

POLYNOMIAL

INTERPOLATION - I

Polynomial Interpolation ?

Problem - Given a dataset $(x_0, f_0), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$
consisting of

distinct nodes : $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$

and values : $[f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n]$

construct a polynomial $p(x)$ of lowest degree
such that $p(x_j) = f_j$ for $j = 0 : n$.

Vandermonde Interpolating Polynomial.

Thm - consider nodes $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$

values $[f_0, \dots, f_n]$

There exist a polynomial of degree
at most n such that $p(x_j) = f_j$
for $j = 0 : n$

Proof - Consider the polynomial $p(x) = a_0 x_0^0 + a_1 x_1^1 + \dots + a_n x_n^n$

$p(x_j) = f_j$ for $j = 0 : n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^n \\ 1 & x_1 & & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Vandermonde Matrix

$$VA = Y$$

det

$\det(V) \neq 0$ so unique solution.

- The computation is numerically unstable.
- Solution of system require $O(n^3)$ operations.
- Any additional new data (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}) requires recompilation.
- Evaluation of $p(x)$ at given require $O(n^2)$ operations.

Interpolating polynomial in general basis

Let P_n denote the vector space of polynomials of degree at most n . Let $\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)$ be a basis of P_n . Let $p(x) = a_0\phi_0(x) + a_1\phi_1(x) + \dots + a_n\phi_n(x)$.

| | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|-----|----------|
| $\phi_0(x_0)$ | $\phi_1(x_0)$ | \dots | $\phi_n(x_0)$ | a_0 | $=$ | f_0 |
| $\phi_0(x_1)$ | $\phi_1(x_1)$ | \vdots | \vdots | a_1 | $=$ | f_1 |
| $\phi_0(x_2)$ | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | $=$ | \vdots |
| $\phi_0(x_n)$ | $\phi_1(x_n)$ | \dots | $\phi_n(x_n)$ | a_n | $=$ | f_n |

Coefficient matrix is non singular.

The linear system has unique solution.

LAGRANGE INTERPOLATING POLYNOMIAL

Example - Let say for three data points -

$$(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), \dots (x_2, f_2)$$

define,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) = & \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} f_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)} f_1 \\ & + \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)} f_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } p(x_0) = f_0$$

$$p(x_1) = f_1$$

$$p(x_2) = f_2$$

NOW IN GENERAL -

for data set $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), \dots (x_n, f_n)$

define $w(x) = (x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_n) \in P_{n+1}$

$$L(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(x-x_i)}{(x_j-x_i)} = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(x-x_i)}{(x_j-x_i)}$$

$$w(x) = \prod_{m=0}^n (x-x_m)$$

$$w(x) = (x - x_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (x - x_i) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$w'(x_j) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[(x - x_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (x - x_i) \right]$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_i) + \cancel{(x_j - x_j)} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (x - x_i) \right)$$

$$w'(x_j) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_i) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

from (1) and (2)

$$l_j(x) = \frac{w(x)}{(x - x_j) \cdot w'(x_j)}, \text{ for } j=0 \dots n$$

$$l_j(x) = \delta_{ij} \text{ where } \delta_{ij} \text{ is Dirac Delta Function.}$$

$$\text{Hence } p(x) = f_0 l_0(x) + \dots + f_n l_n(x)$$

interpolates the dataset $(x_0, f_0), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$.

The basis $l_0(x), l_1(x), \dots, l_n(x)$

is called Lagrange basis of P_n and $p(x)$
is called Lagrange interpolating polynomial.

IMP

$$\sum_{j=0}^n l_j(x) = 1$$

at given x

→ Computation of $p(x)$, require $O(n^2)$ operation

Why?

Calculating single $l_j(x)$ takes
multiplying n terms so
takes $O(n)$.

$$\text{Total time} = O(n^2)$$

→ Cannot accommodate new (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}) . Any
additional data requires re-computation.

A

DOUBT-

Computation of $p(x)$ requires $O(n^2)$ operations?

BARYCENTRIC LAGRANGE INTERPOLATION

$$l_j = \frac{w(x)}{(x - x_j) \cdot w'(x_j)} = \frac{w(x) \cdot w_j}{(x - x_j)}$$

where $w_j = \frac{1}{w'(x_j)}$

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n f_j(x) l_j(x)$$

*Baraycentric
Form 1*

$$= w(x) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f_j(x) \cdot w_j}{x - x_j} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Also,

$$1 = \sum_{j=0}^n l_j(x)$$

$$1 = w(x) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{x - x_j} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$(1) \div (2)$$

$$\frac{p(x)}{1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j f_j(x)}{x - x_j} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j \cdot w(x) \cdot f_j(x)}{x - x_j}$$

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n w_j f_i$$

Barycentric
 Form

$x - x_j$
 $\frac{w_j}{x - x_j}$

Advantages -

- ① Once I know w_j . Evaluating $P(x)$ at $x = x_k$ takes $O(n)$ time.

However calculating every w_j takes $O(n^2)$

- $O(n)$ for one j .
- $O(n^2)$ for all j .

- ② To incorporate a new data point (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1})

- ⓐ Updating an existing weight takes $O(2n+1)$ operations.

$$w_j^{\text{old}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_k)}$$

$$w_j^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{n+1} (x_j - x_k)} = \frac{w_j^{\text{old}}}{(x_j - x_{n+1})}$$

(b) Computing the new weight w_{n+1} takes $O(2n+3)$

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0}^n (x_{n+1} - x_k)}$$

(3) Barycentric formulas has beautiful symmetry.

The weights w_j appear in denominator exactly as in numerator, except with factor f_j . This means any common factor in all the weights w_j may be cancelled without affecting the value of $p(x)$.

LEC - 6

NEWTON INTERPOLATING POLYNOMIAL

Example - For data set $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), (x_2, f_2)$

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 (x - x_0) + a_2 (x - x_0)(x - x_1)$$

$$p(x_0) = f_0$$

$$p(x_1) = f_1$$

$$p(x_2) = f_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & (x_1 - x_0) & 0 \\ 1 & (x_2 - x_0) & (x_2 - x_0)(x_2 - x_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

General -

$$N_0(x) = 1$$

$$N_j(x) = (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \dots (x - x_{j-1})$$

for $j = 1 \text{ to } N$.

$$N_j(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0 : j-1 \text{ and}$$

$$j = 1 : N$$

$$N_{j+1}(x) = N_j(x) \cdot (x - x_j)$$

$N_0(x), N_1(x) \dots, N_n(x) \rightarrow \text{Newton's Basis}$

$$p(x) = a_0 N_0(x) + a_1 N_1(x) + \dots + a_n N_n(x)$$

$$P(x_j) = f_j \quad \text{for } j=0:n \quad \text{yields -}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_0(x_0) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ N_0(x_1) & N_1(x_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ N_0(x_2) & N_1(x_2) & N_2(x_2) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ N_0(x_n) & N_1(x_n) & N_2(x_n) & \dots & N_n(x_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark -

- Solution of lower Δ system requires $O(n^2)$ flops.
- Can accomodate new data (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}) with addition $O(n)$ operations
- Evaluation of $p(x)$ at a given x require $O(n^2)$ operations.
- Computation of $p(x)$ requires $O(n^2)$ operations.

Computation of $N_j(x_j)$ may be prone OVERFLOW / UNDERFLOW.

ASK

Remark 2

DIVIDED DIFFERENCE -

For example - consider $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), (x_2, f_2)$

or Newton's Polynomial is -

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1)$$

$$f[x_j] := f_j \quad \text{for} \quad j=0, 1, 2$$

$$p(x_0) = f_0, \quad p(x_1) = f_1 \rightarrow p(x_2) = f_2$$

$$\checkmark a_0 = f[x_0] = f_0$$

$$a_1 = f[x_1]$$

$$a_2 = f[x_2]$$

$$\checkmark a_1 = \frac{f[x_1] - f[x_0]}{x_1 - x_0} = f[x_0, x_1]$$

$$\checkmark a_2 = \left(\frac{f[x_2] - f[x_0]}{x_2 - x_0} - f[x_0, x_1] \right) / (x_2 - x_1)$$

$$= \left(\frac{f[x_2] - f[x_1]}{x_2 - x_0} + \frac{f[x_1] - f[x_0]}{x_2 - x_0} - f[x_0, x_1] \right)$$

$$(x_2 - x_1)$$

$$= \frac{f[x_1, x_2] - f[x_0, x_1]}{x_2 - x_0} = f[x_0, x_1, x_2]$$

General case -

Divided difference can be generated

Using table of divided difference.

| x | f | | |
|----------|-------|---|-------------------------|
| x_0 | f_0 | | |
| x_1 | f_1 | $f[x_0, x_1]$ | |
| x_2 | f_2 | $f[x_1, x_2] \leftarrow f[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ | |
| x_3 | f_3 | $f[x_2, x_3]$ | $f[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ |
| \vdots | | | |

diagonal - left
 ↑
 $x_2 - x_0$
 ↓
 go to diagonal
 extreme - left of x

$n+1$ diagonals \rightarrow coefficients of Newton interpolating polynomial

Adding new data (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1}) \rightarrow Adding a new row at the bottom of the table. Additional $O(n)$ operations.

Underflow / Overflow problem is solved.

Thm - Let $p(x) = a_0 N_0(x) + \dots + a_n N_n(x)$

such that $p(x_j) = f_j$ for $j = 0 : n$.

then,

$$a_j = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j] \text{ for } j = 0 : n$$

Proof - Proof using induction.

The result is true for $n=0$.

Assume that the result is true for Newton interpolating polynomials of degree $\leq N-1$.

$$\text{Let } q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j N_j(x)$$

\hookrightarrow for data set $(x_0, f_0), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$

$$\text{Let } s(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j N_j(x)$$

\hookrightarrow for dataset $(x_0, f_0), \dots, (x_{n-1}, f_{n-1})$

By induction hypothesis $b_{n-1} = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$$c_{n-1} = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$$

$$r(x) =$$

For the dataset $(x_0, f_0), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$

$r(x)$ be interpolating polynomial.

$$r(x) = q(x) + \frac{x-x_n}{x_n-x_0} (q(x) - s(x))$$

→ $r(x)$ satisfies for data - $(x_1, f_0) \dots (x_{n+1}, f_{n+1})$

because $q_r(x) - s(x) = 0$

$$r(x) = q_r(x).$$

→ For (x_0, f_0)

$$r(x) = q_r(x_0) + (q_r(x_0) - s(x_0))$$

$$= s(x_0) = f_0$$

→ For (x_n, f_n)

$$\begin{aligned} r(x_n) &= q_r(x_n) + 0 \\ &= f_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence $r(x)$ interpolates $(x_0, f_0) \dots (x_n, f_n)$

Now the coefficient of x^n in $r(x)$

$$a_n = \frac{f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0}$$

$$a_n = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

RUNGE PHENOMENON

consider the runge function

$f: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + 25x^2}$$

Then for equally spaced nodes (x_0, \dots, x_n)
and values $f_j = f(x_j)$ for $j=0:n$

The interpolant $p_n(x)$ doesn't converge to $f(x)$. In fact $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 1} |f(x_i) - p_n(x_i)| \rightarrow \infty$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$

But for Chebyshev Nodes $x_i = \cos\left(\frac{(2i+1)\pi}{2n+2}\right)$:

for $j=0:n$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 1} |f(x_i) - p_n(x_i)| \rightarrow 0$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The runge phenomenon is eliminated by
choosing Chebyshev nodes in interpolation points
in $[-1, 1]$

CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIAL

Let $\theta \in [0, \pi]$

$x \in [-1, 1]$

Define $T_n(x) = \cos(n \cos^{-1} x)$.

$$T_0(x) = 1$$

$$T_1(x) = x$$

$$T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$$

$T_n(x)$ is n -degree polynomial and is called Chebyshev Polynomial.

$T_n(x)$ satisfies - $\underbrace{T_{n+1}(x)}_{\text{def}} = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$

Why? If $x = \cos \theta$

$$\cos((n+1)\theta) + \cos((n-1)\theta) = 2 \cos \theta \cos n\theta$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1(x) \\ T_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_n(x) \\ T_{n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-1}(x) \\ T_n(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T_n(x) \\ T_{n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2x \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

Date _____
Page _____

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2j} x^{n-2j} (x^2 - 1)^j$$

Chebyshev NODES -

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \cos^{-1} x)$$

we have $|T_n(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$

CHEBYSHEV

NODES $\Rightarrow T_n(x_j) = 0$ for $x_j = \cos\left(\frac{(2j+1)\pi}{2n}\right)$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, n$

GAUSS

LOBATTO $\Rightarrow T_n(x_j) = (-1)^j$ for $x_j = \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right)$

NODES

$j = 0, 1, \dots, n$

Chebyshev Nodes

Connection of Chebyshev nodes -

We want build $p(x)$ of degree $\leq n$ through $n+1$ Chebyshev nodes.

The zeros of $T_{n+1}(x)$ will give exactly $n+1$ points in $[-1, 1]$. These Chebyshev nodes will be zeros of $T_{n+1}(x)$.

Barycentric Lagrange Interpolation with Chebyshev Nodes

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n w_j f_j / |x - x_j|$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n w_j / |x - x_j|$$

where $w_j = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (x_j - x_i)}$ for $j = 0 : n$

For chebyshev nodes $[1]_n \cdot [-1, 1] = [-1, 1]$

$$x_j = \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right) \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

$$w_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (-1)^j & j = 0 \text{ or } j = n \\ (-1)^j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j f_j / (x - x_j)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n w_j (-1)^j / (x - x_j)$$

\sum means that terms $j=0$ and $j=n$

are multiplied by $1/2$:

NOTE - Barycentric interpolation formula remains valid for Chebyshev nodes $[a, b]$

Approximation

Let $C[a, b] := \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is cont.}\}$

For $f \in C[a, b]$, define

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max \{ |f(x)| \mid x \in [a, b]\}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|f\|_{\infty} = 0 \iff f = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \|\alpha f\|_{\infty} = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_{\infty}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \|f + g\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} + \|g\|_{\infty}$$

Weierstrass approx theorem :

Let $f \in C[a, b]$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a polynomial $p(x)$ such that $\|f - p\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$.

(or)

$$\max \{ |f(x) - p(x)| \mid x \in [a, b]\} \leq \epsilon$$

Question - Does $P_n(x)$ approx $f(x)$ for large enough n ? In other words, does $\|P_n - f\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

ANS - NO, for equispaced we saw $n \rightarrow \infty$ the error was ∞

Interpolation error -

Let $f \in C[a, b]$ and $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be distinct nodes in $[a, b]$, $f_j = f(x_j)$ for $j = 0 : n$.

(Lagrange polynomial -

$$p(x) = f_0 l_0(x) + f_1 l_1(x) + \dots + f_n l_n(x)$$

Define :

$$\begin{cases} \text{LEBESGUE FUNC} & \lambda_n(x) = |l_0(x)| + \dots + |l_n(x)| \\ \text{LEBESGUE CONST} & \Lambda_n = \|\lambda_n\|_\infty \end{cases}$$

Set :

$$E_n(f) = \min_{p \in P_n} \|f - p\|_\infty$$

$$\text{Proof 1} - |p_n(x)| \leq \Lambda_n \|f\|_\infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof} - |p_n(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^n f_j l_j(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n |f_j| |l_j(x)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \sum_{j=0}^n |l_j(x)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \lambda_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_n(x)\| &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|\lambda_n\|_\infty \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \Lambda_n \end{aligned}$$

$$|p_n(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \Lambda_n \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Point wise also} \\ \text{holds true} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Proof 2 - } \|f - P_n\|_{\infty} \leq (1 + \lambda_n) E_n(f)$$

Proof -

- Interpolation error is at most the best possible polynomial error multiplied by $1 + \lambda_n$. If λ_n is large → worse. Small $\lambda_n \rightarrow$ better.
- λ_n depends only on nodes not on f . So node choice matters.

FACT - for equispaced nodes, the Runge function $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+25x^2}$ and for chebyshev $\lambda_n \leq \frac{2 \log n}{\pi}$

ERROR TERM FOR SMOOTH FUNCTION -

Let $C^n[a, b]$ denote the set of n times continuously differentiable functions in $[a, b]$.

Theorem -

If $f \in C^n[a, b]$ and $p_n(x)$ be unique polynomial of degree at most n passing through $(x_0, f(x_0)), \dots, (x_n, f(x_n))$

$$f(x) - p_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\theta x)}{(n+1)!} \cdot w(x)$$

for some $\theta_x \in [x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ where x_{\min} and x_{\max} are the largest and the smallest nodes in $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x]$ and $w(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i)$

Proof -

$$\text{so, } |f(x) - P_n(x)| \leq \|f^{(n+1)}\|_{\infty} \cdot \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+1)!} |w(x)|$$

ERROR

Error is dependent on $w(x)$, so we want to minimize $|w(x)|$

Chebyshev's Thm -

Goal - Choose nodes x_0, \dots, x_n in $[a, b]$ that minimizes $\max_{x \in [a, b]} \prod_{j=0}^n |x - x_j|$

$$\min_{x_0, \dots, x_n} \max_{x \in [a, b]} \prod_{j=0}^n |x - x_j|$$

Thm - In $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$\min_{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n} \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} \prod_{j=0}^n |(x-x_j)| = 2^{-n}$$

and the minimum is attained when

$$w(x) = \prod_{j=0}^n (x-x_j) = 2^{-n} T_{n+1}(x)$$

Minimum is attained when x_0, \dots, x_n are Chebyshev nodes in $[-1, 1]$.

Hence,

$$|f(x) - p_n(x)| \leq \frac{\|f^{(n+1)}\|_\infty}{(n+1)!} 2^n$$