Enhancing security in industrial control systems through programmable kernel-level microsegmentation

UniGe - Computer Science
Software Security and Engineering
Milo Galli
Advisor - Enrico Russo, Giacomo Longo
Examiner - Giovanni Lagorio



Outline

- OT systems overview
- Analysis of the problem
- Analysis of the solution’s costraints
- Analysis of the solution and its performance

Enhancing security in industrial control systems through programmable kernel-level microsegmentation

Operational Technology (OT) systems

“...OT systems are hardware and software solutions that monitor and control physical devices, processes, and infrastructure in industrial environments...”

Operational Technology (OT) systems



Machine Industry



Automation Systems

Transportation Systems

Maritime OT systems

Legacy Systems

Critical Assets

Standardized Protocols

By design
internal network communication
is unrestricted, unfiltered
and omnidirectional

Unrestricted

Everyone can send
any kind of message

Omnidirectional

Everyone speaks
to everybody

Unfiltered

Everyone can receive
any kind of message


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
Layer 3 Switch


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
A
B
C
A
B
C
A
B
C
A
B
C
Layer 3 Switch


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
A
A
B
C
B
A
B
C
C
A
B
C
Layer 3 Switch


Compass
Compass
ECDIS
A
A*
C
A*
A*
C
C
A*
C
Layer 3 Switch

Enhancing security in industrial control systems

through programmable kernel-level microsegmentation

The Solution’s Costraints

Transparency and Negligible Overhead

Our solution

An Application Layer Switch that leverages Kernel Level technologies to handle network traffic

Software Defined Networking

Using a software solution
to solve an hardware problem


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
Layer 3 Switch


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
Layer 7 Switch

The Solution’s Costraints

Transparency and Negligible Overhead

No changes to the system’s behaviour
No need for new communication standards
No need for additional hardware/software solutions

$$0 < \eta < 1$$

Failure Probability
of a System’s Component


Compass
η_1
Radar
η_2
ecdis
η_3
Layer 7 Switch
η_4

$$P(\text{System Works}) = (1-\eta_1)(1-\eta_2)\cdots(1-\eta_n)$$

$$P(\text{System Fails}) = 1 - P(\text{System Works})$$

The Solution’s Costraints

Transparency and Negligible Overhead


User Space
Application
Linux
Network
Stack
Kernel Space
Network Interface

Extended Berkeley Packet Filter (EBPF)
and
the new Address Family Express Data Path (AF_XDP)
for fast network packet processing


Application
User Space
AF_XDP
Linux
Network
Stack
Kernel Space
Network Interface

The implementation



Linux

EBPF



Rust


User Space
L7 Switch
Kernel Space
AF_XDP SOCKET
Network Interface



NMEA

National Marine Electronics Association

$GPAAM,A,A,0.10,N,WPTNME*32

$SDDBT,7.8,f,2.4,M,1.3,F*0D

$GPDTM,W84,C*52



Policy_0

```
{
  name = "compass",
  iface = "compass_nic",
  mac = "54:00:00:00:00:10",
  ip = "10.42.0.10",
  sends = ["$IIHDT"],
  receives = []
},
{
  name = “gps”,
  ...
```


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
Layer 7 Switch


Compass
Radar
ECDIS
Layer 7 Switch


Compass
Compass
ECDIS
Layer 7 Switch


Compass
Compass
ecdis
can the radar
send this message?


Compass
Compass
ecdis
No!


Compass
Radar
ecdis
can the radar
send this message
to this component?


Compass
Radar
ecdis
NO!

Before

Internal network
communication
is unrestricted, unfiltered
and omnidirectional

After

Internal network
communication
is restricted, filtered,
information flow
is directable

Before

Internal network
communication
is unrestricted, unfiltered
and omnidirectional

After

Internal network
communication
is restricted, filtered,
information flow
is directable
and modular

Does it work?

Testing the
software correctness
is trivial... what about performance?

Linux Network Stack Baseline

Standard datagram size of

1460 B

Bitrate of

1000 Mbit/s

Testing in Linux Network Namespaces

mean over 500 runs per role

Role	Bitrate [Mbit/s]	Datagram Size [B]
SENDER	**995**	**1460 (Standard UDP)**
RECEIVER	**995**	**1460 (Standard UDP)**
SENDER	**4793**	**8972 (Jumbo Frames)**
RECEIVER	**4793**	**8972 (Jumbo Frames)**

Recap

Analyzed OT Systems’ vulnerabilities

Checked the solution’s costraints

Visualized the solution’s implementation

Tested the solution’s validity and performance

Does it work?

Does it work?
Yes!

Questions?