

CS457 Functional Programming

Mark Jones Winter 2012

Homework 8

Russell Miller

March 6, 2012

QUESTION 1

Prove the following law holds for all f , e , and g .

$$\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g = \text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e$$

I'm going to rewrite this law using a variable xs to represent the list argument for each side. We'll say that this law is $P(xs)$.

$$P(xs) = (\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ xs = (\text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e) \ xs$$

Similar to the proof we did in class, we will need to prove 3 cases: $P([])$, $P(\perp)$, and $P(xs) \Rightarrow P(x:xs)$, where \perp is execution that does not terminate properly.

First we need the definition of `foldr`:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{foldr } f \ z \ [] &= z && (\text{foldr}.0) \\ \text{foldr } f \ z \ (x:xs) &= f \ x \ (\text{foldr } f \ z \ xs) && (\text{foldr}.1) \end{aligned}$$

(found in the Prelude using Hugs's `:f` command.)

The definition of `map` we defined in class.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } f \ [] &= [] && (\text{map}.0) \\ \text{map } f \ (x:xs) &= f \ x : \text{map } f \ xs && (\text{map}.1) \end{aligned}$$

Great! `foldr` and `map` are defined for `[]` and `x:xs`. Now we need to come up with laws about `map` and `foldr` for the case of \perp .

In class we talked about `map f ⊥`.

$$\text{map } f \ \perp = \perp \ (\text{map}.\perp)$$

By looking at the definition of `foldr`, it is clear that it will work the same. It does something to each element of a list, and recursively works through the list the same way `map` does just that. Thus:

$$\text{foldr } f \ z \ \perp = \perp$$

(`foldr.⊥`)

Now we're ready to prove the property for the 3 cases talked about earlier.

$P([])$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ [] &= \text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e \ [] \\ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ [] &= e && \{\text{by foldr}.0\} \\ \text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ []) &= e && \{\text{definition of } .\} \\ \text{foldr } f \ e \ [] &= e && \{\text{by map}.0\} \\ e &= e && \{\text{by foldr}.0\} \end{aligned}$$

P(\perp):

$(\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ \perp = \text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e \ \perp$	
$(\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ \perp = \perp$	{by foldr. \perp }
$\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ \perp) = \perp$	{definition of \cdot }
$\text{foldr } f \ e \ \perp = \perp$	{by foldr. \perp }
$\perp = \perp$	{by foldr. \perp }

P(xs) \Rightarrow P(x:xs):

$(\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ (x:xs)$	$= \text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e \ (x:xs)$	
$(\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ (x:xs)$	$= (f \ . \ g) \ x \ (\text{foldr } (f \ . \ g) \ e \ xs)$	{by foldr.1}
$(\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ (x:xs)$	$= (f \ . \ g) \ x \ ((\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ xs)$	{induction, P(xs)}
$\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ (x:xs))$	$= (f \ . \ g) \ x \ ((\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ xs)$	{definition of \cdot }
$\text{foldr } f \ e \ (g \ x : \text{map } g \ xs)$	$= (f \ . \ g) \ x \ ((\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ xs)$	{by map.1}
$f \ (g \ x) \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ xs))$	$= (f \ . \ g) \ x \ ((\text{foldr } f \ e \ . \ \text{map } g) \ xs)$	{by foldr.1}
$f \ (g \ x) \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ xs))$	$= f \ (g \ x) \ (\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{map } g \ xs))$	{definition of \cdot }

■