Lab_Exercise_1_Simple

July 16, 2025

1 Laboratory Exercise-1: Feature Scaling Methods

AICTE Faculty ID: 1-3241967546

Faculty Name: Milav Jayeshkumar Dabgar

Date: July 16, 2025

1.1 Objective

Apply different feature scaling methods on the Social Network Ads dataset and compare their outputs.

1.2 1. Import Libraries

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
  import numpy as np
  from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler, RobustScaler
  from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
  from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
  from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score

print("Libraries imported successfully!")
```

Libraries imported successfully!

1.3 2. Load Dataset

```
[2]: # Load the Social Network Ads dataset
data = pd.read_csv('Social_Network_Ads.csv')

print(f"Dataset shape: {data.shape}")
print("\nFirst 5 rows:")
print(data.head())

print("\nDataset info:")
print(data.info())
```

Dataset shape: (400, 3)

First 5 rows:

	Age	${ t Estimated Salary}$	Purchased
0	19	19000	0
1	35	20000	0
2	26	43000	0
3	27	57000	0
4	19	76000	0

Dataset info:

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399
Data columns (total 3 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Age	400 non-null	int64
1	EstimatedSalary	400 non-null	int64
2	Purchased	400 non-null	int64

dtypes: int64(3)
memory usage: 9.5 KB

None

1.4 3. Data Preprocessing

```
[3]: # Select features and target
X = data[['Age', 'EstimatedSalary']]
y = data['Purchased']

print("Features:")
print(X.head())
print("\nTarget:")
print(y.value_counts())
```

Features:

	Age	EstimatedSalary
0	19	19000
1	35	20000
2	26	43000
3	27	57000
4	19	76000

Target:

Purchased

0 257 1 143

Name: count, dtype: int64

1.5 4. Apply Different Feature Scaling Methods

```
[4]: # Original data (no scaling)
     print("Original Data:")
     print(X.describe())
     print("\n" + "="*50)
    Original Data:
                  Age EstimatedSalary
           400.000000
                            400.000000
    count
    mean
            37.655000
                          69742.500000
    std
            10.482877
                          34096.960282
    min
            18.000000
                          15000.000000
    25%
            29.750000
                          43000.000000
    50%
            37.000000
                          70000.000000
    75%
            46.000000
                          88000.000000
            60.000000
                         150000.000000
    max
[5]: # StandardScaler
     scaler_std = StandardScaler()
     X_standard = scaler_std.fit_transform(X)
     print("StandardScaler Output:")
     X_std_df = pd.DataFrame(X_standard, columns=['Age_scaled', 'Salary_scaled'])
     print(X_std_df.describe())
     print("\nFirst 5 rows:")
     print(X_std_df.head())
     print("\n" + "="*50)
    StandardScaler Output:
             Age_scaled Salary_scaled
    count 4.000000e+02
                          4.000000e+02
    mean -7.105427e-17 -1.776357e-17
    std
           1.001252e+00
                         1.001252e+00
          -1.877311e+00 -1.607506e+00
    \mathtt{min}
    25%
          -7.550313e-01 -7.852897e-01
    50%
          -6.256110e-02 7.561451e-03
    75%
           7.970571e-01
                          5.361289e-01
           2.134241e+00
                          2.356750e+00
    First 5 rows:
       Age_scaled
                   Salary_scaled
        -1.781797
    0
                       -1.490046
    1
       -0.253587
                       -1.460681
    2
       -1.113206
                       -0.785290
        -1.017692
                       -0.374182
      -1.781797
                        0.183751
```

```
[6]: # MinMaxScaler
     scaler_mm = MinMaxScaler()
     X_minmax = scaler_mm.fit_transform(X)
     print("MinMaxScaler Output:")
     X_mm_df = pd.DataFrame(X_minmax, columns=['Age_scaled', 'Salary_scaled'])
     print(X_mm_df.describe())
     print("\nFirst 5 rows:")
     print(X mm df.head())
     print("\n" + "="*50)
    MinMaxScaler Output:
           Age_scaled Salary_scaled
    count 400.000000
                          400.000000
             0.467976
                            0.405500
    mean
                            0.252570
    std
             0.249592
    min
             0.000000
                            0.000000
    25%
            0.279762
                            0.207407
    50%
             0.452381
                            0.407407
    75%
             0.666667
                            0.540741
    max
             1.000000
                            1.000000
    First 5 rows:
       Age_scaled Salary_scaled
    0
         0.023810
                        0.029630
    1
         0.404762
                        0.037037
    2
         0.190476
                        0.207407
    3
         0.214286
                        0.311111
         0.023810
                        0.451852
[7]: # RobustScaler
     scaler_rob = RobustScaler()
     X_robust = scaler_rob.fit_transform(X)
     print("RobustScaler Output:")
     X_rob_df = pd.DataFrame(X_robust, columns=['Age_scaled', 'Salary_scaled'])
     print(X rob df.describe())
     print("\nFirst 5 rows:")
     print(X_rob_df.head())
     print("\n" + "="*50)
    RobustScaler Output:
           Age_scaled Salary_scaled
    count 400.000000
                          400.000000
```

```
0.040308
                      -0.005722
mean
        0.645100
                      0.757710
std
       -1.169231
                      -1.222222
min
25%
       -0.446154
                      -0.600000
                       0.000000
50%
        0.000000
75%
        0.553846
                       0.400000
max
        1.415385
                       1.777778
First 5 rows:
  Age_scaled Salary_scaled
0 -1.107692
                  -1.133333
  -0.123077
1
                  -1.111111
  -0.676923
                  -0.600000
3 -0.615385
                  -0.288889
  -1.107692
                   0.133333
```

1.6 5. Train-Test Split and Model Comparison

```
[8]: # Split data
     X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,_
      →random_state=42)
     # Test different scaling methods
     scalers = {
         'No Scaling': None,
         'StandardScaler': StandardScaler(),
         'MinMaxScaler': MinMaxScaler(),
         'RobustScaler': RobustScaler()
     }
     results = []
     for name, scaler in scalers.items():
         if scaler is None:
             X_train_scaled = X_train
             X_test_scaled = X_test
         else:
             X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
             X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
         # Train model
         model = LogisticRegression(random_state=42)
         model.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
         # Predict and calculate accuracy
```

```
y_pred = model.predict(X_test_scaled)
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)

results.append({'Scaling Method': name, 'Accuracy': accuracy})
print(f"{name}: {accuracy:.4f}")

# Show results
results_df = pd.DataFrame(results)
print("\nResults Summary:")
print(results_df)
```

No Scaling: 0.8875 StandardScaler: 0.8625 MinMaxScaler: 0.8750 RobustScaler: 0.8625

Results Summary:

Scaling Method Accuracy

No Scaling 0.8875

StandardScaler 0.8625

MinMaxScaler 0.8750

RobustScaler 0.8625

1.7 6. Conclusion

1.7.1 Experimental Results Summary:

From our feature scaling experiments on the Social Network Ads dataset, we obtained the following accuracy results:

Scaling Method	Accuracy
No Scaling	88.75%
${\rm MinMaxScaler}$	87.50%
${\bf Standard Scaler}$	86.25%
RobustScaler	86.25%

1.7.2 Key Findings:

- 1. No scaling performed best (88.75%) This indicates that the original features (Age and Salary) were already on reasonable scales for logistic regression.
- 2. Feature scaling characteristics observed:
 - StandardScaler: Successfully normalized data to mean 0, std 1
 - MinMaxScaler: Scaled all values to [0,1] range
 - RobustScaler: Used median and IQR, robust to outliers
- 3. All scaling methods successfully transformed the data while preserving the underlying relationships between features and target variable.

1.7.3 Learning Outcomes Achieved:

- Applied multiple feature scaling techniques
- Compared scaling method outputs and transformations
- Evaluated model performance impact of different scaling approaches
- Demonstrated that scaling choice depends on data characteristics and algorithm

Conclusion: While feature scaling is often crucial for machine learning algorithms, this experiment shows that some datasets and algorithms (like this logistic regression on Social Network Ads) may work well even without scaling, emphasizing the importance of experimental validation.