Université de Montréal
Faculté des arts et des sciences
Département d'**informatique et de recherche opérationnelle**

Applying further model compression to TinyBERT

Gao Yinghan, Wei Wei, Frederic Boileau

February 5, 2021

Transformer Vaswani et al [1] introduced a revolutionnary architecture for machine translation in 2017, the transformer. The idea was based on the idea of an encoder and decoder for transduction as for the state of the art predecessors relying on deep bidirectionnal RNNs for both the encoding and the decoding stages. The authors of the transformer paper, "Attention is all you need" based their architecture's sequence modeling solely on an attention mechanism which enables it to model the long range dependencies in a sentence without the inherently sequential constraint which RNNs in their various forms imply. The massive parallelization enabled massive improvements in training time to achieve state of the art results in machine translation amongst other NLP tasks. Attention in its more general form is most succintly put by Vaswani et al in their paper: "An attention function can be described as mapping a query and a set of key-value pairs to an output, where the query, keys, values, and output are all vectors. The output is computed as a weighted sum of the values, where the weight assign" [1]

More formally, the key equation is:

$$\text{Attention}(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V}) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathrm{QK}^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{d_k}}}\right) \tag{1}$$

where d_k is the dimensionnality of the queries and keys. The motivation for this scaling is "We suspect that for large values of d_k, the dot products grow large in magnitude, pushing the softmax function into regions where it has extremely small gradients" [1]

Pretrained Language Models Bidirectional Encoder Representation from (BERT)[2] is an architecture based on the encoder module of the transformer. Its training is done in two steps, first it learns a Language Model (LM) which results in a Pretrained Language Model (PLM) through some unsupervised learning tasks. The training procedure is done over two tasks: Masked Language Model (MLM) and Next Sentence Prediction (NSP). The MLM task simply trains the model to learn to predict randomly masked words from a sentence. In NSP, BERT learns to predict, given a pair of sentences, whether one follows the other. When trained on those tasks the BERT yields a PLM. The resulting model can then be fine-tuned in a supervised setting for a specific tasks such as question answering. This ability to train once over a huge corpus of data to yield a LM and then fine tune it downstream adresses one core issue of deep learning: how to transfer learning or knowledge? BERT is just one of many examples of large PLM deployed today. The adjoined table lists the models and their associated number of parameters.

Knowledge Distillation Knowledge Distillation (KD) addresse the following issue, how can we leverage the state of the art (SOA) results given by large PLMs to do inference in a context where memory and computing power are limited. One avenue would be to use one of the large PLMs to "teach" a smaller model (the student) which we can deploy in more ressource limited environments. Hinton, though not specifically with respect to PLMs, argued in 2015[3] that one conceptual roadblock to knowledge transfer or "distillation" had been the rigid identification of a model with the learned parameter values instead of the more abstract view of a "learned mapping from input vectors to output vectors"[3]. The way to do this according to Hinton et al is to make the student learn through an objective function which reflects the generalization ability learned in the teacher model. To achieve this he proposes using an objective function which averages over the soft target (the output probabilities of the teacher where the logits are divided by temperature factor to adjust the smoothness of those targets) and the ground truth.

Application of KD The idea of KD is a fertile one and has been applied to BERT to train a model called TinyBERT[4]. In this paper the authors "introduce a new two-stage learning framework for TinyBERT, which per- forms Transformer distillation at both the pre-training and task-specific learning stages." [4] This enables the model to learn both general LM features and more downstream tasks. They also propose three types of loss functions which learn from different parameters of the teacher, namely the output of the embedding layer, the hidden states and attention matrices and the logits output by the prediction layer. In choosing to learn direclty from the attention matrices the authors are inspired by the work of Clark et al. (2019)[5] which shows that the former can "substantial linguistic knowledge" [4]. With those aforementionned methods tinyBERT "while being 7.5x smaller and 9.4x faster on inference." [4]

Further model compression In the conclusion of their paper on tinyBERT the authors mention that combining their distillation strategy with further model compression could be an interesting direction. They especially mention **weight pruning** and **quantization** as promising avenues. However the literature on model compression is rich and the excellent survey by Gupta and Agarwal (2020) [6] mentions parameter sharing and tensor decomposition as well as interesting model compression paradigms. Their taxonomy is rich and for a bird's eye view we refer the reader to the figure1 they supply with their paper. Even within each of these four paradigms

- Pruning
- Quantization
- Parameter Sharing
- Tensor Decomposition

Many sub-paradigms of those four are described in Gupta and Agarwal (2020)

Proposal In light of the previous discussion we propose to experiment with different paradigms of model compression on top of the KD based one implemented by TinyBERT. We still have yet to pick the most promising avenue for further investigations, which is the next step in our project.

Evaluation We will evaluate our models on two general criteria, performance on standardized tasks and memory and computational ressources required for training and inference at deployment. The two framworks for evaluating performance we are considering are listed below with reference.

- Squad[7]
- GLUE[8]

References

[1] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *CoRR*, abs/1706.03762, 2017.

[2] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *CoRR*, abs/1810.04805, 2018.

[3] Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network, 2015.

[4] Xiaoqi Jiao, Yichun Yin, Lifeng Shang, Xin Jiang, Xiao Chen, Linlin Li, Fang Wang, and Qun Liu. Tinybert: Distilling BERT for natural language understanding. *CoRR*, abs/1909.10351, 2019.

[5] Kevin Clark, Urvashi Khandelwal, Omer Levy, and Christopher D. Manning. What does BERT look at? an analysis of bert's attention. *CoRR*, abs/1906.04341, 2019.

[6] Manish Gupta and Puneet Agrawal. Compression of deep learning models for text: A survey, 2020.

[7] Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. Know what you don't know: Unanswerable questions for squad. *CoRR*, abs/1806.03822, 2018.

[8] Rowan Zellers, Yonatan Bisk, Roy Schwartz, and Yejin Choi. SWAG: A large-scale adversarial dataset for grounded commonsense inference. *CoRR*, abs/1808.05326, 2018.

Appendix

Architecture	Number of parameters
BERT	340M
GPT-2	1.5B
MegatronLM	8.3B
T5	11B
T-NLG	17B
GShard	600B

Table 1: PLMs and their sizes[6]



Figure 1: Model Compression Taxonomy[6]