

Chapter 10: Matrix Estimation with rank constraints:

$$1. \hat{\Theta}_{RR} = \underset{\substack{\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r} \\ \text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \|Y - Z\Theta\|_F^2 \right\}$$

"Reduced rank regression estimate"

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{ZZ} = \frac{1}{n} Z^T Z$$

"Sample Covariance Matrix"

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{ZY} = \frac{1}{n} Z^T Y$$

"Sample cross Covariance Matrix"

$$\hat{\Theta}_{RR} = \underset{\substack{\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r} \\ \text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \|Y - Z\Theta\|_F^2 \right\}$$

$$= \underset{\text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ Z \cdot \|Y - Z\Theta\| \right\}$$

With all the craft, argmin about rank requires a smaller matrix.



Method #1:

$$= \underset{\text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ Z \|Y - Z\Theta\| \right\}$$

$$= (Z \|Y - Z\Theta\|) \cdot V_{(Txr)}$$

$$= Z^T \|Y \cdot V - Z \cdot \Theta \cdot V\|$$

$$= Z^T \|X - Z \cdot \Theta_{RR} \cdot V\|$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Theta_{RRR} = \frac{Z^T X}{Z^T Z} \cdot V^T = \frac{\hat{\Sigma}_{ZX}}{\hat{\Sigma}_{ZZ}} \cdot V^T$$

$$\text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r$$

$$\Theta^* =$$



Method #2:

$$= \underset{\text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ Z \|Y - Z\Theta\| = 0 \right\}$$

$$= \underset{\text{rank}(\Theta) \leq r}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \Theta^* = \frac{ZY}{ZZ} \right\}$$

$$= \Theta^* \cdot V^T \cdot V^T$$

$$= \frac{ZY}{ZZ} V^T \cdot V^T$$

$$= \frac{ZX}{ZZ} V^T$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\Sigma}_{ZX}}{\hat{\Sigma}_{ZZ}} V^T$$

$$\boxed{V^T V = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r V_{ij} \cdot V_{ij} = 1}$$

10.2. From Example 10.5, $z^{t+1} = \theta^* z^t \theta^* + w^t$ for $t=1, \dots, N-1$ "Vector Autoregressive Process"

$$\Sigma^t = \text{cov}(z^t) \quad \text{"covariance"}$$

$$\Sigma^{t+1} = \theta^* \Sigma^t (\theta^*)^T + \Gamma \quad \text{"Autoregressive covariance"}$$

a) Proof by Induction:

$$\text{Base Case: } \{z^1\} = N(0, \Sigma^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Sigma^1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\Sigma^1}}$$

$$= \text{constant} \frac{-x^2}{2\Sigma^1}$$

$$\text{Next step: } \{z^2\} = N(0, \Sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\Sigma^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\theta^* \Sigma^1 \theta^* + \Gamma)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(\theta^* \Sigma^1 \theta^* + \Gamma)}}$$

$$= \text{constant} \frac{-x^2}{2\Sigma^2}$$

$$\text{Inductive step: } \{z^t\} = N(0, \Sigma^t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Sigma^t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\Sigma^t}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\theta^* \Sigma^{t-1} \theta^* + \Gamma)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(\theta^* \Sigma^{t-1} \theta^* + \Gamma)}}$$

$$\text{b) } \text{cov}(z^{t+1}) = \Sigma^{t+1} = \theta^* \Sigma^t \theta^* + \Gamma$$

$$\Sigma^{t+1} = \theta^* \Sigma^t \theta^* + \Gamma \quad \text{When stationary } \Sigma^{t+1} \text{ equals } \Sigma^t$$

$$\Sigma^t = \theta^* \Sigma^t \theta^* + \Gamma$$

$$\Sigma^t = \frac{\Gamma}{1 - \theta^* \theta^*}, \text{ since no covariance is negative } 0 < \theta^* \theta^* < 1$$

$$0 < \|\theta^*\| < 1$$

$$\|\theta^*\| < 1$$

Suppose $\|\hat{\theta}\|_{\text{nuc}} \leq \|\theta^*\|_{\text{nuc}} \leftarrow \text{"from the problem"}$

$$\|\hat{\theta}\|_{\text{nuc}} \leq \|\theta_m^*\|_{\text{nuc}} \quad \text{if } \hat{\Delta} = \hat{\theta} - \theta_m^*$$

$$\leq \|\theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta}\|_{\text{nuc}} \quad \text{"Decomposability"}$$

$$\leq \|\theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{M}} + \hat{\Delta}_M\|_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\leq \|\theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{M}}\| - \|\hat{\Delta}_M\|_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\leq \|\theta_m^*\| + \|\hat{\Delta}_{\bar{M}}\| - \|\hat{\Delta}_M\|_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\|\hat{\theta}\|_{\text{nuc}} - \|\theta_m^*\| \leq 0 \quad \text{"from line one"}$$

$$\leq \|\hat{\Delta}_{\bar{M}}\| - \|\hat{\Delta}_M\|_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\|\Delta_M\| \leq \|\Delta_M\|_{\text{nuc}} \dots \text{"with absolute symbols"}$$

(Equation 9.22) The cost function satisfies a ϕ^* -norm curvature

$$\phi^*(\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^* + \Delta) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*)) \geq \underbrace{k \phi^*(\Delta)}_{\text{"curvature"}} - \underbrace{\tau \phi(\Delta)}_{\text{"tolerance"}}$$

10.5 (Equation 9.36) $\mathcal{E}_n(\Delta) := \mathcal{L}_n(\theta^* + \Delta) - \mathcal{L}_n(\theta^*) - \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}_n(\theta^*), \Delta \rangle$

$$\phi^*(\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^* + \Delta) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*)) = \phi^*(\mathcal{E}_n(\Delta) + \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}_n(\theta^*), \Delta \rangle)$$

$$\geq \phi^*(\mathcal{E}_n(\Delta)) + \phi^*(\langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*), \Delta \rangle)$$

$$\geq k \phi^*(\Delta) - \tau \phi(\Delta)$$

(Equation 10.20) $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^*(n)\|_2 \geq k \|\Delta\|_2 - \tau \|\Delta\|_{\text{nuc}}$

The equation above derives similarly to Equation 9.36

When $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^T (y_i - \langle \chi_i, \theta \rangle)$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \chi_n^*(y - \chi_n(\theta))$$

c) In part a, $T > 0$

In part b, $\Sigma = \frac{T}{1 - \theta^* \theta^*}$ where $|\theta^* \theta^*| < 1$

For every case, $\frac{T}{1 - \theta^* \theta^*} = \frac{\text{positive}}{\text{positive}} = \text{positive} = \Sigma$

10.3 (Equation 10.3.7) $\theta^{\text{bad}} = e_1 \otimes e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}}) = 0] &= \mathbb{P}[\lambda X(\theta^{\text{bad}}) = 0] \\ &:= \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\lambda(1 + \mathbb{E}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}})] + \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}})]}{2} + \dots} = e^0\right] \\ &\cong \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}})]} = e^0\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}})]}{2} - 0 \right\} = 0$$

$$\lambda^* = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}}) = 0] &:= \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\frac{\lambda^{*2} \mathbb{E}[X(\theta^{\text{bad}})]}{2}} = e^0\right] \\ &= e^0 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

10.4. (Equation 10.14) $A \in \operatorname{IM}(U, V)$

$B \in \operatorname{IM}^{\perp}(U, V)$

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T$$

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} V^T$$

b) The problem describes a IM-space, from the book:

$$\text{IM}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := \{ \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times r_2} \mid \text{rowspan}(\Theta) \subseteq \mathcal{U}, \text{colspan} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \}$$

$$\text{IM}^\perp(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) := \{ \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times r_2} \mid \text{colspan}(\Theta) \subseteq \mathcal{V}, \text{colspan} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\perp \}$$

Where $A_M = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_{M^\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

In this IM-space, a Frobenius Norm is the ℓ_2 Norm.

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{ij}^2} = \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_2$$

Outside the IMspace, a similar coefficient appears, as:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{ij}^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{iM}^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{M^\perp j}^2} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{iM}^2} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sqrt{2r} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2r} \sqrt{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_2^2} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2r} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

A matrix test occurred because the questions:

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta|_{ij}^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} |A|_{ij}^2}$$

Note: Part c is not in the document because an introspective derivation in part b.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_2} \left| \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right|_{ij}^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \left| \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_M \right|^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r_2} \left| \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{M^\perp} \right|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2 \cdot r \cdot T_{11}^2} + \sqrt{2 \cdot r \cdot T_{11}^2} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sqrt{2r} \sqrt{T_{11}^2} = 2\sqrt{2r} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

(Theorem 10.9) "Lower bound for norms"

$$\frac{\|X_n(\Delta)\|_2^2}{n} \geq c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2 - c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{\text{Nuc}}^2 \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}$$

10.6. If $\Sigma = I_{dd}$ where $D = d_1, d_2$

and $B(t) := \{\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2} \mid \|\Delta\|_F = 1, \|\Delta\|_{\text{Nuc}} \leq t\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\Delta \in B(t)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum \langle X_i, \Delta \rangle^2} &= \inf_{\Delta \in B(t)} \sqrt{\frac{\|X_n(\Delta)\|_2^2}{n}} \\ &\geq \sqrt{c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2 - c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{\text{Nuc}}^2} \\ &\geq \sqrt{c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2} - \sqrt{c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{\text{Nuc}}^2} \end{aligned}$$

The chapter defines $\rho^2(\Sigma) = \sup \text{var}(\langle X, uv^T \rangle)$
 $= 1$ when $\Sigma = I_D$

Also, a reference to later chapters helps:

(Theorem 14.12) "Euclidean norm bounds"

$$\|F\|_n^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|F\|_2^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\Delta \in B(t)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum \langle X_i, \Delta \rangle^2} &= \sqrt{c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2} - \sqrt{c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{\text{Nuc}}^2} \\ &= \underbrace{\sqrt{c_1}}_{\sqrt{\Sigma} = \sqrt{I}} \cdot \underbrace{(1)}_{\text{Theorem 14.12}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right)}_{\rho^2(\Sigma) = 1} - \underbrace{\sqrt{c_2}}_{\rho^2(\Sigma) = 1} \cdot \underbrace{(1)}_{\rho^2(\Sigma) = 1} \cdot \underbrace{\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{d_1}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_2}{n}} \right\} \cdot t}_{\text{set } B(t)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \delta - 2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_2}{n}} \right) \cdot t$$

When $\sqrt{c_1} = 1, \sqrt{c_2} = 2$

(Equation 10.26)

$$B_q(R) := \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2} \mid \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_j(\theta)^2 \leq R \right\}$$

Represents all values that belong to Real numbers such that this property holds for all numbers in the set

10.7. From page 322-323, $\|\hat{\theta}\|_{nuc} \geq \|\theta_m^*\| + \|\hat{\Delta}_m\| - \|\hat{\Delta}_m\|_{nuc}$

a)

$$\|\hat{\Delta}_m\|_{nuc} \leq \|\hat{\Delta}_m\|_{nuc} + \|\hat{\theta}\|_{nuc} - \|\theta_m^*\|$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{r} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F + \underbrace{2\|\theta\|}_{\text{From the set}}$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{r} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F + 2 \sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma_j(\theta)$$

b) $\frac{\|\chi_n(\Delta)\|_2^2}{n} = \|\Delta\|_F^2 \geq c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2 - c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{nuc}^2$

The problem gave two relationships: $T_1(r) = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma_j(\theta^*)$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum w_i X_i \right\|_2 \leq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$$

$$\frac{\|\chi_n(\Delta)\|_2^2}{n} = \|\Delta\|_F^2 \geq c_1 \|\sqrt{\Sigma} \text{vec}(\Delta)\|_2^2 - c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \left\{ \frac{d_1 + d_2}{n} \right\} \|\Delta\|_{nuc}^2$$

from Equation 10.24: $\|\hat{\Delta}\|_{nuc} \leq 2\sqrt{r} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F$

$$\geq c_1 \lambda_{\min}(\Sigma) \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F - 8c_2 \rho^2(\Sigma) \frac{r(d_1 + d_2)}{n} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F$$

$$\geq c_1 \sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma_j^2(\theta^*) \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum w_i X_i \right\|_2^2 - 8c_2 \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum w_i X_i \right\|_2$$

$$\geq c_1 T_1^2(r) + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{rd}{n}} \|\Delta\|_F \quad \text{when } c_1 = 1, c_2 = \frac{1}{8}$$

$$\geq \max\{T_1(r), T_1^2(r)\} + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{rd}{n}} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F$$

c) A similar coefficient comes from an r around one for both the terms on the right-side.

10.8. Corollary 10.3:

$$\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_2 \leq 30\sqrt{2} \frac{\sigma \sqrt{\gamma_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma})}}{\gamma_{\min}(\hat{\Sigma})} \left(\sqrt{\frac{p+T}{n}} + \delta \right)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\|\frac{1}{n} Z^T W\|_2 \geq 5\sigma \sqrt{\gamma_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma})} (\sqrt{\frac{d+T}{n}} + \delta)]$$

$$\approx \mathbb{P}[\|\frac{1}{n} Z^T W\|_2 \geq 2\delta] = \mathbb{P}[\|Z^T W\|_2 \geq \sqrt{n} 2\delta]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}[\lambda \|Z^T W\|_2 \geq \lambda \cdot \sqrt{n} \cdot 2\delta]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\frac{1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2] + \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2^2]}{2} + \dots}{\lambda \cdot \sqrt{n} \cdot 2\delta}} \geq e\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2^2]}{2}} \geq e^{\lambda \sqrt{n} 2\delta}\right]$$

$$\argmin_{\lambda} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2^2]}{2} - \lambda \sqrt{n} \cdot 2\delta \right\} = 0$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\sqrt{n} \cdot 2\delta}{\mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2^2]}$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\|\frac{1}{n} Z^T W\|_2 \geq 5\sigma \sqrt{\gamma_{\max}(\hat{\Sigma})} (\sqrt{\frac{d+T}{n}} + \delta)] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\frac{\lambda^{*2} \mathbb{E}[\|Z^T W\|_2^2]}{2}} \geq e^{\lambda^* \sqrt{n} 2\delta}\right]$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\frac{4 \cdot n \delta^2}{2}} \geq e^{4 \cdot n \delta}\right]$$

$$= 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[e^{-2n\delta^2}\right]$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot e$$

10.9.

a) $f_{\theta}(X) = \langle\langle X, \theta \rangle\rangle$ for a random matrix $X = x \otimes x$ with $x \sim N(0, I_n)$

A decomposition of $f_{\theta}(x)$ has a similar distribution with $f_{\theta}(X)$, in the case $\theta = U^T D U$. With a nonsingular matrix U , the eigenvalues in θ and D coincide, along with distributions.

$$b) \mathbb{E}[f_{\theta}^2(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\langle\langle X, \theta \rangle\rangle]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\sum X \cdot \theta]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\sum x \otimes x \theta]$$

$$= ||| \sum \theta^2 ||| + ||| \theta |||^2$$

$$= ||| \theta |||_F^2 + 2(\text{trace}(\theta))^2$$

<p>Gaussian Moments:</p> $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \cdot \Theta(x) dx$ $= \mu^2 + \sigma^2$
--

10.10 (Corollary 10.13):

$$||| \hat{\theta} - \theta^* |||_F^2 \leq C, \max\{\sigma^2, \kappa^2\} r \left\{ \frac{d \log d}{n} + \delta^2 \right\}$$

$$\text{where } ||| \theta^* |||_{\max} \leq \kappa / \sqrt{d_1 d_2}$$

$\delta \in (0, \sigma^2/2b)$ in the situation Bernstein's condition with parameters (σ, b) .

$$a) ||| \hat{\theta} |||_{\text{nuc}} \leq ||| \theta^* |||_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\leq ||| \theta_m^* |||_{\text{nuc}} \quad \text{if } \hat{\Delta} = \hat{\theta} - \theta_m^*$$

$$\leq ||| \theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta} |||_{\text{nuc}} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{"Decomposability"}$$

$$\leq ||| \theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{m}+} + \hat{\Delta}_m |||$$

$$\leq ||| \theta_m^* + \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{m}+} ||| - ||| \hat{\Delta}_m |||_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$\leq ||| \theta_m^* ||| + ||| \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{m}+} ||| - ||| \hat{\Delta}_m |||_{\text{nuc}}$$

$$||| \hat{\Delta}_{\bar{m}+} |||_{\text{nuc}} \leq ||| \hat{\Delta}_m |||_{\text{nuc}} + \underbrace{||| \hat{\theta} |||_{\text{nuc}} - ||| \theta_m^* |||}_{\text{at max} = 0}$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{2}r ||| \hat{\Delta} |||_F$$

$$\frac{||| \hat{\Delta} |||_{\text{nuc}}}{||| \hat{\Delta} |||_F} \leq 2\sqrt{r}$$

$$||| \hat{\Delta} |||_F$$

The second portion derives from $\tilde{y}_i = \theta + \frac{w_i}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$ (Equation 10.6)

$$\theta^* = ||| \theta |||_{\max} + \frac{w_i}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$$

$$||| \theta |||_{\max} = \frac{w}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}} \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$$

$$\|\hat{\Delta}\|_{\max} = \frac{w_i}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}} \quad \leftarrow \text{"Gaussian Noise"}$$

$$= \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}} \quad \leftarrow \text{"Spikiness in Equation 10.4"}$$

$$\|\hat{\Delta}\|_{\max} \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \kappa$$

Another derivation, from bibliography:

$$\|\hat{\Delta}\|_{\max} \leq \|\hat{\Delta}\|_{\max} + \|\Delta^x\|_{\max} =$$

triangle inequality

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{d_1 d_2}}$$

b) From Equation 10.44, "strong convexity" condition:

$$\frac{\|\chi_n(\hat{\Delta})\|_2^2}{n} \geq \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2 - 8\sqrt{2}C_1 \kappa \sqrt{\frac{rd \log d}{n}} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F - 4C_2 \kappa^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{d \log d}{n}} + \delta \right)^2$$

$$\geq \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F \left\{ \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F - 8\sqrt{2}C_1 \kappa \sqrt{\frac{rd \log d}{n}} \right\} - 4C_2 \kappa^2 \left(\frac{d \log d}{n} + \delta^2 \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2}{2}$$

$$< \frac{\|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2}{4}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \|\hat{\Delta}\|_F^2$$

10.11.

$$a) \hat{\Theta} = \arg \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\Theta\|_F^2 - \langle \Theta, \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i x_i \rangle + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{\text{nuc}} \right\}$$

$$= \arg \min \left\{ \max \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Theta\|_F - \langle \Theta, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \rangle \right)^2 + \lambda \|\Theta\|_{\text{nuc}} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \underbrace{\max \frac{1}{2} (\|\theta\|_F - \langle \theta, m \rangle)^2}_{U \cdot D \cdot V^T} + \underbrace{\lambda \|\theta\|_{nuc}}_{\lambda U \cdot D \cdot V^T} \right\} \\
&= \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ U \cdot (D - D_\lambda) \cdot V^T \right\} \\
&= U \cdot T_\lambda(D) \cdot V^T \quad \text{where } T_\lambda(D) \begin{cases} D - D_\lambda & D - D_\lambda > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

b) From Equation 10.16, $\hat{\theta} \in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|y - X(\theta)\|_2^2 + \lambda_n \|\theta\|_{nuc} \right\}$
 $\in \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \max \frac{1}{2} (\|\theta\|_F - \langle \theta, m \rangle)^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_{nuc} \right\}$

Proposition 10.6
 When Equation 10.16 and 10.17 are true.

$$\frac{\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_F^2}{2n} \leq \frac{9}{2} \frac{\lambda_n^2}{k^2} r + \frac{1}{k} \left\{ 2\lambda_n \sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma(\theta^*) + \frac{32c_0(d_1+d_2)}{n} \left[\sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma(\theta^*) \right]^2 \right\}$$

When $\sum_{j=r+1}^d \sigma(\theta^*) = 0$

$$\frac{\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_F^2}{2n} \leq \frac{9}{2} \frac{\lambda_n^2}{k^2} r$$

$$\frac{\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_F}{2n} \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\lambda}{k^2} r$$

c) $\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_F \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} r \lambda_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} r \left(2 \max_{\|\theta\|_{nuc} \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle U, X_i \rangle \langle X_i, \theta^* \rangle - \langle U, \theta^* \rangle \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i X_i \right\|_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 3\sqrt{2} r (\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^* + \Delta) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*))$$