

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.141 OF 2015**

Milind S. Oka .. Petitioner  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors .. Respondents

...

Mr.V.P. Patil for the petitioner.  
Mrs.M.P. Thakur, AGP for the State.

**CORAM: DR. MANJULA CHELLUR, CJ. &**  
**N.M. JAMDAR, J.**  
**DATED: 1<sup>st</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2017**

**P.C.:-**

1 Not on board. Taken on board on being mentioned.

2 The petitioner who is visually impaired seeks following direction in this PIL.

(a) To direct Respondent No.2 State of Maharashtra to follow Annexure – A by removing all proprietary software product names from the syllabi of MSA-CIT (Maharashtra State Advance Certificate in Information Technology)/**MS-CIT** (Maharashtra State Certificate in Information Technology), schools, colleges, universities and other Government recognized education institutions and other State Government offices and institutions, which is against the notification Annexure -A issued by the Respondent No.1 Union of India.

*Tilak*

3 We find that it would be appropriate if the issue is first looked into by the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra, who can take a decision whether it is feasible to accept the suggestions of the petitioner considering all aspects, including technical and administrative issues.

4 Registry shall send a copy of the PIL to the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra, who shall consider the same as the representation of the petitioner and take a necessary decision, if he finds that the suggestions of the petitioner are feasible.

5 Chief Secretary, if advised, may call the petitioner for a personal meeting to understand his suggestions.

6 PIL is disposed of accordingly.

(N.M. JAMDAR, J)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

*Tilak*