

Chapter 1: 1a. Sample Space: {HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT}

б, 1) ХИМ, ИИТ, ТНК, ИПУ; 2) ХИМ, ИИТ, ИПУ 3) ИИТ, ГИТ, ИПУ

c. A^c = "complement": the elements in the space which are not A.

$A \cap B$ = "intersection": the event both A and B occur.

$A \cup B$ = "Union": events of A and B, and A or B. $\{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH\}$

$$\text{Z. a) } P(A \cup B \cup C) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C) - P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap C) - P(B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C)$$

$$\therefore P(A \cup B) \cup P(C) = [P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)] \cup P(C)$$

Kit 11, (NOV), Addition L'Anse

$$= P(A \cup B)P(A \cap B)^c = P(A \cap B^c)P(B^c) \quad (\text{since } A \cap B = \emptyset)$$

$$= P(A) + P(C) - P(A \cap C) + P(B) + P(C) - P(B \cap C) - P(C) \cup (P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B \cap C))$$

$$= P(A) + P(B) + P(C) - P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap C) - P(B \cap C) + P(A \cap B \cap C)$$

31km 3 days. RRR RRG RRW RGG GGN

RR GG

10

$$n=6$$

$$\binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} = \frac{6!}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4} = \frac{20}{6} = \frac{20}{6 \cdot 6} = \frac{5}{9}$$

Event A: I Draw

$$\frac{P(R) + P(G) + P(W)}{P(G \cap R \cap W)} = \frac{\binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{1}{1}}{\binom{6}{4}} = \frac{\frac{3!}{3!(6-3)!}}{\frac{6!}{(6-4)!}} = \frac{\frac{3!}{3!3!}}{\frac{6!}{2!4!}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3!3!}}{\frac{6!}{2!4!}} = \frac{\frac{1}{6}}{\frac{15}{12}} = \frac{1}{15}$$

Even B:2 Draw

$$\frac{P(R) + P(G) + P(W)}{P(\text{at least one})} = \dots$$

Should write Unions and intersection instead

4. Prove

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) \quad ; \quad P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \cdots + P(A_n) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) - \cdots - P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \dots + P(A_n)$$

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

5. Let $(A, \text{not } B) \Leftrightarrow (\text{not } B, \text{not } A)$ be

$$C = A \wedge B = (A \wedge \neg B) \vee (\neg A \wedge B) = A \wedge \neg B \vee \neg A \wedge B$$



b. Two six-sided dice are thrown: A) Sample space:

B) (1) $A = \text{sum of the two values is at least } 5.$

- | Dice 1: | Dice 2: |
|---------|---------|
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 3 | 6 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 6 |
| 5 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 5 | 3 |
| 5 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 6 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 |
| 6 | 4 |
| 6 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |

(2) $B = \text{the value of the first die is greater than the second.}$

- | Dice 1: | Dice 2: |
|---------|---------|
| 2, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 3, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 3, 2 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 2 | 1, 1 |
| 5, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 5, 2 | 1, 1 |
| 5, 3 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 2 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 3 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 4 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 5 | 1, 1 |
| 6, 6 | 1, 1 |

(3) $C = \text{the first value is } 4$

- | Dice 1: | Dice 2: |
|---------|---------|
| 4, 1 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 2 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 3 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 4 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 5 | 1, 1 |
| 4, 6 | 1, 1 |

$$A \cap C = (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (4, 6)$$

$$B \cup C = (2, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1), (6, 1), (3, 2), (5, 2), (6, 2), (5, 3), (6, 3), (5, 4), (6, 4), (6, 5), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (4, 6)$$

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (4, 6)$$

7) Bonferroni's equality: $P(A \cap B) \geq P(A) + P(B) - 1.$

: Addition Law: $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cup B)$$

Therefore, $P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cup B) \geq P(A) + P(B) - 1$

$$P(A \cup B) \leq 1$$

De Morgan's Law:

$$(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$$

$$(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$$

9. Probability of rain on Saturday (25%)

Probability of rain on Sunday (25%)

The probability of consecutive events would be the multiplicative of the probability of the event ($\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{16} = 12.5\%$) and not 50% proposed.

Information Theory and References David Mackay.

Example 1.1: (Prob F) is heads of a coin. (N) tosses. What is the prob-dist of heads (r)?

$$\text{Binomial: } P(r|F, N) = \binom{N}{r} f^r (1-f)^{N-r}$$

↑ Binomial coefficient
↑ Prob tails
↑ Prob heads

Mean: $E[r] = \sum r P(r|f, N) \cdot r$

Var $\equiv E[(r - E[r])^2]$

$= E[r^2] - (E[r])^2 = \sum_{r=0}^N P(r|f, N) r^2 - (E[r])^2$

Exercise 1.2: Prove error probability is reduced by using R_3 by computing the error probability for a binary symmetric channel with noise level f .

R_3 is defined as a bit sequence of XXX where $X \in \{0, 1\}$.
 With probability f a bit flipped being F,
 with the probability of two bits being flipped $3f^2(1-f)$
 and the bits flipped having probability f^3 .

The probability distributions are:

$$\begin{aligned} r=1 & P(r=1|f, N=3) = \binom{3}{1} f^1 (1-f)^2 \\ r=2 & P(r=2|f, N=3) = \binom{3}{2} f^2 (1-f)^1 \\ r=3 & P(r=3|f, N=3) = \binom{3}{3} f^3 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.3: a) Show probability of error

P_e , over n -repetitions is

$$P_e = \sum_{n=(N+1)/2}^N \binom{N}{n} f^n (1-f)^{N-n} \text{ for odd } n.$$

$$\text{b) } P_e = \sum_{n=(N+1)/2}^N \binom{N}{n} f^n (1-f)^{N-n} = \sum_{n=(N+1)/2}^N \binom{N}{(N+1)/2} f^{(N+1)/2} (1-f)^{(N-1)/2}$$

The Binary Entropy function $H_2(x) = x \log \frac{1}{x} + (1-x) \log \frac{1}{1-x}$

c) Which relates to Sterling Approx: $x \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2x = x!$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \binom{N}{r} &= \ln \frac{N!}{(N-r)! r!} = \ln \frac{N \ln N - N + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2N}{((N-r) \ln(N-r) - N-r + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2N)(r \ln r - r + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2r))} \\ &\approx (N-r) \ln \left(\frac{N}{N-r} \right) + r \ln \frac{N}{r} \end{aligned}$$

If rewritten, $\log \binom{N}{r} \approx N H_2(r/N) : \binom{N}{r} \approx 2^{N H_2(r/N)}$

$$\approx N H_2(r/N) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log [2 \pi N \frac{N-r}{N} \frac{r}{N}]$$

Back to the exercise,

$$\binom{N}{K} = \frac{1}{N+1} 2^{N H_2(K/N)} \leq \binom{N}{k} \leq 2^{N H_2(k/N)} \Rightarrow \binom{N}{K} \approx 2^{N H_2(K/N)}$$

$$P_b \approx 2^{N H_2(K/N)} \cdot f^{N/k} (1-f)^{N/k} = 4f(1-f)^{N/2}$$

$$\text{d) A prob } 10^{-15} \text{ requires } N \approx 2^{\frac{\log 10^{-15}}{\log 4f(1-f)}}$$

$$\text{Exercise 1.4: Prove } HG^T$$

$$H = [P \ I_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G^T = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 \\ P \end{bmatrix}$$

"Parity Check" $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

"Generator" $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$HG^T = [P \ I_3] \begin{bmatrix} I_4 \\ P \end{bmatrix} = PI_4 + I_3P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}}$$

Exercise 1.5 Refer to the (7,4) Hamming code

(7,4) Hamming code


Block Code - rule for converting a sequence of bits s , of length K , to a sequence t of length N bits.

Note: Redundancy occurs when $N > K$.

Linear Code - When the $N-K$ bits are a linear function of the original K bits, i.e. parity check bits.

(7,4) Hamming - $N=7$ for every $K=4$ source bits.

Pictorial:



t = transmitted, transmitted bits

s = source bits




Parity-check bits are when each circle is even.

s	t	s	t	s	t	s	t
0000	0000000	0100	0100110	1000	1000101	1100	1100011
0001	0001011	0101	0101101	1001	1001110	1101	1101000
0010	0010011	0110	0110001	1010	1010010	1110	1110100
0011	0011010	0111	0111010	1011	1011001	1111	1111111

$$t = G^T s$$

Denote received = $G^T s + n$; Syndrome Vector $Z = Hr$

$$a) r = 1101011 \quad b) r = 0110110 \quad c) r = 0100111 \quad d) r = 1111111$$



$$Z = (1, 0, 1)$$

$$Z = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$Z = (0, 0, 1)$$

$$Z = (0, 0, 0)$$

Exercise 1.6 a) Calculate P_B of (7,4) Hamming code as a function of noise level f . and show that it goes as $2fP^2$

$$P_B = P(\hat{s} \neq s) = \sum_{r=2}^7 \binom{7}{r} f^r (1-f)^{7-r} = \frac{7!}{5! \cdot 2!} f^2 (1-f)^5 = 21 f^2 (1-f)^5 \approx \boxed{21P^2} f^5$$

$$b) P_B = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K P(\hat{s}_k \neq s_k) = \frac{3}{7} 21 f^2 P^5 \approx \boxed{9P^2} f^5$$

Exercise 1.7: Permutation of $XXXX$; $X \in \{0, 1\}$

Exercise 1.8: Block Decoding Error

(7,4) Hamming Code

$$PB = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{7}{r} F^r (1-p)^{7-r}$$

$$= 7F(1-p)^6 + 7 \cdot 6 F^2 (1-p)^5 + \dots$$

00000	1001
00100	1010
00111	1011
01000	1100
01011	1101
01100	1110
01111	1111
10000	1111


Exercise 1.9: Prepare a bipartite graph. The (7,4) Hamming Code is 7 circles and

◦ Parity-check is a row of $H_{[N]}$

◦ Bit-node is a column of $H_{[M]}$

$\boxed{(7,4), (30,11), (N; M)}$

3 squares
as parity
check.



Exercise 1.10: The amount of weight two patterns generated is

$$\binom{N}{2} + \binom{N}{1} + \binom{N}{0} = \frac{N!}{(N-2)! 2!} + \frac{N!}{(N-1)! 1!} + \frac{N!}{(N-0)! 0!}$$

The amount of syndromes would be 2^{N-M} or

$$2^6 = 64 \text{ syndromes: } = \frac{14!}{12! 2!} + \frac{14!}{13! 1!} + \frac{14!}{14!}$$

The total amount of patterns would not be solved by the amount of syndromes.

Exercise 1.11: $2^{N-M} > \left[\binom{N}{2} + \binom{N}{1} + \binom{N}{0} \right] \Rightarrow \boxed{(30,11)}$

Exercise 1.12: Probability is represented as Binomial: $P = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{n-m}$

$$P(R_3) = \sum_{m=0}^3 \binom{3}{m} p^m (1-p)^{3-m} = 3 [P(R_3)]^2 = 3 \left[\sum_{m=1}^3 \binom{3}{m} p^m (1-p)^{3-m} \right]^2 = 3 (3p^2)^2 + \dots$$

$$P(R_5) = \sum_{m=1}^5 \binom{5}{m} p^m (1-p)^{5-m} = \binom{5}{5} p^5 (1-p)^4 + \dots = 126 p^5$$

An advantage of the small K_3 encoder is ability to process smaller pieces or 3-bit code.

2.2. The datapoints of figure 2.2 are not independent because a joint probability $[P(X,Y) = P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)]$ is not separable in this instance.

Has Disease	No Disease		
Positive 0.95	0.05	1.00	$P(\text{Has Disease} \text{Positive}) = P(\text{Positive} \text{Has Disease}) \cdot P(\text{Has Disease})$
Negative 0.05	0.95	1.00	$P(\text{Positive} \text{Has Disease}) \cdot P(\text{Has Disease}) + P(\text{Negative} \text{No Disease}) \cdot P(\text{No Disease})$
	1.00	2.00	$= \frac{0.95 \cdot 0.01}{0.95 \cdot 0.01 + 0.95 \cdot 0.99} = 16\%$

Note: $P(\text{Has Disease}) = 0.01$ for Joe's family.

2.4. a. Urn [k balls, B black, W = k - B; N draws with replacement]

b. $E(p(n|f, N)) = \sum_{n=0}^K p(n|f, N) \cdot n = N \cdot f$; $\text{Var}(p(n|f, N)) = E((n - E(n))^2) = E[n^2] - E[n]^2 = NF(1-f)$
 ($=$ Total Balls; B = Black, $W = K-B$ White;

K=Total Balls; B=Black, W=K-B White;

$f_B \equiv B|K$; N = Draws; without replacement.

$$E[\varepsilon] = E\left[\frac{(n_B - f_B N)^2}{N f_B (1-f_B)}\right] = \frac{\sum n_B (n_B - f_B N)}{N f_B (1-f_B)}$$

$$\text{Standard Deviation}(\rho(Nf, N)) = \sqrt{Nf(1-f)}$$

$$N = 5; \sigma = \sqrt{5 \frac{2}{10} \left(1 - \frac{2}{10}\right)} = \sqrt{3/10}$$

$$N = 400; \sigma = \sqrt{400 \cdot \frac{2}{10} \left(1 - \frac{2}{10}\right)} = 8.$$

Probability Distribution : $N=5$, $f_B = 1/5$; $Z = \frac{5}{4}(n_B-1)^2$ where $n_B = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. is $P(n_B) = \binom{N}{n_B} f^n B^{N-n}$
The values of the probability distribution less than 1 are $n_B = 1$, $P(n_B = 1) = 0.4096$.

The values of the probability distribution less than 1 are $n_B = 1$, $P(n_B = 1) = 0.4096$.

Example 2.6 $u \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ each containing 10 balls. u has u black balls, $10-u$ white balls.

$$P(u, n_B | N) = P(n_B | u, N) P(u); \quad P(u | n_B, N) = \frac{P(u, n_B | N)}{P(n_B | N)} = \frac{P(n_B | u, N) P(u)}{P(n_B | N)} = \frac{\binom{N}{n_B} u^{n_B} (1-u)^{N-n_B}}{P(n_B | N)}$$

$$P(n_B | N) = \sum_{u=0}^{10} P(u) \cdot P(n_B | u, N) = \frac{1}{10} \left[\sum P(n_B | u, N) \right] = \frac{1}{10} \left[\binom{10}{3} \left(\frac{9}{10} \right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^7 + \binom{10}{3} \left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{10} \right)^7 + \dots + \binom{10}{3} \left(\frac{10}{10} \right)^3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{10} \right)^7 \right] = \frac{1}{10} [0.8287] = 0.0829$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$P(u n_b, N)$	0	0.063	0.22	0.29	0.24	0.13	0.047	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

$$P(\text{Next Ball} \mid n_{B,N}) = \sum P(\text{Next Ball} \mid u_i, n_{B,N}) P(u_i \mid n_{B,N}) = \sum_{u=1}^{10} \frac{u}{10} P(u \mid n_{B,N})$$

$$P(N_{\text{left}} + N_{\text{right}} = 1 \mid n_B = 3, N = 10) = 0.33$$

Example 2.7. N tosses; $P(\text{Heads}) = f_H$; $N_H = \text{Number of Heads}$

What is the PDF $P(N_B | F_H, N)$?

$$P(\text{Next Ball} | n_B, N) = P(\text{Next Ball} | F_H, n_B, N) \cdot P(n_B | M)$$

Exercise 2.0.2., Prior = $P(\eta_B | f_n, N)$; Marginalization = $P(f_n)$

$$\text{a. } P(f_n, n_A=0 | N=3) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^3 P(F_n) P(n_A=0 | F_n, N=3)}{\sum_{n=0}^3 P(F_n | N=3)} = \frac{\binom{3}{0} f_n^{10} (1-f_n)^{3-0}}{\binom{3}{0} f_n^{10} (1-f_n)^{3-0} + \binom{3}{1} f_n^9 (1-f_n)^{3-1}} = \frac{(1-f_n)}{T(1)T(3)}$$

$$b. P(f_n, n_H=2 | N=3) = \frac{\binom{3}{2} f_n^2 (1-f_n)^{3-2}}{\binom{3}{2} \int_0^1 f_n^2 (1-f_n)^{3-2} df_n} = \frac{f_n^2 (1-f_n)}{\frac{T(3)T(2)}{T(5)}} = \frac{1}{2!1!} f_n^2 (1-f_n) = \boxed{\frac{1}{2} f_n^2 (1-f_n)}$$

$$C \cdot P(f_n, NH=3 | N=10) = \frac{\binom{10}{3} f_n^3 (1-f_n)^{10-3}}{\binom{10}{3} \int_0^1 f_n^3 (1-f_n)^{10-3} df_n} = \frac{\frac{\Gamma(5)}{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(3)}}{f_n^3 (1-f_n)^7} = \frac{11!}{3!4!} f_n^3 (1-f_n)^7 \underline{1320 f_n^3 (1-f_n)^7}$$

$$d. P(f_{n+1} = 29 | N=300) = \frac{\binom{300}{29}^0 f_n^{29} (1-f_n)^{271}}{\binom{300}{29} \int_0^1 f_n^{29} (1-f_n)^{271} df_n} = \frac{1}{\binom{300!}{29! 271!} f_n^{29} (1-f_n)^{271}}$$

```

Example 2.9 int[] threebitCompression(String bits) {
    int size = bits.size() % 3;
    int[] compression;
    int[] model = {000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111};
    for(int i=0; i<size; i++) {
        for(int j=0; j<8; j++) {
            if(bits.substring(3*i, 3*(i+1)) == model[j]) {
                compression[i] += 1;
            }
        }
    }
    return compression;
}

```

Example 2.10. $P(Vrn\ A | Black\ Ball) = \frac{P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ A) P(Vrn\ A)}{P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ A) P(Vrn\ A) + P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ B) P(Vrn\ B)}$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{\frac{1}{6}}{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{6}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Example 2.11 $P(Vrn\ A | Black\ Ball) = \frac{P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ A) P(Vrn\ A)}{P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ A) P(Vrn\ A) + P(Black\ Ball | Vrn\ B) P(Vrn\ B)}$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{10}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Example 2.12 Using Table 2.9: $H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{27} p(x_i) \cdot \log \frac{1}{p(x_i)} = \boxed{4.1}$

Example 2.13 $H(x) = 1 \cdot \log \frac{1}{1/3} + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1}{1/10} + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1}{1/5} + \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1}{1/21} = \boxed{1.48}$

Exercise 2.14 Proof of $E[f(x)] \geq f(E[x])$; $E[f(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda)X_2)] \geq \lambda f(E[X_1]) + (1-\lambda) f(E[X_2])$

if $\lambda = 1$; then $E[f(X_1)] \geq f(E[X_1])$ and $f(X_1) \geq \frac{1}{p(x_1)} f(E[X_1])$

if $\lambda = 0$; then $E[f(X_2)] \geq f(E[X_2])$ and $f(X_2) \geq \frac{1}{p(x_2)} f(E[X_2])$

if $0 < \lambda < 1$; then $f(X_1) \leq f(\lambda X_1 + (1-\lambda)X_2) \leq f(X_2)$


Example 2.15 Jensen's inequality: $E[f(x)] \geq f(E[x])$; $E[f(x)] = \bar{x} = 100m^2 \geq \bar{x}^2 = \boxed{10m^2}$

Exercise 2.16. a) $P(X,y) = bin(n,p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} = \binom{n}{y} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^y \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right)^{n-y}$

$P(X,y) = bin(n,p) = \binom{n}{y} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^y \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right)^{n-y}$

b) $P(X,y) = bin(n,p) = \binom{n}{100} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{100} \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right)^{n-100}$

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{100} n_i \cdot P(X_i); SD[X] = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{100} n_i \cdot P(X_i)(1-P(X_i))}$$



c) p_i, r_i = probabilities of Dice #1, #2
for a sum of i : $i=1, 2, \dots, 11, 12$ and options of 0-6 possible side labels.

$$\frac{1}{11}(x+x^2+x^3+\dots+x^{12}) = (p_0 x^0 + p_1 x^1 + \dots + p_6 x^6)(r_0 x^0 + r_1 x^1 + \dots + r_6 x^6)$$

$P(S=1) = p_0 \cdot r_0 = P(S=12) = p_6 \cdot r_6$ "50% 1's, 1 and 6's"

d) Yes, by crafting 100 Dice from wood, then labeling them $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \times 6^{100}$

Exercise 2.17. $q = 1 - p \Rightarrow a = \ln p / q$; $e^a = \frac{1}{1-p}$; $p(1+e^{-a}) = 1$; $p = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$

$$p = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2e^{-a} + 1}{1+e^{-a}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-a}}{1+e^{-a}} + 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{a/2} - e^{-a/2}}{e^{a/2} + e^{-a/2}} + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\tanh(a/2) + 1); \text{ if } b = \log_2 q/p; \boxed{p = \frac{q}{2^b}}$$

Exercise 2.18. $A_x = \{0, 1\}$; Bayes Theorem: Posterior = $\frac{\text{Likelihood} \times \text{Prior}}{\text{Evidence}}$; $P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$

$$\log \frac{P(x=1|y)}{P(x=0|y)} = \log \frac{P(y|x=1)p(x=1)}{P(y|x=0)p(x=0)}$$

Exercise 2.19. Bayes Theorem: Posterior = $\frac{\text{Likelihood} \times \text{Prior}}{\text{Evidence}}$; $P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$

$$\frac{P(x=1|\{d_i\})}{P(x=0|\{d_i\})} = \frac{P(\{d_i\}|x=1)p(x=1)}{P(\{d_i\}|x=0)p(x=0)} = \frac{P(d_1|x=1)P(d_2|x=1)p(x=1)}{P(d_1|x=0)P(d_2|x=0)p(x=0)}$$

Exercise 2.20 Volume of an n -dimensional ball: $V_n(R) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)} R^n$

$$F = \frac{\text{Part of Volume}}{\text{Total Volume}} = \frac{\text{volume}(R)}{\text{Total Volume}} - \frac{\text{volume}(R-\epsilon)}{\text{Total Volume}} = 1 - \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{\pi^{n/2}} \left(\frac{R-\epsilon}{R} \right)^N = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{R} \right)^N$$

$$N=2; \frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.01; F = 1 - (1 - 0.01)^2 = \boxed{0.01995}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.5; F = 1 - (1 - 1/2)^2 = \boxed{0.75}$$

$$N=10; \frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.01; F = 1 - (1 - 0.01)^{10} = \boxed{0.996}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.5; F = 1 - (0.5)^{10} = \boxed{0.9991}$$

$$N=1000; \frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.01; F = 1 - (1 - 0.01)^{1000} = \boxed{0.99995}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{r} = 0.5; F = 1 - (1 - 0.5)^{1000} = \boxed{1.0009}$$

Conclusion: Higher dimensional fractional sphere relationships approach singularity.

Exercise 2.21: $p_a = 0.1; p_b = 0.2; p_c = 0.7$; Let $f(a)=10, f(b)=5, f(c)=1$

$$E[f(x)] = \sum p(x) \cdot f(x) = 0.1 \cdot 10 + 0.2 \cdot 5 + 0.7 \cdot 1 = \boxed{3.0}$$

$$E[1/p(x)] = \sum p(x) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} \right) = \boxed{3.0}$$

Exercise 2.22: $E[1/p(x)] = \boxed{1}$:

Exercise 2.23: $p_a = 0.1; p_b = 0.2; p_c = 0.7; g(a) = 0; g(b) = 1; g(c) = 0$; $E[g(x)] = 0.2 \cdot 1 \cdot 0 = \boxed{0.2}$

Exercise 2.24: $p_a = 0.1; p_b = 0.2; p_c = 0.7$; For a discrete value, $\boxed{p_b = 0.2}$

$$P(|\log \frac{p(x)}{p(x)}}| > 0.05) = P(|\log(1)| > 0.05) = \boxed{0\%}$$

Exercise 2.25: $H(x) \leq \log(1/A_x)$ with equality $p_i = 1/A_x$; Jensen's Equality: $E[f(x)] \geq f[E(x)]$

$$H(x) = \sum p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)} \leq \log \left(\frac{1}{A_x} \right); \text{ Applying Jensen's Equality:}$$

$$E[\sum p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)}] = E[\sum p(x) \cdot \frac{1}{p(x)}] = \log \left(\sum p(x) \cdot \frac{1}{p(x)} \right) = \log \left(\sum p(x) \right) = H(x) \geq 0$$

Exercise 2.26: Kyllbeck-Leibler Divergenz: $D_{KL}(P||Q) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$

Gibbs Inequality: $D_{KL}(P||Q) \geq 0$

If $P = Q$; $D_{KL}(P||Q) = \sum P(x)\log\left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) = 0$; Domain & Range of Log.

Exercise 2.27: Equation (2.43) $H(\vec{p}) = H(p_1, 1-p_1) + (1-p_1)H\left(\frac{p_2}{1-p_1}, \frac{p_3}{1-p_1}, \dots, \frac{p_I}{1-p_I}\right)$

$$\text{Equation (2.4.5)} \quad H(\vec{p}) = H[(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m), (p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \dots + p_I)]$$

$$+ (p_1 + \dots + p_m) H\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + \dots + p_m}, \dots; \frac{p_m}{p_1 + \dots + p_m}\right)$$

$$+ (P_{m+1} + \dots + P_I) H \left(\frac{P_{m+1}}{P_{m+1} + \dots + P_1}, \dots, \frac{P_I}{P_{m+1} + \dots + P_I} \right)$$

$$H(p) = \text{Entropy Part \#1} + \text{Entropy Part \#2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= H(p) + (1-p)H\left(\frac{p_2}{1-p_1}, \frac{p_3}{1-p_1}, \dots, \frac{p_l}{1-p_1}\right) \\
 &= H([p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m], [p_{m+1} + p_{m+2} + \dots + p_l]) \\
 &\quad + (p_1 + \dots + p_m)H\left[\frac{p_1}{p_1 + \dots + p_m}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{p_1 + \dots + p_m}\right] \\
 &\quad + (p_{m+1} + \dots + p_l)H\left[\frac{p_{m+1}}{p_{m+1} + \dots + p_l}, \dots, \frac{p_l}{(p_{m+1} + \dots + p_l)}\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise 2.28 } X \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}; P_A(\{0, 1\}) = f; P_A(\{2, 3\}) = 1 - f$$

$$P_B(\{0\}) = g \quad ; \quad P_B(\{1\}) = 1-g \quad \quad P(\{0,1,2,3\} | f, N) = \binom{n}{0}g^0(1-g)^n + \binom{n}{1}g^1(1-g)^{n-1} + \dots$$

$$P_c(\{2\}) = h; P_c(\{3\}) = 1-h$$

$$H(x) = H(f) + f \cdot H(g) + (1-f) H(h)$$


$$= p(f) \cdot \log p(f) + F \cdot p(g) \log p(g) + (1-F) p(h) \cdot \underline{\log p(h)}$$

$$\frac{dH(x)}{dF} = P(g) \log P(g) - P(h) \cdot \log P(h) + \log \frac{1-x}{x} = \log \frac{1-x}{x} + H(g) - H(h)$$

$$\text{Exercise 2.29} \quad H(x) = \sum_{x=1}^n p(x) \log \frac{x}{p(x)} = \sum_{x=1}^n \binom{N}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x \left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N-x} [x \cdot \log(\frac{1}{2}) - (N-x) \log(\frac{1}{2}) + \log(\binom{N}{x})]$$

If $N = k$ because it flips till heads, $= \square \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [k \log 2]$

Exercise 2.30 $U_{rn} = \{w, i, b, \dots\}$ $P(\text{Draw } \#2 \mid \text{white}) = P(\text{Draw } \#1; w) \cdot P(\text{Draw } \#2 \mid \text{white})$ $\Rightarrow P(\text{Draw } \#2 \mid \text{white}) P(\text{Draw } \#1)$

Exercise 2.31  $a < b$ Fraction the coin will land in an Area $\frac{\pi a^2}{b^2} = \left(1 - \frac{a}{b}\right)^2$

Exercise 2.32 $P(a < b) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{a/b} \frac{2}{\pi b} d\alpha d\theta = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{2a \sin \theta}{\pi b} d\theta = \frac{2a}{\pi b}$ as derived from the photo:

Example 2.33.  $\alpha^2 = b^2 + c^2 - 2bc \cos A$ [Eqn 2]

$$\boxed{\text{Eqn 1}} \quad a + b + c = 1$$

$$a = 1 - b - c$$

$$(1-b-c)^2 = 1 + 2(bc - b - c) + c^2 + b^2$$

$$1 + 2(b_C - b \cdot c) = -2b_C \cos A;$$

Law of Cosines: $a^2 = b^2 + c^2 - 2bc \cos A$ [Eqn 2]

Requirements: $\sqrt{b^2 - a} = \frac{1}{2}$; $C = \frac{1}{2}(1-2b)$

$$P(a, b, c) = P(a) \cdot P(c|a) \cdot P(b|c)$$

$$E[P(C = \frac{1}{2}(1-2b) | b)] = P(C = \frac{1}{2}(1-2b)) = \frac{1}{50}$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{100} \cdot \frac{1}{50} = \frac{1}{25 \times 10^3}$$


Exercise 2.34. $P(R=k \text{ tails}) = (1-p)^{k-1} \cdot p$ where $k=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$

$$E[P(R)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1} \cdot p = \int_1^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1} p dk = p \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp} (1-p)^k dk = -p \frac{d}{dp} \frac{(1-p)^k}{\ln(1-p)} =$$

$$E[P(\text{Heads})] = 1$$

Fred estimator $f = h/(h+b)$

Assuming $h=1/3$



$$\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots = -p \frac{d}{dp} \frac{(1-p)}{p} = p \left(\frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \boxed{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Exercise 2.35. a) $E[P(R)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1} \cdot p = \int_1^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1} p dk = p \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp} (1-p)^k dk = -p \frac{d}{dp} \frac{(1-p)^k}{\ln(p)}$ @ $p=0.5 \approx 2$

b) Similar to part a)

c) Similar to part a)

d) The sum of $E[P(R|\text{Before clock})] + E[P(k|\text{After clock})] - 1 = \boxed{11 \text{ n } 15}$


e) The answer of part d is different from part a because the dice roller, Fred, must consider the random probability of the clock.

Exercise 2.36. Fred has brothers Alf and Bob.

The opportunity Fred is older than Alf would be $\frac{\text{Probability}(Fred > Alf)}{\text{Total Probability}} = \frac{FAB, FBA, BFA}{FAB, FBA, BFA, AFB, ABF, BAF}$
This opportunity is equivalent to Fred's age being greater than Bob's age.

If Fred is older than Alf and Bob: $P(F > B | F > A) = FBA, BFA, FAB = \boxed{1/2 = 50\%}$

$$= \boxed{2/3}$$



Exercise 2.37. $P(\text{Truth}) = 1/3$; $P(\text{Lie}) = 2/3$

$$P(\text{Truth} | \text{Person \#2}) = P(\text{Person \#2} | \text{Truth}) P(\text{Truth}) = \frac{(1/3)(1/3)}{(1)} = \boxed{1/9}$$

Exercise 2.38. Binomial Distribution Method: $P(3\text{-hits}) + P(2\text{-hits}) = 3f^2(1-f) + f^3$

$$\text{where } P(N, n) = \sum_{n=(N+1)/2}^N \binom{N}{n} f^n (1-f)^{N-n}$$

Sum rule Method: $P(r) = \sum P(s) \cdot P(r|s)$

$$P(\text{error}) = P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=000) + P(\text{error}) P(\text{error}|r=111)$$

$$\dots + P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=001) + P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=010)$$

$$+ P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=011) + P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=100)$$

$$+ P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=101) + P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=110)$$

$$= 2 P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=000) + 6 P(\text{error}) \cdot P(\text{error}|r=XXX)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Exercise 2.29} \quad P(k) &= (1-p)^{k-1} p \Rightarrow H(\lambda) = \sum p(x) \ln \frac{1}{p(x)} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} p \left[(k-n) \log(1-p) + \log p \right] \\
 &\geq -p \log p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} - p \log(p) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} \\
 &\stackrel{\substack{\text{"Infinite} \\ \text{geometric} \\ \text{progression}}}{} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n)^{k-1} = \frac{1}{1-a_n} \Rightarrow (0+(1-p)+(1-p)^2+\dots) \\
 &= -p \log p \left(\frac{1}{p} \right) - p \log(1-p) \left(\frac{1-p}{1-(1-p)} \right) \\
 &= -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p)
 \end{aligned}$$

If the coin had a bias of f , then the entropy would be reassigned as $f = n$ to become $H(\lambda) = -f \log f - (1-f) \log(1-f)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Exercise 2.39} \quad p_n &\approx \begin{cases} \frac{0.1}{n} & \text{for } n \in 1, \dots, 12367 \\ 0 & n > 12367 \end{cases} \\
 \text{"Zipf Law (1949)"} \quad H(\lambda) &= \sum p(\lambda) \log \frac{1}{p(\lambda)} = \sum_1^{12367} \frac{0.1}{n} \log \frac{n}{0.1} = [2.92 \text{ bits per word}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Exercise 3.1.} \quad P(\{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\} | \text{Dice A}) &= P(5 | \text{Dice A}) \cdot P(3 | \text{Dice A}) \cdot P(9 | \text{Dice A}) \cdot P(3 | \text{Dice A}) P(8 | \text{Dice A}) P(4 | \text{Dice A}) P(7 | \text{Dice A}) \\
 &= 9.04 \times 10^{-8} \\
 P(\{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\} | \text{Dice B}) &= P(5 | \text{Dice B}) P(3 | \text{Dice B}) P(9 | \text{Dice B}) P(3 | \text{Dice B}) P(8 | \text{Dice B}) P(4 | \text{Dice B}) P(7 | \text{Dice B}) \\
 &= 5.0 \times 10^{-9} \\
 P(\text{Dice A} | \{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\}) &= \frac{P(\{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\} | \text{Dice A})}{P(\{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\} | \text{Dice A}) + P(\{5, 3, 9, 3, 8, 4, 7\} | \text{Dice B})} \\
 &= 0.66666\% \text{ chance Dice A.}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise 3.2. a) } P(\{3, 5, 4, 8, 3, 9, 7\} | \text{Dice C}) = 9.77 \times 10^{-11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{b) } P(\{3, 5, 4, 8, 3, 9, 7\} | \text{Dice A}) &= 66\% \\
 \text{c) } P(\{3, 5, 4, 8, 3, 9, 7\} | \text{Dice B}) &= 33\% \\
 \text{d) } P(\{3, 5, 4, 8, 3, 9, 7\} | \text{Dice C}) &\leq 1\%
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise 3.3. } x = 1 \text{ cm to } x = 20 \text{ cm. Exponential Distribution } p(x) = \frac{e^{-x/\lambda}}{\lambda}$$

$$\text{Number of steps} = 20. \quad P(\lambda) = \int_1^{20} \frac{e^{-x/\lambda}}{\lambda} dx = e^{-1/\lambda} - e^{-20/\lambda}$$

$$P(x|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-x/\lambda}}{\lambda P(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } x \in \{1, \dots, 20\}$$

$$P(\lambda | \{1, \dots, 20\}) = \frac{P(\{1, \dots, 20\} | \lambda) P(\lambda)}{P(\{1, \dots, 20\})} = \frac{1}{P(\{1, \dots, 20\})} \frac{e^{-20/\lambda}}{(\lambda P(\lambda))^N}$$

λ describes the length between particles on the detector, and if collected per second, the rate between particles.

Exercise 3.4: $P('O') = 60\%$; $P('AB') = 1\%$; $P(\text{Scene} \mid \text{Person}, 'AB') = P('AB') = \boxed{1\%}$

$$P(\text{Scene} \mid \text{Each Person}, \text{Blood}) = 2 \cdot P('AB') P('O') = \boxed{2 \times 60\%}$$

$$\frac{P(\text{Scene} \mid \text{Person}, 'AB')}{P(\text{Scene} \mid \text{Each Person}, \text{Blood})} = \frac{1}{2 \times 0.6} = \boxed{0.83}$$


Exercise 3.5: $P(p_a \mid S=aba, F=3)$

$$P(p_a \mid S, F, H_1) = \frac{p_a^{F_a} (1-p_a)^{F_b}}{P(S \mid F, H_1)} = \frac{p_a^{F_a} (1-p_a)^{F_b}}{\int_0^1 p_a^{F_a} (1-p_a)^{F_b} dp_a} = \frac{p_a^{F_a} (1-p_a)^{F_b}}{\frac{T(F_a+1) T(F_b+1)}{T(F_a+F_b+2)}}$$

$$P(p_a \mid S=aba, F=3) = \frac{p_a^2 (1-p_a)^1}{\frac{T(2+1) T(1+1)}{T(2+1+2)}} = \frac{5!}{3! 2!} p_a^2 (1-p_a)^1$$

Most probable p_a : $\frac{dP(p_a \mid S=aba, F=3)}{dp_a} = 0$

$$\boxed{p_a = 2/3}$$




Mean value of p_a under this distribution: p_a

$$E[P(p_a \mid S=aba, F=3)] = \int_0^1 p_a \cdot 10 p_a^2 (1-p_a) dp_a = \boxed{0.5}$$

$$P(p_a \mid S=bbb, F=3) = \boxed{5 \cdot (1-p_a)^3}$$


Most probable value: $\boxed{p_a = 1}$

Mean value of p_a : $\boxed{1.25}$




Exercise 3.6. $\log \frac{P(S \mid F, H_0)}{P(S \mid F_0, H_0)}$

$$F_a = 1, F_b = F - 1; \quad P_a = 0.1 \quad ; \quad \log \frac{P(S \mid F, H_0)}{P(S \mid F_0, H_0)} = \log \frac{P(S \mid F, H_0) P(H_0)}{P(S \mid F_0, H_0) P(H_0)} = \log \frac{F_a! F_b!}{(F_a + F_b + 1)!} / \frac{F_a! F_b!}{P_0 (1-P_0)^F}$$



Exercise




Expected value of F_a is: $\bar{p}_a F$; A 95% confidence interval ($\alpha = 0.95$)

Standard Deviation of x is: $\sqrt{\frac{F}{2}}$ would be $\bar{p}_a F \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{F}{2}}$.

Exercise 3.8


Graphically:

State 1:



$$P(\text{choice 1}) = \frac{1}{3} \quad P(\text{choice 2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

State 2:



$$P(\text{choice 1}) = \frac{1}{2} \quad P(\text{choice 2}) = ?$$

The outcome of $P(\text{choice 1}) \cdot P(\text{choice 2})$ is better through switching doors, i.e., switching to Door #2.

Equation:

$$\text{State 1: } P(H_1 | D=2, H_2 | D=1) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{State 2: } P(H_1 | D=3) = \frac{P(D=3 | H_1) P(H_1)}{P(D=3)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P(H_2 | D=3) = \frac{P(D=3 | H_2) P(H_2)}{P(D=3)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{2}}$$


$$P(H_3 | D=3) = \frac{P(D=3 | H_3) P(H_3)}{P(D=3)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Through switching to door #2, the contestant will have the greater chance of winning.

A realization occurred that the graphical method does not incorporate a normalizing constant, but arrives to similar answers because of exact multiplicative divisor.


Exercise 3.9. If the contestant is not choosing then the outcomes are supposedly equal for switching (or staying) in Door #1.

Exercise 3.10. Graphical:



$$P(\text{choice 1}) = \frac{1}{3} = \text{girl}$$

State 2:



$$P(\text{choice 2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

The probability of the there being two boys and a girl, or two girls and a boy are equally likely.

Equation:

$$\text{State 1: } P(H_1) = P(H_2) = P(H_3) = \text{girl} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{State 2: } P(H_1 | C=B) = \frac{P(C=B | H_1) P(H_1)}{P(C=B)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(H_2 | C=B) = \frac{P(C=B | H_2) P(H_2)}{P(C=B)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(H_3 | C=B) = \frac{P(C=B | H_3) P(H_3)}{P(C=B)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Bayes theorem shows similar outcomes to graphical analysis.

Exercise 3.11 $P(\text{murder} | \text{Priors}) = \frac{P(\text{prior} | \text{murder}) \cdot P(\text{murder})}{P(\text{prior})} = \frac{\frac{1}{1000}}{9} = \boxed{\frac{1}{9000}}$

Exercise 3.12 $P(\text{Black}) = P(\text{White}) = \boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$P(\text{Black} | \text{Additional White}) = \boxed{1}; P(\text{White} | \text{Additional White}) = \boxed{1}$$

$$\text{Posterior (White)} = \boxed{1}; \text{ Posterior} = \frac{\text{likelihood} \times \text{prior}}{\text{evidence}}; \boxed{1} = \frac{P(\text{Additional} | \text{White}) P(W)}{P(\text{Additional})}$$

Exercise 3.13. Posterior = $\frac{\text{likelihood} \times \text{prior}}{\text{evidence}} = \frac{1 \times 10^6}{10^6} = 1$ $\boxed{1} = \frac{1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{1} = \boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Exercise 3.14 Sample space = { HH, HT, TH, TT }

Probability of two heads = $\boxed{\frac{1}{4}}$

Exercise 3.15 $n(\text{Heads}) = 140; n(\text{Tails}) = 110$ Eqn 3.22 $\frac{P(H_1 | S, F)}{P(H_0 | S, F)} = \frac{P(S | F, H_1) P(H_1)}{P(S | F, H_0) P(H_0)}$


$$\frac{P(H_1 | S, F)}{P(H_0 | S, F)} = \frac{140! 110!}{(140+110+1)! / (\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{F_a! F_b!}{(F_a + F_b + 1)!} / P_0 (1 - P_0)^{F_b}$$

$$= 0.4767 \approx 48\%$$

The likelihood of an unbiased coin for the provided evidence is 48%; suggesting, the null hypothesis ($H_0 = H_1$) does not have sufficient evidence for bias.


Chapter 4:

Exercise 4.1. $n=12$; weight {1...13} ≠ weight {123}



a) Information is measured in states, that describe probability of the system

b) When the ball of different mass is identified, the information is entirely gathered



- c)
- d)
 - i) State of a flipped coin = $\log 2$
 - ii) State of two flipped coins = $\log 2^2$
 - iii) outcome of a four sided dice = $\log 4$

e) $6:6; \boxed{\log 2}$
 $4:4; \boxed{\log 3}$

State 1 State 2 State 3

Best Case = Worst Case $\boxed{3}$

o Shannon Information = $\sum_i \log \frac{1}{P(x_i)} = \log (3:2^2) = \log 12$

$$\text{Exercise 4.2: } H(X,Y) = P(X,Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X,Y)} = P(X)P(Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X)P(Y)} = P(X) \log \frac{1}{P(X)} + P(Y) \log \frac{1}{P(Y)}$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y)$$

Example 4.3: The number of guesses: $64 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 6$ guesses.

Exercise 4.4: Shannons information provider the number of bits representations of decimal (0 to 255) and ASCII decimal (0 to 127).

$$\text{Decimal (0 to 255)}: \log_2(255) = 7.99 \quad \text{Decimal (0 to 127)}: \log_2(127) = 6.99$$

The reduction of physical memory is achieved through removing redundancy and expressing values in a compact fashion.

Exercise 4.5: If the outcomes are greater than 2^k , where $k < l$ of bits; then yes, a compressing algorithm would duplicate the bits during decompression.


Example 4.6: Bit ensemble [3]

Ax	P_x	Bit code	Σ
a	$\frac{1}{4}$	000	00
b	$\frac{1}{4}$	001	01
c	$\frac{1}{4}$	010	10
d	$\frac{3}{16}$	011	11
e	$\frac{1}{64}$	100	—
f	$\frac{1}{64}$	101	—
g	$\frac{1}{64}$	110	—
h	$\frac{1}{64}$	111	—

Lower bit ensemble [2]


Loss of information (δ): $\sqrt{16}$

Probability of correct information: 93.75%



Example 4.7. $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ where $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$ with probabilities $p_0 = 0.9$; $p_1 = 0.1$

$$P(X) = p_0^{N-r_X} p_1^{r_X}; \text{ where } r_X \text{ is the number of 1's having } p_1.$$



-14	-12	-10	-8	-6	-4	-2	0	$\log_2 P(X)$
	..	III.	III		III.	..	—	

$$\delta = 1 - P(X) = 1 - p_0^{N-r_X} p_1^{r_X}$$

- Exercise 4.8: Gaps represent the point where Shannons information changes by 1.
- Exercise 4.9: The second group is correct because weighing six balls does not maximize information; however, are wrong due to their statement, "no, weighing six against six conveys no information at all." $H_4 = \log(3)$ and $H_6 = \log(4)$; information gained less than a bit.
- Exercise 4.10: $n=39$ balls: Raw Information = $\log_2\left(\frac{1}{1/39}\right) = 5.29$ Essential Bit = $\log_2\left(\frac{1}{1/3}\right) = 1.58$
- Exercise 4.11: A strategy for analyzing the 'two-sided balance problem' is a determination of probability ($P(X)$), then plotting $\delta = 1 - P(X)$ vs $H_\delta = \log_2\left(\frac{1}{P(X)}\right)$. The whole values of $H_\delta(X)$ represent bits of information to investigate further. Information is best minimized with a 16, 8, 4, then 2 segregate.
- Exercise 4.12: The minimum number of weights needed is four: {10, 5, 3, 2}.
- Exercise 4.13: a) Yes, a rotation of sets of four balls generates a compare and contrast Venn Diagram to identify the unique ball.
b) If N -balls are weighed, then the labels require a rotation of the pairs identification.
- Exercise 4.14: a) A worst case for two balls of heavier or lighter mass is six weighings. Three 'odd' balls. Worst case is also six weighings.
b) The knowledge of ball weights is irregardless of the process to find the odd balls in the set.
- Exercise 4.15: $P_X = \{0.2, 0.8\}$

1/N $H(X^n)$

1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

δ

Note: The book rounded and normalized.

Exercise 4.17. 'Asymptotic Equipartition' principle is similar to Boltzmann Entropy and Gibbs Entropy because each is dependent upon the finite distributions of the system.

Exercise 4.18. $P(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$: The normalizing constant Z represents the sum total of the Cauchy partition $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2+1} = \pi$

$$\text{Mean: } E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x P(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\pi(x^2+1)} dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{u+1} = \underline{\text{undefined.}}$$

$$\text{Variance: } E[X^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 P(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{\pi(x^2+1)} dx = \underline{\text{undefined.}}$$

$Z = X_1 + X_2$; where X_1, X_2 are independent random variables


$$\begin{aligned} P(Z) &= P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_1) \cdot P(X_2) = \frac{1}{Z^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx_1}{x_1^2+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx_2}{x_2^2+1} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx_1}{(x_1^2+1)([Z-x_1]^2+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\int \frac{Ax+B}{(x_1^2+1)} dx_1 + \int \frac{Cx+D}{([Z-x_1]^2+1)} dx_1 \right]; (Ax+B)([Z-x_1]^2+1) + (Cx+D)(x_1^2+1) = 1 \\ &\quad A = \frac{-2}{Z^3+4Z}; B = \frac{-Z^2}{Z^3+4Z} \\ &\quad C = -\frac{2X}{Z^3+4Z}; D = \frac{Z^2}{Z^3+4Z} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{Z^3+4Z} \left(\int \frac{2X+Z}{x_1^2+1} dx_1 - \int \frac{2X-Z}{([Z-x_1]^2+1)} dx_1 \right) \right] = \frac{Z}{\pi} \frac{1}{Z^2+4} \end{aligned}$$

N-samples from the Cauchy-Distribution of $Z = X_1 + X_2$ is similar to a Cauchy-Distribution having similar expectation and variance as $P(X_1)$ or $P(X_2)$.


Exercise 4.19. $P(X \geq a) \leq e^{-sa} \cdot g(s)$ and $P(X \leq a) \geq e^{-sa} \cdot g(s)$ where $g(s) = \sum P(x) e^{sx}$
if $t = \exp(sx)$; $X = \frac{1}{s} \log(t)$; $P(X \geq a) = P(\frac{1}{s} \log t \geq a) \Rightarrow P(t \leq e^{sa}) = e^{-sa} \sum P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} P(t \leq e^{sa}) &\leq e^{-sa} \cdot g(s) \\ P(t \geq e^{sa}) &\geq e^{-sa} \cdot g(s) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4.20. $f(x) = x^x$ where $x > 0$



$$\text{inverse}(P(x)) = \text{inv}(x^x) \Rightarrow x = f(x)$$



Chapter 5:

Example 5.1: $C_2 = \{0, 1\}^3 = \{000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111\}$

Example 5.2: $C_2 = \{0, 1\}^+ = \{0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, \dots\}$

Example 5.3: $A_x = \{a, b, c, d\}$

$$P_x = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\} = \{0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.125\}$$

$$C^+(acdbac) = 100000100001010010000001$$

Example 5.4: $C_1 = \{0, 101\}$ is a prefix code because 0 is not the prefix of 101 and 101 is not the prefix of 0.

Example 5.5: $C_2 = \{1, 101\}$ is not a prefix code.

Example 5.6: $C_3 = \{0, 10, 110, 111\}$ is a prefix code.

Example 5.7: $C_4 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ is a prefix code.

Exercise 5.8: C_7 is not uniquely decodable because $c^+(x) = c^+(y)$

Example 5.9: The exercise 4.1 is capable of being assigned as a ternary code because each binary weighing amounted to three weighings.

Example 5.10: $A_x = \{a, b, c, d\}$

$$P_x = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\}$$

$$x = (acdbac)$$

$$\text{Entropy} = H(x) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} = 1.75 \text{ bits}$$

$$\text{Length} = L(C_1, X) = \sum P(x) l(x) = 1.75 \text{ bits}$$

$$c^+(x) = 0100111000100$$

C_3 is a prefix and uniquely decodable.

Example 5.11: $L(C_4, X) = \sum P(x) l(x) = 2 \text{ bits}$

Example 5.12: $C_5: A_x = \{a, b, c, d\}$ $L(C_5, X) = \sum P(x) l(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 1 + \frac{1}{4} \log_2 1 + \frac{1}{8} \log_2 2 + \frac{1}{8} \log_2 2$

$$\{0, 1, 00, 11\}$$

$$H(x) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} = 1.75 \text{ bits}$$

= 1.25 bits

Although, the sequence is not uniquely decodable.

Example 5.13: C_6 :

a_i	$c(a_i)$	P_i	$h(p_i)$	l_i
a	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1.0	1
b	01	$\frac{1}{4}$	2.0	2
c	011	$\frac{1}{8}$	3.0	3
d	111	$\frac{1}{8}$	3.0	3

$$L(C_6, X) = \sum P_i \cdot l_i = 1.75 \text{ bits}$$

$$H(X) = 1.75 \text{ bits}$$

C_6 is not a prefix code because $c(a)^+ \in c(b)^+ \subseteq c(c)^+$

C_6 is uniquely decodable because of the overlap of prefixes.

Exercise 5.14 Kraft Inequality:

For any $C(x)$ over a binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$ the codewords must satisfy: $\sum_{i=1}^I 2^{-l_i} \leq 1$

where $I = |Ax|$

If codeword $x = a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_n$

$$= s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots s_n$$

$$= \square 2^{-l_1} \cdot \square 2^{-l_2} \cdot \square 2^{-l_3} \dots \square 2^{-l_N}$$

$$= \square 2^{-l_1} \square \dots \square 2^{-l_N}$$

$$= \square 2^{-l} A_e \leq \square 1 \leq N l_{\max}$$

$$S^N \leq N l_{\max}$$

Graphically

		000	0000 0001 0010 0011 0100 0101 0110 0111
0	00	001	
		010	
	01	011	
	10	100	1000 1001
	11	101	1010 1011
1	110	111	1100 1101 1110 1111

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^4 2^{-l_i} A_e \leq \sum 1 \leq N l_{\max}$$

Example 5.15. $A_x = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$

$$P_x = \{0.25, 0.25, 0.2, 0.15, 0.15\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 a \left[0.25 - 0.25 - 0.25 \right] \overset{0}{\cancel{}} \left[0.55 \right] \overset{0}{\cancel{}} 1.0 \\
 b \left[0.25 - 0.25 \right] \overset{0}{\cancel{}} 0.45 \left[0.45 \right] \overset{1}{\cancel{}} \\
 c \left[0.2 - 0.2 \right] \overset{1}{\cancel{}} \left[1 \right] \overset{1}{\cancel{}} \\
 d \left[0.15 \right] \overset{0}{\cancel{}} \left[0.3 \right] \overset{1}{\cancel{}} 0.3 \\
 e \left[0.15 \right] \overset{1}{\cancel{}} \left[1 \right]
 \end{array}$$

Huffman Algorithm: ① Two least probable codewords are selected because they have the longest length.

② Combine these two symbols into a single symbol

Huffman's algorithm provides a method to discover the optimal codelength.

Exercise 5.16. The proof Huffman's codeword is the minimum is represented by an ensemble of size = 3; where, $A_x = \{a, b, c\}$, and $P_x = \{1/2, 1/4, 1/4\}$. A case is $C = \{0, 10, 11\}$ having $L = 1.5$ bits, and other examples not following Huffman's algorithm show $L > 1$ bit.

Example 5.17. Huffman's algorithm of Figure 2.1 generated codeword disparity ~ 1 bit to achieve a lossless relationship.

Example 5.18: $A_x = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$

$$P_x = \{0.01, 0.24, 0.05, 0.20, 0.47, 0.01, 0.02\}$$

The Huffman algorithm produced a bit-length of 1.97

A_i	P_i	Huffman
a	0.01	000000
b	0.24	01
c	0.05	0001
d	0.20	001
e	0.47	1
f	0.01	000001
g	0.02	00001

Exercise 5.19: $C = \{00, 11, 0101, 111, 1010, 100100, 0110\}$ is not uniquely decodable because the second and fourth element are similar.

Exercise 5.20: $C = \{00, 012, 0110, 0112, 100, 201, 212, 22\}$ is uniquely decodable; in that no two indices have similar prefixes.

Exercise 5.21: $A_x = \{0, 1\}$
 $P_x = \{0.9, 0.1\}$

Huffman Code	Expected Length	Entropy
$X^2 \{1, 01, 000, 001\}$	1.29 bits	0.94 bits
$X^3 \{1, 01, 000, 001, 110, 0001, 0111, 111\}$	1.22 bits	1.41 bits
$X^4 \{1, 011, 0011, 001, 000, 000111, 000110, 0001011, 000000, 000011, 000001, 0000001, 0000000, 00000000, 000000001, 000000000\}$	2.01 bits	2.00 bits

Note: An unusual problem because $H(X^n) < L(C, X^n)$, which contradicts the upper limit of bit assignment being, entropy.

Exercise 5.22: $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$; Length = $\sum P(x) \cdot l_i$; $L = P_1(x)l_1 + P_2(x)l_2 + P_3(x)l_3 + P_4(x)l_4$
 $= [P_1(x) + P_2(x) + P_3(x) + P_4(x)]l$; if $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4$
 $= P_1(x) + P_2(x) + P_3(x) + P_4(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1x} &= \{00, 01, 10, 11\} \\ P_{1x} &= \{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/8\} \\ A_{2x} &= \{0, 1, 00, 11\} \\ P_{2x} &= \{1/4, 1/4, 3/8, 3/8\} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5.23: $Q = \{\vec{P}_1, \vec{P}_2\} = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{1}{16} \right), \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{1}{16} \right), \left(\frac{7}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{3}{64}, \frac{1}{64} \right) \right\}$
 $\vec{P}_1 = \vec{\mu}_1 q^{(1)} + \vec{\mu}_2 q^{(2)} + \vec{\mu}_3 q^{(3)} = [\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3] \begin{bmatrix} q^{(1)} \\ q^{(2)} \\ q^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} = [\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3] \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/4 & 3/16 & 1/16 \\ 3/4 & 1/8 & 3/16 & 1/16 \\ 7/8 & 1/16 & 3/64 & 1/64 \end{bmatrix}$

Exercise 5.24. A simple explanation for winning the game twenty one questions is routine. The sequence of questions best eliminate large categories of information to deduce an answer. An example statement, "Does the object breathe?", would eliminate three life, living biological kingdoms of classification. Another question may be, "Is the object inanimate?" The astringent method is to question the largest information categories. A routine for twenty one questions helps produce positive outcomes.

Exercise 5.25. $P = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{9} \right\}$; Length = $\sum P(x)l_c = \frac{1}{2}l_1 + \frac{1}{4}l_2 + \frac{1}{8}l_3 + \frac{1}{3}l_4$

$$= 2^{-1}l_1 + 2^{-2}l_2 + 2^{-3}l_3 + 2^{-8}l_4$$

If $l_1 = 1; l_2 = 2; l_3 = 3; l_4 = 3$, then Length = 1.75 bits.

$$\text{Entropy} = \sum P(x) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{P(x)} \right) = \frac{1}{2}(1) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 = 1.73 \text{ bits}$$

Exercise 5.26. An ensemble described by the Huffman algorithm is of lowest expected length as compared to entropy.

Exercise 5.27. $A_x = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k\}; P_x = \{\frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}\}$
 $= \{111, 11011, 10011, 1101, 0101, 1001, 0001, 110, 010, 100, 000\}$

$$\text{Length} = \sum P(x)l_c = 3.55 \text{ bits} \text{ ; Entropy} = 3.46 \text{ bits} \text{ ; Length-Entropy} = 0.09 \text{ bits.}$$

Exercise 5.28. $\text{Length}(A_x) = I$

$$\text{Probability}(A_x) = \frac{1}{I} \text{ if } 2^i \text{ where } i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ Prove } F^t = 2 - \frac{2^{lt}}{I} \text{ where } lt \equiv \log_2 I$$

$$F^t = P(x) \cdot l(x) = \frac{1}{I} [2I - 1] = 2 - \frac{1}{I} = 2 - \frac{2^{\log_2 I}}{I} = 2 - \frac{2^{lt}}{I}$$

$$L = \sum P(x)l_c = \log_2 I + \frac{1}{I} = \log_2 I + 2 - \frac{2^{lt}}{I}$$

$$= lt + P^+$$

$$\frac{dAL}{dI} = \frac{d}{dI} [L - H(X)] = \frac{d \log_2 I}{dI} - \frac{dI}{dI} + \frac{dI}{dI} - \frac{d}{dI} \frac{1}{I} - \frac{d}{dI} P(x) \log_2 I$$

$$= \frac{\ln 2}{I} + \frac{1}{I^2} - P(x) \frac{\ln 2}{I} \leq \frac{\ln 2}{I} (1 - P(x)) + \frac{1}{I^2}$$

Exercise 5.29. $P_x = \{0.99, 0.01\}$ Huffmann's Code will efficiently compress a sparse binary source by evaluating the data regions with long codewords, then leaving the rest as shortened codewords. This is efficient because high probability & low length is a smaller expected length.

The proposed solution requires $O(n)$ codewords after the length of the ensemble.

Exercise 5.30. The strategy to finding the poisoned glass is similar to the "weighing" or "two balance" problem. A $\frac{1}{3}$ mixture is conducted against $\frac{1}{3}$, then if either group is absent of poison, the remaining $\frac{1}{3}$ is poisoned. This routine bubbles down to 3^n glasses, where n is the amount of tests. An optimal test criterion is $\log_2(\# \text{glasses})$, but is expected to be $\frac{1}{\# \text{glasses}}$.

a_i	$c(a_i)$	p_i	$h(p_i)$	b_0
a	.0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1.0	1
b	1.0	$\frac{1}{4}$	2.0	2
c	11.0	$\frac{1}{3}$	3.0	3
d	111	$\frac{1}{8}$	3.0	3

$$P(1b_1\pi | C_3) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^3 P(C_3 | 1b_1\pi) P(1b_1\pi)}{P(C_3)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(0) + \frac{1}{4}(1) + \frac{1}{8}(2) + \frac{1}{8}(3)}{\frac{1}{2}(1) + \frac{1}{4}(2) + \frac{1}{8}(3) + \frac{1}{8}(3)}$$

= 1/2.

Exercise 5.32: The Huffman algorithm generates $r \bmod(q-1)$ codewords, where r is the ensemble size and q is the number of leaves combined in the tree. An optimal coding algorithm requires $r \bmod(q-1) + 1$; such that, erroneous (ensemble) values are inserted to compensate for the sub-par combinations.

Exercise 5.33: Metacode: a construct from several symbol coders that assign different-length codewords to alternative symbols.

The optimal binary codewords require $\sum 2^{-l} \leq 1$, so a metacode of K symbol-codes does not fit the case $\frac{1}{K} \sum 2^{-l} \leq 1$ and is suboptimal.

Chapter 6: Stream Codes

$$\text{Exercise 6.1: } h(X|H) = \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{P(X|H)} \right); \quad P(X|H) = 1 - 2^{-h(X|H)}$$

$$P_{\text{Total}}(x_1 | H) = \sum_i P(x_1 | h_i) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2^{h(x_1 | h_i)}} = \frac{1}{2^0} + \frac{1}{2^1} + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{2^{h(x_1 | h_i)}} = 1.5 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{2^{h(x_1 | h_i)}}$$

Exercise 6.2 : Huffman - with - Header :

Header : $P \in \{P_1, P_2, P_3 \dots P_n\}$

$$\alpha_i \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

$$\ell_i \in \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots, \ell_n\}$$

Base-10 to Base-2
is two bits minimum.

$$\boxed{\text{Expected Length : } L(C, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{16} p_i \cdot l_i \leq H(x) + 1}$$

Arithmatic Code using Laplace Model:

$$P_1(a | x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) = \frac{F_a + 1}{\sum_{a'} (F_{a'} + 1)}$$

Expected length : $L(c, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(a|x_1 \dots x_n) \cdot [F_i \leq H(x) + 1]$

Arithmetic Code using Dirichlet Mod1

$$P_b(a|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{F_a + \alpha}{\sum(F_i + \alpha)}$$

Expected Length: $L(c, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_D(a|x_1 \dots x_{i-1}) \cdot F \leq H(x) + 1$

Exercise 6.3: $\{P_0, P_1\} = \{0.99, 0.01\}$

a) Random Value: $2^{16} - 1$

Emitted Value: 11

$$b) H_2(p) = H(p, 1-p) = p \log_2 \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log_2 \frac{1}{1-p}$$

$$H_2(0.01) = 0.01 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.01}\right) + 0.99 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.99}\right) = [0.081 \text{ bits}]$$

$$1000 \text{ bits of Arithmetic coding } 1000 \times H_2(0.01) = [81 \text{ bits}]$$

Exercise 6.4: A uniquely decodable compression prefix requires to be unique, and if not unique, a (pointer, bit) to symbolize (where, why). The (pointer, bit) increases size for strings length for prefixes which are duplicates.

Exercise 6.5: Encode $\underbrace{00000000000}_{12 \text{ zeros}} \underbrace{100000000000}_{11 \text{ zeros}}$

Huffman-Ziv Algorithm:

Source substrings	X	0	00	000	0000	001	00000	000000
S(n)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
S(n) binary	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111
(pointer, bit+)	(0)	(0,1)	(1,0)	(1,1)	(10,0)	(101,1)	(100,0)	(110,0)

Exercise 6.6: Decode $00|101|011|10|100|100|10|01|01|10|0100|00|1$

(pointer, bit)	↑	{1,0}	(0,1)	(01,0)	(11,1)	(011,0)	(010,1)	(100,0)	(110,1)	(0101,0)	(0000,1)
S(n) binary	0000	0001	0010	0011	0100	0101	0110	0111	1000	1001	1010
S(n)	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Source substring	X	0	1	00	001	000	10	0100	101	0000	01

Exercise 6.7: Length[N]; Weight[K]; K 1's; N-K 0's. N=5, K=2

An arithmetic coding algorithm for repetitive occurrences are best described by a cumulative probability. For every reoccurring value in the sequence, a probability is determined by assigning a probability to another reoccurrence. If the probability is greater than 50% (0.5), then a 1-bit is assigned, and less, a 0-bit.

In the case: length is 5, the number of 1's is 3, then

Laplace or Dirichlet model's are fit. Laplace's model $P(1|x_1 \dots x_n) = \frac{F_1}{F_1 + F_0 + 1}$

described a multiplicative probability from the beta distribution. The $P(1, x_1 \dots x_n) = P(1|1) \cdot P(1|11) \cdot P(1|111) \cdot P(1|1110) \cdot P(1|11100)$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{6}\right) = \frac{3}{40}$$

≈ 1 1 1 1 1

{11100, 11010, 11001, 101001, 100101, 100011, 010011, 001011, 000111}

Exercise 6.8: A selection of K objects from N describes the binomial coefficient model with a probability of $\binom{N}{K} = \frac{N!}{K!(N-K)!}$. The number of required bits is $\log_2(\binom{N}{K}) \approx N H_2(K/N)$ bits. A selection is made by the probability of occurring objects; a 1-assignment for K/N , and 0-assignment for $(N-K)/N$. The repetitive process continues for 1's as $(K-R)/(N-n)$ probabilities and $1 - (K-R)/(N-n)$ 0's.

Exercise 6.9: Source $[X]$ $\xrightarrow{F_0 \text{ and } F_1} \{0, 1\}$ Find $X = X_1 X_2 X_3$; $P(X|X_1 X_2 X_3) = \frac{P(X_1 X_2 X_3 | F_1, B) \cdot P(F_1)}{P(X_1 X_2 X_3 | B)}$

$$f_1 = 0.01 \quad f_0 = 0.99 \quad L - H_2(0.01) = 0.2 - 0.097 = 0.119$$

$$E(F_A) = \sum_{i=0}^{100} P(F_A) \cdot l(F_A)$$

$$\text{Where } P(F_A) = P_{10}(1-p_1)^{F_A}$$

$$= 100 \cdot p_1 = 10 \text{ bits}$$

$$Var(F_A) = \sum_{i=0}^{100} P(F_A) \cdot l(F_A)^2$$

$$= 1000 \cdot p_1(1-p_1) = \frac{99}{10} = 9.9 \text{ bits.}$$

$$= \frac{F_1 + 1}{F_1 + F_0 + 2} = \frac{1}{5}$$

$$= F_1 + 1$$

$$= 10 + 1 = 11$$

Exercise 6.10: An arithmetic coding algorithm to generate random bit strings of length N with density F is:

```

int u = 0.0; Doub R0 = sum_{i=1}^N P(X_n=a_i | X_1, ..., X_{n-1})
int v = F; Doub Q0 = sum_{i=1}^N P(X_n=a_i | X_1, ..., X_{n-1})
int N = 10;
Doub p = v - u;
For(int i=0; i < N; i++) {
    v = u + p * R0(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1})
    u = u + p * Q0(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1})
    p = v - u;
}

```

The algorithm describes the N -length interval in terms of the lower and upper cumulative probabilities. This process is akin to cumulating multiplicative probabilities.

Exercise 6.11: Encode the string 0100001000100010101000001 using the modified Lempel-Ziv algorithm.

source substrings	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
$s(n)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
$s(n)$ Binary	0000	0001	0010	0011	0100	0101	0110	0111	1000	1001	1010	1011			
(pointer, bit)	(-, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1)			
Child [0, 1]	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
New (pointer, bit)	(0, 1)	(0, 1, 0)	(1, 1, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)				

Exercise 6.12: If string length is odd, then the modified Lempel-Ziv algorithm is capable of being a 'complete' algorithm, because each branch of the binary tree has two leafs. Although, an even length string is 'incomplete'; due to the fact, branches are left without both children of similar prefix.

Exercise 6.13: A string of repetitive values has low entropy (say a sedinary string of zeros), but would not compress well by Lempel-Ziv's algorithm because of the redundancy.

Exercise 6.14: $P(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum x_n^2}{2\sigma^2}\right); r = (\sum x_n^2)^{1/2}$

Estimate mean and variance of r^2 .

Note: $\int \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} x^4 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx = 3\sigma^4$.

$$E[r^2] = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr = \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{(1/2)/2}} = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{Var}[x^2] = E[x^4] - E[x]^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr - (\sigma^2)^2 = 3\sigma^4 - \sigma^4 = 2\sigma^4$$

Shell: $r^2 = \sigma^2; r = \sigma; P(x_{\text{shell}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$

Probability Density $P(x=0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}}$

Probability Shell per Probability Density: $P(\text{shell})/P(x=0) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$

@ $N=1000$; $P(\text{shell})/P(x=0) = \exp\left(-\frac{1000}{2}\right)$

Exercise 6.15: $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j\}$

$$P = \left\{ \frac{1}{100}, \frac{2}{100}, \frac{4}{100}, \frac{5}{100}, \frac{6}{100}, \frac{3}{100}, \frac{9}{100}, \frac{10}{100}, \frac{25}{100}, \frac{30}{100} \right\}$$

Optimal Binary Coding constructs a given set of symbol probabilities to a code which matches Shannon Information content.

Using Huffman Coding: $\{1111, 1110, 110, 0111, 0110, 110, 0101, 0100, 10, 00\}$

Expected Length = $\sum_i P(x_i) \cdot l(x_i) = 2.64 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.16: $y = x_1 x_2; X: A_X = \{a, b, c\}; P_X = \left\{ \frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{6}{10} \right\}$


$P(y) = P(x_1) \cdot P(x_2)$

$x_2 \setminus x_1$	0.1	0.3	0.6
0.1	0.01	0.03	0.06
0.3	0.03	0.09	0.18
0.6	0.06	0.18	0.36

$$H(y) = \sum_{i=1}^9 P(y_i) \log_2 \frac{1}{P(y_i)}$$

+ 2.59 bits.

Optimal Binary Code:



code length

000101	6
000100	6
00011	5
00001	5
0010	4
0000	4
011	3
010	3
1	1

$$\text{Expected Length} = \sum P(x) \cdot l(x) \boxed{2.73 \text{ bits}}$$

Exercise C.17. $P = \{0.1, 0.9\}$; $E[X] = Np$; $\text{Var}[X] = Np(1-p)$; $SD[X] = \sqrt{\frac{9}{100}N}$

$$= \frac{N}{10} \quad = \frac{9N}{100} \quad = \frac{3\sqrt{N}}{10}$$

If $N = 1000$, $\boxed{E[X] = 100 \quad \text{Var}[X] = 90 \quad SD[X] = 3\sqrt{10}}$

Exercise 6.18. $L(p) = \sum p_n ln$; $H(p) = \sum p_n \log_2 \frac{1}{p_n}$; Show average information rate per second is $p_n = \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n}$; where $Z = \sum 2^{-\beta n}$; $\beta = \frac{H(p)}{L(p)}$

Average Information Per second = Information per symbol
Average Duration per symbol

$$= \frac{I(p)}{L(p)} = \frac{\sum \log_2 \frac{1}{p_n} n}{\sum p_n n} = \frac{\sum \log Z 2^{\beta n}}{\sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n} \cdot n}$$

$$\text{Maximal Information per second} = \frac{\sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n} n - \sum \log Z 2^{\beta n} \sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n} n}{(\sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta n} \cdot n)^2}$$

$$= \frac{I(p)}{L(p)} - I(p) = \boxed{I(p) \left(\frac{1}{L(p)} - 1 \right)}$$

$$\frac{\sum I(p)n}{\sum p_n n} - \frac{I(p)}{L(p)}$$

Exercise 6.19: $L(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{52} P(x_i) \cdot l_i = \frac{1}{52} \sum_{i=1}^{52} l_i = \frac{52(52+1)}{2 \cdot 52} = 27 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.20: 13 cards from 52 card deck. Bids: 1♦, 1♦, 1♥, 1♦, INT, 2♦, 2♦, ..., 7♦, 7♦, INT

a) If $\binom{52}{13}$ describes the number of combinations, then $\log_2 \left(\binom{52}{13} \right)$ is the amount of bits to describe a hand.

b) Shannon Information: $I(p) = \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52}{4} \binom{52}{13}}{\binom{52}{13}} = \frac{\text{Prob suit} \cdot \text{Prob Number}}{\text{Total Prob.}}$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p_n} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52-2n}{4} \binom{52-2n}{13-n}}{\binom{52-2n}{13-n}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{13} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p_n} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52-2n}{4}}{\binom{52}{13}}$$

$= 322 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.21: a)

	Two Buttons	Three Buttons
Arabic	$0\Box \rightarrow 9\Box$	$00\Box - 99\Box$
Roman:	MI, XD, CD, ID	MXD, MCD, MCID, MMID, XXD, XCD, XIID, CCIID, CID, IID

A complete code satisfies the Kraft Inequality.

$$S^N = \left[\sum_i 2^{-l_i} \right]^N \leq N \cdot 1_{\max}; \text{ where } N: \text{length of string.}$$

$l = \text{Length of sequence.}$

Yes, the 'arabic' and 'roman', two (or three) button sequences are complete.

b) The sample space of the 'arabic' and 'roman' microwave are not 100% similar. A demonstration of a four button sequence for the 'arabic' microwave shows $(999\Box) 9 \text{ min } 99 \text{ sec}$. It is not possible for the 'roman' microwave, while, the 'roman' by definition achieves larger numbers, including $(\text{MMMD}) 30 \text{ min.}$

c) An implicit probability distribution over timer to which each of the codes is matched.

d) The implicit probability distribution for which the microwaves are best matched is lower cooking times.

More specifically, less than 10 min.

e) $E[X] = \sum_{i=0}^{10} P(x_i) \cdot l(x_i) = \frac{10}{10} (3) = 3 \text{ symbols}$

Maximum Number of symbols is 3 symbols for a 'plausible' sequence less than 10 min.

f) A more efficient cooking-time-encoding system would be a greater base-counting system, per say, base-16.

- Exercise 6.22:
- $C_B(5) = 101$ is not uniquely decodable because of the lack of terminating characters.
 - An option for mapping $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ to $c(n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ that is uniquely decodable would be to end (or begin) each representation by a binary flag e.g. 00000, or 1111.
 - Alternative codes for integers are proposed for large file systems, and describe Base-16, Base-32 or Base-64.

Chapter 7: Codes for Integers:

Exercise 7.1:

n	$C_U(n)$
1	1
\vdots	\vdots
256	10000...11 length - 256

n	l_b	$C_X(n)$
256	8	ANSWER

$$C_X(n) = C_U[l_b(n)]C_B$$

$$= (256)^8 \cdot 1$$

$$= 2048 \text{ bits}$$

b) $1 \text{ Kb} = 1024 \text{ bytes}$; # bits = $\log_2(100 \text{ Kb}) = \log_2(102400) = 16.64 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 7.2: $C_X(n)$ is a unary code for $l_b(n)$, and is 1000011.

$C_B(n)$ is a headless binary representation of n , and is 1101100.

$C_8(n)$ is a sequence of codes involving C_B , l_b , and C_B , shown as 1100001111010111.

$C_3(n)$ is an end-of-file symbol, and for n^H characters is 97 pairs of bits.

$C_7(n)$ is another end-of-file symbol, and is 35 groups of three bits.

$C_{15}(n)$ is described as 25 sets of four bits.

The shortest end-of-file notation is $C_{15}(n)$ at 100 total bits.

Exercise 7.3: A C_{2048} code requires 11-bits to end the file and another 11-bits to describe a value of 2047 characters, this would be less than Elias's code for 2047 characters.

Chapter 8: Dependent Random Variables

Exercise 8.1: $X = (U, V)$, $Y = (V, W)$; $H(X, Y) = \sum_{xy \in A_x A_y} P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x, y)} = P(X) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(X)} + P(Y) \log \frac{1}{P(Y)}$

$$= P(U, V) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(U)P(V)} + P(V, W) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(V)P(W)}$$

$$= H(U) + H(V) + H(V) + H(W) = H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$


$$H(X|Y) = \sum P(X, Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X|Y)} = \sum P(X, Y) \log \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)}$$

$$= H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= H(U) + H(V) - H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$

$$= 3H(U) + H(W)$$



$$\text{Exercise 8.2. } H(X|y=b_k) = \sum P(x|y=b_k) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y=b_k)} = H(X) + H(Y|X) - H(Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } P(y|x) = P(y), \text{ then } H(X|y) &= H(X) + \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{1}{P(y,x)} - \sum P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} \\ &= H(X) + \sum p(y) p(y|x) \log \frac{p(y)}{p(y|x)} - \sum p(y) \log \frac{1}{p(y)} \\ &= H(X) + 0 + \sum P(y) \log P(y) = \boxed{H(X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exercise 8.3. } H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x,y)} = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)P(y|x)} = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} + \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)} \\ &= H(X) + \sum_x P(x) \sum_y P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)} \\ &= \boxed{H(X) + H(Y|X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exercise 8.4. } I(X;Y) &\equiv H(X) - H(X|Y); I(X;Y) = D_{KL}(P(X,Y) || P(X)P(Y)) \\ &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} \\ &= \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} = \boxed{I(Y;X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(X;Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} = \sum P(x) P(x|Y) \log \frac{P(x|Y)}{P(x)} \\ &= \sum_x P(x) \sum_y P(x|Y) \log P(x|Y) + \sum P(x) P(x|Y) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} \\ &= -H(X|Y) + H(X) = \boxed{H(X) - H(X|Y)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise 8.5. } D_H(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - I(X;Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 1: } D_H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x,y)} - \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} \\ &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x)P(y)}{P(x,y)^2} = \boxed{\sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)}} \\ &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 2: } D_H(X,X) &= \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{1}{P(x,x)} - \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{P(x,x)}{P(x)P(x)} \\ &= \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{1}{P(x|x)P(x|x)} = \boxed{0} \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 3: } D_H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)} = \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)P(x|y)} \\ &= \boxed{-D_H(Y,X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Axiom 4: } D_H(X, Z) = \sum P(x, z) \log \frac{1}{P(x|z)P(z|x)}$$

$$D_H(X, Y) + D_H(Y, Z) = \sum P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)} + \sum P(y, z) \log \frac{1}{P(y|z)P(z|y)}$$

$$D_H(X, Z) = \sum P(x, z) \log \frac{1}{P(x|z)P(z|x)} \leq D_H(X, Y) + D_H(Y, Z)$$

This problem's solution is also described on a triangle.



$$D(X, Z) \leq D_H(Y, Z) + D_H(X|Y)$$

Exercise

Exercise 8.6.

		1	2	3	4
		1	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{32}$
		2	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{32}$
		3	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$
		4	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0
X					
Y					

		1	2	3	4
		1	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		2	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		3	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		4	■■■■		
X					
Y					

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(X, Y) &= \sum P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x, y)} = \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \emptyset + \emptyset + \emptyset \\
 &= 3.375
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(X) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(Y) = \sum P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} = 4 \left(\frac{1}{4} \log 4 \right) = \boxed{2}$$

$$H(X|y_1) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(X|y_2) = \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(X|y_3) = H\left(\frac{1}{4} \log 4\right) = \boxed{2}$$

$$H(X|y_4) = 1 \cdot \log 1 = \boxed{0}$$

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_y H(X|y) = \frac{7}{4} + \frac{7}{4} + 2 + 0 = \boxed{11/2}$$

$$H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) - H(X) = 3.375 - 1.75 = \boxed{1.625}$$




$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = 1.75 - 1.375 = \boxed{3/8}$$

Exercise 8.7. $A_x = A_y = A_z = \{0, 1\}$; $P_x = \{p, 1-p\}$; $P_y = \{q, 1-q\}$; $Z = (X+Y) \bmod 2$

a) If $q = 1/2$, $P_z = \{1/2, 1/2\}$; $I(Z;X) = H(Z) - H(Z|X) = 1 - 1 = \boxed{0}$

b) $P_z = \{pq + (1-p)(1-q), p(1-q) + q(1-p)\}$; $I(Z;X) = \boxed{H(Z) - H(Z|X)}$

Exercise 8.8.



The triple Venn Diagram is misleading because $I(X;Y)$ is not listed, nor is $I(X;Z)$ and $I(Y;Z)$.

Exercise 8.9: W = state of the world; d = data gathered; r = processed data.

$$W \rightarrow d \rightarrow r; P(W, d, r) = P(W) \cdot P(d|W) P(r|d)$$


$$I(W;R) = \sum_{x,y} P(W, r) \log \frac{1}{P(w|r)} = \sum_{w,r} P(W, r) \log \frac{P(w, r)}{P(w)P(r)}$$

Chapter 9: Communication over a Noisy Channel:

Example 9.1: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 1$; Binary Symmetric Channel:

$$\text{Observation of } 1: P(X=1|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{17/44}$$




$$P(X=0|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{27/44}$$

The input $X=0$ is more probable than $X=1$.

Exercise 9.2: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 0$; Binary Symmetric Channel:



$$\text{Observation of } 0: P(X=1|y=0) = \frac{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=0|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/52}$$


Example 9.3: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 1$

$$\text{Observation of } 1: P(X=1|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/0}$$

Z-channel:

$$A_x = \{0, 1\}; A_y = \{0, 1\}$$




Exercise 9.4: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$

$$\text{Observation of } y = 0: P(X=1|y=0) = \frac{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)+P(y=0|X=0)P(X=0)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/6}$$

Z-channel:

$$A_x = \{0, 1\}; A_y = \{0, 1\}$$



Example 9.5: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $P(y=0) = 0.78$, $P(y=1) = 0.22$

$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} - P(Y|X) \log \frac{1}{P(Y|X)}$$

$$= 0.22 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.22} \right) + (1-0.22) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.22} \right) - 0.15 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.15} \right) - (1-0.15) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.15} \right)$$

$$= 0.76 - 0.61 = \boxed{0.15 \text{ bits}}$$




Example 9.6: $P(y=1) = 0.085$; $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$

$$= 0.085 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.085} \right) + (1-0.085) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.085} \right) - [0.90 H_2(0) + 0.1 H_2(0.15)]$$

$$= 0.42 - (0.1 \times 0.61) = \boxed{0.36 \text{ bits}}$$

$$c) \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} p_1^* = \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} \frac{1/(1-f)}{1 + 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}} = \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{-1}{(1-f)^2}}{\log \frac{1}{f} \cdot 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{e}}$$

Exercise 9.16 Capacity of channel



$$I(X;Y)' = (1-f) \log_2 \frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} - H_2(f)$$

Z Channel

$$I(X;Y)' = 1 - P$$

$$I(X;Y)' = 1 - p \log_2 \frac{1}{p} - (1-p) \log_2 \frac{1}{(1-p)}$$

Binary Symmetric
channel

Binary Erasure
channel

Exercise 9.17 The capacity of a five-input, ten output channel described below is the maximum number of bits transmitted. Columns 1 & 3 provide 2 bits of error-free transmission.

	0	1	2	3	4
0	0.25	0	0	0	0.25
1	0.25	0	0	0	0.25
2	0.25	0.25	0	0	0
3	0.25	0.25	0	0	0
4	0	0.25	0.25	0	0
5	0	0.25	0.25	0	0
6	0	0	0.25	0.25	0
7	0	0	0.25	0.25	0
8	0	0	0	0.25	0.25
9	0	0	0	0.25	0.25

0	3
0.25	0
0.25	0
0.25	0
0.25	0
0	0
0	0
0	0.25
0	0.25
0	0.25

= 2 bits of Information

Exercise 9.18 $X \in \{-1, +1\}$; A_y = Output. $\therefore Q(y|X, \alpha, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y-\alpha)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$: α = Signal amplitude.

a) Prob

$$\ln Q(X|y, \alpha, \sigma) = \ln \frac{Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma)}{Q(y|X=-1, \alpha, \sigma)} = \frac{(y-\alpha)^2}{(y+\alpha)^2} = \alpha(y).$$

$$Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}}$$

Rules of page 36; $q = 1 - p \Rightarrow \alpha = \ln p / q$

$$p = q/e^{\alpha} = ((1-p)/e^{\alpha})^{-1} ; p(1/e^{\alpha}) = q ; p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} ;$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} = p$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} = p$$

$$Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma) = \boxed{\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}}}$$

$$|A_x|^2 = |00, 20, 10, 0?, ??, 1?, 01, ?1, 11|$$

$$|A_y| = |00, 01, 10, 11|$$

Q	00	01	10	11
00	0.72	0	0	0
?0	0.13	0.02	0.13	0
10	0	0	0.72	0
0?	0.13	0.13	0	0
??	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
1?	0	0	0.13	0.13
01	0	0.72	0	0
?1	0	0.13	0	0.13
11	0	0	0	0.72

Q[1=2]

Columns 00 and 11 show the least overlap, which aids the analysis for cross-signals being of two categories. The decoder that would fit the extended channel requires {00, ?0, 0?} to be considered 0, and {1?, ?1, 11} to be assigned 1.

Exercise 9.15: Z-channel: $x \xrightarrow{p_1} y ; f = 0.15 ; C(Q_z) = 0.685$.

~~a) p_1^* is less than 0.5 because of maximization of information that was evaluated to be 0.445.~~

b) $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H_2(p_1(1-f)) - p_1 H_2(f)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_1} &= (1-f)[\log_2 (1-p_1(1-f)) - \log_2 p_1(1-f)] - H_2(f) \\ &= (1-f) \log_2 \frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} - H_2(f) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} = 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}$$

$$1-p_1(1-f) = p_1(1-f) \cdot 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}$$

$$p_1 = \frac{1/(1-f)}{1 + 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}}$$

Exercise 9.21: The probability for error of twenty-four people $A_x = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$ being conveyed to 365 mappings $A_x = \{1, 2, \dots, 365\}$ is similar to the birthday problem. Error would occur when the same mapping happens to be assigned i.e. same birthday for twenty-four people in 365 days time. The error is $1 - \frac{365^{24}}{365^{24}} = 0.44$. The capacity of the channel is I_{\max} , such that the most information is transmitted. I_{\max} is $H_2(1/365) - H(24/365)$; or 0.28 bits. Rate of communication is defined by bits/sec, including 0.28 bits/sec.

Exercise 9.22: K rooms; Q people

$$a) P(\text{Same Room} | Q \text{ people}) = \frac{K P Q}{K^Q} \stackrel{!}{=} \text{Error}$$

$$b) P(\text{Order of Same Room} | Q \text{ people}) = \frac{K C Q}{K^Q}$$


If $q = 364$, and $K = 1$, then Error-a =

Chapter 10: The Noisy-Channel Coding Theorem:

Exercise 10.1: The inequality $I(S; \hat{S}) \geq NR(1 - H_2(p_b))$ withstands for complex correlations among bit errors because of the capacity-C for error-free communication. A complex correlation lowers the maximum capacity, since samples are not independent, and in-turn, lowers information.

$$\text{Exercise 10.2: } \frac{dI(X; Y)}{dp_i} = \frac{d}{dp_i} [NR(1 - H_2(Q_{j|i}))] \stackrel{!}{=} NR(1 - Q_{j|i}) \frac{dQ_{j|i}}{dp_i}$$

$$\text{Exercise 10.3: } \frac{d^2 I(X; Y)}{d^2 p_i} = \frac{d^2}{dp_i^2} [NR(1 - H_2(p))] \stackrel{!}{=} NR$$



b) The optimal decoder distinguishes between inputs. If the input is greater than zero, then an output is assigned to 1, and when the output is less than zero, the output becomes zero. If the answer is expressed as a function in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio X^2/σ^2 , the error function

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{Xz} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2} dy = \frac{1 \pm \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Xz}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)}{2}$$

Exercise 9.19. a) Total Possible Twos = $(4 \times 5\text{-sized Twos} + 8 \times 10\text{-sized Twos}) \times (\text{Black or White})$
 $= [(4\text{-length x-dirn}) \times (5\text{-length y-dirn}) + (8\text{-length x-dirn}) \times (10\text{-length y-dirn})] \times 2$
 $= [13 \times 12 + 9 \times 7] \times 2 = \boxed{430}$

$$P(y|x=2) = \frac{P(x=2|y) P(y)}{P(x=2)} = \frac{219 \times 2}{2^{32}} = \boxed{1.02 \times 10^{-7}}$$

$$H(y|x=2) = \sum_i P(y|x=2) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x=2)} = \boxed{2.37 \times 10^{-6}}$$

Exercise 9.20 $n=24$; $P(\text{Same Birthdays}|n=24) = \boxed{\frac{365P24}{365^{24}}}$

$$P(\text{Distinct Birthdays}|n=24) = 1 - P(\text{Same Birthdays}|n=24)$$

$$= 1 - 0.46$$

$$= \boxed{0.54}$$

$$E[P(\text{same Birthday}|n=24)] = \sum_{k=1}^{24} \frac{k}{365} = \frac{24(24-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{365} = \boxed{\frac{276}{365}}$$

The inequality is presented because of the independence of the (2,1) code to represent an erasure channel.

$$C^2 = \frac{dI(X;Y)}{dP_Y} = \frac{(1-f)H_2(f)}{1-P_Y(1-f)} = \frac{f}{1-f} H_2(f)$$

$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(Y) - fH(X) = H(Y) - fH_2(f)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1-q \\ q \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1-q \\ q \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q \\ q \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q & 0 \\ q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_2(p_Y) - qH_2(p_X) =$$

$$= p_Y \log \frac{1}{p_Y} + (1-p_Y) \log \frac{1}{1-p_Y} - q p_X \log \frac{1}{p_X} - (1-q)p_X \log \frac{1}{1-p_X}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(Y) - \sum p(y) \log \frac{1}{p(y)}$$

Exercise 10.12: Does exercise with $I(S-I) = I(S-I) + 1$ degrees of freedom.

Non-symmetric channel having asymmetric input distributions ($I-S$), so a degree of freedom; while, symmetric channels have $S-I$ degrees

A proof for the model is channels have $S-I$ degrees

Exercise 10.11: (S, non-symmetric channels exists having uniform input distributions.

$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(Y) - H(p); C = \max I(X;Y) = \frac{1}{1-h(p)}$$

$$P(Y=1|X=0) = 0.1; P(Y=1|X=1) = 0.9 \quad \text{a symmetric channel}$$

$$P(Y=0|X=0) = 0.2; P(Y=0|X=1) = 0.25 \quad \text{channel forms a Remonding triangle}$$


$$P(Y=0|X=0) = 0.7; P(Y=0|X=1) = 0.1$$

$$P(Y) = \sum_x P(x) Q(Y|x) = \sum_x Q(Y)$$

$$dI(X;Y) = \frac{d}{dP_Y} I(X;Y) = \frac{d}{dP_Y} [NR(1-H_2(Q(Y|X)))] = NR \frac{dQ(Y|X)}{dP_Y}$$

$$I(X;Y) = \prod_{x,y} (1-Q(Y|x)) = \prod_{x,y} Q(Y|x) = 0$$


Exercise 10.4: Ternary Confusion Channel: $A_x = \{0, ?, 1\}$, $A_y = \{0, 1\}$



$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H_2(p_0 \cdot 1 + p_? \cdot \frac{1}{2} + p_1 \cdot 0) - P?$$

$$\text{where } \vec{p} = (p_0, p_?, p_1) = (p_0, (1-p_0-p_1), p_1)$$

$$\boxed{\text{Plot of } I(X;Y) = \left[(p_0 + (1-p_0-p_1)/2) \log_2 \frac{1}{p_0 + (1-p_0-p_1)/2} + (1-p_0 - (1-p_0-p_1)/2) \log_2 \frac{1}{1-p_0 - (1-p_0-p_1)/2} \right] - ((1-p_0-p_1))}$$



Exercise 10.5: $\frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_i} = \lambda$ for all i ; when $I(X;Y)$ is maximized, then
 $\frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_i}$ the value λ is greater than zero. If $p_i = 0$, then
 $\frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_i} \leq \lambda$, and λ becomes the upper-limit.

```
template<typename F>
void dInformation ( F information) int iter, (Double step) {
    Double capacity[iter], max;
    for(int i=0; i<iter; i++) {
        capacity[i] = [information(it+step)-information(i)]/step;
        if(capacity[i]>max)
            max = capacity[i];
    }
}
```

Std::cout << "The maximum capacity is: " << max;

Exercise 11.3: $P(\text{Communication}) = P(C) \cdot P(Q) \cdot P(D) \cdot P(D') = P(C) \cdot P(Q') \cdot P(D')$

Exercise 11.4: $N=100; b=0.2; f=0.5$; Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel $[C] = 1 - H_2(p)$

Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel $[C] = 1 - \left(H_2(p) + \frac{Nb}{N} \right)$

with error and dependent bursts. $= 1 - \frac{(0.2 \log \frac{1}{0.2} + (1-0.2) \log \frac{1}{(1-0.2)}) + 100 \cdot 0.2}{100}$
 $= 1 - 0.207 = 0.793$

Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel with independent bursts $[C] = 1 - H_2(f \cdot b) = 1 - 0.469$
 $= 0.531$

The interleaving $[C=0.531]$ has lower capacity than the dependent bursts $[C=0.793]$. This means a compact disc that interleaves information to compensate for temporary bursts; in turn, lowers capacity.

Exercise 11.5: Signal-to-noise V/σ^2

a) Exercise 11.2 described

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

b) $X \in \{\pm \sqrt{V}\}$ is constraint

$$C' = \frac{\sqrt{V}/\sigma^2}{1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{V}}{\sigma} \right)^2}$$

c) $y \rightarrow y' = \begin{cases} y & y > 0 \\ 0 & y \leq 0 \end{cases}; C'' = \frac{1}{1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2} - \frac{2(\sqrt{V}/\sigma)}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2} = \frac{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2) - 2(\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2}$

$$= \frac{1 - (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2}$$

(d)

Exercise 10.13; $N=20$; The argument for minimum number of trips is for indistinguishable wires.

It is $\frac{1}{2} + \text{single trip}$ of two steps.

$N=1000$; does not change the amount of trips:

The information content of the greedy approach

is $\log [P(r|N, g_r)] = \log \left[\frac{N!}{\prod(r!)^{g_r} g_r!} \right]$, and when maximized using a Lagrange Multiplier $\log \left[\frac{N!}{\prod(r!)^{g_r} g_r!} \right] + \lambda \sum g_r r$,

$$g_r = \frac{e^{\lambda r}}{r!}$$

Chapter 11: Error-Correcting Codes & Real Channels

1. $I(X; Y) = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)}$; Lagrangian Constraint: $\lambda x^2 \leq -\mu$.

$$\frac{dI(X; Y)}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\sum P(x) P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx - \lambda \int x^2 P(x) dx - \mu \int P(x) dx \right]$$

$$\frac{dI(X; Y)}{dx} = \int P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx - \lambda x^2 - \frac{d\mu}{dx} = 0$$

$$\int P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx = \lambda x^2 + \mu'$$

$$\int P(y|x) \ln P(y|x) dx - \int P(y) \ln P(y) dx = \lambda x^2 + \mu'$$

For this term to become λx^2 or μ' , then a Gaussian is required.

Exercise 11.2. $I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (2\pi e (P+N_0 W)) - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (2\pi e (N_0 W))$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) = \boxed{\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \right)}.$$

The engineering perspective denotes a Power/Noise relationship: While, the book seems to skip steps.


A logarithm table shows:

$$\int x^m \ln x dx = x^{m+1} \left(\frac{\ln x}{m+1} - \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \right); m \neq -1$$

This integral seems not work because of the coefficient, but may help somebody else.


Chapter 12: Hash Codes:

Exercise 12.1: Worst Case:



$\boxed{N \times s \text{ comparisons.}}$

Average Case:



$\boxed{\text{Unsure - not books answer}}$

Exercise 12.2. Bayesian Probability: $P(H_0 | \text{Likelihood}) = \frac{P(\text{Likelihood} | H_0) \cdot P(H_0)}{P(H_1)}$

$$= (2)^r \cdot \frac{1}{(1/2)^r} = \boxed{2^{2r}}$$

where $r = \text{bits evaluated correct.}$

Exercise 12.3: $P(\text{No Collision} | M, S) = \boxed{1 - \frac{s^m}{S^m}}$

$$P(\text{Collision} | M, S) = 1 - \frac{s^m}{S^m} = \boxed{1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-m+1)}{S^m}}$$

$M=1$

$$P(\text{Collision} | M, S) = 1 - \binom{s}{m} = 1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-m+1)}{S^m}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-\frac{s}{100}+1)}{S^m}$$

$$= 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{s(s-1)/2}{s/100}\right) = \boxed{1 - \exp(-50(s-1))}$$

Example 12.4: $189 + 1254 + 238 = 1681$; $1681 \% 9 \neq 0$: $\boxed{\text{Test Passed.}}$

Exercise 12.5: A correct casting-out-nines match gives in favor of the hypothesis that the addition has been done correctly by a probability of 9:1.

Example 12.6: $P(A \neq B | H_F) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^M$

Exercise 12.7: The scale of parity checking is different than error correction. A parity check has error detection within the 32 bits of extra information. Error correction requires evaluation of all the bits and tends to be more rigorous than a local parity check.

Example 12.8: $M = \text{BITS} ; \text{Bit } \#1 = N_1 ; \text{Bit } \#2 = N_2 ; P(\text{Not Distinct} | N_1, N_2) = \frac{N_1 N_2}{2^M}$

$$T+H = \text{Bits} = N_1 + N_2 ; N_1 N_2 = 2^{M+1}$$

$$\text{If } N_1 = N_2 ; \text{ then } N_1^2 = \sqrt{2^M + 1} = 2^{\frac{M}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Exercise 12.9: Population = 9×10^9 people;

Common Address of House #, Street #, City, State, Zip code.

$$\approx \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^X \cdot \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^Y \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^Z \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^B \cdot \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^C ; X+Y+Z+B+C = N$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^X \cdot \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^Y \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^Z \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^B \cdot \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^C = 9 \times 10^9$$

$$\frac{9 \times 10^9 \text{ people}}{\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^N} = 1 ; N = \log_{10}(9 \times 10^9 - 1/9)$$

Exercise 12.10: If a person writes 10^9 words within their lifetime, then

in an alphabet of [a-z], [0-9], the probability

a string matches is $\frac{10^9}{37^n} = 1 ; n = 9 / \log_{10} 37 \approx 6$

Exercise 12.11: a) 3×10^9 nucleotides from a four letter alphabet {A, C, G, T}

$$\frac{N}{4^L} = 1 ; L = \log_2(N) / \log_2(4) ; L > \log(3 \times 10^9) / \log_2 4 = 15.7 \text{ AA.}$$

b) GCCCCCAACCCCTGCCCC

A repeated subsequence of DNA effects binding, but not the information content.

Chapter 13: Binary Codes:

Example 13.1: The (7,4) Hamming Code has distance ($d=3$) because the codewords differ in at least 3 bits.

Example 13.2: Weight enumerator functions $A(W)$ relate the number of codewords that have weight W .

Example 13.3: Generator Matrix $G[K \times N]$; # 1's per row; do.

The value d_0 is a constant, so the sequence of codes are very bad!

Exercise 13.4: $P = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \binom{N}{k} f^k (1-f)^{N-k} \quad \boxed{\frac{d}{N} \binom{N}{2} f^2 (1-f)^{N-2} + \dots + \frac{1}{K} \binom{N}{K} f^K (1-f)^{N-K}}$

Exercise 13.5: A 'bad' distance code has d/N tend to zero as blocklength N increases; which, is defined by $d = N - K$.

The Hamming Code when concatenated is an example of a 'bad' code because the ratio d/N is 'bad' distance, but described as 'very good' to order.

Exercise 13.6: $P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} A(w) [\beta(f)]^w$

$$\log_2 \langle A(w) \rangle \approx NH_2(w/N) - M$$

$$\approx N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)] \text{ for any } w > 0$$

$$P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} \langle A(w) \rangle [\beta(f)]^w = \sum_{w>0} e^{N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)]} \cdot [\beta(f)]^w$$

$$\log P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)] + \prod_{w>0} \log [\beta(f)^w]$$

$$\log P(\text{block error}) - \prod_{w>0} \log [\beta(f)^w] - \sum_{w>0} N[H_2(w/N)] + 1 \leq R_{\text{ub}}$$

Exercise 13.7: $[111|0100]$ & $[011|1010]$ & $[101|1001]$ are parallel to themselves, and not orthogonal.

$$\text{Example 13.8: } G = [111] ; H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Exercise 13.9: } G = [000] \underset{(1,3)}{;} H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h_1 = [111] ; h_2 = [000] ;$$

Exercise 13.10: A 'good' distance code has d/N tend to a constant greater than zero. Low-density parity-check codes have d/N approach zero because of the parity-check. Also, low-density parity-check codes are good; such that, $H_2(f) = 1-R > 0$.

$$\text{Exercise 13.11: } P : G = [I_k | P^T] = H : [I_k | P^T] = [P | I_m]$$

Exercise 13.12: $(8,4)$ Self-Dual Code

$$G = [I_4 | P^T] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$(7,4)$ Hamming Code

$$H = [P | I_4] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

The $(8,4)$ Self-Dual Code is similar to $(7,4)$ Hamming Codes by an inversion of the bits; where, the Parity-check represents the parity-check bits of the sixteen codewords of the $(7,4)$ Hamming Code.

Exercise 6.8: A selection of K objects from N describes the binomial coefficient model with a probability of $\binom{N}{K} = \frac{N!}{K!(N-K)!}$. The number of required bits is $\log_2(\binom{N}{K}) \approx N H_2(K/N)$ bits. A selection is made by the probability of occurring objects; a 1-assignment for K/N , and 0-assignment for $(N-K)/N$. The repetitive process continues for 1's as $(K-R)/(N-n)$ probabilities and $1 - (K-R)/(N-n)$ 0's.

Exercise 6.9: Source $[X]$ $\xrightarrow{F_0 \text{ } F_1} \{0\}$ Find $X = X_1 X_2 X_3$; $P(X|X_1 X_2 X_3) = \frac{P(X_1 X_2 X_3 | F_1, B) \cdot P(F_1)}{P(X_1 X_2 X_3 | B)}$

$$f_1 = 0.01 \quad f_0 = 0.99 \quad L - H_2(0.01) = 0.2 - 0.097 = 0.119$$

$$E(F_A) = \sum_{i=0}^{10} P(F_A) \cdot l(F_A)$$

$$\text{Where } P(F_A) = P_{10}(1-p_1)^{F_A}$$

$$= 1000 \cdot p_1 = 100 \text{ bits}$$

$$Var(F_A) = \sum_{i=0}^{1000} P(F_A) \cdot l(F_A)^2$$

$$= 1000 \cdot p_1(1-p_1) = \frac{99}{10} = 9.9 \text{ bits.}$$

$$= \frac{F_1 + 1}{F_1 + F_0 + 2} = \frac{1}{5}$$

$$= F_1 + 1$$

$$= 100 + 1 = 101$$

Exercise 6.10: An arithmetic coding algorithm to generate random bit strings of length N with density F is:

```

int u = 0.0; Doub R0 = sum_{i=1}^N P(X_n=a_i | X_1, ..., X_{n-1})
int v = f; Doub Q0 = sum_{i=1}^N P(X_n=a_i | X_1, ..., X_{n-1})
int N = 10;
Doub p = v - u;
For(int i=0; i < N; i++) {
    v = u + p * R0(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1})
    u = u + p * Q0(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1})
    p = v - u;
}

```

The algorithm describes the N -length interval in terms of the lower and upper cumulative probabilities. This process is akin to cumulating multiplicative probabilities.

Exercise 6.11: Encode the string 0100001000100010101000001 using the modified Lempel-Ziv algorithm.

source substrings	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
s(n)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
s(n) Binary	0000	0001	0010	0011	0100	0101	0110	0111	1000	1001	1010	1011			
(pointer, bit)	(-, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1)			
Child[0, 1]	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
New (pointer, bit)	(0, 1)	(0, 1, 0)	(1, 1, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)			

Exercise 6.12: If string length is odd, then the modified Lempel-Ziv algorithm is capable of being a 'complete' algorithm, because each branch of the binary tree has two leafs. Although, an even length string is 'incomplete'; due to the fact, branches are left without both children of similar prefix.

Exercise 6.13: A string of repetitive values has low entropy (say a sedinary string of zeros), but would not compress well by Lempel-Ziv's algorithm because of the redundancy.

Exercise 6.14: $P(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum x_n^2}{2\sigma^2}\right); r = (\sum x_n^2)^{1/2}$

Estimate mean and variance of r^2 .

Note: $\int \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} x^4 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx = 3\sigma^4$.

$$E[r^2] = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr = \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{(1/2)/2}} = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{Var}[x^2] = E[x^4] - E[x]^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dr - (\sigma^2)^2 = 3\sigma^4 - \sigma^4 = 2\sigma^4$$

Shell: $r^2 = \sigma^2; r = \sigma; P(x_{\text{shell}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$

Probability Density $P(x=0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}}$

Probability Shell per Probability Density: $P(\text{shell})/P(x=0) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$

@ $N=1000$; $P(\text{shell})/P(x=0) = \exp\left(-\frac{1000}{2}\right)$

Exercise 6.15: $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j\}$

$$P = \left\{ \frac{1}{100}, \frac{2}{100}, \frac{4}{100}, \frac{5}{100}, \frac{6}{100}, \frac{3}{100}, \frac{9}{100}, \frac{10}{100}, \frac{25}{100}, \frac{30}{100} \right\}$$

Optimal Binary Coding constructs a given set of symbol probabilities to a code which matches Shannon Information content.

Using Huffman Coding: $\{1111, 1110, 110, 0111, 0110, 110, 0101, 0100, 10, 00\}$

Expected Length = $\sum_i P(x_i) \cdot l(x_i) = 2.64 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.16: $y = x_1 x_2; X: A_X = \{a, b, c\}; P_X = \left\{ \frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{6}{10} \right\}$


$P(y) = P(x_1) \cdot P(x_2)$

$x_2 \setminus x_1$	0.1	0.3	0.6
0.1	0.01	0.03	0.06
0.3	0.03	0.09	0.18
0.6	0.06	0.18	0.36

$$H(y) = \sum_{i=1}^9 P(y_i) \log_2 \frac{1}{P(y_i)}$$

+ 2.59 bits.

Optimal Binary Code:



Exercise 6.17. $P = \{0.1, 0.9\}$; $E[X] = Np$; $\text{Var}[X] = Np(1-p)$; $SD[X] = \sqrt{\frac{9}{100}N}$

$$= \frac{N}{10} = \frac{9N}{100} = \frac{3\sqrt{N}}{10}$$

$$\text{If } N=1000 \rightarrow \boxed{E[X]=100, \text{Var}[X]=90; SD[X]=\sqrt{10}}$$

Exercise 6.18. $L(p) = \sum p_n \ln$; $H(p) = \sum p_n \log_2 \frac{1}{p_n}$; Show average information rate per second is $P_n = \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-\beta \ln}$; where $Z = \sum 2^{-\beta \ln}$; $\beta = \frac{H(p)}{L(p)}$

$$\text{Average Information Per Second} = \frac{\text{Information per symbol}}{\text{Average Duration per symbol}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{I(p)}{L(p)}}{\sum P_n l_n} = \frac{\sum \log_2 \frac{1}{P_n l_n}}{\sum P_n l_n} = \frac{\sum \log_2 \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-Bl_n}}{\sum \frac{1}{Z} 2^{-Bl_n} \cdot l}$$

$$\text{Maximal Information per second} = \frac{\sum \frac{1}{Z} Z^{-\beta ln l} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{Z} Z^{-\beta ln l} - \sum \log Z Z^{\beta n} \sum \frac{1}{Z} Z^{-\beta ln l}}{\left(\sum \frac{1}{Z} Z^{-\beta ln l} \cdot l \right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{I(p)}{L(p)} - I(p) = \boxed{I(p)\left(\frac{1}{L(p)} - 1\right)}$$

Exercise 6.19: $L(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{52} P(x_i) \cdot l_i = \frac{1}{52} \sum_{i=1}^{52} l_i = \frac{52(52+1)}{2 \cdot 52} = 27 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.20: 13 cards from 52 card deck. Bids: 1♦, 1♦, 1♥, 1♦, INT, 2♦, 2♦... 7♦, 7♦, INT

a) If $\binom{52}{13}$ describes the number of combinations, then $\log_2 \left(\binom{52}{13} \right)$ is the amount of bits to describe a hand.

b) Shannon Information: $I(p) = \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52}{4} \binom{52}{13}}{\binom{52}{13}} = \frac{\text{Prob suit} \cdot \text{Prob Number}}{\text{Total Prob.}}$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p_n} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52-2n}{4} \binom{52-2n}{13-n}}{\binom{52-2n}{13-n}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{13} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p_n} \right); p = \frac{\binom{52-2n}{4}}{\binom{52}{13}}$$

$= 322 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 6.21: a)

	Two Buttons	Three Buttons
Arabic	$0\Box \rightarrow 9\Box$	$00\Box - 99\Box$
Roman:	MI, XD, CD, ID	MX, MCD, MC, MM, XX, XC, XI, CC, CI, IID

A complete code satisfies the Kraft Inequality.

$$S^N = \left[\sum_i 2^{-l_i} \right]^N \leq N \cdot 1_{\max}; \text{ where } N: \text{length of string.}$$

$l = \text{Length of sequence.}$

Yes, the 'arabic' and 'roman', two (or three) button sequences are complete.

b) The sample space of the 'arabic' and 'roman' microwave are not 100% similar. A demonstration of a four button sequence for the 'arabic' microwave shows $(999\Box) 9 \text{ min } 99 \text{ sec}$. It is not possible for the 'roman' microwave, while, the 'roman' by definition achieves larger numbers, including $(\text{MMMD}) 30 \text{ min.}$

c) An implicit probability distribution over timer to which each of the codes is matched.

d) The implicit probability distribution for which the microwaves are best matched is lower cooking times.

More specifically, less than 10 min.

e) $E[X] = \sum_{i=0}^{10} P(x_i) \cdot l(x_i) = \frac{10}{10} (3) = 3 \text{ symbols}$

Maximum Number of symbols is 3 symbols for a 'plausible' sequence less than 10 min.

f) A more efficient cooking-time-encoding system would be a greater base-counting system, per say, base-16.

- Exercise 6.22:
- $C_B(5) = 101$ is not uniquely decodable because of the lack of terminating characters.
 - An option for mapping $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ to $c(n) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ that is uniquely decodable would be to end (or begin) each representation by a binary flag e.g. 00000, or 1111.
 - Alternative codes for integers are proposed for large file systems, and describe Base-16, Base-32 or Base-64.

Chapter 7: Codes for Integers:

Exercise 7.1:

n	$C_U(n)$
1	1
\vdots	\vdots
256	10000...11 length - 256

n	l_b	$C_X(n)$
256	8	ANSWER

$$C_X(n) = C_U[l_b(n)]C_B$$

$$= (256)^8 \cdot 1$$

$$= 2048 \text{ bits}$$

b) $1 \text{ Kb} = 1024 \text{ bytes}$; # bits = $\log_2(100 \text{ Kb}) = \log_2(102400) = 16.64 \text{ bits}$

Exercise 7.2: $C_X(n)$ is a unary code for $l_b(n)$, and is 1000011.

$C_B(n)$ is a headless binary representation of n , and is 1101100.

$C_8(n)$ is a sequence of codes involving C_B , l_b , and C_B , shown as 110000111010111.

$C_3(n)$ is an end-of-file symbol, and for n^H characters is 97 pairs of bits.

$C_7(n)$ is another end-of-file symbol, and is 35 groups of three bits.

$C_{15}(n)$ is described as 25 sets of four bits.

The shortest end-of-file notation is $C_{15}(n)$ at 100 total bits.

Exercise 7.3: A C_{2048} code requires 11-bits to end the file and another 11-bits to describe a value of 2047 characters, this would be less than Elias's code for 2047 characters.

Chapter 8: Dependent Random Variables

Exercise 8.1: $X = (U, V)$, $Y = (V, W)$; $H(X, Y) = \sum_{xy \in A_x A_y} P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x, y)} = P(X) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(X)} + P(Y) \log \frac{1}{P(Y)}$

$$= P(U, V) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(U)P(V)} + P(V, W) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(V)P(W)}$$

$$= H(U) + H(V) + H(V) + H(W) = H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$

$$H(X|Y) = \sum P(X, Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X|Y)} = \sum P(X, Y) \log \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)}$$

$$= H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= H(U) + H(V) - H(V) + 2H(U) + H(W)$$

$$= 3H(U) + H(W)$$



$$\text{Exercise 8.2. } H(X|y=b_k) = \sum P(x|y=b_k) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y=b_k)} = H(X) + H(Y|X) - H(Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } P(y|x) = P(y), \text{ then } H(X|y) &= H(X) + \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{1}{P(y,x)} - \sum P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} \\ &= H(X) + \sum p(y) p(y|x) \log \frac{p(y)}{p(y|x)} - \sum p(y) \log \frac{1}{p(y)} \\ &= H(X) + 0 + \sum P(y) \log P(y) = \boxed{H(X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exercise 8.3. } H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x,y)} = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)P(y|x)} = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} + \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)} \\ &= H(X) + \sum_x P(x) \sum_y P(y|x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)} \\ &= \boxed{H(X) + H(Y|X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exercise 8.4. } I(X;Y) &\equiv H(X) - H(X|Y); I(X;Y) = D_{KL}(P(X,Y) || P(X)P(Y)) \\ &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} \\ &= \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} = \boxed{I(Y;X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(X;Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} = \sum P(x) P(x|Y) \log \frac{P(x|Y)}{P(x)} \\ &= \sum_x P(x) \sum_y P(x|Y) \log P(x|Y) + \sum P(x) P(x|Y) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} \\ &= -H(X|Y) + H(X) = \boxed{H(X) - H(X|Y)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Exercise 8.5. } D_H(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - I(X;Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 1: } D_H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x,y)} - \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} \\ &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{P(x)P(y)}{P(x,y)^2} = \boxed{\sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)}} \\ &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 2: } D_H(X,X) &= \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{1}{P(x,x)} - \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{P(x,x)}{P(x)P(x)} \\ &= \sum P(x,x) \log \frac{1}{P(x|x)P(x|x)} = \boxed{0} \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Axiom 3: } D_H(X,Y) &= \sum P(x,y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)} = \sum P(y,x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)P(x|y)} \\ &= \boxed{-D_H(Y,X)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Axiom 4: } D_H(X, Z) = \sum P(x, z) \log \frac{1}{P(x|z)P(z|x)}$$

$$D_H(X, Y) + D_H(Y, Z) = \sum P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x|y)P(y|x)} + \sum P(y, z) \log \frac{1}{P(y|z)P(z|y)}$$

$$D_H(X, Z) = \sum P(x, z) \log \frac{1}{P(x|z)P(z|x)} \leq D_H(X, Y) + D_H(Y, Z)$$

This problem's solution is also described on a triangle.



$$D(X, Z) \leq D_H(Y, Z) + D_H(X|Y)$$

Exercise

Exercise 8.6.

		1	2	3	4	
		1	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	$\frac{1}{32}$
		2	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	$\frac{1}{32}$
		3	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$
		4	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0

		1	2	3	4
		1	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		2	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		3	■■■■	■■■■	■■■■
		4	■■■■		

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(X, Y) &= \sum P(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x, y)} = \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 + \frac{1}{32} \log 32 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 + \frac{1}{16} \log 16 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \emptyset + \emptyset + \emptyset \\
 &= 3.375
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(X) = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(Y) = \sum P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} = 4 \left(\frac{1}{4} \log 4 \right) = \boxed{2}$$

$$H(X|y_1) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(X|y_2) = \frac{1}{4} \log 4 + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 + \frac{1}{8} \log 8 = \boxed{7/4}$$

$$H(X|y_3) = H\left(\frac{1}{4} \log 4\right) = \boxed{2}$$

$$H(X|y_4) = 1 \cdot \log 1 = \boxed{0}$$

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_y H(X|y) = \frac{7}{4} + \frac{7}{4} + 2 + 0 = \boxed{11/2}$$

$$H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) - H(X) = 3.375 - 1.75 = \boxed{1.625}$$




$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = 1.75 - 1.375 = \boxed{3/8}$$

Exercise 8.7. $A_x = A_y = A_z = \{0, 1\}$; $P_x = \{p, 1-p\}$; $P_y = \{q, 1-q\}$; $Z = (X+Y) \bmod 2$

a) If $q = 1/2$, $P_z = \{1/2, 1/2\}$; $I(Z;X) = H(Z) - H(Z|X) = 1 - 1 = \boxed{0}$

b) $P_z = \{pq + (1-p)(1-q), p(1-q) + q(1-p)\}$; $I(Z;X) = \boxed{H(Z) - H(Z|X)}$

Exercise 8.8.



The triple Venn Diagram is misleading because $I(X;Y)$ is not listed, nor is $I(X;Z)$ and $I(Y;Z)$.

Exercise 8.9: W = state of the world; d = data gathered; r = processed data.

$$W \rightarrow d \rightarrow r; P(W, d, r) = P(W) \cdot P(d|W) P(r|d)$$


$$I(W;R) = \sum_{x,y} P(W, r) \log \frac{1}{P(w|r)} = \sum_{w,r} P(W, r) \log \frac{P(w,r)}{P(w)p(r)}$$

Chapter 9: Communication over a Noisy Channel:

Example 9.1: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 1$; Binary Symmetric Channel:

$$\text{Observation of } 1: P(X=1|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{17/44}$$



$$P(X=0|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{27/44}$$

The input $x=0$ is more probable than $x=1$.

Exercise 9.2: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 0$; Binary Symmetric Channel:



$$\text{Observation of } 0: P(X=1|y=0) = \frac{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=0|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/52}$$


Example 9.3: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $y = 1$

$$\text{Observation of } 1: P(X=1|y=1) = \frac{P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=1|X=0)P(X=0)+P(y=1|X=1)P(X=1)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/0}$$

Z-channel:

$$A_x = \{0, 1\}; A_y = \{0, 1\}$$




Exercise 9.4: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$

$$\text{Observation of } y=0: P(X=1|y=0) = \frac{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)}{P(y=0|X=1)P(X=1)+P(y=0|X=0)P(X=0)}$$

$$= \boxed{1/6}$$

Z-channel:

$$A_x = \{0, 1\}; A_y = \{0, 1\}$$



Example 9.5: $f = 0.15$; $P_x = \{p_0 = 0.9, p_1 = 0.1\}$; $P(y=0) = 0.78$, $P(y=1) = 0.22$

$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = P(y) \log \frac{1}{P(y)} - P(Y|X) \log \frac{1}{P(Y|X)}$$

$$= 0.22 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.22} \right) + (1-0.22) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.22} \right) - 0.15 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.15} \right) - (1-0.15) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.15} \right)$$

$$= 0.76 - 0.61 = \boxed{0.15 \text{ bits}}$$

Example 9.6: $P(y=1) = 0.085$; $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$

$$= 0.085 \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.085} \right) + (1-0.085) \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-0.085} \right) - [0.90 H_2(0) + 0.1 H_2(0.15)]$$

$$= 0.42 - (0.1 \times 0.61) = \boxed{0.36 \text{ bits}}$$

$$|A_x|^2 = |00, 20, 10, 0?, ??, 1?, 01, ?1, 11|$$

$$|A_y| = |00, 01, 10, 11|$$

Q	00	01	10	11
00	0.72	0	0	0
?0	0.13	0.02	0.13	0
10	0	0	0.72	0
0?	0.13	0.13	0	0
??	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
1?	0	0	0.13	0.13
01	0	0.72	0	0
?1	0	0.13	0	0.13
11	0	0	0	0.72

Q[1=2]

Columns 00 and 11 show the least overlap, which aids the analysis for cross-signals being of two categories. The decoder that would fit the extended channel requires {00, ?0, 0?} to be considered 0, and {1?, ?1, 11} to be assigned 1.

Exercise 9.15: Z-channel: $x \xrightarrow{p_1} y ; f = 0.15 ; C(Q_z) = 0.685$.

~~a) p_1^* is less than 0.5 because of maximization of information that was evaluated to be 0.445.~~

b) $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H_2(p_1(1-f)) - p_1 H_2(f)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_1} &= (1-f)[\log_2 (1-p_1(1-f)) - \log_2 p_1(1-f)] - H_2(f) \\ &= (1-f) \log_2 \frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} - H_2(f) = 0 \end{aligned}$$




$$\frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} = 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}$$

$$1-p_1(1-f) = p_1(1-f) \cdot 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}$$

$$p_1 = \frac{1/(1-f)}{1 + 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}}$$

$$c) \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} p_1^* = \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} \frac{1/(1-f)}{1 + 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}} = \lim_{f \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{-1}{(1-f)^2}}{\log \frac{1}{f} \cdot 2^{H_2(f)/(1-f)}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{e}}$$

Exercise 9.16 Capacity of channel



$$I(X;Y)' = (1-f) \log_2 \frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)} - H_2(f)$$

Z Channel

$$I(X;Y)' = 1 - P$$

$$I(X;Y)' = 1 - p \log_2 \frac{1}{p} - (1-p) \log_2 \frac{1}{(1-p)}$$

Binary Symmetric
channel

Binary Erasure
channel

Exercise 9.17 The capacity of a five-input, ten output channel described below is the maximum number of bits transmitted. Columns 1 & 3 provide 2 bits of error-free transmission.

	0	1	2	3	4
0	0.25	0	0	0	0.25
1	0.25	0	0	0	0.25
2	0.25	0.25	0	0	0
3	0.25	0.25	0	0	0
4	0	0.25	0.25	0	0
5	0	0.25	0.25	0	0
6	0	0	0.25	0.25	0
7	0	0	0.25	0.25	0
8	0	0	0	0.25	0.25
9	0	0	0	0.25	0.25

0	3
0.25	0
0.25	0
0.25	0
0.25	0
0	0
0	0
0	0.25
0	0.25
0	0.25

= 2 bits of Information

Exercise 9.18 $X \in \{-1, +1\}$; A_y = Output. $\therefore Q(y|X, \alpha, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(y-\alpha)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$: α = Signal amplitude.

a) Prob

$$\ln Q(X|y, \alpha, \sigma) = \ln \frac{Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma)}{Q(y|X=-1, \alpha, \sigma)} = \frac{(y-\alpha)^2}{(y+\alpha)^2} = \alpha(y).$$

$$Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}}$$


Rules of page 36; $q = 1 - p \Rightarrow \alpha = \ln p / q$

$$p = q/e^{\alpha} = ((1-p)/e^{\alpha})^{-1} ; p(1/e^{\alpha}) = q ; p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} ;$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} = p$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} = p$$

$$Q(y|X=1, \alpha, \sigma) = \boxed{\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha}}}$$



b) The optimal decoder distinguishes between inputs. If the input is greater than zero, then an output is assigned to 1, and when the output is less than zero, the output becomes zero. If the answer is expressed as a function in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio X^2/σ^2 , the error function

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{Xz} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2} dy = \frac{1 \pm \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{Xz}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)}{2}$$

Exercise 9.19. a) Total Possible Twos = $(4 \times 5\text{-sized Twos} + 8 \times 10\text{-sized Twos}) \times (\text{Black or White})$
 $= [(4\text{-length x-dirn}) \times (5\text{-length y-dirn}) + (8\text{-length x-dirn}) \times (10\text{-length y-dirn})] \times 2$
 $= [13 \times 12 + 9 \times 7] \times 2 = \boxed{430}$

$$P(y|x=2) = \frac{P(x=2|y) P(y)}{P(x=2)} = \frac{219 \times 2}{2^{32}} = \boxed{1.02 \times 10^{-7}}$$

$$H(y|x=2) = \sum_i P(y|x=2) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x=2)} = \boxed{2.37 \times 10^{-6}}$$

Exercise 9.20 $n=24$; $P(\text{Same Birthdays}|n=24) = \boxed{\frac{365P24}{365^{24}}}$

$$P(\text{Distinct Birthdays}|n=24) = 1 - P(\text{Same Birthdays}|n=24)$$

$$= 1 - 0.46$$

$$= \boxed{0.54}$$

$$E[P(\text{same Birthday}|n=24)] = \sum_{k=1}^{24} \frac{k}{365} = \frac{24(24-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{365} = \boxed{\frac{276}{365}}$$

Exercise 9.21: The probability for error of twenty-four people $A_x = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$ being conveyed to 365 mappings $A_x = \{1, 2, \dots, 365\}$ is similar to the birthday problem. Error would occur when the same mapping happens to be assigned i.e. same birthday for twenty-four people in 365 days time. The error is $1 - \frac{365^{24}}{365^{24}} = 0.44$. The capacity of the channel is I_{\max} , such that the most information is transmitted. I_{\max} is $H_2(1/365) - H(24/365)$; or 0.28 bits. Rate of communication is defined by bits/sec, including 0.28 bits/sec.

Exercise 9.22: K rooms; Q people

$$a) P(\text{Same Room} | Q \text{ people}) = \frac{K P Q}{K^Q} \stackrel{!}{=} \text{Error}$$

$$b) P(\text{Order of Same Room} | Q \text{ people}) = \frac{K C Q}{K^Q}$$

If $q = 364$, and $K = 1$, then Error-a =


Chapter 10: The Noisy-Channel Coding Theorem:

Exercise 10.1: The inequality $I(S; \hat{S}) \geq NR(1 - H_2(p_b))$ withstands for complex correlations among bit errors because of the capacity-C for error-free communication. A complex correlation lowers the maximum capacity, since samples are not independent, and in-turn, lowers information.

$$\text{Exercise 10.2: } \frac{dI(X; Y)}{dp_i} = \frac{d}{dp_i} [NR(1 - H_2(Q_{j|i}))] \stackrel{!}{=} NR(1 - Q_{j|i}) \frac{dQ_{j|i}}{dp_i}$$

$$\text{Exercise 10.3: } \frac{d^2 I(X; Y)}{d^2 p_i} = \frac{d^2}{dp_i^2} [NR(1 - H_2(p))] \stackrel{!}{=} NR$$


Exercise 10.4: Ternary Confusion Channel: $A_x = \{0, ?, 1\}$, $A_y = \{0, 1\}$



$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H_2(p_0 \cdot 1 + p_? \cdot \frac{1}{2} + p_1 \cdot 0) - P?$$

$$\text{where } \vec{p} = (p_0, p_?, p_1) = (p_0, (1-p_0-p_1), p_1)$$

$$\boxed{\text{Plot of } I(X;Y) = \left[(p_0 + (1-p_0-p_1)/2) \log_2 \frac{1}{p_0 + (1-p_0-p_1)/2} + (1-p_0 - (1-p_0-p_1)/2) \log_2 \frac{1}{1-p_0 - (1-p_0-p_1)/2} \right] - ((1-p_0-p_1))}$$



Exercise 10.5: $\frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_i} = \lambda$ for all i ; when $I(X;Y)$ is maximized, then
 $\frac{dI}{dp_i}$ the value λ is greater than zero. If $p_i = 0$, then
 $\frac{dI(X;Y)}{dp_i} \leq \lambda$, and λ becomes the upper-limit.

```
template<typename F>
void dInformation ( F information) int iter, (Double step) {
    Double capacity [iter], max;
    for (int i=0; i<iter; i++) {
        capacity[i] = [information (it+step)-information(i)]/step;
        if (capacity[i]>max)
            max = capacity[i];
    }
}
```

Std::cout << "The maximum capacity is: " << max;

Exercise 10.6: $E(1-Q(y|x)) = \prod_i (1-Q(y|x_i)) = 0$ for $Q(y|x_i) = 0$

Exercise 10.7: $\frac{d^2 I(X;Y)}{d^2 p_i} = \frac{d^2}{d^2 p_i} [NR(1-H_2(Q(y|x)))] = \boxed{NR \frac{dQ(y|x)}{dp}}$

Exercise 10.8: $P(y) = \sum_x P(x) Q(y|x) = \sum Q(y) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$

Example 10.9: A channel: $P(y=0|x=0) = 0.7; P(y=0|x=1) = 0.1;$
 $P(y=?|x=0) = 0.2; P(y=?|x=1) = 0.2;$ Removing this channel forms a symmetric channel.
 $P(y=1|x=0) = 0.1; P(y=1|x=1) = 0.7$

Exercise 10.10: $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(Y) - h(p); C = \max_{P(X)} I(X;Y) \stackrel{!}{=} 1 - h(p)$

Exercise 10.11: Yes, nonsymmetric channels exist having uniform input distributions.
A proof for the model is channels have $I(J-1)$ degrees of freedom; while, optimal input distributions $(I-1)$, so a nonsymmetric channel having an optimal input distribution does exist with $I(J-1)-(I-1) = I(J-1)+1$ degrees of freedom.

Exercise 10.12: $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q & 0 \\ q & q \\ 0 & 1-q \end{bmatrix}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{0}} \xrightarrow{\text{1}} \xrightarrow{\text{?}}$; $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = \sum p(y) \log \frac{1}{p(y)} - \sum p(y|x) \log \frac{1}{p(y|x)}$
 $= p_0 \cdot \log \frac{1}{p_0} + (1-p_0) \log \frac{1}{1-p_0} - q p_{Y|1} \log \frac{1}{p_{Y|1}} - q(1-p_{Y|1}) \log \frac{1}{1-p_{Y|1}}$
 $\boxed{= H_2(p_0) - q H_2(p_0)}$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1-q \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{0}} \xrightarrow{\text{1}} \\ \downarrow p_1 \end{array}; \quad Q_{(2,1)} = Q_{(1,1)} \cdot Q_{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-q & 0 \\ q & q \\ 0 & 1-q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H_2(p_1(1-f)) - p_1 H_2(f)$$

$$C_z = \frac{d I(X;Y)}{d p_1} = \frac{(1-f) \log_2 \frac{1-p_1(1-f)}{p_1(1-f)}}{-H_2(f)} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-q)$$

The inequality is presented because of the independence of the $(2,1)$ code to represent an erasure channel.

Exercise 10.13; $N=20$; The argument for minimum number of trips is for indistinguishable wires.

It is $\frac{1}{2} + \text{single trip}$ of two steps.

$N=1000$; does not change the amount of trips:

The information content of the greedy approach

is $\log [P(r|N, g_r)] = \log \left[\frac{N!}{\prod(r!)^{g_r} g_r!} \right]$, and when maximized using a Lagrange Multiplier $\log \left[\frac{N!}{\prod(r!)^{g_r} g_r!} \right] + \lambda \sum g_r r$,

$$g_r = \frac{e^{\lambda r}}{r!}$$

Chapter 11: Error-Correcting Codes & Real Channels

1. $I(X; Y) = \sum P(x) P(y|x) \log \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)}$; Lagrangian Constraint: $\lambda x^2 \leq -\mu$.

$$\frac{dI(X; Y)}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\sum P(x) P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx - \lambda \int x^2 P(x) dx - \mu \int P(x) dx \right]$$

$$\frac{dI(X; Y)}{dx} = \int P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx - \lambda x^2 - \frac{d\mu}{dx} = 0$$

$$\int P(y|x) \ln \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} dx = \lambda x^2 + \mu'$$

$$\int P(y|x) \ln P(y|x) dx - \int P(y) \ln P(y) dx = \lambda x^2 + \mu'$$

For this term to become λx^2 or μ' , then a Gaussian is required.

Exercise 11.2. $I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (2\pi e (P+N_0 W)) - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (2\pi e (N_0 W))$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) = \boxed{\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \right)}.$$

The engineering perspective denotes a Power/Noise relationship: While, the book seems to skip steps.

A logarithm table shows:

$$\int x^m \ln x dx = x^{m+1} \left(\frac{\ln x}{m+1} - \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \right); m \neq -1$$

This integral seems not work because of the coefficient, but may help somebody else.

Exercise 11.3: $P(\text{Communication}) = P(C) \cdot P(Q) \cdot P(D) \cdot P(D') = P(C) \cdot P(Q') \cdot P(D')$

Exercise 11.4: $N=100; b=0.2; f=0.5$; Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel $[C] = 1 - H_2(p)$

Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel $[C] = 1 - \left(H_2(p) + \frac{Nb}{N} \right)$

with error and dependent bursts. $= 1 - \frac{(0.2 \log \frac{1}{0.2} + (1-0.2) \log \frac{1}{(1-0.2)}) + 100 \cdot 0.2}{100}$
 $= 1 - 0.207 = 0.793$

Capacity of a Binary Symmetric Channel with independent bursts $[C] = 1 - H_2(f \cdot b) = 1 - 0.469$
 $= 0.531$

The interleaving $[C=0.531]$ has lower capacity than the dependent bursts $[C=0.793]$. This means a compact disc that interleaves information to compensate for temporary bursts; in turn, lowers capacity.

Exercise 11.5: Signal-to-noise V/σ^2

a) Exercise 11.2 described

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{V}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

b) $X \in \{\pm \sqrt{V}\}$ is constraint

$$C' = \frac{\sqrt{V}/\sigma^2}{1 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{V}}{\sigma} \right)^2}$$

c) $y \rightarrow y' = \begin{cases} y & y > 0 \\ 0 & y \leq 0 \end{cases}; C'' = \frac{1}{1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2} - \frac{2(\sqrt{V}/\sigma)}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2} = \frac{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2) - 2(\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2}$

$$= \frac{1 - (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2}{(1 + (\sqrt{V}/\sigma)^2)^2}$$

(d)


Chapter 12: Hash Codes:

Exercise 12.1: Worst Case:



$\boxed{N \times S \text{ comparisons.}}$

Average Case:



$\boxed{\text{Unsure - not books answer}}$

Exercise 12.2. Bayesian Probability: $P(H_0 | \text{Likelihood}) = \frac{P(\text{Likelihood} | H_0) \cdot P(H_0)}{P(H_1)}$

$$= (2)^r \cdot \frac{1}{(1/2)^r} = \boxed{2^{2r}}$$

where $r = \text{bits evaluated correct.}$

Exercise 12.3: $P(\text{No Collision} | M, S) = \boxed{1 - \frac{s^m}{S^m}}$

$$P(\text{Collision} | M, S) = 1 - \frac{s^m}{S^m} = \boxed{1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-m+1)}{S^m}}$$

$M=1$

$$P(\text{Collision} | M, S) = 1 - \binom{s}{m} = 1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-m+1)}{S^m}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{s \cdot (s-1) \cdots (s-\frac{s}{100}+1)}{S^m}$$

$$= 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{s(s-1)/2}{s/100}\right) = \boxed{1 - \exp(-50(s-1))}$$

Example 12.4: $189 + 1254 + 238 = 1681$; $1681 \% 9 \neq 0$: $\boxed{\text{Test Passed.}}$

Exercise 12.5: A correct casting-out-nines match gives in favor of the hypothesis that the addition has been done correctly by a probability of 9:1.

Example 12.6: $P(A \neq B | H_F) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^M$

Exercise 12.7: The scale of parity checking is different than error correction. A parity check has error detection within the 32 bits of extra information. Error correction requires evaluation of all the bits and tends to be more rigorous than a local parity check.

Example 12.8: $M = \text{BITS} ; \text{Bit } \#1 = N_1 ; \text{Bit } \#2 = N_2 ; P(\text{Not Distinct} | N_1, N_2) = \frac{N_1 N_2}{2^M}$

$$T+H = \text{Bits} = N_1 + N_2 ; N_1 N_2 = 2^{M+1}$$

$$\text{If } N_1 = N_2 ; \text{ then } N_1^2 = \sqrt{2^M + 1} = 2^{\frac{M}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Exercise 12.9: Population = 9×10^9 people;

Common Address of House #, Street #, City, State, Zip code.

$$\approx \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^X \cdot \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^Y \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^Z \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^B \cdot \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^C ; X+Y+Z+B+C = N$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^X \cdot \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^Y \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^Z \cdot \left(\frac{1}{27}\right)^B \cdot \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^C = 9 \times 10^9$$

$$\frac{9 \times 10^9 \text{ people}}{\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^N} = 1 ; N = \log_{10}(9 \times 10^9 - 1/9)$$

Exercise 12.10: If a person writes 10^9 words within their lifetime, then

in an alphabet of [a-z], [0-9], the probability

a string matches is $\frac{10^9}{37^n} = 1 ; n = 9 / \log_{10} 37 \approx 6$

Exercise 12.11: a) 3×10^9 nucleotides from a four letter alphabet {A, C, G, T}

$$\frac{N}{4^L} = 1 ; L = \log_2(N) / \log_2(4) ; L > \log(3 \times 10^9) / \log_2 4 = 15.7 \text{ AA.}$$

b) GCCCCCAACCCCTGCCCC

A repeated subsequence of DNA effects binding, but not the information content.

Chapter 13: Binary Codes:

Example 13.1: The (7,4) Hamming Code has distance ($d=3$) because the codewords differ in at least 3 bits.

Example 13.2: Weight enumerator functions $A(W)$ relate the number of codewords that have weight W .

Example 13.3: Generator Matrix $G[K \times N]$; # 1's per row; do.

The value d_0 is a constant, so the sequence of codes are very bad!

Exercise 13.4: $P = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \binom{N}{k} f^k (1-f)^{N-k} \quad \boxed{\frac{d}{N} \binom{N}{2} f^2 (1-f)^{N-2} + \dots + \frac{1}{K} \binom{N}{K} f^K (1-f)^{N-K}}$

Exercise 13.5: A 'bad' distance code has d/N tend to zero as blocklength N increases; which, is defined by $d = N - K$.

The Hamming Code when concatenated is an example of a 'bad' code because the ratio d/N is 'bad' distance, but described as 'very good' to order.

Exercise 13.6: $P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} A(w) [\beta(f)]^w$

$$\log_2 \langle A(w) \rangle \cong NH_2(w/N) - M$$

$$\cong N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)] \text{ for any } w > 0$$

$$P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} \langle A(w) \rangle [\beta(f)]^w = \sum_{w>0} e^{N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)]} \cdot [\beta(f)]^w$$

$$\log P(\text{block error}) \leq \sum_{w>0} N[H_2(w/N) - (1-R)] + \prod_{w>0} \log [\beta(f)^w]$$

$$\log P(\text{block error}) - \prod_{w>0} \log [\beta(f)^w] - \sum_{w>0} N[H_2(w/N)] + 1 \leq R_{\text{ub}}$$

Exercise 13.7: $[111|0100]$ & $[011|1010]$ & $[101|1001]$ are parallel to themselves, and not orthogonal.

$$\text{Example 13.8: } G = [111] ; H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Exercise 13.9: } G = [000] \underset{(1,3)}{;} H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; G^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h_1 = [111] ; h_2 = [000] ;$$

Exercise 13.10: A 'good' distance code has d/N tend to a constant greater than zero. Low-density parity-check codes have d/N approach zero because of the parity-check. Also, low-density parity-check codes are good; such that, $H_2(f) = 1-R > 0$.

$$\text{Exercise 13.11: } P : G = [I_k | P^T] = H : [I_k | P^T] = [P | I_m]$$

Exercise 13.12: $(8,4)$ Self-Dual Code

$$G = [I_4 | P^T] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$(7,4)$ Hamming Code

$$H = [P | I_4] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

The $(8,4)$ Self-Dual Code is similar to $(7,4)$ Hamming Codes by an inversion of the bits; where, the Parity-check represents the parity-check bits of the sixteen codewords of the $(7,4)$ Hamming Code.

Exercise 13.17:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The minimum distance is $\min(N-K, G(:, 15)) = 10$.

Also, the weight enumerator function of G is

$$\langle A(w) \rangle = \left(\frac{15}{w} \right) 2^{-10}$$

Exercise 13.18:

The minimum distance for \vec{H} is $\min(N-K, G(:,15)) = 5$.

Steps to Reduce Matrix:

1. $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - R_1$
2. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - R_2$
3. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 - R_3$
4. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - R_4$
5. $R_5 \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}R_5$
6. $R_7 \leftrightarrow R_8$
7. $R_8 \leftrightarrow R_1$
8. $R_9 \leftrightarrow R_1$
9. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - R_1/2$
10. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 + R_9/2$
11. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 - R_9$
12. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - R_3/2$
13. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 + R_3$

14. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - R_0$
15. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 + R_7 / 2$
16. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 - R_7$
17. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + R_7$
18. $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - R_7$
19. $R_5 \leftarrow R_5 - R_6 / 2$
20. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 + R_6$
21. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + R_6$
22. $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 + R_6$
23. $R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - R_6$
24. $R_4 \leftarrow R_4 + R_5$
25. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - R_5$
26. $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 + R_5$
27. $R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - R_5$

The reduction of the matrix has ten independent rows.

$$\langle A(w) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 15 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \circ 2^{-m}$$

Exercise 13.13: $[n, n-1]$: Whole space F_q^n ; $[n, n-1, 2]$: Parity Code; $[n, 1, n]$: Repetition Code
 in addition to, Reed-Solomons $F_{q,n} \leq q$ are maximum distance separable codes.

Exercise 13.14: $t = \text{Codeword}; (N, K) \text{ Code } C; y = \text{received signal}; \text{Gaussian Channel}$
 $P(y|t) = \text{Assumed channel Mode}$

The codeword Decoding Problem: a task of inferring which codeword t was transmitted given the received signal.

The bitwise Decoding Problem: the task of inferring for each transmitted bit t_n how likely it is that bit was a one rather than a zero.

Prove the optimal bitwise-decoder is closely related to the probability of error of the optimal code-word decoder.

$$P_b = \text{Optimal bitwise decoder} \\ = \sum P(u) P(n_B | u, N)$$

$P_B = \text{Block Error Probability of the Maximum likelihood decoder}$

$$= P(u)$$

Then, $P_B > P_b > \text{constant} \times P_B$
 where constant \propto average distance error
 $= \frac{d_{\min}}{N}$

Exercise 13.15: $(15, 11)$ Hamming Code has a minimum distance $d = N - K = 15 - 11 = 4$

$(31, 26)$ Hamming Code has a minimum distance $d = 5$.

Exercise 13.16: $A(w) = \text{Average Weight Enumerator Function}$

$R = \frac{1}{3}$ of a Random Linear Code

$$N = 540$$

$$M = 360$$

$$\text{If } w = 1, \langle A(w) \rangle = \binom{N}{w} 2^{-M} = \binom{5}{1} 2^{-360} = \boxed{\frac{5}{2^{360}}}$$

Shortening: Prior Distance: $N-K=M$

Post Distance: $N-K=M' \Rightarrow M=M'$

Intersection: Prior Distance: $N-K=M$

Post Distance: $N-K=M' \Rightarrow M+M'/2=M'$

If a 'bad' distance code has d/N tending to zero, then Puncturing and Intersections may shift the code to a 'good' distance, but not always because of the codeword definition.

Intersections will change a 'very' good code to a 'bad' code

Exercise 13.24: Three Players: Player #1 [50% Red, 50% Blue]


Player #2 [50% Red, 50% Blue]

Player #3 [50% Red, 50% Blue]

- The best odds are to guess the opposite color when hats of similar color occur, but if a player notices other colors, then a pass should occur.

Seven Players: Player #1-7 [50% Red, 50% Blue]

- The best odds are when a player notices hats of similar color, and should guess the opposite. Otherwise, pass.



RRRRRRR
RRRRRRB
RRRRRB R } 7

BRRRRRR R
BRRRRRB } 6

BBRRRRR R
BBRRRRB } 5

BBBBRRR R
BBBBRB } 4

BBBBBRR R
BBBBBRB } 3

BBBBBBR } 2

BBBBBBB } 1

Exercise 13.19:

$$R_c = \prod_{c=1}^C \frac{N_c - M_c}{N_c} \Rightarrow R_{11} = \prod_{c=1}^{11} \frac{12 - M_c}{12} = \left(\frac{12-1}{12}\right) \left(\frac{12-2}{12}\right) \dots \left(\frac{12-11}{12}\right) = 0.0537$$

$$R_{10} = \prod_{c=1}^{10} \frac{12 - M_c}{12} = \left(\frac{12-1}{12}\right) \left(\frac{12-2}{12}\right) \dots \left(\frac{12-10}{12}\right)$$


$$\therefore R_1 = \prod_{c=1}^1 \frac{12 - M_c}{12} = \left(\frac{12-1}{12}\right)$$

$$R_C = [R_1, \dots, R_{10}, R_{11}]$$

$R_{C2} = \prod_{c=1}^C \left(\frac{N_c - M_c}{N_c}\right)^2$ would converge at a higher asymptotic rate.

Exercise 13.20: The number of typical noise vectors is $2^{NH_2(f)}$
 Roughly, an equivalent amount of distinct syndromes Z exist.
 because $Hn = Z$.
 For a given f , the largest possible of rate $R = 15 \frac{M}{N}$.

Exercise 13.21: Z-channel:

	$P(y=0 x=0) = 1$ $P(y=1 x=0) = 0$	$P(y=0 x=1) = f$ $P(y=1 x=1) = 1-f$
---	--------------------------------------	--

$$\text{Loss in Communication Rate} = \frac{\text{Maximum Rate}}{\text{Capacity of the channel}} = \frac{C}{\prod_{c=1}^C \frac{N_c - M_c}{N_c}}$$

Exercise 13.22: 'Bad' Code: a code family that cannot achieve arbitrarily small probability of error, or that can achieve arbitrarily small probability of error only by decreasing the information rate to zero.

'Very' Bad Distance Code: if d tends to a constant as N increases.

If 'very' bad distance code, d is a constant, and the probability of block error $P(\text{block error}) \cong \binom{d}{d/2} f^{d/2} (1-f)^{d/2}$, cannot achieve small values, implying, a 'bad' code.

Exercise 13.23: Puncturing: Prior distance: $N - K = M$
 Post distance: $N' - K' = M'$; $M' < M$.

Chapter 15: Further Exercises on Information Theory:

Exercise 15.1: $A_x = \{0, 1\}$, $P_x = \{0.995, 0.005\}$, X^{100} block code, where $x \in X^{100}$ contains ≤ 3 1's.

a) If a binary symmetric channel, $p_b = \sum_{n=(N+1)/2}^N \binom{N}{n} f^n (1-f)^{N-n}$

$$\cong 2^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N/2}} f [f(1-f)]^{(N-1)/2}$$

$$\cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N/3}} f [4f(1-f)]^{(N-1)/2}$$

$$0.005 = \frac{f [4f(1-f)]^{(N-1)/2}}{\sqrt{\pi \cdot N/3}}$$

$$(N-1)/2 \cong \frac{\log(0.005) + \log \frac{\sqrt{\pi N/3}}{f}}{\log 4f(1-f)}$$

[If $f=0.1$, then $N=6$]

b) Probability of a string x containing r 1's and $N-r$ 0's where $r=3$, and $N=100$.

$$P(x) = p_1^r p_0^{N-r} = 0.995^3 \cdot (0.005)^{97} \approx 0$$

Probability of a string being ignored $\cong 1$

Exercise 15.2: $P_x = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4\}$; $C = \{0001, 001, 01, 1\}$


a. Entropy of the fourth bit transmission:

$$H(X) = \sum p(x) \log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)} = 0.4 \cdot \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{0.4}\right) = 5.3 \text{ bits}$$

b. Conditional Entropy of the fourth bit given the third:

$$H(X|Y_3) = \sum P(X|y) \cdot \log \frac{1}{P(X|y)} = 0.18 \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{0.18}$$

= 0.45



$$P(4^{\text{th-bit}} | 3^{\text{rd-bit}}) = 0.18$$

c. Entropy of the hundredth bit:

$$P_{100} = 0; H(P_{100}) = 0 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0} = 0$$

d. Conditional Entropy of the hundredth bit given the ninety-ninth bit:

$H(X|Y) = 0$

Exercise 13.25:

$$H_{EG,L}^{\text{orth}} = \begin{cases} [H_1^T H_2^T \dots H_e^T | 1] & \text{for odd column weights} \\ [H_1^T H_2^T \dots H_e^T | 1] & \text{for even column weights} \end{cases}$$

Self-Dual:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} = H^T H = 0$$


Assuming real values, random samples of randomly sized n -dimensional matrices produces a space of linear approximations related to orthogonal subspaces.

The amount of low-density parity-check codes that are orthogonal is a ratio of the n -dimensional matrix growth.

Exercise 13.26: $E_b/N_0 = \frac{\bar{x}_n^2}{2\sigma^2 R} : P(R|0^2, R) = e^{-WE_b/N_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{block Error}) &= \sum_{w=0}^N \binom{N}{w} 2^{-N} e^{-WE_b/N_0} \\ &= 2^{-N} \sum_{w=0}^N \binom{N}{w} (e^{-E_b/N_0})^w \\ &= 2^{-N} (1 + e^{-E_b/N_0})^N \\ &= e^{N \left[-E_b/N_0 (1 + \log_2(1 + e^{-E_b/N_0})) \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming, $N=1$, for the plot.



Exercise 15.10: $C = \{0000, 0011, 1100, 1111\}$; $p_b = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}\}$

Assuming $f=0.1$ and binary symmetric channel.

$$P(y=0000|x=0000) = P(\text{Binary Symmetric Channel}) P(x=0000)$$

$$\sum P(y=0000|x') \cdot p(x')$$

$$\text{Where } P(\text{Binary Symmetric Channel}) = f^d (1-f)^{n-d}$$

Exercise 15.11: $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$; $C = \max_{p_x} I(X; Y) = \frac{5}{3}$

Optimal Input Distribution: $\{1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\}$

Exercise 15.12: $Q_{\text{bits}} (\text{input})$; $Q_{\text{bits}} (\text{output})$; $C = \max_{p_x} I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$


$$= \log_2 8 - 1$$

$$= \log_2 4$$

A lossless encoder and decoder is the $(X, 5)$ Hamming code, where $X=8$ bits.

Exercise 15.13: $X \in \{a, b, c\}$; $y \in \{r, s, +, u\}$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$C = \max_{p_x} I_C = (1/2 + 1/2 + 1/2 + 1/2) - (1/2 + 1/2) = 1$$

Exercise 15.14: $X_{10} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^9 n X_n \right) \bmod 11$; Books ISBN: 817525766-0

$$X_{10} = (1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 7 + 4 \cdot 5 + 5 \cdot 2 + 6 \cdot 5 + 7 \cdot 7 + 8 \cdot 6 + 9 \cdot 6) \bmod 11$$

$$= (242) \bmod 11$$

$$= 0$$

Show a code detects all errors of ten digits:

$$1-010-00000-4$$

$$1-010-00090-4$$

X_{10} of 1-010-00080-4 produces a non-zero modulus.

Show that this code can be used to detect adjacent transposes:

$$(1-010-00000-4) \bmod 11 = (40) \bmod 11 = 7 \quad \text{a digit was switched}$$

$$(1-100-00000-4) \bmod 11 = (39) \bmod 11 = 6 \quad \text{by an adjacent position}$$

The other pairs of non-adjacent digits relate through the modulus increase or decrease.

Exercise 15.3: Probability Space

1+1=2	2+2=4	3+3=6	4+4=8	5+5=10	6+6=12
1+2=3	2+3=5	3+4=7	4+5=9	5+6=11	
1+3=4	2+4=6	3+5=8	4+6=10		
1+4=5	2+5=7	3+6=9			
1+5=6	2+6=8				
1+6=7					

Range of Probability Space: 2-12

Most Probable outcome: 7

- Divide-and-Conquer:
- ① Guess mid-range: 7.
 - ② If above, Seven, then guess nine
else, guess four.
 - ③ Continue guessing mid-range.

Exercise 15.4: A coin can purpose-for straws - when flipped multiple times.

Exercise 15.5: Likelihood of suit: $52C5 / 13C1 = 2000\%$

Exercise 15.6:

Exercise 15.7: $H(x) = \sum p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)}$; $H(p) = \text{co}$ -when ($0 < p_n < 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$)

Exercise 15.8: $A_x = \{a, b, c, d\}$; $P_x = \{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/8\}$

$$T_{NB} = \left\{ X \in A_x^N : \left| \frac{1}{N} \log_2 \frac{1}{P(X)} - H \right| < \beta \right\}; N=8, \beta=0.1$$

$$= \left\{ X \in A_x^8 : \left| \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{P(X)} \right| < 0.1 \right\}; P(X) = 2^{-0.8} = 0.57 = 57\%$$



Words defined by boundary: $P(X) \cdot 4^3 = 39,641$ words

Exercise 15.9: $A_s = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $P_s = \{1/3, 1/3, 1/9, 1/9, 1/9\}$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; A_x = A_y = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}; R = 3/4; \epsilon > 0$$

$P(x,y)$	0	1	2	3	$P(y)$
$P(x)$	1	1	1	1	4
0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	2/3	0	2/3
2	0	1	0	1	2
3	0	0	1/3	0	1/3

In this difficult problem, the probability of error (p_b) is supposed to be less than ϵ . Two methods exist, Shannon's Noisy Coding theorem and a lossy compressor to minimize error. A channel shown may build a decoder from A_x to A_y relationships.



$$\textcircled{1} H(X^{(A)}|X^{(B)}) = H(X^{(A)}) + H(X^{(A)}, X^{(B)})$$

$$\textcircled{2} H(X^{(A)}, X^{(B)}) = H(X^{(A)}|X^{(B)}) - H(X^{(A)})$$

$$\textcircled{3} R_A = 1 - H(X^{(A)}) ; \quad H(X^{(A)}|X^{(B)}) = [H(X^{(B)}|X^{(A)}) - 2] + (R_A + R_B)$$

$$R_B = 1 - H(X^{(B)}) ;$$

How can users A and B use this channel so that their messages can be deduced from the received signals?

The input rate of each user should sum less than one!

How fast can A and B communicate?

A maximum rate for $X^{(A)}$ or $X^{(B)}$ is 1 unit i.e. $1 = \frac{M}{N}$.

Can reliable communication be achieved at rates (R_A, R_B) such that $R_A + R_B > 1$?

No, the maximum rate of convoluted signals is not independent of each other.

Exercise 15.19: Conditional Distribution: $Q(y^{(A)}, y^{(B)}|X)$; $R_A[A], R_B[B], (R_b, R_A, R_B)$, $C_A[A], C_B[B]$

Transmission Time $[\phi_A]$ of Channel A

Transmission Time $[\phi_B]$ of Channel B.

$$\text{Where } 1 = \phi_A + \phi_B$$


$$H(X^{(A)}|X^{(B)}) = [H(X^{(B)}|X^{(A)}) - 2] + (R_A + R_B)$$

$$= [H(X^{(B)}|X^{(A)}) - 2] + \left[\frac{C_A}{1 - H_2(p_A)} + \frac{C_B}{1 - H_2(p_B)} \right]$$

Exercise 15.20: Binary Symmetric Channel; Noise $f_A < f_B$; C_A & C_B .

$$H(X^{(A)}|X^{(B)}) = [H(X^{(B)}|X^{(A)}) - 2] + \left[\frac{C_A}{1 - H_2(f_A)} + \frac{C_B}{1 - H_2(f_B)} \right]$$

Exercise 15.21:



Simultaneous rates $1 = R_A + R_B$
Limitations:

Modulus-10 coding would not work so well because specific changes, alteration of an even indexed digit by five counts, and shift of index by five, do not evaluate akin to modulus-11.

Exercise 15.15:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1-f & f & 0 & 0 \\ f & 1-f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-g & g \\ 0 & 0 & g & 1-g \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} a \xrightarrow{\text{a}} a \\ b \xrightarrow{\text{b}} b \\ c \xrightarrow{\text{c}} c \\ d \xrightarrow{\text{d}} d \end{array} ; P_X = \left\{ \frac{P}{2}, \frac{P}{2}, \frac{1-P}{2}, \frac{1-P}{2} \right\}$$

$$H(Y) = [1-f + 1-f + 1-g + 1-g] = \left[\frac{P}{2} + \frac{P}{2} + \frac{(1-P)}{2} + \frac{(1-P)}{2} \right] = 1 ; H(Y|X) = \left[2\left(\frac{P}{2}\right) + 2\left(\frac{1-P}{2}\right) \right] = 1$$

$$\text{Optimal Input Distribution} : \frac{d}{dp} I(X;Y) = \frac{d}{dp} [H(Y) - H(Y|X)] \\ = \frac{d}{dp} [H_2(p) - P(H_2(f) - H_2(g))]$$


$$0 = \log\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) - H_2(f) + H_2(g)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + 2^{H_2(f) - H_2(g)}}$$


$$\text{If } f = 0.5 \text{ and } g = 0, p = \frac{1}{1 + 2^{H_2(0.5) - H_2(0)}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Exercise 15.16: Error-Detecting codes

Error-Correcting codes



Exercise 15.17:



$$\begin{aligned} ① H(X^{(A)} || X^{(B)}) &= H(X^{(A)}) + H(X^{(A)}, X^{(B)}) \\ ② H(X^{(A)}, X^{(B)}) &= H(X^{(A)} | X^{(B)}) - H(X^{(A)}) \\ ③ R_A &= 1 - H(X^{(A)}) ; H(X^{(A)}, X^{(B)}) = H(X^{(A)} | X^{(B)}) - 1 + R_A \\ &= H(X^{(B)} | X^{(A)}) - H(X^{(B)}) - 1 + R_A \\ &= H(X^{(B)} | X^{(A)}) - 2 + R_A + R_B \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\lambda - \lambda^2}{2}$$

Exercise 17.5: $F=0.5$ 1s; C₂ code: 1's appear $\frac{1}{3}$ of the string and 0's $\frac{2}{3}$ of the characters.

s	t
0	0
1	10

A sparse source density of $f=0.38$ produces 1s $[F/(1+f) = 0.2753]$ or 27.5% of the valid character length.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exercise 17.6: Fibonacci Series: } F_n &= F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{n+1} - (x')^{n+1}}{x^n - (x')^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^n}{x^n - (x')^n} - x' \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(x')^n}{x^n - (x')^n} \\ &= x = \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 17.7: The relationship of Channel C to channels A and B occurs from an accumulator or bit inversion. Channel A is generated from Channel C by inversion [1→0; 0→1] and an accumulator of duplicate bits. While, Channel B is channel C, when digits of B are run through an accumulator, and C, an inversion, along with an accumulator.

Exercise 17.8: Sample Space {00000000, 00000010, ..., 11111110}; Rate = $\frac{\text{source}}{\text{transmitted}} = \frac{5}{8}$

The rate achieved by mapping an integer number of source bits to $N=16$ transmitted bits is $R = n/16$, where n -max is 10.

Exercise 17.9: Optimal Transition Probability [Q]

$$\text{If } Ae^{(R)} = \lambda e^{(R)}; (A-\lambda)e^{(R)} = (a_n\lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\lambda + a_0)e^{(R)}$$

$$= (a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 A + a_0)e^{(R)}$$

$$= 0 \Rightarrow Q_{S'|S} = \frac{a_n A e^{(R)}}{(a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 A + a_0)e^{(R)}} = \frac{a_n A e^{(R)}}{\lambda e^{(R)}}$$

Similarly for $e^{(L)^T} A = \lambda e^{(L)^T}$:

$$Q_{S'|S} = \frac{e^{(L)^T} a_n A}{e^{(L)^T} \lambda e^{(L)^T}}$$

Chapter 16: Message Passing:

Exercise 16.1: Probability = 100% = $P(\text{Path 1}) + P(\text{Path 2}) + P(\text{Path 3}) + P(\text{Path 4}) + P(\text{Path 5})$
 $= \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}$

Probability of flipping a coin at n -junctions: $P(\text{Junction}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$

The distributions of regular paths is different than
flipping a coin at each junction.

Exercise 16.2: The path from A to B requires a message passing algorithm. Being that the structure is not a line, then a Rule-set B message passing algorithm is best implemented.

Exercise 16.3: The communication channel in Figure 16.11a has asymptotic properties because of an expected value, described by

$$E[X] = \sum_A^B F_s(x, X_s) = \sum_A^B \prod_{B=1}^{B-3} F_s(x_i, X_s), \text{ also known as sum-product algorithm}$$

Exercise 16.4: $I(x, y) = \sum_{u=0}^x \sum_{v=0}^y f(u, v)$ is an "integral image", which, is also described by rule-set A message passing algorithm, because every summation is a line of data.

A sum of image intensities across the range of (x_1, y_1) to (x_2, y_2)
is Intensity = $\sum_{x_1}^{x_2} \sum_{y_1}^{y_2} f(x_i, y_j)$

Chapter 17: Communication over constrained Noiseless Channel

Example 17.1: 00100101001010100010 is an example of a valid string.

Example 17.2: A valid string for the model is 00111001110011000011

Example 17.3: 1001001101100110101 is the valid string

Exercise 17.4: $H_2(f) = f \log_2 \frac{1}{f} + (1-f) \log_2 \frac{1}{(1-f)}$; $H_2(f) \cong -f \left[(f-1) - \frac{(f-1)^2}{2} \right] - (1-f) \left[f - \frac{(f-1)^2}{2} \right]$

$$\cong f - f^2 + \frac{f(f-1)^2}{2} - f + f^2 + \frac{(1-f)f^2}{2}$$

$$\cong \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right)\left(\delta - \gamma_2\right)^2}{2} + \frac{\left(\gamma_2 - \delta\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right)^2}{2}$$

Exercise 17.12: Run-Length 0's permitted; Run-length 1's is $L \geq 1$

- The capacity is near 100% because the channel is almost unrestrained.
- A series expansion involving L is $\sum_{L=1}^{L+1} 2^{-\beta L} = 2^{-\beta} \frac{(2^{-\beta})^{L+1} - 1}{2^{-\beta} - 1}$
where the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^N ar^n = \frac{a(r^{N+1} - 1)}{r - 1}$
is utilized. If the capacity is near one, then the summation is $1 \approx 2^{-\beta} \frac{(2^{-\beta})^{L+1} - 1}{2^{-\beta} - 1}$ and $\beta \approx 1 - 2^{-(L+2)} / \ln 2$.
- An optimal matrix Q is close to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$, but is adjusted as the number of ones increase.

Exercise 17.13: 'Morse' channel is an example of a constrained channel with variable symbol durations.

the dot	d
the dash	D
the short space	s
the long space	S

The capacity of this channel is:
...when equal duration:

$$I = \frac{4}{2^{\beta d}} ; \beta = \log_2 \frac{4}{d}$$

...symbol durations are 2, 4, 3 and 6

$$I = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{2\beta d}} + \frac{1}{2^{4\beta d}} + \frac{1}{2^{3\beta d}} + \frac{1}{2^{6\beta d}}$$

$$I = \frac{2^{3\beta d} + 2^{11\beta d} + 2^{12\beta d} + 2^{9\beta d}}{2^{15\beta d}}$$

$$\beta = 0.597$$

Exercise 17.14 If Morse code utilized a probability distribution similar to Figure 2.1, then one would notice Morse's design relative to the most probable letters.

A -	F o o -	K - o -	P o - - o	U o o -	Z - - o o
B - o o o	G -- o	L o - o o	O -- o -	V o o o -	
C - o - o	H o o o o	M --	R o - - o	W o - -	
D - o o	I o o	N - o	S o o o	X - o o -	
E o	J o - - -	O - - -	T -	Y - o - -	

Exercise 17.10: Optimal Transition Probability Matrix:

$$Q_{s'_1 s} = \frac{e_s^{(L)} \cdot A_{s' s}}{\lambda e_s^{(L)}}$$

Conditional Entropy: $H(Y|X) = \sum P(y, x) \log \frac{1}{P(y|x)}$

Invariant Distribution: $P(s) = \alpha e_s^{(L)} \cdot e_s^{(R)}$

$$H(S_n | S_{n-1}) = - \sum P(s_{n-1}) \cdot P(S_n | S_{n-1}) \cdot \log P(S_n | S_{n-1})$$



$$= - \sum \alpha e_s^{(L)} \cdot e_s^{(R)} \frac{e_s^{(L)} A_s}{\lambda e_s^{(L)}} \log \frac{e_s^{(L)} A_s}{\lambda e_s^{(L)}}$$

$$= - \sum \alpha e_s^{(R)} \frac{e_s^{(L)} A_s}{\lambda} [\log e_s^{(L)} + \log A_s - \log \lambda - \log e_s^{(L)}]$$



$$= \log \lambda$$

Exercise 17.11:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



The capacity of State Diagram #1 is

$$C = \log_2 \lambda = \log_2 (1.839) = 0.879$$

#1

C

$$1.879$$

The capacity of State Diagram #2 is

$$C = \log_2 (1.927) = 0.946$$

Matrix Q is shown in figure 17.9, but channel A

$$\text{run-length-limited plot is } Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

o Although the number of characters in the crib depend on the number of matches

Chapter 19: Why have Sex? Information Acquisition and Evolution:

Exercise 19.1: The results of sexual population depend on population size. When the population (N) is small ($< 2G$), the genome changes less than 1 bit of information changes and a single generation represents an exact DNA replica, however, large populations show DNA changes proportional to \sqrt{G} bits per generation. The limit to evolution is a model where population N is less than \sqrt{G} .

Exercise 19.2: a) The model for gene crossover assumes normalized fitness $F = F/G$ is greater than $1/2$ because a single mutation has probability $\leq 1/2$. A fixed m , suggests a Gaussian mean and variance for normalized fitness given by: $\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{mG}} (1 - e^{-2mt})$ for $F \leq 1/2$.

b) If crossovers occur exclusively at hot-spots located every d bits along the genome, a best fit model is $F = \frac{1}{d}$. A value less than or equal to two generates a similar model to part a, due to the fact, normalized fitness F becomes $\leq 1/2$.

Exercise 19.3: The fitness function as a sum of exclusive-ors of pairs of bits.

$$f = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=i+1}^N (X_i \wedge X_j)}{G}$$

and is a graph comparing a simple additive function:

Exercise 17.15: width [L]; 3 directions per step: $H = \log 3$ per step; Total Entropy $[H_{\text{tot}} = N \log 3]$

Free Energy

Connection Matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Entropy of the Polymer: $10 \log 3$.

The change in entropy associated with the polymer entering the tube is $10 \log 3 - 10 \log 1 = 10 \log 3$.
or $L \log 3$.

Chapter 18: Crosswords and Codebreaking:

Exercise 18.1: Capacity of Word: English, as a constraint.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{H(p)}{L(p)} = \frac{\sum p_n \log \frac{1}{p_n}}{\sum p_n \cdot \ln} = 0.0913 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0913} + 0.0596 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0596} + 0.0133 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0133} + 0.0335 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0335} + 0.0599 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0599} \\ &= 0.0913 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0913} + 0.0596 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0596} + 0.0133 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0133} + 0.0335 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0335} + 0.0599 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0599} \\ &\quad + 0.0567 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0567} + 0.0313 \cdot \log \frac{1}{0.0313} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1.13}{1.24} = 0.91 \approx 91\% \text{ capacity}$$

Exercise 18.2: The capacity of the about two dimensional channel is

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \beta = \frac{\sum H(p)}{\sum L(p)} = \frac{\sum \sum p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum \sum p_i \cdot \ln} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \beta = \frac{21}{2}$$

Exercise 18.3: The information leaked by pressing "Q" and the output is a different letter is $I(X) = \log \frac{1}{P(X, Y | H_1)} = \log \frac{A(A-1)}{(1-m)} = \log \frac{26 \cdot 25}{(1-0.076)} = 9.46 \text{ bits}$

The crib needed to confirm alignment relates to

$$\log \frac{P(X, Y | H_1)}{P(X, Y | H_0)} = M \log^M A + N \log \frac{(1-m)A}{A-1} \text{ being positive odds.}$$

Chapter 20: K-means Clustering

Exercise 20.1: Steps to K-means:

[① Assignment Step]: Find the closest mean for each datapoint.

[② Update Step]: Adjust the mean according to point assignment, normalized by the total point assignment.

[③ Repeat]: assignment and update until averages no longer move i.e. converge.

An energy definition describing distance would be the distance formula, and this function is bounded. The assignment step minimizes the "closest" "energy" function, the update step adjusts means to lower "energy", and a repeat promotes the process. Each step is a Lyapunov State.

Exercise 20.2: $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} r_k^{(n)} = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp(-\beta d(m^{(k)}, x^{(n)}))}{\sum_k \exp(-\beta d(m^{(k)}, x^{(n)}))}$ has a range between 0 and 1.

The means with no assigned points in the update step require zero change.

Exercise 20.3: $[\text{Var}(X_1), \text{Var}(X_2)] = (\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)$ where $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$, $K=2$, $N \gg 1$

Assume $m^{(1)} = (m, 0)$ and $m^{(2)} = (-m, 0)$

[① Assignment] $r_1(x) = \frac{e^{-\beta(x-m)^2/2}}{e^{-\beta(x+m)^2/2} + e^{-\beta(x-m)^2/2}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2\beta mx)}$

[② Update] $m^{(1)} = \frac{\sum r_1^{(n)} \cdot x^{(n)}}{\sum r_1^{(n)}} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} r_1(x) x dx}{\int_0^{\infty} r_1(x) dx} = 2\beta m \int_0^{\infty} r_1^{(n)} x^{(n)} dx = 2\beta m \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2\beta mx)} x dx = \sigma_1^2 \beta m^2 - x_1^2 - 2mx_1 + m^2$

The evolving fitness of an exclusive-or pair of bits is different than sexual and asexual species, as shown by the plot.

Sex Function:


$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi+2}}}{\sqrt{G}} (t +) \right) \right] \cdot G$$

Asexual Function:

$$f(t) = \frac{G}{2\sqrt{mG}} (1 - e^{-2mt})$$

Exclusive-Or Function:

$$f(t) = \frac{X}{2\sqrt{G}}$$



Output Function: $\frac{dF}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi+2}} \sqrt{f(t)} \cdot G$ where $G=1000$; $m=0.4$

Exercise 19.4: An assumption of 2×10^5 generations for human/chimpanzee divergence, then an error-correcting machinery is calculated to be correct more than the genome in this time.

Exercise 19.5: $f = \exp(-\Delta E/kT)$; $f \approx 10^{-4}$; $\Delta E = 1.64 \times 10^{-20} \text{ J}$ @ $293K$.

The cellular processing contains a kinetic proofreading which implies other pathways to regulate binding energy. This kinetic proofreading lowers errors in identification by adjusting the thermodynamic limits. (Hopfield 1974)

Exercise 19.6: If a person learns 10 new words per day at a length of five characters, with a retrieval efficiency of 80%, then the brain stores 20-bits in 80 years.

If $b=1$, then $P(b=1, c=1 | a=1) = 0.0005$

and $P(a=1 | b=1, c=1) = 0.9901099$

then $P(c) = 1.01 \times 10^{-3}$

If $b=0$, then $P(b=0, c=1 | a=1) = 0.0055$

and $P(a=1 | b=0, c=1) = 0.01099$

then $P(c) = 1.00$

Exercise 21.3: $\prod \in \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{10}\}; \sigma \in \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{10}\}; \mu \in \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{10}\}$
Total parameters [R]: 20; $10^k = 10^{20}$

Chapter 22: Maximum Likelihood and Clustering

Example 22.1: Log Likelihood: $\ln P(x | \mu, \sigma) = -N(\ln(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)) - \sum_n (x_n - \mu)^2 / (2\sigma^2)$

Derivative of log Likelihood $\frac{d \ln P(x | \mu, \sigma)}{d \mu} = +2 \sum_n (x_n - \mu) / (2\sigma^2) = 0$
 $\mu = \frac{x}{n} = \bar{x}$

Example 22.2: Second Derivative of log Likelihood: $\frac{d^2 \ln P(x | \mu, \sigma)}{d \mu^2} = -2n / 2\sigma^2 = -\frac{n}{\sigma^2}$
 $\sigma = \sigma / \sqrt{n}; \mu = \bar{x} \pm \sigma$

Example 22.3: $\frac{d \ln P(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N | \mu, \sigma^2)}{d \ln \sigma} = -\frac{N}{\sigma} + \frac{S}{\sigma^3} = 0; \sigma_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x - \mu)^2}{N}}$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln P(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N | \mu, \sigma^2)}{d \sigma^2} = -\frac{2S}{\sigma^2} = -2N; \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2N}}$$

Exercise 22.4: $\frac{\partial \ln P(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N | \mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = 2 \sum (x_n - \mu) / 2\sigma^2; \bar{x} = \mu$

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N | \mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{N}{\sigma} + \frac{S}{\sigma^3} = 0; \sigma_N = \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}}$$


If β parameter adjusts the mean, then larger values of β report a non-converging mean. When β is less than one, the mean converges upon multiple iterations.

Exercise 20.4: Hard K-Means

- ① Generated 40 points from $[-2 \text{ to } +2]$
- ② Fit Gaussians to the points, then added the values

$\beta = 0.5$

$\beta = 0.0$




- ③ AX for Hard K-means: 2.03

This value is greater than the distance of the Gaussian means that generated the distance.


Soft K-means: If β is larger than 1, then the soft K-means converges to two means while if a β value less than one produces a single mean at zero.

Chapter 21: Exact Inference by Complete Enumeration

Example 21.1:



Exercise 21.2:



$$\begin{aligned}
 P(e) &= \frac{P(b, e | a=1) P(a=1)}{P(a=1 | b, e) \cdot P(b)} \\
 &= \frac{P(b, e=1 | a=1) \cdot 0.002}{P(a=1 | b, e=1) \cdot 0.001} =
 \end{aligned}$$

(1) Assignment Step

$$r_k^{(n)} = \frac{\prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i^{(k)}} \exp\left(-\sum_i (m_i^{(k)} - x_i^{(n)})^2 / 2(\sigma_i^{(k)})^2\right)}{\sum_i \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i^{(k)}} \exp\left(-\sum_i (m_i^{(k)} - x_i^{(n)})^2 / 2(\sigma_i^{(k)})^2\right)}$$

(2) Update Step

$$m^{(k)} = \frac{\sum r_k^{(n)} x^{(n)}}{R^{(k)}}$$

$$\sigma_b^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_n r_k^{(n)} (x_i^{(n)} - m^{(n)})^2}{R^{(k)}}$$

$$\Pi_k = \frac{R^{(k)}}{\sum R^{(k)}}$$

$$\text{where } R^{(n)} = \sum r_k^{(n)}$$

Exercise 22.3: $P(r|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} \exp(-\lambda)$; $\frac{dP(r|\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \left[\frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} r - \ln \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} \right] \exp(-\lambda) = 0$

$$r = \lambda$$

$$\lambda_{\max} = 9$$

$$\text{Error bars of } \lambda \text{ : } \frac{d^2 P(r|\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{-\lambda^r}{(r-2)!} \frac{r^2 - r(2\lambda + 1) + \lambda^2}{r!}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \ln P(r|\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{-\lambda}{6} (\lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 6) = 0$$

$$\lambda = 3 \pm \sqrt{3}$$

b) $b = 13 \text{ photons/min}$ if $r = \lambda + b$, $r = 12 \text{ min}$

Maximum Likelihood Estimate for $\lambda = 9$.

An biased estimator over or underestimates the data, while an unbiased estimator is exact. This data shows a biased value, with alternatives suggested.

$$\text{Exercise 22.5: } P(X|\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^2 P_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(x-\mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right]$$

Prior Probability $\{p_1 = 1/2, p_2 = 1/2\}$; $\Theta = \{\{\mu_k\}, \sigma\}$; Bayes.

$$P(k_n=1 | X_n, \Theta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-(w_1 X_n + w_0)]}; P(k_n=2 | X_n, \Theta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[(w_2 X_n + w_0)]}$$

Posterior Probability: $P(\{X_n\}_{n=1}^N | \{\mu_k\}, \sigma) = \prod_n P(X_n | \{\mu_k\}, \sigma)$

Maximum Likelihood Probability: $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} L = \sum_n P_{kn} \frac{(X_n - \mu_k)}{\sigma^2}$ where $P_{kn} = P(k_n=k | X_n, \Theta)$


$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} L = - \sum_n P_{kn} \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

Newton-Raphson Method

$$\mu' = \mu - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mu^2} = \mu + \sum_n P_{kn} \frac{(X_n - \mu_k) \cdot \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 \sum_n P_{kn} \cdot \mu}$$

$$\mu' = \frac{\sum_n P_{kn} \cdot X_n}{\sum_n P_{kn}}$$

Contour Plot:



$$\mu_1 = 1, \mu_2 = 5, \sigma = 1$$

Exercise 22.6: If $r_k \approx 1$, then $m^{(n)} \approx X^{(n)} + \epsilon^{(n)}$; $\sigma_k^2 = \frac{\sum r_k^{(n)} (X^{(n)} - m^{(n)})^2}{IR^{(n)}}$

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0} \sigma_k^2 = \frac{\sum r_k \epsilon^2}{IR^{(n)}} = 0$$


Exercise 22.7: A version of K-means that models a mixture

of Gaussians not axis-aligned involves

a non-diagonalized covariance.

$$\sigma_i^{2(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_1) & \cdots & \text{Cov}(X_1, X_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Cov}(X_k, X_1) & \cdots & \text{Cov}(X_k, X_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise 22.11:



True Bearings: θ_n

Gaussian Noise: σ

Maximum Likelihood: $\vec{X} = \theta_n \pm \sigma = x_1 + x_2$
of a Gaussian

$$\vec{X} = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{3} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(x_2 + x_3)^2 + (x_1 + x_2)^2 + (x_3 + x_1)^2}{3}} \right)$$

the error is large

$$\frac{y_1 + y_2 + y_3}{3} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(y_1 + y_2)^2 + (y_2 + y_3)^2 + (y_1 + y_3)^2}{3}}$$

The maximum likelihood is better because
thecocked hat generates an
isosceles triangle, which considers
three degrees of error.

$$\text{Exercise 22.12: } P(x|w) = \frac{1}{Z(w)} \exp\left(\sum w_k f_k(x)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln P(x|w)}{dw} &= \frac{d}{dw} \left[-N \ln Z(w) + \sum \sum w_k f_k(x^{(n)}) \right] \\ &= -N \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \ln Z(w) + \sum f_k(x) = -N \left[\frac{1}{Z(w)} \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \exp\left(\sum w_k f_k(x)\right) \right] + \sum f_k(x) \\ &= -N \left[\sum P(x|w) f_k(x) \right] + \sum f_k(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{N} \sum f_k(x) = \sum P(x|w) f_k(x)}$$

$$\text{Exercise 22.13: } H = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} ; \langle f_k \rangle_{P(x)} = F_k ; P(x)_{\text{Max}} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_k w_k f_k(x)\right)$$

$$H_\lambda = \sum P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} + \lambda_1 (\sum P(x) - 1) + \lambda_2 (\sum f_k P(x) - F_k)$$

$$\frac{dH_\lambda}{dP} = -\sum_k -\sum \ln P(x) + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sum f_k = 0$$

$$\boxed{P(x) = e^{-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sum f_k}}$$

Exercise 22.9: N_a, N_b, N tosses; Beta Distribution: $P(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}$

Probability Heads | P

$$\boxed{\text{Maximum Likelihood}} \quad \frac{dP(p|\alpha, \beta)}{dp} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} [(\alpha-1)p^{\alpha-2}(1-p)^{\beta-1} - (\beta-1)p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-2}]$$

$$= 0; \frac{(\alpha-1)}{(\beta-1)}(1-p) = p; \frac{(\beta-1)}{\beta-\alpha+2} = p$$

where $\beta = N_b$ and $\alpha = N_a$

$$\boxed{\text{Maximum a posteriori}} : \frac{((N+1)-\alpha-1)}{(N+1)-\alpha-\alpha} = \frac{N-\alpha}{N-2\alpha+1} = p_{N+1}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Maximum Likelihood of Logit}} \quad \frac{da}{dp} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{1-p} = 0$$

$$p-1 = p$$



$$p = 1/2$$

The logit function demonstrates a likelihood of the next toss being 50% heads.

Exercise 22.10: Uniform Distribution: $P(a,b) = \frac{1}{b-a}$

$$\boxed{\text{2-D Uniform Distribution}}: P(a, b | N) = \left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right)^N$$

$$\boxed{\text{Maximum Likelihood Estimate}}: P(x_{\min}, y_{\min}, x_{\max}, y_{\max}) = \frac{1}{(x_{\max}-x_{\min})} \cdot \frac{1}{(y_{\max}-y_{\min})}$$



Exercise 22.15: N datapoints, N distributions, n-Gaussians $N(x_n | \mu, \sigma_n)$

$$\boxed{\text{Maximum Likelihood: } \mu = \frac{\sum p(x)x}{\sum p(x)} \quad \text{Maximum Likelihood} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_n - \mu)^2}{N}}}$$

The reliable μ is the weighted average:

$$\mu = \frac{\frac{+27.020}{24.25}(27.020) + \frac{3.570}{24.25}(3.570) + \frac{9.191}{24.25}(9.191) + \frac{9.898}{24.25}(9.898)}{\frac{9.603}{24.25}(9.603) + \frac{9.945}{24.25}(9.945) + \frac{10.056}{24.25}(10.056)}$$
$$= \frac{24.25}{24.25} = 7$$

Maximizing the likelihood
generated or systematic means to "mean." = 1.01.

Exercise 22.16: [Noise level $\sigma_v = 10$; Gaussian Prior] : $P(w_i|x) = \text{Normal}(0, 1/x)$

$$\text{where } x = 1/\sigma_w^2$$

$$\log w \in \{-1, 1\}$$

Scenario #1: $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\} = \{2.2, -2.2, 2.8, -2.8\}$

24.25

1.9

a) Maximum Likelihood $w \circ \ln P(w, \log x | d) = -\frac{(\bar{w} - d)^2}{2 \log x^2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}$

$$= -\frac{(w-2.2)^2 + (w+2.2)^2 + (w-2.8)^2 + (w+2.8)^2}{2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}$$

$$\frac{d \ln P(w, \log x | d)}{dw} = -(w-2.2) - (w+2.2) - (w-2.8) - (w+2.8) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}$$
$$= -4w + 10 - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\boxed{w = 2.27}$$

Maximum Likelihood $x \circ$

$$\frac{d \ln P(w, \log x | d)}{dx} = -\frac{4w + 10}{\log x} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}$$

$$\boxed{x = 2.718 = e}$$

Note: A common method is approximating w as a vector $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$, which is similar to a regression of $\ln P(w, \log x | d)$.

$$\text{Solving for } \lambda_2: F_R = \sum f_R P(x) = \sum f_R e^{-R + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$= e^{-R + \lambda_1} \sum f_R e^{\lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$F_R e^{R - \lambda_1} = \sum f_R e^{\lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$e^{R - \lambda_1} = \frac{1}{F_R} \sum f_R e^{\lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$\sum \left(1 - \frac{f_R}{F_R}\right) e^{\sum f_R} = \sum \left(1 - \frac{f_R}{F_R}\right) x^{\lambda_2} = 0$$

$$\text{Solving for } \lambda_1: \boxed{\lambda_1 = 1 - \ln \sum e^{\lambda_2 f_R}}$$

$$x = e^{\lambda_2} : \boxed{\lambda_2 = \ln x}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } P(x) = e^{-R + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$= e^{-R} e^{1 - \ln \sum e^{\lambda_2 f_R}} e^{\lambda_2 \sum f_R}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\ln x \sum f_R}}{\sum e^{\ln x f_R}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\sum w_i f_R\right\}}$$

$$\text{Exercise 22.14: Gaussian Distribution: } P(w) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_w}\right)^k \exp\left(-\sum_i^k w_i^2 / 2\sigma_w^2\right)$$

$$\text{Thin shell radius: } r \\ \text{Shell} = \left[\int_0^\infty r^{k-1} p(r) dr \right] \times \text{Surface Area}$$

$$= \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_w} \right)^k \int_0^\infty r^{k-1} \exp\left(-r^2 / 2\sigma_w^2\right) dr \right] \times \text{Surface Area.}$$

$$= E[r^{k-1}] \circ (k+1) \circ V_{k+1} \circ V_k$$

$$= E[r^{k-1}] \circ (k+1) \circ \frac{\pi^{(k+1)/2}}{\Gamma(k/2+1)} \circ \frac{\pi^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k/2+1)}$$

Two Gaussians that differ in radius by 1%


$$\text{Shell} = \left[E[r^{k-1}] \circ (k+1) \circ \frac{2 \pi^{(k+1)/2}}{\Gamma(k/2+1)^2} \frac{\pi^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k/2+1)^2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[E\left[\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} r\right)^{k-1}\right] \circ (k+1) \circ \right]$$

Chapter 23: Useful Probability Distributions:

Exercise 23.1^o

Toss #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Value	5	6	1	1	1	4	1	1	3	4	2	5	4	3	6	5	4	6	4	5	5	2	5	4	6	5	5	1



This distribution is a telltale example of a fit which is not Gaussian-like. Why? Because the dice has bounded values.

Exercise 23.2^o $u = X^{1/3}$; Improper Distribution

$$\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt[3]{u}}$$

Exercise 23.3^o Dirichlet Distribution:

$$P(p|xm) = \frac{1}{Z(xm)} \prod_{i=1}^I p_i^{xm_i - 1} \cdot \delta(\sum p_i - 1) \equiv \text{Dirichlet}^{(I)}(p|xm)$$

Where $Z(xm) = \prod T(xm_i) / T(x)$

Dice (p_R, p_B); Six component probability Vector ($p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6$)

where $p_R = p_1 + p_2$ and $p_B = p_3 + p_4 + p_5 + p_6$

Hyperparameters $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$

$$P(p_R, p_B) = \int \int \int \int \int \int \text{Dir}(\vec{p} | \vec{u}) \delta(p_R - (p_1 + p_2)) \delta(p_B - (p_3 + p_4 + p_5 + p_6)) d\vec{p}$$

$$= P(u_1 + u_2, u_3 + u_4 + u_5 + u_6)$$

Exercise 23.4^o Gamma Distribution: $P(X|s, c) = T(X; s, c) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^{c-1} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{s}\right)$

Where $Z = T(c) s$

Maximum Likelihood Parameter s :

$$\frac{d \ln P(x|s, c)}{ds} = \frac{d}{ds} \left[(c-1) \ln \left(\frac{x}{s}\right) - \frac{x}{s} - \ln T(c) \cdot s \right] = 0$$

$$= (c-1)s^2 + x - ss \quad \boxed{s = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-4(c-1)x}}{2(c-1)}}$$

Maximum Likelihood Parameter c :

$$\frac{d \ln P(x|s, c)}{dc} = \frac{d}{dc} \left[\ln \left(\frac{x}{s}\right) - \frac{T'(c)}{T(c)} \right] = \boxed{0}$$

No closed solution — "Diagrammatic"

b. Marginalize over x :

$$\ln P(w) = \int_{-1}^1 P(w, \log x) \log x \, dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[\frac{(w-u)^2}{2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} w \log x \right] \log x \, dx$$

$$= \text{white u} \cdot \log x$$

Chapter 24: Exact Marginalization:

Exercise 24.1: A maximum likelihood estimate of standard deviation [σ_n] is an approximation from a predefined model, commonly Gaussian. The true standard deviation is larger, depends on an inexact mathematical unbiased, and requires a distribution to be true.

$$\text{Exercise 24.2: } P(\mu | D) = \int_0^\infty p(\mu | \sigma^2, D) \circ p(\sigma | D) d\sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } p(D | \mu, \sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p(D_i | \mu, \sigma) \circ p(\mu, \sigma) \circ p(\sigma) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu + \bar{x} - \bar{x})^2 / 2\sigma^2} \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}} \right) e^{-(\mu - \mu_0)^2 / 2\sigma^2} \\ &\quad \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) e^{\lambda(\mu - \mu_0)^2 / \sigma^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\lambda_0 + \frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\left(\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right) / \sigma^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) e^{-\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n\lambda}{2(n+\lambda)} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\lambda_0 + \frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\left(\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right) / \sigma^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) e^{-\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n\lambda}{2(n+\lambda)} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2} \\ p(\sigma | D) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\lambda_0 + \frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{-\left(\beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \frac{n\lambda}{2(n+\lambda)} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2 \right) / \sigma^2} \\ &= \text{Gam}(\alpha, \beta); \text{ where } \alpha = \lambda_0 + \frac{n}{2}, \beta = \beta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \frac{n\lambda}{2(n+\lambda)} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mu | D) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\lambda_0 - 1} e^{-\beta/\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(n+\lambda)}{2\sigma^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2} d(1/\sigma^2) \\ &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{(n+\lambda)}{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2} - 1} e^{-\beta/\sigma^2 - \frac{(n+\lambda)}{2\sigma^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2} d(1/\sigma^2) \\ &= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{(n+\lambda)}{2\pi}} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \left(\beta + \frac{n+\lambda}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right)^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\beta^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{(n+k)}{2\pi}} \Gamma(\alpha + 1/2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\beta}\right)^{-(2\alpha+1)/2}$$

Normalization constant - Student t-distribution

Scale parameter: $\beta/(n+k)\alpha$

Degrees of Freedom: 2α

$$\text{Exercise 24.3: } P(X|\mu, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|\mu, \sigma) \circ P(\mu, \sigma) \circ P(\sigma)$$

$$P(\sigma|x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2-1} e^{-\frac{(x_0 + \frac{1}{2}\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2)/\sigma^2}{2\alpha}}, \beta = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^2 e^{\frac{-\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2(n+k)}} = \frac{n\kappa}{2(n+k)\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2$$

$$P(\sigma|X) = \text{Gam}(K, \beta); \text{ where } K = x_0 + \frac{n}{2}, \beta = \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \frac{nR}{2(n+k)} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2$$


$$P(\mu|X) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{(n+k)}{2\pi\beta}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\beta}\right)^{-(2\alpha+1)/2} \quad \boxed{\text{From 24.2}}$$

$$P(\mu|\{x_n\}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{(n+k)}{2\pi\beta}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{\sum(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\beta}\right)^{-(2\alpha+1)/2}$$

Where $\{x_n\} = \{x_A, x_B, x_C, x_D, x_E, x_F, x_G\}$

$$= \{-27.020, 3.570, 8.111, 9.398, 9.603, 9.945, 10.056\}$$

A plot of the Student's t-distribution:



t	Likelihood	Posterior Probability
00000000	0.0262	0.296
00010111	0.00041	0.0047
00101111	0.0037	0.0423
01001110	0.015	0.1691
01011101	0.00041	0.0047
01100011	0.00010	0.0012
10001011	0.015	0.1691
10011110	0.0037	0.0423
10100110	0.00041	0.0047
10110011	0.0037	0.0423
11000111	0.00010	0.0012
11010000	0.00041	0.0047
11101000	0.0037	0.0423
11111111	0.000058	0.0007

Example Calculation of $P(y_n | t=00000000) = \frac{P(y_1|t=0) P(y_2|t=0) P(y_3|t=0) \cdots P(y_7|t=0)}{P(y_1|t=1) \cdot P(y_2|t=1) P(y_3|t=1) P(y_4|t=1) \cdots P(y_7|t=1)}$

$$= 0.8 \cdot 0.8 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.8 \cdot 0.9 \cdot 0.8 \cdot 0.8$$

$$= 0.0262$$

Example Calculation of $P(t=00000000 | y) = \frac{P(t=00000000 | y)}{\sum P(t | y)}$

$$= 0.296$$

n	$P(y_i t=1)$	$P(y_i t=0)$	$P(t=1 y)$	$P(t=0 y)$
1	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75
2	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75
3	0.9	0.1	0.66	0.33
4	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75
5	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75
6	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75
7	0.2	0.8	0.25	0.75

Most probable codeword of t is 00000000, but the bitwise decoding generates 0010000. This means the normal signal does not correspond to the decoder.

Chapter 25: Exact Marginalization of Trellises:

Exercise 25.1: Gaussian channel: $\frac{P(y_n | t_n=1)}{P(y_n | t_n=0)} = \exp\left(\frac{2x y_n}{\sigma^2}\right)$

Binary Symmetric Channel: $P(y=0 | x=0) = 1-f$ $P(y=0 | x=1) = f$
 $P(y=1 | x=0) = f$ $P(y=1 | x=1) = 1-f$

$$\frac{P(y_n | t_n=1)}{P(y_n | t_n=0)} = 1$$

A Gaussian channel is equivalent to a Binary Symmetric channel because the case of either $y_n=1$ or $y_n=0$ describe to a Bayesian Probability equal to one.


Exercise 25.2:

S	T
0000	0000000
0001	0001011
0010	0010111
0011	0011100

S	T
0100	0100110
0101	0101101
0110	0110001
0111	0111010

S	T
1000	1000101
1001	1001100
1010	1010010
1011	1011001

S	T
1100	1100011
1101	1101000
1110	1110100
1111	1111111



Example 25.3: The Hamming Trellis in figure 25.1c describes likelihoods over the sixteen codewords in a (7,4) code.

Exercise 25.4: Normalized Likelihood (0.2, 0.2, 0.9, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2)

The most probable codeword is shown in the table below.

Exercise 25.5: $X_i = \sum_j w_j X_j = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \dots + w_n x_n$

$$= P(y_1) + P(y_2) + \dots + P(y_n)$$

$$\boxed{= P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}$$

Exercise 25.6: The constant of proportionality is two paths per K-nodes
i.e 2^K

Exercise 25.7: $\beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{ij}, \beta_i = \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n w_{ij} \beta_i \Rightarrow \frac{\beta_j}{\sum_j w_j} = \sum_i w_{ij} \beta_i$

$$\frac{\beta_j}{\sum_j P(y_j)} = \sum_i w_{ij} \beta_i$$

$$\frac{\beta_j}{P(y)} = \boxed{P(\beta_j | t=j)} \propto \beta_i.$$

Exercise 25.8: $\frac{1}{Z} r_n^{(t)} = \frac{\sum \exp_{n,t}^{(t)} p_i}{r_n^{(0)} + r_n^{(1)}} = \frac{\sum \exp_{n,t}^{(t)} p_i}{\sum_i r^{(i)}} = \frac{P(t, y)}{P(y)} = \boxed{P(t|y)}$

Exercise 25.9: Simple Parity Code: P_3

n	$P(y_n t_n)$	
	$t_n = 0$	$t_n = 1$
1	$1/4$	$1/2$
2	$1/2$	$1/4$
3	$1/8$	$1/2$

Min-Sum Algorithm: $\min \left(\sum_j -\log(P(y_j | t_n)) \right)$

Sum-Product Algorithm: $\sum \left(\prod P(y_h | t_n) \right)$

t	t_1, t_2, t_3	$\sum -\log(P(y_n t_n))$
000	$(1/4)(1/2)(1/2)$	6.0
001	$(1/4)(1/2)(1/2)$	5.56
010	$(1/4)(1/4)(1/2)$	7.0
011	$(1/4)(1/4)(1/2)$	5.0
100	$(1/2)(1/2)(1/2)$	5.0
101	$(1/2)(1/2)(1/2)$	3.0
110	$(1/2)(1/4)(1/2)$	6.0
111	$(1/2)(1/4)(1/2)$	4.0

Maximum A Posteriori
is $t = 101$.

The bitwise decoding problem is $\frac{1}{Z} r_n^{(t)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{x_n} P(x_n)} r_n^{(t)}$, where the decoder fits each bit probability to $P(t_1) \cdot P(t_2) \cdot P(t_3) / Z$

Chapter 26: Exact Marginalization in Graphs:

Exercise 26.1: A normalized marginal is part/total., so the normalized marginal probability is $P_n(x_n) = \frac{z_n(x_n)}{\sum z_n(x_n)} = \frac{P(x_n)}{\sum P(x_n)}$.

$$\text{Exercise 26.2: } P_n(x_n) = \frac{P_n(x_n)}{Z} = \frac{f_1(x_1) \cdot f_2(x_2) f_3(x_3) f_4(x_1, x_2) f_5(x_2, x_3)}{\sum P_1(x_1) + P_2(x_2) + P_3(x_3)}$$

$$\text{Exercise 26.3: Marginal Functional: } z_n(x_n) = \prod_{m \in M(n)} r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n)$$

$$\text{If the graph is tree-like, then } z_n(x_n) = \prod_{m \in M(n)} r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n)$$

$$= \prod_{m \in M(n)} \left(\prod_{n \in N(m)} q_{n \rightarrow m}(x_n) \right)$$

Exercise 26.4: A More complicated marginal functionals is computed related to sum-product algorithms of tree-like graphs. because intermediate messages are generated and evaluated by the algorithm.

For example, counting peoples in a forest

Without radio will arrive to complicated interaction when the beginning and end messages are not "found", but the sum-product algorithm resolves these situations, as described in a tree-like graph.

Exercise 26.5:

$$r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n) = \sum_x \left(f_m(x_m) \prod_n q_{n \rightarrow m}(x_n) \right)$$

$$= f_1(x_1) \circ f_2(x_2) f_3(x_3) \circ \dots$$

$$r_{m \rightarrow 1}(x_1) + r_{m \rightarrow 2}(x_2) + r_{m \rightarrow 3}(x_3)$$


Exercise 26.6:

$$\boxed{r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n) = \frac{f_1(x_1) \circ f_2(x_2) \circ f_3(x_3)}{r_{m \rightarrow 1}(x_1) + r_{m \rightarrow 2}(x_2) + r_{m \rightarrow 3}(x_3)}}$$

Exercise 26.7: $\gamma_n(x_n) = \prod_{m \in M(n)} r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n) ; \phi_m(x_m) = \frac{f(x_m)}{\prod_{n \in N(m)} r_{m \rightarrow n}(x_n)}$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{m \rightarrow n} &= \sum_x \left(\phi_m(x_m) \prod_n \gamma_n(x_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_x \left(f_m(x_m) \prod_n q_{n \rightarrow m}(x_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 26.8:



$$\boxed{P(B) \cdot P(E) \cdot P(A|B, E) \cdot P(P|A) \cdot P(R|E)}$$

Chapter 27: Laplace's Method:

Exercise 27.1: Poisson Distribution: $P(r|\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda) \frac{\lambda^r}{r!}$

Improper Prior: $P(\lambda) = 1/\lambda$

a) $Z_p = \int p^*(\lambda) d\lambda ; \ln Z_p = \int \ln p^*(\lambda_0) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln p^*(\lambda) \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2}{2} + \dots d\lambda$

Normalizing Constant of Poisson Distribution: λ^r

$$\ln Z_p = \int \ln p^*(\lambda_0) + A \int \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2}{2} d\lambda = \int \ln p^*(r|\lambda_0) + A \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^3}{3} + \dots d\lambda$$

$$Z_p = p^*(r|\lambda_0) \cdot \frac{A \lambda^r}{r!} = \boxed{\frac{A \lambda^r}{r!} \exp(-\lambda)}$$

$$b, Z_P = \int p^*(\lambda) d\lambda ; \ln Z_P = \int \ln p^*(\ln \lambda_0) d\ln \lambda - A \int \ln \lambda / \lambda_0 d\ln \lambda + \dots$$

$$= \int \ln p^*(\ln \lambda_0) d\ln \lambda - A_0 \left[\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \left[\ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} \right] \right] + \dots$$

$$\boxed{\ln Z_P = \ln p^*(\ln \lambda_0) - A_0 \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} [\ln \lambda / \lambda_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \lambda / \lambda_0]}$$

Exercise 27.2: $Z(u_1, u_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(a)^{u_1} (1-f(a))^{u_2} da$ where $f(a) = 1/(1+e^{-a})$

$$\ln Z(u_1, u_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln f(a) \cdot (1-f(a))^{u_2} da_0 + A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(a-a_0) \cdot (1-f(a-a_0))^{u_2} da_0 + \dots$$

where $A = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} \ln Z(u_1, u_2)$


$$= \ln T(u_1) T(u_2) - \ln T(u_1 + u_2)$$

$$= \ln \frac{T(u_1) T(u_2)}{T(u_1 + u_2)}$$

$$\boxed{Z(u_1, u_2) = \frac{T(u_1) T(u_2)}{T(u_1 + u_2)}}$$

$$(u_1, u_2) = (1/2, 1/2); Z(1/2, 1/2) = \frac{T(1/2) \cdot T(1/2)}{T(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\pi}}{1} = \boxed{\pi}$$

$$(u_1, u_2) = (1, 1) \Rightarrow Z(1, 1) = \frac{T(1) \cdot T(1)}{T(1)} = \boxed{1}$$



$$\text{Error}(x) = \log((x-1)! \cdot (\bar{x}x)!)) - \log(1)$$

Exercise 27.3: N datapoints $\{(x^{(n)}, t^{(n)})\}$; $y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$; $t^{(n)} \sim \text{Normal}(y(x^{(n)}), \sigma_v^2)$

Assume Gaussian priors on w_0 and w_1 ,

$$Z_p = \int N^*(y(x)|w, \sigma^2) dx$$

$$\ln Z_p = \int \ln N^*(y(x)|w, \sigma^2) dx = \underbrace{\int \ln N^*(y(x_0)|w, \sigma^2) dx}_{\text{1st term Taylor exp.}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln N^*(y(x_0)|w, \sigma^2) \int (y(x-x_0) - t^{(n)})^2 dx}_{\text{2nd term Taylor exp.}} + \dots$$

$$\ln Z_p = \frac{1}{2} \int (y^*(x_0) - t^{(n)})^2 dx - \frac{A}{2\sigma^2} \int (y^*(x-x_0) - t^{(n)})^2 dx + \dots$$

$$Z_p = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int (y^*(x_0) - t^{(n)})^2 dx - \frac{A}{2\sigma^2} \int (y^*(x-x_0) - t^{(n)})^2 dx + \dots}$$

An unnormalized predictive distribution for $t^{(N+1)}$ given $x^{(N+1)}$