# Tooth Growth - Statistical Inference Part 2

Jackie Milhans July 24, 2015

We're going to analyze the ToothGrowth data in the R datasets package. Load the ToothGrowth data and perform some basic exploratory data analyses.

## **Data Summary**

The ToothGrowth dataset is the response is the length of odontoblasts (teeth) in each of 10 guinea pigs at each of three dose levels of Vitamin C (0.5, 1, and 2 mg) with each of two delivery methods (orange juice or ascorbic acid).


Let's provide a basic summary of the data. First we load the dataset.

```
library(datasets)
data (ToothGrowth)
head (ToothGrowth)
##
     len supp dose
## 1 4.2
            VC 0.5
## 2 11.5
            VC 0.5
## 3 7.3
            VC 0.5
## 4 5.8
            VC 0.5
## 5 6.4
            VC 0.5
## 6 10.0
            VC 0.5
```

#### summary(ToothGrowth)

```
##
         len
                     supp
                                  dose
##
   Min.
           : 4.20
                     OJ:30
                             Min.
                                    :0.500
##
   1st Qu.:13.07
                     VC:30
                             1st Qu.:0.500
  Median :19.25
                             Median :1.000
## Mean
           :18.81
                             Mean
                                     :1.167
##
    3rd Qu.:25.27
                             3rd Qu.:2.000
                                    :2.000
           :33.90
## Max.
                             Max.
```

The summary of the dataset shows that there are two supplements, OJ and VC. There are 3 doses: 0.5, 1, and 2.



The plots show that a high dosage leads to longer teeth.

#### Confidence Intervals

We use confidence intervals and/or hypothesis tests to compare tooth growth by supp and dose. Here, we use the hypothesis test, t-test.

```
t.test(len~supp, data=ToothGrowth, paired=FALSE)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by supp
## t = 1.9153, df = 55.309, p-value = 0.06063
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.1710156 7.5710156
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
## 20.66333 16.96333
```

We can also look at the data by splitting into the different dosages.

```
dose1 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose==0.5)
dose2 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose==1.0)
dose3 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose==2.0)</pre>
```

First, we look at the smallest dose.

```
t.test(len~supp, data=dose1, paired=FALSE)
##
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
## data: len by supp
## t = 3.1697, df = 14.969, p-value = 0.006359
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 1.719057 8.780943
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
              13.23
##
                                7.98
Now, we look at dosage of 1.0 mg.
t.test(len~supp, data=dose2, paired=FALSE)
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by supp
## t = 4.0328, df = 15.358, p-value = 0.001038
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 2.802148 9.057852
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
##
              22.70
                               16.77
Finally, the largest dose is examined.
t.test(len~supp, data=dose3, paired=FALSE)
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
##
## data: len by supp
## t = -0.046136, df = 14.04, p-value = 0.9639
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.79807 3.63807
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
##
              26.06
                               26.14
```

### Conclusions

For the lower dosage data, we can see that the OJ produces higher tooth growth. At the highest dosage, there is little difference between the two supplements.

For a higher dose, the p-value is greater than 0.05, and the confidence interval lies between (-3.79807, 3.63807). The CI includes 0, so it shows that there is no significant difference between the two supplements.

For the smallest and middle dosage, the p-value is lower that 0.05, and the confidence interval does not include 0. Therefore, we can see that there is a significant difference in growth with the smaller doses.