

Analyzing the Magnitude Curve of Dq Cep

Miles Lucas

October 3, 2017

Abstract

We seek to characterize the magnitude curve of the δ -scuti type variable star Dq Cep. In order to do this we want to take four hours worth of exposures to capture two full periods of data. We want to use differential photometry using two reference stars for every image. To obtain the magnitude curve we use the Lomb-Scargle periodogram and model to fit our data. Preliminary data analysis shows a clear variable relation.

1 Equipment and Observations

We request use of the 8-inch Meade reflector telescope along with a 2x focal length extender for use with the CCD to increase its field of view. Our estimated period is around 2 h and we want to capture as much data as possible. We want at least 4 hours of data and we think the best course of action would be to take exposures in 4 hour sessions over the course of two or three sessions.

Figure 1 shows that we will be able to see the star and have a reasonable airmass over this time period. Mid October shows promise for observations. Our most preferred date is 18 October 2017 due to the new moon. Our star is fairly distant from the moon, thankfully, so we do not have issues even if the moon is up, so other weeks work well, too. Table 1 contains info about the star of interest and the reference stars for the differential photometry.

2 Scientific Justification

3 Technical Justification

Previous experiments done using the same telescope and SBIG CCD have shown that differential photometry can be accurate to around 0.01 mag. Because our expected magnitude range is 0.08 mag we wanted to be sure a difference could be seen. To showcase the precision we have already taken a preliminary dataset that shows a difference in the magnitudes. Figure 2 shows a downward trend. We tried fitting a one term Fourier model (a simple sine wave) but it is very hard to get the frequency without having at least two relative extrema.

Table 1: Information about target and reference stars

Object	Type	RA	DEC	V	Ref
Dq Cep	*dS	20 57 48.6082	55°29'15.602"	7.40 to 7.48	[?]
HD 235411	*	20 57 31.1094	55°31'38.697"	9.76(3)	[?]
HD 200017	*	20 58 27.2026	55°39'0.459"	8.20(1)	[?]




Figure 1: Output from Peter Sorensen's Object Visibility applet. Peek is around 20:00:00 CST and is still sufficiently high four hours later at 24:00:00 CST

4 Analysis Plan

The analysis pipeline will require processing approximately 600 images. This will require efficient programs, like AstroImageJ, which allow calculations on large image sequences. Using AstroImageJ we can dark subtract every image and perform differential photometry.

This photometry data will then be used to fit our variable model. Right now, we are leaning toward the use of a Lomb-Scargle periodogram, although a classical periodgram may work fine as well due to constant acquisition rate. Jake VanderPlas gives an in-depth analysis of different variability modeling methods that will help us choose our final method for period-finding [?].

Once we have establishes a good period for us to work with, we will fold the data into a period lightcurve and then can try and find the period-luminosity relationship using a linear regression.




Figure 2: