

CS410 Final Exam

Russell Miller

December 9, 2011

1. Consider building a network in the following way. Given n machines, insert an edge between any pair of machines with probability $p = c/n$ for some $c \leq n$. For what value of c is the expected number of edges $(n-1)$? For this c , give the best upper bound you can on the probability that there are at least $2(n-1)$ edges in the network.

Let us define a random variable X to represent the total number of edges. Let us also consider an individual edge between two machines. Let X_i be an indicator random variable that is 1 if and only if there is an edge between those two machines. Then X will be a binomial random variable that is equal to the sum of these indicators.

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$$

where m is the total number of combinations of 2 machines. We can see that $m = \binom{n}{2}$. Now we will find the expected number of edges $E[X]$.

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{2}} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{2}} E[X_i] = \binom{n}{2} p$$

We're told that $p = c/n$.

$$E[X] = \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{c}{n}\right) = \frac{c(n-1)}{2}$$

In order to find c , let us assume that $E[X] = n-1$ and solve for c .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c(n-1)}{2} &= n-1 \\ c(n-1) &= 2(n-1) \\ c &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Let's get the variance of X in attempt to find a good bound. The variance of a Binomial random variable with parameters n and p is $np(1-p)$, but $X \sim B(\binom{n}{2}, 2/n)$.

$$Var[X] = \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\right) = (n-1) \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)\right) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}$$

We now have a Chebyshev bound.

$$Pr(|X - E[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{Var[X]}{a^2}$$

We need to find a , and we're bounding the condition of $X \geq 2E[X]$. If $X = 2E[X]$, then $X - E[X] = E[X] = a$.

$$Pr(|X - E[X]| \geq E[X]) \leq \frac{Var[X]}{(E[X])^2} = \frac{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}}{(n-1)^2} = \frac{n-2}{n(n-1)}$$

2. Consider a graph $G = (V, E)$ with the following properties: there exists a partitioning of the vertex set V into subsets V_1 and V_2 of sizes r and s (respectively); for all $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$ there is an edge between x and y ; there are no edges between vertices in V_1 , and no edges between vertices in V_2 . Such a graph is denoted $K_{r,s}$ and is called a complete bipartite graph (with vertex partitioning into sets of size r and s .) Clearly the number of edges in $K_{r,s}$ is rs .

Prove that there is a two-coloring of edges of $K_{r,s}$ with at most

$$\binom{r}{a} \binom{s}{b} 2^{1-ab}$$

monochromatic $K_{a,b}$ as subgraphs.

First we should determine how many $K_{a,b}$ there are in $K_{r,s}$. This is $n = \binom{r}{a} \binom{s}{b}$. Next we will let S_i be bernoulli random variables that are 1 if and only if K_i is monochromatic. We have the following.

$$Pr(S_1 \neq 1 \cap S_1 \neq 1 \cap \dots \cap S_n \neq 1)$$

Which can be rewritten as

$$1 - Pr(S_1 = 1 \cup S_1 = 1 \cup \dots \cup S_n = 1)$$

Or

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^n Pr(\mu = 1)$$

Alright, I was trying to follow along with what seemed like similar exercises in my notes and I'm going to be completely honest, I have no idea how to prove anything about coloring graphs. I drew a $K_{2,3}$ graph and couldn't 2-color it by hand and I have no idea how to show that it's possible. Maybe it's not. I'm sure there's some trick here that I'm just not seeing. I don't know what else to try here.

3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m be independent and identically distributed indicator random variables, and let $\mu = E[X_i]$ for all i . If we want

$$\Pr \left(\left| \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \right) - \mu \right| \geq \varepsilon \mu \right) \leq \delta$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, how many indicators m do we require? (Hint: think Chernoff, and derive a bound on m that is a function of ε, δ, μ .)

Using Corollary 4.6 from Mitzenmacher and Upfal, we can rearrange this to be

$$\Pr \left(\left| \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \right) - \varepsilon \mu \right| \geq \delta \right) \leq 2e^{-\mu \varepsilon^2 / 3}$$

The portion related to m here, $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$, can be thought of as $\frac{\mu}{m}$ because as m approaches the size of the population μ is based on, this value approaches μ . So ε is directly related to m in the following way.

$$m \leq \frac{\delta}{\frac{1}{m} - \varepsilon}$$

Professor Shrimpton, I am aware this is probably the worst answer you'll see for this question. I'd say I've been pretty much lost for the last couple weeks. I don't know how to "derive a Chernoff bound." I tried and tried to figure it out, and I don't see a connection between the derivations we do in class and the ones you're asking us to do. Sorry.

4. The following approach is often called *reservoir sampling*. Suppose we have a sequence of items passing by one at a time. We want to maintain a sample of one item with the property this it is uniformly distributed over all the items that we have seen at each step. Moreover, we want to accomplish this without knowing the total number of items in advance or storing all of the items that we see.

Consider the following algorithm, which stores just one item in memory at all times. When the first item appears, it is stored in the memory. When the k th item appears, it replaces the item in memory with the probability $1/k$. Explain why this algorithm solves the problem.