Case Study for the Senior Data Engineer Role

Modernizing Metadata Pipelines for Scilit

Scenario

Scilit.net is a metadata aggregator operated by MDPI, serving as the data backbone for several internally developed AI applications. It sources metadata from a variety of external providers, including CrossRef, PubMed, and ORCID.

Currently, the system lacks proper data engineering foundations. It relies on a PHP-based backend with cron jobs to handle ingestion tasks. Metadata is stored in a MySQL database, raw data files are placed on CEPH storage, and analytical workloads are inconsistently computed from both MySQL and Apache Solr indexes.

To improve reliability and scalability, analytics workloads are being moved to a modern data warehouse maintained by MDPI's AI / Technology Innovation team. This team uses Python, Polars, Parquet, PostgreSQL, dbt, DuckDB, and Airflow. The Data Architect has decided to align Scilit on this technology stack. However, due to existing dependencies on the Scilit MySQL data model, the data model in Scilit will only be minimally changed. Instead, the focus of refactors are data laking, pipelines, proper monitoring and orchestration, and improving data lineage.

You are brought in as a Senior Data Engineer to help rebuild core ingestion and processing pipelines, support a more maintainable and testable development workflow, and improve data quality and observability for Scilit.

Your Task

This is a hands-on case study that you should prepare in advance. You can grab sample metadata files (JSON) from the CrossRef API as indicated below, and sample output requirements. We will review your deliverables together in the interview session via a walk-through (ca. 15 minutes), where you can demonstrate what you have built. Interviewers may ask questions to confirm your expertise and sound data handling practices.

Dataset: you can grab 200 items or more from the public CrossRef API via https://api.crossref.org/works?sort=published&order=desc&rows=200

What to deliver (you may want to prepare within 1–2 days beforehand) – please send us the link to a public GitHub.com repository before / by the time of the interview:

- 1. A high-level data model based on the CrossRef data model but suitable for our downstream analytical tasks.
 - A data ingestion pipeline prototype, built with Python
 - Sample code that parses and ingests raw metadata into a staging format (e.g., normalized tables in MySQL/PostgreSQL or Parquet)

- Optional: transformation logic that resolves simple data issues (e.g., inconsistent IDs, missing fields)
- Optional: Setup a standalone MinIO S3 as a Docker container and save the raw data in this container for further usage with dbt.
- 2. Data transformation models
 - Cleanup transformations using a Python dataframe library or in SQL using dbt-DuckDB
 - Example: shape the staged metadata into useful outputs (e.g., a clean table of publications with authors, source, and dates or timestamps)
 - Include some logic for schema tests, data quality checks, or freshness indicators
- 3. Downstream data usage
 - Based on ingested and processed data, create 2-3 processing queries for analytics
 - Alternatively, you could build an ingestion of the processed data in an OLTP database of your choice.
- 4. A brief 15-minutes walkthrough during the interview (you do not need to prepare a slide deck for this, please do a walkthrough of your code with the interview participants)
 - Outline your choices, what assumptions you made, how your code is structured, and how it could be extended into production

Here is a repository that you can use as a template and already includes a rough framework: https://github.com/MDPI-AG/case-study-data-engineering -- please *do not fork* the repo (as your code will be visible to others) but use the "Use this template" feature in GitHub.

Evaluation Criteria

Candidates will be assessed on:

- Effective use of tools (e.g., dbt, SQL, Python libraries)
- Logical data modeling and transformation
- Data quality practices (e.g., testing, validation, observability)
- · Clarity in communicating technical trade-offs
- Code quality, modularity, and reusability [we are aware of the time pressure this will be regarded as a "nice to have"]

Bonus points for ©

- Dockerization of the project
- Efficiency of the tools used
- Documentation of the repository and ease of reproducibility
- Documentation and data quality checks of the transformation pipelines
- Code / SQL linted
- Data ingestion is orchestrated and scheduled