

Lab Session 9

MA-581 : Numerical Computations Lab

R. Alam

Date: October 25, 2025

Errors, pivot growth and ill-conditioning

Sensitivity and accuracy: Suppose that \hat{x} is a computed solution of $Ax = b$. Then it can be shown that $(A + E)\hat{x} = b$ for some E and the backward error of \hat{x} is given by

$$\eta(\hat{x}, A) := \|E\|_2 / \|A\|_2 = \frac{\|A\hat{x} - b\|_2}{\|A\|_2 \|\hat{x}\|_2}.$$

If $\eta(\hat{x}, A) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ then the algorithm is backward stable.

The sensitivity of the system is measured by $\text{cond}(A) := \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2$ which is called the condition number of A . By perturbation theory, we have

$$\frac{\|x - \hat{x}\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \lesssim \text{cond}(A) \eta(\hat{x}, A).$$

Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is either the 1-norm, ∞ -norm or the 2-norm and that x and \hat{x} are two vectors such that $\|x - \hat{x}\|/\|x\| \leq 0.5 \times 10^{-p}$. Then x and \hat{x} agree to p significant digits in the entries j which satisfy $|x_j| \simeq \|x\|$.

The purpose of this lab tutorial is to understand ill-conditioning of linear system, stability of an algorithm and their influence on the accuracy of computed solution.

1. Consider the Hilbert matrix H , where $H(i, j) := 1/(i + j - 1)$ (the MATLAB command `H = hilb(n)` generates H) and perform the following experiments.

- (a) Convince yourself that the condition number of H grows quickly with n . Try

```
>> C=[];  
>> N= 2:2:16;  
for n=N  
H=hilb(n); C=[C; cond(H)];  
end  
>> semilogy(N,C)
```

Can you guess an approximate relationship between $\text{cond}(H)$ and n based on this graph? The MATLAB `cond(H)` computes the 2-norm condition number of H . The-

oretically $\text{cond}(H) \approx \left(\frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^{4n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$. Plot (in a single plot) the theoretical value

of $\text{cond}(H)$ and $\text{cond}(H)$ computed by MATLAB. The condition number computed by MATLAB reaches the maximum when $n = 13$. The computed condition number does not continue to grow when $n > 13$. This can be explained as follows: Since H is positive definite (that is, $H = H^*$ and eigenvalues of H are all positive), $\text{cond}(H) = \lambda_{\max}(H)/\lambda_{\min}(H)$, where $\lambda_{\max}(H)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(H)$ are the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of H . It is a fact that $\lambda_{\max}(H) \rightarrow \pi$ and $\lambda_{\min}(H) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\text{cond}(H) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}(H)}{\lambda_{\min}(H)} \approx \frac{\pi}{\lambda_{\min}(H) + \text{eps}} \approx \frac{\pi}{\text{eps}}.$$

```

%%%%%%      Matlab program that implements growth of cond(H)
% generate Hilbert matrices and compute cond number with 2-norm

N=50; % maximum size of a matrix
condofH = []; % conditional number of Hilbert Matrix
N_it= zeros(1,N);

% compute the cond number of Hn
for n = 1:N
    Hn = hilb(n);
    N_it(n)=n;
    condofH = [condofH cond(Hn,2)];
end

% at this point we have a vector condofH that contains the condition
% number of the Hilber matrices from 1x1 to 50x50.
% plot on the same graph the theoretical growth line.

% Theoretical growth of condofH
x = 1:50;
y = (1+sqrt(2)).^(4*x)./(sqrt(x));

% plot
plot(N_it, log(y));
plot(N_it, log(condofH),'x', N_it,log(y));

% plot labels
plot(N_it, log(condofH),'x', N_it,log(y))
title('Conditional Number growth of Hilbert Matrix: Theoretical vs Matlab')
xlabel('N', 'fontsize', 16)
ylabel('log(cond(Hn))','fontsize', 16)
lgd = legend ('Location', 'northwest')
legend('MatLab', 'Theoretical')
legend('show')

%%%%%%      end of the program

```

- (b) The importance of condition number stems from the fact that if $\text{cond}(H) = 10^t$ then we may lose t digits in the computed solution of $Hx = b$. Examine this criterion by solving $Hx = b$. Here is how you can pick up the exact solution. Choose an arbitrary x and set $b := Hx$. Then x is the exact solution of $Hx = b$. The matrix H is SPD (symmetric positive definite). The matlab backslash $A \setminus b$ command uses Cholesky factorization to solve an SPD system. There is also a matlab command `invhilb` which computes H^{-1} in a special way. You can also use GEPP (Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting) to solve $Hx = b$. You may have to use `format`

```

long e to see more digits. Try
>> n=8;
>> H=hilb(n); HI = invhilb(n);
>> x= rand(n,1);
>> b =H*x;
>> x1 = H\b; % Call this is method1
>> x2 = HI*b; % Call this is method2

```

Compute backward error **eta**, condition number **cond** and the error **err** for method1 and method2 and display the result in the format [**eta cond err**].

Repeat for $n = 10$ and $n = 12$.

- * List the results corresponding to $n = 8, 10, 12$, and determine correct digits in **x1**, **x2**.
- * How many digits are lost in computing **x1** and **x2**? How does this correlate with the size of the condition number?
- * Which is better among **x1** and **x2** or isn't there much of a difference? Is it fair to say that the inaccuracy resulted from a poor algorithm?

2. Wilkinson's matrix is defined as follows: 1 on the diagonal, -1 everywhere below the main diagonal, 1 in the last column, and 0 everywhere else. Write a MATLAB function **W = Wilkinson(n)** that generates Wilkinson's matrix W of size n using MATLAB functions **eye**, **tril** and **ones**.

For $n = 32$, pick a random x and then compute $b := W * x$. Solve $Ax = b$ using MATLAB backslash command and compute the error $\|x - \hat{x}\|_{\infty} / \|x\|_{\infty}$ (type **help norm** for more info about computing norm). Does the size of the error confirm that GEPP is unstable for this system? Also compute $\text{cond}(A)$. Can the poor answer be attributed to ill-conditioning of the matrix W ? Repeat the test for $n = 64$.

3. Pivot growth of Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting (GEPP) is given by $PG(A) = \max_{ij} |U(i, j)| / \max_{ij} |A(i, j)|$, which influences the accuracy of computed solution. Use MATLAB function **[L, U, p] = lu(A)** for computing LU decomposition of a nonsingular matrix A and compute the pivot growth $\rho = PG(A)$.

[**Hint:** Given a matrix X , **max(X)** is a row vector containing the maximum element from each column.]

It is well known that the pivot growth factor for GEPP satisfies $PG(A) \leq 2^{n-1}$ which is attained by the Wilkinson matrix. Verify this graphically by doing the following:

First plot the graph of 2^{n-1} in log10 scale for $n = 10 : .5 : 505$ by setting **X = 2.^(n-1)** and then typing **semilogy(n,X,'r')**. Hold this plot by typing **hold on** and type the following sequence of commands (which assumes that the Wilkinson matrix of size n is generated by the function **W = Wilkinson(n)**).

```

n = 10:20:500;
m = length(n); G = zeros(m,1);
for i = 1:m
W = Wilkinson(n(i)); [L,U,p] = lu(W);

```

```

G(i) = max(max(abs(U)))/max(max(abs(W)));
end
semilogy(n,G,'b*')

```

The second plot should come in the form of blue dots that fall on the red curve produced by the earlier plot.

However, statistics suggest that for most practical examples, $PG(A) \leq n^{2/3}$ for GEPP. In fact, for random matrices, the average pivot growth for GEPP is $PG(A) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^{0.71}$. You can verify this fact for random matrices as follows.

```

N=500;
n=[10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100];
for j=1:length(n)
m=0;
for i=1:N
A=rand(n(j));
[L,U,P]=lu(A);
rho = max( max( abs(U)))/max( max( abs(A)));
m=m+rho;
end;
g(j)=m/N;
end;
plot(n,g,'--', n,0.25*n.^(0.71),'-');
legend('average growth factor', '0.25*n^{0.71}','Location','NorthWest')
xlabel('matrix size'),ylabel('growth factor \rho')

```

4. There is no strong correlation between pivot growth and the ill-conditioning of a matrix. This is illustrated by a Golub matrix. A Golub matrix A of size n is an ill-conditioned integer matrix whose LU factorization without pivoting fails to reveal that A is ill-conditioned. The matrix A is given by $A := LU$, where L unit lower triangular with random integer entries and U is unit upper triangular with random integer entries. The function `golub` given below generates a Golub matrix of size n :

```

function A = golub(n)
s = 10;
L = tril(round(s*randn(n)),-1)+eye(n);
U = triu(round(s*randn(n)),1)+eye(n);
A = L*U;

```

Compute LU factorization of A using your function `[L, U] = GENP(A)`. Also, compute the pivot growth $PG(A)$ and the condition number $\text{cond}(A) = \|A\|_{\infty}\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty}$ using MATLAB command `cond(A)`. If $\text{cond}(A)$ is large then the system $Ax = b$ is ill-conditioned and in such a case A is called ill-conditioned. Does $PG(A)$ reflect the ill-conditioning of A ?

*****End*****