Discover User-App Interactions & Solutions to Reducing the Initial User-CPU Latency

Thy Nguyen   Milon Chakkalakal   Pranav Thaenraj

Advisors: Jamel Tayeb, Bijan Arbab, Sruti Sahani, Oumaima Makhlouk, Praveen Polasam, Chansik Im

Abstract

- **Data loading** icons signal an unpleasant *user-wait experience*
- To mitigate the initial latency, we analyze user-app interaction data collected by *Intel's Telemetry*, make predictions on said data using *EDA, HMM, & LSTM/RNN*, then propose solutions

Methodology of Data Collection

- We apply *Intel® Software Development Kit* and *System Usage Report* framework to anonymously gather data usage from multiple devices
- We develop 4 input libraries, esp. *Foreground Window IL*, using **C** and *Event-Driven* programming knowledge


Exploratory Data Analysis

Chrome is the top frequently-used app in 01/2023

Methodology of Predictive Tasks

Hidden Markov Model (HMM)

- **Problem Statement**: Predict the likelihood of using an app *given* the former sequence of application usage
- **Basic Idea**: Utilize conditional probability $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$
- **A1 Markov Chain**: Only the <u>current</u> state q_{i-1} plays the most crucial role in predicting the future in the sequence
$$P(q_i = a | q_1 q_2 ... q_{i-1}) = P(q_i = a | q_{i-1})$$
- **A2 Output Independence**: The probability of observing an event o_i only relies on the state q_i that <u>directly</u> produced o_i
$$P(o_i | q_1, ... q_i, ..., q_T, o_1, ..., o_i, ..., \overline{o_T}) = P(o_i | q_i)$$

- **Metrics**: Preds==True if within top n probabilities of the app

### Recurrent Neural Network (LSTM/RNN)

- **Problem Statement**: Predict the (total) time usage of an app/tab/recorded process using the past *time-series* data


- **Feature Engineering**:
  1. Hourly split daily usage into 24 cols (labeled 0 - 23)
  2. Lookback 3-5 time steps from the current timestamp
  3. One-hot-encoding (process names); Min-Max scaler
- **Experiments**: Train/Test: 80/20, no shuffle; Keras
- **Metrics**: TP/TN/FP/FN; Preds==True if w/in 1 sec of real vals

Predictive Results

HMM Performance on Chrome

Transition Matrix Performance
Accuracy vs n
for user 1 and user 2

Emission Matrix Result
P(action | chrome.exe)
for user 1

LSTM Performance on Chrome

Bidirectional LSTM (128 N) - lookback (5 timesteps)
55% preds are within 1s of ground truth

Other Models	Design (N=nodes)	Eval Bins	Performance
Vanilla LSTM > Split Hourly > OH(Process Names)	Input RNN (64N) Hidden Dense (4N) Output Dense (1N)	[0, 0.01] (0.01, 0.02] (0.02, 0.2] (0.2, max]	TP = 691, TN = 0 FP = 65, FN = 0 ACC ≈ 91.4%
Stacked LSTM > Split Hourly > OH(Process Names)	Input LSTM (16N) Hidden LSTM (32N) Hidden Dense (64N) Output Dense (1N)	[0, 0.01] (0.01, 0.02] (0.02, 0.2] (0.2, max]	TP = 467, TN = 52 FP = 13, FN = 224 ACC ≈ 68.65%

Conclusions

- We should collect data *continuously* and *consistently* to achieve high accuracies in detecting patterns of user behaviors
- We wish to incorporate data collected using *Desktop Mapper IL* and check if it helps improve our predictions
- The analysis/predictive results allow the inference of daily app sequence and time usage
- We can develop a script to process background tasks and utilize *Scheduler* to open the next app 2-3 mins beforehand