearly be heard declaring, ‘Beanbag Up!’, when he first deploys it. He was a good distance away from most every other officer involved in the Use of Force when he made this declaration. Officer Arellano most likely assumed that all other officers observed the very distinguishable green colored shotgun as the team of officers was triangulating on the suspect, but during tactical and/or high stress situations, Officers have been known to succumb to tunnel vision. It would have helped all officers at-scene if Arellano would have verbalized that he was equipped with the beanbag and prepared to use it while he and the other officers were triangulating on the suspect. Officer Arellano also failed to admonish the suspect about the use of the beanbag shotgun and its possible effects on the suspect. While I agree with Officer Arellano’s assessment that, in the moment he decided to use this force option (as the suspect raised his arm in a throwing motion at officers who were within a very short distance), he did not have time to declare the Use of Force admonishment, this mindset fails to consider earlier opportunities.

Additional:

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Chavez: Informal Training

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Tobias: Informal Training

While not directly involved in the Use of Force, the primary unit had a significant role in this incident. After first contacting the suspect, it is evident that the suspect had no intention of complying with the officer directives. Maintaining calm, the officers methodically moved towards the fleeing suspect while broadcasting their travel. During the pursuit however, the suspect can be seen on the officers’ body-worn video increasing his tempo and desire to avoid detention. While continuing to maintain their deliberate demeanor, the officers did not seem to have the same will as the suspect in increasing pace. The officers continue to methodically move towards the rapidly fleeing suspect, broadcasting his movements, until he is out of sight. At about this time additional units arrive at scene and the officers give their last, best, known direction of travel. The responding officers speed away towards the suspect while the primary continues to methodically move forward. Eventually, an understanding of the moment seems to come upon the officers, and they can be seen upping their pace from a slow methodical walk into a jog. Officers Chavez and Tobias maintaining such an enervated pursuit, allowed units to catch the suspect and negotiate through his erratic behavior without their incident knowledge or experience.

I recommend officers Chavez and Tobias receive Informal Divisional Training on Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 3.2, ‘Foot Pursuit Concepts,’ dated October 2013.

Findings by No Org, WATCH COMMANDER - WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358

Date Reviewed:	6/15/2023	Date Approved:	6/15/2023	Reviewed History Date:	6/15/2023
Tactic Finding Action:	None	Reviewed Work History:			
Policy Change	No	Use of Force Related			
Recommendation:		Complaint Initiated:			

No Force Used Information for this Employee

Action(s) Taken: No Data

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: 11/15/2023 Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History:

Approval

Policy Change No Use of Force Related No
Recommendation: Complaint Initiated:

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Employee Discussions

Action(s) Taken Completion Information

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Date:

TMS Numbers:

Provided by:

Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

ARELLANO, ANDRES - 41236

Watch Commanders Insight

I, Lieutenant Scott Wilhelm, Serial No. 36358, Southwest Division Watch Commander reviewed this case. I reviewed the arrest report, the UOF investigation, the photos, BWV, DICVS, and the statements. I am providing this insight.

Note- The Use of Force Incident originated during an investigation of an Arson suspect. The primary unit, Officers Chavez and Tobias, were not involved in the Use of Force.

Upon arrival at the scene, Officers Chavez and Tobias tactically deployed their vehicle. Officers contacted the possible suspect of the Arson radio call. The suspect immediately walked away from officers, disregarding their directives.

Officers Chavez and Tobias requested additional resources and walked after the suspect. Officers continuously broadcast their location as the suspect walked through traffic. Prior to additional resources arriving, the suspect turned and ran from the officers. Officers initially slowly continued walking after the suspect until additional officers arrived. Officers Chavez and Tobias directed responding units towards the suspect, who had now run out of sight.

Upon arriving at Adams and Longwood, a team of responding officers contacted the suspect. The suspect, making erratic movements and holding an unknown object in an elevated, baseball pitcher's throwing motion, refused to comply with the officers' commands.

Note- At this time, officers had formed a semi-circle near the suspect. Several officers can be heard issuing directives to the suspect, but the officers did not verbalize well amongst each other.

Officers Manning, Bonilla, Sarmiento, and Arellano were amongst the officers closing in on the suspect. Officer Arellano was equipped with a Bean Bag shotgun, and while he is first heard on his body worn video declaring, 'Bean Bag Up,' he made this declaration some ways away from most all other officers.

Without verbalizing to each other, Officers Manning and Bonilla seemed to both identify an opportunity to go hands on with the suspect. Both officers made forward movements towards the suspect to control him. Prior to the officers grabbing him, the suspect raised his left arm into a throwing position, Officer Arellano believed the suspect was going to throw the unknown object in his left hand at one of the officers, potentially causing great injury, Officer Arellano discharged his bean bag shotgun one time into the right leg of the suspect.

Note- Officer Arellano did not verbalize with his partners that he had the bean bag shotgun and was prepared to use it. The standard preparatory alert, 'Beanbag Ready!', was not issued.

Upon being struck with the Beanbag sock round, the suspect immediately stopped his erratic movements and was taken to the ground by officer Manning. Officers Manning, Vargas, Sarmiento, and Bonilla gained control of the suspect through bodyweight, firm grips, and handcuffing.

Sergeant Borunda responded to the scene and conducted a UOF investigation. Sergeant Borunda did not locate any witnesses.

I recommend the following:

Takedown, Firm Grip, Bodyweight- Manning: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Bodyweight, Firm Grips- Vargas: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Sarmiento: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Bonilla: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Beanbag Shotgun- Arellano: In Policy/ Training for Tactics and UOF, Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 6.4, 'Beanbag Shotgun,' dated September 2020.

The discharge of the Beanbag shotgun, from approximately 7', was an appropriate force response to the suspect's actions of preparing to imminently throw an object at officers. From Officer Arellano's perspective and statements, he believed that the suspect both had the capacity and intention of throwing an unknown object at officers. The discharge of a Beanbag shotgun at such proximity to the suspect and other officers has an increased risk of missing the intended target. In this incident though, Officer Arellano's strike was both true and effective.

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Officer Arellano can clearly be heard declaring, ‘Beanbag Up!’, when he first deploys it. He was a good distance away from most every other officer involved in the Use of Force when he made this declaration. Officer Arellano most likely assumed that all other officers observed the very distinguishable green colored shotgun as the team of officers was triangulating on the suspect, but during tactical and/or high stress situations, Officers have been known to succumb to tunnel vision. It would have helped all officers at-scene if Arellano would have verbalized that he was equipped with the beanbag and prepared to use it while he and the other officers were triangulating on the suspect. Officer Arellano also failed to admonish the suspect about the use of the beanbag shotgun and its possible effects on the suspect. While I agree with Officer Arellano’s assessment that, in the moment he decided to use this force option (as the suspect raised his arm in a throwing motion at officers who were within a very short distance), he did not have time to declare the Use of Force admonishment, this mindset fails to consider earlier opportunities.

Additional:

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Chavez: Informal Training

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Tobias: Informal Training

While not directly involved in the Use of Force, the primary unit had a significant role in this incident. After first contacting the suspect, it is evident that the suspect had no intention of complying with the officer directives. Maintaining calm, the officers methodically moved towards the fleeing suspect while broadcasting their travel. During the pursuit however, the suspect can be seen on the officers’ body-worn video increasing his tempo and desire to avoid detention. While continuing to maintain their deliberate demeanor, the officers did not seem to have the same will as the suspect in increasing pace. The officers continue to methodically move towards the rapidly fleeing suspect, broadcasting his movements, until he is out of sight. At about this time additional units arrive at scene and the officers give their last, best, known direction of travel. The responding officers speed away towards the suspect while the primary continues to methodically move forward. Eventually, an understanding of the moment seems to come upon the officers, and they can be seen upping their pace from a slow methodical walk into a jog. Officers Chavez and Tobias maintaining such an enervated pursuit, allowed units to catch the suspect and negotiate through his erratic behavior without their incident knowledge or experience.

I recommend officers Chavez and Tobias receive Informal Divisional Training on Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 3.2, ‘Foot Pursuit Concepts,’ dated October 2013.

Findings by No Org, WATCH COMMANDER - WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358

Date Reviewed:	6/15/2023	Date Approved:	6/15/2023	Reviewed History Date:	6/15/2023
Tactic Finding Action:	None	Reviewed Work History:			
Policy Change	No	Use of Force Related			
Recommendation:		Complaint Initiated:			

No Force Used Information for this Employee

Action(s) Taken: No Data

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: **Date Approved:** 11/15/2023 **Reviewed History Date:**

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History:

Approval

Policy Change Recommendation: No **Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated:** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Beanbag Shotgun		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Beanbag Shotgun		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - LOPEZ, JAMES - 32099

Date Reviewed: 7/1/2023 **Date Approved:** 7/1/2023 **Reviewed History Date:** 7/1/2023

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative **Reviewed Work History:** Yes

Approval

Policy Change No **Use of Force Related** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Dequevara, Gabriel	Beanbag Shotgun		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

I, Captain James Lopez, Commanding Officer, Southwest Patrol, have reviewed the Arrest Report, use of force report, and BWV. I concur with the findings of Lieutenant Wilhelm I recommend that Officer Arrellano's Use of Force be classified as IN POLICY; however, during review officer's lack of communication, and lack of command and control contributed to possible cross fire and the deployment of the beanbag within proximity of officers. Tactics: ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL / TRAINING

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: 11/15/2023 Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Approval Reviewed Work History:

Policy Change Recommendation: No Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated: No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Beanbag Shotgun		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Beanbag Shotgun		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Employee Discussions

Action(s) Taken Completion Information

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Date:

TMS Numbers:

Provided by:

Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

BONILLA, DEVIN - 44180

Watch Commanders Insight

I, Lieutenant Scott Wilhelm, Serial No. 36358, Southwest Division Watch Commander reviewed this case. I reviewed the arrest report, the UOF investigation, the photos, BWV, DICVS, and the statements. I am providing this insight.

Note- The Use of Force Incident originated during an investigation of an Arson suspect. The primary unit, Officers Chavez and Tobias, were not involved in the Use of Force.

Upon arrival at the scene, Officers Chavez and Tobias tactically deployed their vehicle. Officers contacted the possible suspect of the Arson radio call. The suspect immediately walked away from officers, disregarding their directives.

Officers Chavez and Tobias requested additional resources and walked after the suspect. Officers continuously broadcast their location as the suspect walked through traffic. Prior to additional resources arriving, the suspect turned and ran from the officers. Officers initially slowly continued walking after the suspect until additional officers arrived. Officers Chavez and Tobias directed responding units towards the suspect, who had now run out of sight.

Upon arriving at Adams and Longwood, a team of responding officers contacted the suspect. The suspect, making erratic movements and holding an unknown object in an elevated, baseball pitcher's throwing motion, refused to comply with the officers' commands.

Note- At this time, officers had formed a semi-circle near the suspect. Several officers can be heard issuing directives to the suspect, but the officers did not verbalize well amongst each other.

Officers Manning, Bonilla, Sarmiento, and Arellano were amongst the officers closing in on the suspect. Officer Arellano was equipped with a Bean Bag shotgun, and while he is first heard on his body worn video declaring, 'Bean Bag Up,' he made this declaration some ways away from most all other officers.

Without verbalizing to each other, Officers Manning and Bonilla seemed to both identify an opportunity to go hands on with the suspect. Both officers made forward movements towards the suspect to control him. Prior to the officers grabbing him, the suspect raised his left arm into a throwing position, Officer Arellano believed the suspect was going to throw the unknown object in his left hand at one of the officers, potentially causing great injury, Officer Arellano discharged his bean bag shotgun one time into the right leg of the suspect.

Note- Officer Arellano did not verbalize with his partners that he had the bean bag shotgun and was prepared to use it. The standard preparatory alert, 'Beanbag Ready!', was not issued.

Upon being struck with the Beanbag sock round, the suspect immediately stopped his erratic movements and was taken to the ground by officer Manning. Officers Manning, Vargas, Sarmiento, and Bonilla gained control of the suspect through bodyweight, firm grips, and handcuffing.

Sergeant Borunda responded to the scene and conducted a UOF investigation. Sergeant Borunda did not locate any witnesses.

I recommend the following:

Takedown, Firm Grip, Bodyweight- Manning: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Bodyweight, Firm Grips- Vargas: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Sarmiento: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Bonilla: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Beanbag Shotgun- Arellano: In Policy/ Training for Tactics and UOF, Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 6.4, 'Beanbag Shotgun,' dated September 2020.

The discharge of the Beanbag shotgun, from approximately 7', was an appropriate force response to the suspect's actions of preparing to imminently throw an object at officers. From Officer Arellano's perspective and statements, he believed that the suspect both had the capacity and intention of throwing an unknown object at officers. The discharge of a Beanbag shotgun at such proximity to the suspect and other officers has an increased risk of missing the intended target. In this incident though, Officer Arellano's strike was both true and effective.

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Officer Arellano can clearly be heard declaring, ‘Beanbag Up!’, when he first deploys it. He was a good distance away from most every other officer involved in the Use of Force when he made this declaration. Officer Arellano most likely assumed that all other officers observed the very distinguishable green colored shotgun as the team of officers was triangulating on the suspect, but during tactical and/or high stress situations, Officers have been known to succumb to tunnel vision. It would have helped all officers at-scene if Arellano would have verbalized that he was equipped with the beanbag and prepared to use it while he and the other officers were triangulating on the suspect. Officer Arellano also failed to admonish the suspect about the use of the beanbag shotgun and its possible effects on the suspect. While I agree with Officer Arellano’s assessment that, in the moment he decided to use this force option (as the suspect raised his arm in a throwing motion at officers who were within a very short distance), he did not have time to declare the Use of Force admonishment, this mindset fails to consider earlier opportunities.

Additional:

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Chavez: Informal Training

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Tobias: Informal Training

While not directly involved in the Use of Force, the primary unit had a significant role in this incident. After first contacting the suspect, it is evident that the suspect had no intention of complying with the officer directives. Maintaining calm, the officers methodically moved towards the fleeing suspect while broadcasting their travel. During the pursuit however, the suspect can be seen on the officers’ body-worn video increasing his tempo and desire to avoid detention. While continuing to maintain their deliberate demeanor, the officers did not seem to have the same will as the suspect in increasing pace. The officers continue to methodically move towards the rapidly fleeing suspect, broadcasting his movements, until he is out of sight. At about this time additional units arrive at scene and the officers give their last, best, known direction of travel. The responding officers speed away towards the suspect while the primary continues to methodically move forward. Eventually, an understanding of the moment seems to come upon the officers, and they can be seen upping their pace from a slow methodical walk into a jog. Officers Chavez and Tobias maintaining such an enervated pursuit, allowed units to catch the suspect and negotiate through his erratic behavior without their incident knowledge or experience.

I recommend officers Chavez and Tobias receive Informal Divisional Training on Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 3.2, ‘Foot Pursuit Concepts,’ dated October 2013.

Findings by No Org, WATCH COMMANDER - WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358

Date Reviewed:	6/15/2023	Date Approved:	6/15/2023	Reviewed History Date:	6/15/2023
Tactic Finding Action:	None	Reviewed Work History:			
Policy Change	No	Use of Force Related			
Recommendation:		Complaint Initiated:			

No Force Used Information for this Employee

Action(s) Taken: No Data

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: **Date Approved:** 11/15/2023 **Reviewed History Date:**

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History:

Approval

Policy Change Recommendation: No **Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated:** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - LOPEZ, JAMES - 32099

Date Reviewed: 7/1/2023 **Date Approved:** 7/1/2023 **Reviewed History Date:** 7/1/2023

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative **Reviewed Work History:** Yes

Approval

Policy Change Recommendation: No **Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated:** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

I, Captain James Lopez, Commanding Officer, Southwest Patrol, have reviewed the Arrest Report, use of force report, and BWV. I concur with the findings of Lieutenant Wilhelm I recommend that Officer Bonilla's Use of Force be classified as IN POLICY; however, during review officer's lack of communication, and lack of command and control contributed to possible cross fire and the deployment of the beanbag within proximity of officers. Tactics: ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL / TRAINING

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: 11/15/2023 Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Approval Reviewed Work History:

Policy Change Recommendation: No Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated: No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Employee Discussions

Action(s) Taken Completion Information

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Date:

TMS Numbers:

Provided by:

Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

MANNING, TIMOTHY - 44206

Watch Commanders Insight

I, Lieutenant Scott Wilhelm, Serial No. 36358, Southwest Division Watch Commander reviewed this case. I reviewed the arrest report, the UOF investigation, the photos, BWV, DICVS, and the statements. I am providing this insight.

Note- The Use of Force Incident originated during an investigation of an Arson suspect. The primary unit, Officers Chavez and Tobias, were not involved in the Use of Force.

Upon arrival at the scene, Officers Chavez and Tobias tactically deployed their vehicle. Officers contacted the possible suspect of the Arson radio call. The suspect immediately walked away from officers, disregarding their directives.

Officers Chavez and Tobias requested additional resources and walked after the suspect. Officers continuously broadcast their location as the suspect walked through traffic. Prior to additional resources arriving, the suspect turned and ran from the officers. Officers initially slowly continued walking after the suspect until additional officers arrived. Officers Chavez and Tobias directed responding units towards the suspect, who had now run out of sight.

Upon arriving at Adams and Longwood, a team of responding officers contacted the suspect. The suspect, making erratic movements and holding an unknown object in an elevated, baseball pitcher's throwing motion, refused to comply with the officers' commands.

Note- At this time, officers had formed a semi-circle near the suspect. Several officers can be heard issuing directives to the suspect, but the officers did not verbalize well amongst each other.

Officers Manning, Bonilla, Sarmiento, and Arellano were amongst the officers closing in on the suspect. Officer Arellano was equipped with a Bean Bag shotgun, and while he is first heard on his body worn video declaring, 'Bean Bag Up,' he made this declaration some ways away from most all other officers.

Without verbalizing to each other, Officers Manning and Bonilla seemed to both identify an opportunity to go hands on with the suspect. Both officers made forward movements towards the suspect to control him. Prior to the officers grabbing him, the suspect raised his left arm into a throwing position, Officer Arellano believed the suspect was going to throw the unknown object in his left hand at one of the officers, potentially causing great injury, Officer Arellano discharged his bean bag shotgun one time into the right leg of the suspect.

Note- Officer Arellano did not verbalize with his partners that he had the bean bag shotgun and was prepared to use it. The standard preparatory alert, 'Beanbag Ready!', was not issued.

Upon being struck with the Beanbag sock round, the suspect immediately stopped his erratic movements and was taken to the ground by officer Manning. Officers Manning, Vargas, Sarmiento, and Bonilla gained control of the suspect through bodyweight, firm grips, and handcuffing.

Sergeant Borunda responded to the scene and conducted a UOF investigation. Sergeant Borunda did not locate any witnesses.

I recommend the following:

Takedown, Firm Grip, Bodyweight- Manning: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Bodyweight, Firm Grips- Vargas: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Sarmiento: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Bonilla: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Beanbag Shotgun- Arellano: In Policy/ Training for Tactics and UOF, Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 6.4, 'Beanbag Shotgun,' dated September 2020.

The discharge of the Beanbag shotgun, from approximately 7', was an appropriate force response to the suspect's actions of preparing to imminently throw an object at officers. From Officer Arellano's perspective and statements, he believed that the suspect both had the capacity and intention of throwing an unknown object at officers. The discharge of a Beanbag shotgun at such proximity to the suspect and other officers has an increased risk of missing the intended target. In this incident though, Officer Arellano's strike was both true and effective.

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Officer Arellano can clearly be heard declaring, ‘Beanbag Up!’, when he first deploys it. He was a good distance away from most every other officer involved in the Use of Force when he made this declaration. Officer Arellano most likely assumed that all other officers observed the very distinguishable green colored shotgun as the team of officers was triangulating on the suspect, but during tactical and/or high stress situations, Officers have been known to succumb to tunnel vision. It would have helped all officers at-scene if Arellano would have verbalized that he was equipped with the beanbag and prepared to use it while he and the other officers were triangulating on the suspect. Officer Arellano also failed to admonish the suspect about the use of the beanbag shotgun and its possible effects on the suspect. While I agree with Officer Arellano’s assessment that, in the moment he decided to use this force option (as the suspect raised his arm in a throwing motion at officers who were within a very short distance), he did not have time to declare the Use of Force admonishment, this mindset fails to consider earlier opportunities.

Additional:

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Chavez: Informal Training

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Tobias: Informal Training

While not directly involved in the Use of Force, the primary unit had a significant role in this incident. After first contacting the suspect, it is evident that the suspect had no intention of complying with the officer directives. Maintaining calm, the officers methodically moved towards the fleeing suspect while broadcasting their travel. During the pursuit however, the suspect can be seen on the officers’ body-worn video increasing his tempo and desire to avoid detention. While continuing to maintain their deliberate demeanor, the officers did not seem to have the same will as the suspect in increasing pace. The officers continue to methodically move towards the rapidly fleeing suspect, broadcasting his movements, until he is out of sight. At about this time additional units arrive at scene and the officers give their last, best, known direction of travel. The responding officers speed away towards the suspect while the primary continues to methodically move forward. Eventually, an understanding of the moment seems to come upon the officers, and they can be seen upping their pace from a slow methodical walk into a jog. Officers Chavez and Tobias maintaining such an enervated pursuit, allowed units to catch the suspect and negotiate through his erratic behavior without their incident knowledge or experience.

I recommend officers Chavez and Tobias receive Informal Divisional Training on Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 3.2, ‘Foot Pursuit Concepts,’ dated October 2013.

Findings by No Org, WATCH COMMANDER - WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358

Date Reviewed:	6/15/2023	Date Approved:	6/15/2023	Reviewed History Date:	6/15/2023
Tactic Finding Action:	None	Reviewed Work History:			
Policy Change	No	Use of Force Related			
Recommendation:		Complaint Initiated:			

No Force Used Information for this Employee

Action(s) Taken: No Data

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: **Date Approved:** 11/15/2023 **Reviewed History Date:**

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History:

Approval

Policy Change Recommendation: No **Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated:** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Takedown		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Takedown		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - LOPEZ, JAMES - 32099

Date Reviewed: 7/1/2023 **Date Approved:** 7/1/2023 **Reviewed History Date:** 7/1/2023

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History: Yes

Policy Change Recommendation: Approval No **Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated:** No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Takedown		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

I, Captain James Lopez, Commanding Officer, Southwest Patrol, have reviewed the Arrest Report, use of force report, and BWV. I concur with the findings of Lieutenant Wilhelm I recommend that Officer Manning's. Use of Force be classified as IN POLICY; however, during review officer's lack of communication, and lack of command and control contributed to possible cross fire and the deployment of the beanbag within proximity of officers. Tactics: ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL / TRAINING

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: 11/15/2023 Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Approval Reviewed Work History:

Policy Change No Use of Force Related No
Recommendation: Complaint Initiated:

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Takedown		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Takedown		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Employee Discussions

Action(s) Taken Completion Information

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Date:

TMS Numbers:

Provided by:

Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

VARGAS, CORRINA - 44600

Watch Commanders Insight

I, Lieutenant Scott Wilhelm, Serial No. 36358, Southwest Division Watch Commander reviewed this case. I reviewed the arrest report, the UOF investigation, the photos, BWV, DICVS, and the statements. I am providing this insight.

Note- The Use of Force Incident originated during an investigation of an Arson suspect. The primary unit, Officers Chavez and Tobias, were not involved in the Use of Force.

Upon arrival at the scene, Officers Chavez and Tobias tactically deployed their vehicle. Officers contacted the possible suspect of the Arson radio call. The suspect immediately walked away from officers, disregarding their directives.

Officers Chavez and Tobias requested additional resources and walked after the suspect. Officers continuously broadcast their location as the suspect walked through traffic. Prior to additional resources arriving, the suspect turned and ran from the officers. Officers initially slowly continued walking after the suspect until additional officers arrived. Officers Chavez and Tobias directed responding units towards the suspect, who had now run out of sight.

Upon arriving at Adams and Longwood, a team of responding officers contacted the suspect. The suspect, making erratic movements and holding an unknown object in an elevated, baseball pitcher's throwing motion, refused to comply with the officers' commands.

Note- At this time, officers had formed a semi-circle near the suspect. Several officers can be heard issuing directives to the suspect, but the officers did not verbalize well amongst each other.

Officers Manning, Bonilla, Sarmiento, and Arellano were amongst the officers closing in on the suspect. Officer Arellano was equipped with a Bean Bag shotgun, and while he is first heard on his body worn video declaring, 'Bean Bag Up,' he made this declaration some ways away from most all other officers.

Without verbalizing to each other, Officers Manning and Bonilla seemed to both identify an opportunity to go hands on with the suspect. Both officers made forward movements towards the suspect to control him. Prior to the officers grabbing him, the suspect raised his left arm into a throwing position, Officer Arellano believed the suspect was going to throw the unknown object in his left hand at one of the officers, potentially causing great injury, Officer Arellano discharged his bean bag shotgun one time into the right leg of the suspect.

Note- Officer Arellano did not verbalize with his partners that he had the bean bag shotgun and was prepared to use it. The standard preparatory alert, 'Beanbag Ready!', was not issued.

Upon being struck with the Beanbag sock round, the suspect immediately stopped his erratic movements and was taken to the ground by officer Manning. Officers Manning, Vargas, Sarmiento, and Bonilla gained control of the suspect through bodyweight, firm grips, and handcuffing.

Sergeant Borunda responded to the scene and conducted a UOF investigation. Sergeant Borunda did not locate any witnesses.

I recommend the following:

Takedown, Firm Grip, Bodyweight- Manning: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Bodyweight, Firm Grips- Vargas: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Sarmiento: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Firm Grip- Bonilla: In Policy/ No Action for Tactics and UOF

Beanbag Shotgun- Arellano: In Policy/ Training for Tactics and UOF, Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 6.4, 'Beanbag Shotgun,' dated September 2020.

The discharge of the Beanbag shotgun, from approximately 7', was an appropriate force response to the suspect's actions of preparing to imminently throw an object at officers. From Officer Arellano's perspective and statements, he believed that the suspect both had the capacity and intention of throwing an unknown object at officers. The discharge of a Beanbag shotgun at such proximity to the suspect and other officers has an increased risk of missing the intended target. In this incident though, Officer Arellano's strike was both true and effective.

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Officer Arellano can clearly be heard declaring, ‘Beanbag Up!’, when he first deploys it. He was a good distance away from most every other officer involved in the Use of Force when he made this declaration. Officer Arellano most likely assumed that all other officers observed the very distinguishable green colored shotgun as the team of officers was triangulating on the suspect, but during tactical and/or high stress situations, Officers have been known to succumb to tunnel vision. It would have helped all officers at-scene if Arellano would have verbalized that he was equipped with the beanbag and prepared to use it while he and the other officers were triangulating on the suspect. Officer Arellano also failed to admonish the suspect about the use of the beanbag shotgun and its possible effects on the suspect. While I agree with Officer Arellano’s assessment that, in the moment he decided to use this force option (as the suspect raised his arm in a throwing motion at officers who were within a very short distance), he did not have time to declare the Use of Force admonishment, this mindset fails to consider earlier opportunities.

Additional:

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Chavez: Informal Training

Foot Pursuit Tactics- Tobias: Informal Training

While not directly involved in the Use of Force, the primary unit had a significant role in this incident. After first contacting the suspect, it is evident that the suspect had no intention of complying with the officer directives. Maintaining calm, the officers methodically moved towards the fleeing suspect while broadcasting their travel. During the pursuit however, the suspect can be seen on the officers’ body-worn video increasing his tempo and desire to avoid detention. While continuing to maintain their deliberate demeanor, the officers did not seem to have the same will as the suspect in increasing pace. The officers continue to methodically move towards the rapidly fleeing suspect, broadcasting his movements, until he is out of sight. At about this time additional units arrive at scene and the officers give their last, best, known direction of travel. The responding officers speed away towards the suspect while the primary continues to methodically move forward. Eventually, an understanding of the moment seems to come upon the officers, and they can be seen upping their pace from a slow methodical walk into a jog. Officers Chavez and Tobias maintaining such an enervated pursuit, allowed units to catch the suspect and negotiate through his erratic behavior without their incident knowledge or experience.

I recommend officers Chavez and Tobias receive Informal Divisional Training on Use of Force Tactics Directive, Directive No. 3.2, ‘Foot Pursuit Concepts,’ dated October 2013.

Findings by No Org, WATCH COMMANDER - WILHELM, SCOTT - 36358

Date Reviewed:	6/15/2023	Date Approved:	6/15/2023	Reviewed History Date:	6/15/2023
Tactic Finding Action:	None	Reviewed Work History:			
Policy Change	No	Use of Force Related			
Recommendation:		Complaint Initiated:			

No Force Used Information for this Employee

Action(s) Taken: No Data

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History:

Approval

Policy Change Use of Force Related No
Recommendation: Complaint Initiated:

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - LOPEZ, JAMES - 32099

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: Reviewed History Date: 7/1/2023

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Reviewed Work History: Yes

Approval

Policy Change Use of Force Related No
Recommendation: Complaint Initiated:

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments: I, Captain James Lopez, Commanding Officer, Southwest Patrol, have reviewed the Arrest Report, use of force report, and BWV. I concur with the findings of Lieutenant Wilhelm I recommend that Officer Vargas. Use of Force be classified as IN POLICY; however, during review officer's lack of communication, and lack of command and control contributed to possible cross fire and the deployment of the beanbag within proximity of officers. Tactics: ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL / TRAINING

FINDINGS (INTERNAL PROCESS REPORT)

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

DR No: 23-0311852

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Findings by No Org, COMMANDING OFFICER - PLUGGE, MATTHEW - 35134

Date Reviewed: Date Approved: 11/15/2023 Reviewed History Date:

Tactic Finding Action: Administrative Approval Reviewed Work History:

Policy Change Recommendation: No Use of Force Related Complaint Initiated: No

Suspect	UOF Used	Location	Classification	Action
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Firm Grip		In Policy	Administrative Approval
Deguevara, Gabriel	Bodyweight		In Policy	Administrative Approval

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Work History Review Comments:

Finding Review Comments:

Employee Discussions

Action(s) Taken Completion Information

Action(s) Taken: Divisional Training

Date:

TMS Numbers:

Provided by:

Comments:

Meeting Dates

TGCO Meeting Date: 8/8/2023

UOFRS Confirmation of Action(s)

Taken Completion Date:

UOFS Brief (to be completed by UOF Review Section only)

UOF Review Section Brief

Comments:

I have reviewed this case.

- Officer Navarro, CIRD.

Chrono Log

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Date	Time	Comments	User	Case Status at Time Of Entry
8/8/2023	7:50	Divisional Training was recommended, please ensure training was provided and enter all the relevant information under Findings-Training.	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN-40171	Pre-Findings
7/19/2023	17:26	Request pre-review by Sgt Fleisher CIRD	CURRY, CHRISTOPHER-33384	COC Review

ROUTING NOTES

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Work Item Name	Target User Id	Start Date	Completion Date	Open/ Comp By	Organization	Rank	Comments	Work Item Status	Case Status
Enter CIRD CO Findings	No Org - 26098	11/15/23	11/15/23	FLEISCHER, ANGELA-38569	26119	SGT 2	Reviewed the investigation, including the related reports, photographs, BWV (sufficient to adjudicate the applications of force and tactics) and the IPR. Concur with the findings and recommendations for the involved officers.	Completed	Findings
Conduct Administrative Review and Analysis	No Org - 26119	8/22/23	8/22/23	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN-40171	26119	PO 3	Ready for final review.	Completed	Pre-Findings
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	No Org - 26119	8/16/23	8/16/23	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN-40171	26119	PO 3	Routing	Completed	COC Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	8/16/23	8/16/23	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN-40171	26119	PO 3	Routing	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	8/10/23	8/10/23	HILL, BRANDEA-34400	12116	SGT 2	kb addressed	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Administrative Review and Analysis	No Org - 26119	8/8/23	8/8/23	NAVARRO, JAQUELYNN-40171	26119	PO 3	Please see the Chrono Log for KB concern. If you have any questions, contact Officer Navarro at 213-486-5970.	Kickback	Pre-Findings
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	7/19/23	7/19/23	PINTO, JONATHAN-32592	11079	CMDR	submitted to the next level for review	Completed	COC Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	No Org - 11079	7/19/23	7/19/23	CORIA, VICTOR-39090	26299	PO 2	ready for review	Completed	COC Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	7/19/23	7/19/23	LOPEZ, JAMES-32099	11092	CAPT 1	Corrections made, for review	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	No Org - 11079	7/19/23	7/19/23	CORIA, VICTOR-39090	26299	PO 2	KB	Kickback	COC Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation	-	7/1/23	7/19/23	LOPEZ, JAMES-32099	11092	CAPT 1	Submitted for review	Completed	Investigation Review

ROUTING NOTES

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Work Item Name	Target User Id	Start Date	Completion Date	Open/ Comp By	Organization	Rank	Comments	Work Item Status	Case Status
Review									
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	No Org - 12099	6/16/23	6/16/23	RAMIREZ, GILBERT-34943	12116	PO 2	Ready for C/O review	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct 14-Day Incident Review	No Org - 12099	6/13/23	6/13/23	LOPEZ, JAMES-32099	11092	CAPT 1	Please complete 14 day reviews	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	6/12/23	6/15/23	WILHELM, SCOTT-36358	12100	LT 1	WC Insight Added	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation Review	-	6/12/23	6/12/23	RAMIREZ, GILBERT-34943	12116	PO 2	Ready for W/C review	Completed	Investigation Review
Conduct Non-Categorical Investigation		6/5/23	6/11/23	BORUNDA, RAMON-38727	14599	SGT 1	Submitted to next level for review	Completed	Investigation

Attachments

UOFS

Case Number: 2038283

Case Type: NONCAT - II

Case Status: Closed

DR No: 23-0311852

DR Type: Use of Force Report

Attachment Type	Context Data	Attachment Description
Addenda Item	rpt2038283.pdf	IR Report
Addenda Item	uofnotes2038283.docx	UOF Sgt. Notes
Addenda Item	314 u8283s.pdf	3.14
Addenda Item	img_2417.jpg	Photo of UOF scene
Addenda Item	img_2416.jpg	Photo of UOF scene
Addenda Item	img_2416.jpg	Photo of UOF scene
Addenda Item	img_2415.jpg	Photo of UOF scene
Addenda Item	[REDACTED].jpg	Photo of suspect
Addenda Item	[REDACTED]1.jpg	Photo of suspect bean bag injury
Addenda Item	[REDACTED]2.jpg	Photo of Suspect
Addenda Item	cchrs2038283.pdf	CCHRS
Addenda Item	medrelease2038283.pdf	Medical Release Form
Addenda Item	inrecall2038283.pdf	Incident Recall
Addenda Item	[REDACTED]	Photo of suspect
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
Employee Oral Statement		Statement consistent with the account of UOF incident as depicted in related report.
UOFRS Brief		I have reviewed this case. - Officer Navarro, CIRD.