THE TWO-COLUMN SPECHT MODULE OF THE HECKE ALGEBRA OF S_n

MILES JOHNSON & NATALIE STEWART

1. Introduction

Let S_{2n} be the symmetric group on 2n indices, let $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_{k,q}(S_{2n})$ be the corresponding Hecke algebra with parameter p, and let $S = \{T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}\}$ be the simple transpositions generating \mathscr{H} . Let $V := S^{(2,\ldots,2)}$ be the Specht module corresponding to the young diagram with rows of length 2. The purpose of this writing is to characterize this representation via an isomorphism with another representation of \mathscr{H} .

Definition 1.1. A crossingless matching on 2n indices is a partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ into parts of size 2 such that no two parts "cross", i.e. there are no parts (a, a') and (b, b') such that a < b < a' < b'. Then, define W_{2n} to be the \mathbb{C} -vector space with basis the set of crossingless matchings on 2n indices.

In order for this to be a \mathcal{H} -module, endow this with the action given by Figure 1; if this creates a loop, simply scale by (1+q), and otherwise deform into a crossingless matching and scale by $q^{1/2}$.

Let the length of an arc (i,j) be l(i,j) := j - i + 1. Note that the crossingless matchings can all be identified with a list of n integers describing the lengths of the arcs from left to right; using this, we may order the crossingless matchings in increasing lexicographical order in order to obtain an order on the basis. Let C_n be the nth catalan number, and let the resulting basis for W_{2n} be $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{C_n}\}$ as illustrated in Figure 2.

We will prove that $W := W_{2n}$ is isomorphic to V as representations in the case that \mathscr{H} is semisimple. To do so, we will prove that W has an irreducible restriction to $S_{2n-1} \subset S_{2n}$; using the branching theorem, this implies that W is isomorphic to a Specht module corresponding to a rectangular young diagram.

We will move on to prove that these modules have unique dimension up to transposition of the diagram; then, we will show that $\dim V = \dim W$ so that W corresponds to an $n \times 2$ or $2 \times n$ diagram. We will then do a short character computation to prove that $V \cong W$.



Figure 1. Illustration of the actions $(1+T_4)w_3$ and $(1+T_2)w_3$ in W_6 . In general, we act on basis elements by simple transpositions by deleting loops, deforming into a crossingless matching, and scaling based on whether a loop was deleted.




Figure 2. The increasing lexicgraphical basis for W_6 .

2. Irreducibility

We can now begin by proving that $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathscr{H}_{k,p}(S_{2n-1})}^{\mathscr{H}_{k,p}(S_{2n-1})}W$ is irreducible; in particlar, this implies that W itself is irreducible, as an \mathscr{H} -subrepresentation is a $\mathscr{H}_{k,p}(S_{2n-1})$ -subrepresentation.

Proposition 2.1. Set $\mathcal{H}' := \mathcal{H}_{k,p}(S_{2n-2}) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Then, $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{H}'}^{\mathcal{H}}W$ is irreducible if q is generic or a primitive e 'th root of unity for e > n+1 or e=2.

Proof. We will prove the equivalent condition that each vector in $w \in W$ is cyclic, i.e. $\mathcal{H}'w = W$.

We will first prove that w_1 is cyclic, for which it is sufficient to prove that every basis vector of W is in Aw_1 . fix wome basis vector w_k , and suppose that it contains arc (1, j), Then, the vector

$$w' := (1 + T_2)(1 + T_4) \dots (1 + T_{j-2})w_1$$

contains an arc (1, j) and all other arcs are of the form (a, a + 1) for some a. We may separately act on the subset of arcs with 1 < a < j and with a > j; this process gave our base case of W_4 , and allows us to recurse to W_{2m} with m < n, outlining explicit vectors $h \in \mathscr{H}'$ with $hw_1 = w_i$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that w_1 is generated by every $w \neq 0 \in W$.

Since the image of $(1+T_i)$ has arc (i,i+1), it is isomorphic as a vector space to W_{2n} with 2n-2 vertices. This isomorphism carries w_1 to an equivalent vector w'_1 in W_{2n-2} containing all length-2 arcs. Further, all actions of $(1+T'_j) \in \mathcal{H}_{k,p}(S_{2n-4})$ act identically (through the isomorphism) with simple transpositions other than $1+T'_i$, which acts equivalently to $q^{-1}(1+T_i)(1+T_{i+1})(1+T_{i-1})$ as illustrated in Figure 3; hence, if the image of $(1+T_i)w$ in W_{2n-2} generates an ideal containing w'_1 , then $(1+T_i)w$ generates an ideal containing w_1 , and hence w is cyclic.

We are now ready to make the central claim in our proof:

Claim. Suppose n > 1. Then, the intersection $K := \bigcap_{i=1}^{2n-2} \ker(1+T_i)$ is trivial if and only if $e \neq n+1$.

This claim is necessary for irreducibility, as K is a proper subrepresentation of W.

Suppose this claim is true. We will use induction on n to prove irreducibility; the base case n=1 is clear, so suppose W_{2n-2} is irreducible for all $e \ge n$ or e=2, and pick some $w \in W_{2n}$. Then, pick some $1+T_i$ such that $(1+T_i)w \ne 0$, and pick some action $h' \in W_{2n-2}$ which takes the image of $(1+T_i)w$ in W_{2n-2} to w'_1 ; this pulls back to an action $h \in W_{2n}$ such that $h(1+T_i)w = w_1$, so W_{2n} is irreducible.

¹This ismorphism "ignores" the arc (i, i + 1).




Figure 3. The correspondence between the action of $(1 + T_2)$ on $w'_5 \in W_6$ and the action of $q^{-1}(1 + T_3)(1 + T_4)(1 + T_2)$ on the corresponding vector in W_8 having arc (3,4) first, then on $w'_2 \in W_4$. This demonstrates that the action works with and without creating a loop.

Suppose \mathcal{H} is semisimple; then W is a Specht module corresponding to a rectangular region. We may now use dimension, for which one should note that there is an easy bijection between standard tableaus on $n = 2 + \cdots + 2$ and the Dyck paths on 2n points by specifying that the number in the (i,1) box of the tableau is the index of the ith "up" path. Hence $V_{(2,...,2)}$ has dimension the nth catalan number C_n .

Similarly, we may biject the Dyck paths on 2n points with the crossingless matchings, by making the value at point i the number of "open crossings" at that point, i.e. the number of arcs (a, b) with $a \le i < b$. Hence V and W have the same dimension. This pins the shape of the diagram corresponding to W as follows.

Proposition 2.2. Let V_1 and V_2 be two specht modules corresponding to $a_1 \times b_1$ and $a_2 \times b_2$ rectangular young diagrams. Then, $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2$ if and only if the diagram of V_1 is the same as or a transposition of the diagram of V_2 .

Proof. Recall that, if they have the same diagram then $V_1 \cong V_2$, and if they're transposed from each other, $V_1 \cong V_2 \otimes U$ where U is the alternating representation; hence $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 \cdot \dim U = \dim V_2$.

Suppose WLOG that $a_1 < a_2 < b_2 < b_1$. By the hook-length formula, it is sufficient to give a bijective correspondence between the boxes in V_2 and V_1 such that the hook-length in V_2 is larger than the hool-length in V_1 , and at least once strictly larger. We can give this correspondence by listing the boxes beginning at the bottom right corner, then increasing up left-to-right diagonals, and note that this satisfies our conditions. \square

Let $V' := V_{(n,n)}$. Then, W is isomorphic to exactly one of V and V'. It is hence sufficient to prove that W is not isomorphic to V', and we may do this via a character computation.