

Scala Continuations meet JVM Coroutines

Miles Sabin, Chuusai Ltd.
<http://www.chuusai.com/>

<http://uk.linkedin.com/in/milessabin>
<http://twitter.com/milessabin>

Outline

- Background
- Continuations
 - Varieties of continuation
 - Shift/reset in Scala
- Coroutines and generators in Scala
- Limitations of Scala continuations
- JVM coroutines

Background

Continuations

Varieties of continuation

- A continuation captures "the remainder of the computation"
- Two main axes of variation
 - Full (whole programme) vs. delimited
 - Direct implementation via stack manipulation vs. CPS transformation
- Full continuation support is quite common
 - call/cc or equivalent in Lisps, Smalltalk, ML, setjmp/longjmp in C/C++

Varieties of continuation

- Continuations have traditionally been used as primitives for defining higher-level control constructs
 - Concurrency constructs
 - Exception handling
- They offer a lot more, particularly in a typed setting (but we won't have time for that today)

Shift/reset in Scala

- Scala offers a form of delimited continuation implemented in terms of a localized CPS translation
 - "delimited" here means that we are capturing "the remainder of the computation" up to a particular marker
 - The CPS transformation implementation allows it to run efficiently on a standard JVM
 - Localized transformation supports interop with ordinary code (up to a point ...)

Shift/reset in Scala

- Two complementary constructs,
`reset { ... }` Delimits the continuation
`shift { (cont : ...) => ... }`
Captures the continuation up
to the enclosing reset
- shifts must be (dynamically) enclosed
within a corresponding reset
 - This is enforced by an effect type system

Shift/reset in Scala

- Continuations support is provided via a compiler plug-in
- This ships with the compiler as standard but isn't enabled by default
- It can be used with both the compiler and the REPL

```
scalac -P:continuations:enable ...
```

```
scala -P:continuations:enable
```

Coroutines and generators in Scala

Coroutines

- Coroutines are an imperative control structure
- They can be viewed as subroutines with multiple entry and exit points
- They interleave computations, and can replace threads in some applications
 - Very lightweight relative to threads
 - No actual concurrency ... interleaving is on a single thread

Generators

- Related to coroutines
- Main application is the production of sequences of values, lazily, on demand
- This can be done using traditional iterators or streams, but generators are able to use the stack to manage state implicitly
 - This can often significantly simplify the implementation

Limitations of Scala continuations

Limitations

- CPS effect types both a blessing and a curse
 - All paths from shift to dynamically enclosing reset must be CPS aware
 - This means we can't shift from within any non-CPS aware Scala framework (could effect type polymorphism help here?)
 - This means we can't shift from within any Java frameworks at all

Limitations

- Effect types don't play nicely with CPS effect types
 - for comprehensions – workarounds
 - Pattern matching – Scala virtualized
- The behaviour of transformed code can be hard to reason about given only untransformed source (@tailrec)

JVM coroutines

JVM coroutines

- Development of work by Lukas Stadler at the Johannes Kepler University, Linz
- Implementation is part of the Da Vinci Machine Project – patch available from MLVM repository
- JSR recently formed to promote it (I'm a member) for Java 8

JVM coroutines

- The aim is to provide the minimum infrastructure to support interesting control flows
- No bytecode changes – library additions only (but Hotspot level support)
- Implementation is via stack manipulation (a hybrid of stack copying and spaghetti stacks)

JVM coroutines

- JVM coroutines come in two flavours
 - Instances of class `Coroutine`,
 - These are executed by per-thread schedulers
 - Control transfer is via an explicit `yield`
 - Somewhat thread-like semantics
 - Instances of class `AsymCoroutine`,
 - These may be invoked repeatedly with an argument returning a result
 - May only return to their caller
 - Generator-like semantics (implement `j.u.Iterator`)

JVM coroutines

- The Java API is a bit clunky, but it's relatively straightforward to provide a Scala shim
- All of the previous limitations are lifted
 - We can yield from within any framework
 - for comprehensions and pattern matching are fully supported
 - Control flow is more transparent

JVM coroutines

- What's not to like?
 - Context switch time is very good

Scala Stream	1200ns
Scala Generator	100ns
Java Thread	16000ns
JVM Coroutine	80ns

- Memory footprint is not so good

Scala Stream	1.5k
Scala Generator	0.5k
Java Thread	64k
JVM Coroutine	48k

Scala Continuations meet JVM Coroutines

Miles Sabin, Chuusai Ltd.
<http://www.chuusai.com/>

<http://uk.linkedin.com/in/milessabin>
<http://twitter.com/milessabin>