

# MA580H Matrix Computations

## Lecture 7: System of Linear Equations-II

Rafikul Alam  
Department of Mathematics  
IIT Guwahati

# Outline

- Gaussian elimination with pivoting
- Permutated LU decomposition

## Pivoting

Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the pivot element, that is, (1, 1) entry of the matrix is 0, the elimination fails to reduce the matrix to upper triangular form.

However, interchanging the rows we obtain an upper triangular matrix

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_P \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_L \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_U.$$

The matrix  $P$  is a **permutation matrix**. A permutation matrix is obtained by interchanging rows of identity matrix. The process of interchanging rows is called **partial pivoting**

**Theorem (GEPP):** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then there is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$$PA = LU$$

where  $L$  is unit lower triangular and  $U$  is upper triangular.

## Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting

Let  $P_1$  be a permutation matrix so that (1, 1) entry of  $P_1A$  is nonzero. Then for  $L_1 := I + \ell_{11}e_1^T$ , with  $\ell_{i1} := a_{i1}/a_{11}$ ,  $i = 2 : n$ , we have

$$L_1^{-1}P_1A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \hline 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(1)} \end{array} \right], \text{ where } a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij} - \ell_{i1}a_{1j}. \text{ Cost: } 2(n-1)^2 \text{ flops.}$$

If  $a_{22}^{(1)} = 0$  then elimination breaks down. However, if say  $a_{n2}^{(1)} \neq 0$  then we can interchange rows and make  $a_{n2}^{(1)}$  as the **pivot element** and continue elimination.

$$P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \hline 0 & a_{n2}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \end{array} \right].$$

Here  $P_2$  is the permutation matrix that interchanges second row with  $n$ -th row of  $L_1^{-1}A$ .

## Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting

For  $L_2 := I + \ell_{i2}e_2^T$  with  $\ell_{i2} := a_{i2}^{(1)}/a_{n2}^{(1)}$ ,  $i = 3 : n$ , we have

$$L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \hline 0 & a_{n2}^{(1)} & a_{n3}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(1)} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}^{(2)} & \cdots & a_{3n}^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_{n3}^{(2)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(2)} \end{array} \right], \quad a_{ij}^{(2)} = a_{ij}^{(1)} - \ell_{i2}a_{2j}^{(1)}. \text{ Cost: } 2(n-2)^2 \text{ flops.}$$

Repeating the process we have  $L_{n-1}^{-1}P_{n-1}L_{n-2}^{-1}\cdots P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = U$ .

**Cost:**  $2(n-1)^2 + 2(n-2)^2 + \cdots + 2 \simeq 2n^3/3$  flops.

The matrix  $L_{n-1}^{-1}P_{n-1}L_{n-2}^{-1}\cdots P_2L_1^{-1}P_1$  may NOT be lower triangular. However, we show that

$$PA = \underbrace{\hat{L}_1\hat{L}_2\cdots\hat{L}_{n-2}L_{n-1}}_L U = LU,$$

where  $L$  is unit lower triangular,  $\hat{L}_j$ 's are obtained from  $L_j$ 's by permuting their multipliers and  $P := P_{n-1}P_{n-2}\cdots P_2P_1$ .

## GEPP (cont.)

```
if (A(k,k) ~= 0)
    % compute multipliers for k-th step
    A(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k);
    % update A(k+1:n,k+1:n)
    j = k+1:n;
    A(j,j) = A(j,j)-A(j,k)*A(k,j);
end
end
% strict lower triangle of A, plus I
L = eye(n,n)+ tril(A,-1);
U = triu(A); % upper triangle of A
```

The search for the **largest entry in each column** guarantees that the denominator  $A(k,k)$  in the entries  $L(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k)$  is at least as large as the numerators.

This ensures that  $|L(i,j)| \leq 1$  for all  $i, j$ . This is crucial for **stability**.

## Example

Consider

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{P_1} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -2 \\ 4 & 9 & -3 \\ -2 & -3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & -3 \\ 2 & 4 & -2 \\ -2 & -3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $L_1 = I + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} e_1^\top$ ,  $L_1^{-1} A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ . Now

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{P_2} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \end{bmatrix}}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $L_2 = I + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} e_2^\top$ ,  $L_2^{-1} P_2 L_1^{-1} P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}$

## Example (cont.)

Thus we have  $L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = U \implies A = MU$ , where  $M := (L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1)^{-1} = P_1L_1P_2L_2$ .

Now

$$P_1L_1 = P_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_2L_2 = P_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

shows that  $M$  is not lower triangular. Next, observe that

$$U = L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_2P_2P_1A \implies P_2P_1A = P_2L_1P_2L_2U = LU$$

where  $L := P_2L_1P_2L_2$  is unit lower triangular. Indeed

$$P_2L_1P_2L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Permuted LU decomposition ( $PA = LU$ )

By GEPP we have  $L_{n-1}^{-1}P_{n-1}\cdots L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = U$ , where  $P_k$  is the permutation matrix and  $L_k := I + \ell_k e_k^\top$  is the elimination matrix at the  $k$ -th step.

**Theorem:** Set  $L(\ell_k) := L_k$ . Then

$$P_{n-1}\cdots P_{k+1}L(\ell_k) = L(P_{n-1}\cdots P_{k+1}\ell_k)P_{n-1}\cdots P_{k+1}.$$

Set  $\widehat{L}_k := L(P_{n-1}\cdots P_{k+1}\ell_k)$ . Then  $\widehat{L}_k$  is unit lower triangular and

$$L_{n-1}^{-1}P_{n-1}\cdots L_2^{-1}P_2L_1^{-1}P_1A = L_{n-1}^{-1}\widehat{L}_{n-2}^{-1}\cdots\widehat{L}_2^{-1}\widehat{L}_1^{-1}P_{n-1}\cdots P_1A.$$

Thus, setting  $P := P_{n-1}P_{n-2}\cdots P_1$  and  $L := \widehat{L}_1\cdots\widehat{L}_{n-2}L_{n-1}$ , we have  $PA = LU$ .

**Proof:** The first  $m - 1$  rows of  $P_m$  ( $P_m$  is used at the  $m$ -th step of elimination) are the same as the first  $m - 1$  rows of  $I_n$ . Hence  $e_k^\top P_m = e_k^\top$  for  $k = 1 : m - 1$ .

## Permuted LU decomposition ( $PA = LU$ )

Since  $e_k^\top P_m = e_k^\top$  for  $k = 1 : m - 1$ , we have

$$P_m L(\ell_k) = P_m(I + \ell_k e_k^\top) = P_m + P_m \ell_k e_k^\top = P_m + P_m \ell_k e_k^\top P_m = L(P_m \ell_k) P_m.$$

Consequently,  $P_{n-1} \cdots P_{k+1} L(\ell_k) = L(P_{n-1} \cdots P_{k+1} \ell_k) P_{n-1} \cdots P_{k+1}$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} L_3^{-1} P_3 L_2^{-1} P_2 L_1^{-1} P_1 A &= L(-\ell_3) P_3 L(-\ell_2) P_2 L(-\ell_1) P_1 A \\ &= L(-\ell_3) L(-P_3 \ell_2) P_3 P_2 L(-\ell_1) P_1 A \\ &= L(-\ell_3) L(-P_3 \ell_2) L(-P_3 P_2 \ell_1) P_3 P_2 P_1 A. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we have

$$L_{n-1}^{-1} P_{n-1} \cdots L_2^{-1} P_2 L_1^{-1} P_1 A = L_{n-1}^{-1} \widehat{L}_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots \widehat{L}_2^{-1} \widehat{L}_1^{-1} P_{n-1} \cdots P_1 A.$$

Hence the results follow. ■

# Solution of linear system using GEPP

A linear system  $Ax = b$  can be solved using GEPP as follows.

$$Ax = b \implies PAx = Pb \implies LUx = Pb.$$

1. Compute  $PA = LU$  ( $2n^3/3$  flops)
2. Compute  $y = Pb$  (permute the entries of  $b$ , no arithmetic needed)
3. Solve  $Lz = y$  by forward substitution ( $n^2$  flops)
4. Solve  $Ux = z$  by back substitution ( $n^2$  flops).

Total Cost:  $\frac{2n^3}{3}$  flops.

GEPP is the standard method used in practice for solving a linear system. GEPP is a default method in MATLAB for solution of a linear system. The command `x = A\b` solves  $Ax = b$  using GEPP. The command `[L, U, P] = lu(A)` computes  $PA = LU$ .

## Gaussian elimination with complete pivoting

Gaussian elimination with complete pivoting (GECP) is a variant of GE. At the  $k$ -step, GECP searches not just column  $A(k:n, k)$  but the entire submatrix  $A(k:n, k:n)$  for the largest entry and then swaps rows and columns to put that entry into  $A(k, k)$ . After  $(k - 1)$  steps

$$L_{k-1}^{-1} P_{k-1} \cdots L_1^{-1} P_1 A Q_1 \cdots Q_{k-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & * & & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline & & & a_{kk} & \cdots & a_{kn} \\ & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & a_{nk} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{array} \right].$$

After  $n - 1$  steps, we have  $PAQ = LU$  where  $P$  and  $Q$  are permutations matrices. If

$\text{rank}(A) = r$  then  $U = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $U_1$  is an  $r \times r$  nonsingular upper triangular matrix.

GECP guarantees  $|L(i,j)| \leq 1$  and  $|U(i,j)| \leq |U(i,i)|$ .

**Cost:**  $2n^3/3 + n^3/3 = n^3$  flops. Additional  $n^3/3$  flops is due to finding maximum element at each step.

## GEPP versus GECP

- GECP is more expensive ( $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  more operations) than GEPP.
- GECP is usually no more accurate than GEPP which is why GEPP is a default method.
- Examples exist for which GECP does much better than GEPP.
- GEPP and GECP work extremely well in the presence of roundoff.

\*\*\*