SUBJECT REDUCTION FOR PURE TYPE SYSTEMS

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. Following [SU06], we give a detailed proof of subject reduction for arbitrary pure type systems, which abstract many of the basic constructs found in, say, the simply-typed λ -calculus ($\lambda \rightarrow$), the polymorphic λ -calculus ($\lambda 2$), the λ -calculus with type constructors ($\lambda \omega$), and the λ -calculus with dependent types (λP).

Introduction. Subject reduction is a crucial property of a type system that guarantees its 'computational consistency' by ensuring that reductions of a well-typed expression remains well-typed, and which supports the slogan that 'well-typed programs do not go wrong'. It is thus desirable that we can prove it uniformly across many different type systems, and this is the goal of the present note.

To this end, we start from the beginning¹ with the simply-typed λ -calculus λ_{\rightarrow} , in which we prove subject reduction. We then progress to more complicated type systems (in particular, $\lambda 2$, $\lambda \underline{\omega}$, and $\lambda \mathbf{P}$) to illustrate some concepts not present in λ_{\rightarrow} , and along the way, we also mention the λ -cube to provide some motivation for pure type systems, which abstract the constructs in all of the previous systems. Finally, we prove subject reduction for pure type systems. We will not discuss any of these systems in length, but refer the interested reader to [SU06] for general type theory and [Bar91] for actual applications of pure type systems.

1. The Simply-typed λ -calculus: $\lambda \rightarrow$

Throughout, fix countably infinite sets V_p and V_t , whose elements we call propositional variables and type variables, respectively. Since this is the most basic (typed) λ -calculus, we will be very formal here.

Definition 1.1. A simple type is a propositional formula in the language $\{\rightarrow\}$; i.e., defined by the grammar $T := V_t \mid T \rightarrow T$. We generally use the letters $\tau, \sigma, \ldots \in T$ for types.

Definition 1.2. A λ -term is defined by the grammar $\Phi := V_p \mid \Phi \Phi \mid \lambda V_p : T.\Phi$. We generally use the letters $M, N, \ldots \in \Phi$ to denote λ -terms.

Definition 1.3. A judgement is a pair $(M, \tau) \in \Phi \times T$, denoted $M : \tau$.

Remark 1.4. We always consider λ -terms under α -conversion. Basically, we can freely change the bound variable x in λx without modifying the term, but see [SU06, Section 1.2] for the formal definition.

Definition 1.5. A context is a finite set of pairs $\Gamma := \{x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\}$, where $x_i \in V_p$ are pairwise-distinct and each $\tau_i \in T$; that is, $\Gamma : V_p \rightharpoonup T$ is a partial function, so we write $\Gamma(x) = \tau$ for $(x : \tau) \in \Gamma$ and we let

$$\operatorname{dom} \Gamma := \left\{ x \in V_p : \Gamma(x) = \tau \text{ for some } \tau \in T \right\} \quad \text{ and } \quad \operatorname{im} \Gamma := \left\{ \tau \in T : \Gamma(x) = \tau \text{ for some } x \in V_p \right\}.$$

Definition 1.6. Fix a context Γ . We say that a judgement $M:\tau$ is λ_{\rightarrow} -derivable from Γ , and write $\Gamma \vdash M:\tau$, if there is a finite tree of judgements rooted at $M:\tau$, whose leaves are instances of INIT, and such that the children of each internal node is obtained from the rules ABS or APP read bottom-up.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \colon \sigma \vdash M \colon \tau}{\Gamma, x \colon \tau \vdash x \colon \tau} \text{ Init } \quad \frac{\Gamma, x \colon \sigma \vdash M \colon \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x \colon \sigma.M) \colon \sigma \to \tau} \text{ Abs } \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M \colon \sigma \to \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N \colon \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (M \: N) \colon \tau} \text{ App }$$

The rules Init and Abs can only be applied when $x \notin \text{dom }\Gamma$.

Example 1.7. We have $\vdash (\lambda x : \tau.x) : (\tau \to \tau); \vdash (\lambda x : \tau.(\lambda y : \sigma.x)) : (\tau \to \sigma \to \tau); \text{ and } x : \tau \vdash (\lambda y : \sigma.x) : (\sigma \to \tau).$

Lemma 1.8 (Generation Lemma). Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$.

Date: April 12, 2025.

Notes for a project for Comp527: Logic and Computation taught by Professor Brigitte Pientka, with Charlotte Marchal, Dashiell Rich and Milton Rosenbaum.

¹As Professor Pientka would say: 'We'll start slow'.

- (1) If M = x, then $\Gamma(x) = \tau$.
- (2) If M = PQ, then $\Gamma \vdash P : \sigma \to \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in T$.
- (3) If $M = \lambda x : \sigma . N$ and $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$, then $\tau = \sigma \to \rho$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$ for some $\rho \in T$.

Proof. Since the root of the derivation tree for $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ determines the shape of M, we see that (1) follows from INIT and (2) follows from APP. We defer the proof of (3) until after Lemma 1.11.

Definition 1.9. The set of free variables of a λ -term $M \in \Phi$ is defined inductively by

$$FV(x) := \{x\}, \quad FV(\lambda x : \tau.M) := FV(M) \setminus \{x\}, \quad FV(MN) := FV(M) \cup FV(N).$$

Definition 1.10. Let $M, N \in \Phi$ and fix $x \in FV(M)$. The substitution of N for x in M, written M[N/x], is the λ -term defined by induction on M; below, $y \neq x$ and $y \notin FV(N)$.

$$x[N/x] \coloneqq N, \quad y[N/x] \coloneqq y, \quad (PQ)[N/x] \coloneqq P[N/x] \, Q[N/x], \quad (\lambda y : \tau.P)[N/x] \coloneqq \lambda y : \tau.P[N/x].$$

Lemma 1.11 (Variable Substitution). If $\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash M: \sigma$ and $y \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \{x\}$, then $\Gamma, y: \tau \vdash M[y/x]: \sigma$.

Proof. By induction on the length of M. If M=x, then $\tau=\sigma$ and M[y/x]=y, and $\Gamma,y:\tau\vdash y:\sigma$ by Init. If M=z and $z\neq x$, then M[y/x]=z. Note that $\Gamma(z)=\sigma$ by Lemma 1.8.1, so $\Gamma,y:\tau\vdash z:\sigma$ by Init.

If M = PQ, then by Lemma 1.8.2, we have $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash P : \rho \to \sigma$ and $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash Q : \rho$ for some $\rho \in T$. By induction, we have $\Gamma, y : \tau \vdash P[y/x] : \rho \to \sigma$ and $\Gamma, y : \tau \vdash Q[y/x] : \rho$, on which APP gives the desired.

If $M = \lambda z : \rho.N$, then $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash \lambda z : \rho.N : \sigma$ is obtained by ABS, so it is of the form $\Gamma, x : \tau, w : \rho \vdash P : \eta$ for some $\eta \in T$ and $w, P \in \Phi$ such that $\lambda z : \rho.N = \lambda w : \rho.P$ and $\sigma = \rho \to \eta$. Up to α -conversion, we can choose w so that $w \neq y$. Then, since |P| = |N| < |M|, we have by induction that $\Gamma, y : \tau, w : \rho \vdash P[y/x] : \eta$, on which ABS gives $\Gamma, y : \tau \vdash (\lambda w : \rho.P[y/x]) : \sigma$. Now, $\lambda w : \rho.P[y/x] = (\lambda w : \rho.P)[y/x] = (\lambda z : \rho.N)[y/x] = M[y/x]$.

Proof of Lemma 1.8.3. The proof $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : \sigma.N) : \tau$ must be obtained from ABS, so there is some $\rho \in T$ such that $\tau = \sigma \to \rho$ and some $y, P \in \Phi$ such that $\Gamma, y : \sigma \vdash P : \rho$ and $\lambda x : \sigma.N = \lambda y : \sigma.P$. If x = y, then N = P and we are done. Otherwise, we have P = N[x/y], so $\Gamma, y : \sigma \vdash N[x/y] : \rho$, so substituting y for x and using Lemma 1.11 gives $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$, as desired.

Lemma 1.12 (Change of Context). If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma'(x)$ for all $x \in FV(M)$, then $\Gamma' \vdash M : \tau$.

Proof. By induction on M. If M=x, then $\Gamma'(x)=\Gamma(x)=\tau$ by Lemma 1.8.1, and hence $\Gamma'\vdash x:\tau$ by Init. If M=PQ, then by Lemma 1.8.2, we have $\Gamma\vdash P:\sigma\to\tau$ and $\Gamma\vdash Q:\sigma$ for some $\sigma\in T$. By induction, we see that $\Gamma'\vdash P:\sigma\to\tau$ and $\Gamma'\vdash Q:\sigma$, on which APP gives $\Gamma'\vdash M:\tau$. Lastly, if $M=\lambda x:\sigma.N$, we can choose $x\not\in \mathrm{dom}\,\Gamma\cup\mathrm{dom}\,\Gamma'$, so that $\tau=\sigma\to\rho$ and $\Gamma,x:\sigma\vdash N:\rho$ by Lemma 1.8.3. By induction, we see that $\Gamma',x:\sigma\vdash N:\rho$, on which ABS gives the desired as $\Gamma'\vdash M:\tau$.

We can think of the Change of Context lemma as a generalizing weakening as we can take $\Gamma' := \Gamma, y : \sigma$ for $y \notin FV(M)$, and this is exactly how we use it below.

Lemma 1.13 (Substitution Lemma). If $\Gamma, x: \sigma \vdash M: \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash N: \sigma$, then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x]: \tau$.

Proof. By induction on M. If M=y and $x \neq y$, then $\Gamma(y)=\tau$ and M[N/x]=y, so that $\Gamma \vdash y : \tau$ by INIT. If x=y, then $\Gamma(x)=\sigma$ and M[N/x]=N, so $\tau=\sigma$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ by assumption. If M=PQ, then by Lemma 1.8.2, we have $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash P : \rho \to \tau$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash Q : \rho$ for some $\rho \in T$. By induction, we see that $\Gamma \vdash P[N/x] : \rho \to \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q[N/x] : \rho$, on which APP gives $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$.

Lastly, if $M = \lambda y : \eta.M'$ where $y \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \{x\} \cup FV(N)$, then by Lemma 1.8.3, there is some $\rho \in T$ such that $\tau = \eta \to \rho$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma, y : \eta \vdash M' : \rho$. By Lemma 1.12, we can weaken $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ to $\Gamma, y : \eta \vdash N : \sigma$, so by induction² we have $\Gamma, y : \eta \vdash M'[N/x] : \rho$, and we can apply ABS to get $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$.

Definition 1.14. A relation \succ on Φ is *compatible* if for any $M, N \in \Phi$ with $M \succ N$, we have $MP \succ NP$ and $PM \succ PN$ for each $P \in \Phi$, and $\lambda x : \tau . M \succ \lambda x : \tau . N$ for each $x \in V$ and $\tau \in T$.

Definition 1.15. The least compatible relation \to_{β} on Φ satisfying $(\lambda x : \tau.M)N \to_{\beta} M[N/x]$ for all $M, N \in \Phi$ is called β -reduction. The term $(\lambda x : \tau.M)N$ is a β -redex, and M[N/x] arises by contracting the redex.

²Note that our contexts are unordered, so we have exchange implicitly.

Notation 1.16. For any relation \rightarrow_{\bullet} on a set X, we let \rightarrow_{\bullet}^+ denote the transitive closure, let \rightarrow_{\bullet} denote the transitive and reflexive closure, and let $=_{\bullet}$ denote the least equivalence relation containing \rightarrow_{\bullet} .

Theorem 1.17 (Subject Reduction). If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$, then $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$.

Proof. If $M = (\lambda x : \sigma.P)Q$ and N = P[Q/x] for some $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$, then we have $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash P : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ by Lemma 1.8.2 and 1.8.3, so $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$ by Lemma 1.13. The general case follows by induction on $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta}$.

In summary, the simply-typed λ -calculus, denoted λ_{\rightarrow} , consists of the following data:

- (1) A set $T := V_t \mid T \to T$ of λ -types and a set $\Phi := V_p \mid \Phi \Phi \mid \lambda V_p : T.\Phi$ of λ -terms.
- (2) A β -reduction rule \rightarrow_{β} on Φ compatibly extending $(\lambda x : \tau . M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[N/x]$ for all $M, N \in \Phi$.
- (3) A type assignment relation $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ defined by INIT, APP, and ABS.

All other λ -calculi considered here will follow a similar specification, so we will be briefer in what follows.

2. The polymorphic λ -calculus: $\lambda 2$

Definition 2.1. The polymorphic λ -calculus, denoted $\lambda 2$, consists of the following data.

- (1) A set $T := V_t \mid T \to T \mid \forall V_t.T$ of polymorphic types.
- (2) A set $\Phi := V_p \mid \Phi \Phi \mid \lambda V_p : T.\Phi \mid \Lambda V_t.\Phi \mid \Phi T$ of polymorphic terms.
- (3) A β -reduction rule on Φ compatibly extending $(\lambda x : \tau.M)N \to_{\beta} M[N/x]$ and $(\Lambda p.M)\tau \to_{\beta} M[\tau/p]$ for all $M, N \in \Phi$ and $\tau \in T$.
- (4) A type assignment relation $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ defined by INIT, APP, ABS, and

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M \colon \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda p.M \colon \forall p.\tau} \text{ Gen (if } p \not \in FV(\Gamma)) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M \colon \forall p.\sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M \colon \sigma[\tau/p]} \text{ Inst}$$

A moments thought reveals that all results in the previous section apply here. Indeed, the quantifier \forall is introduced and eliminated independently from that of \rightarrow , and all notions regarding \rightarrow is left unchanged.

Notation 2.2. We generally let $x, y, z, \ldots \in V_p$, $p, q, r, \ldots \in V_t$, $\tau, \sigma, \rho, \ldots \in T$, and $M, N, P, \ldots \in \Phi$. Also, note that α -conversion applies to the Λ -binding too, so we can freely change p in $\Lambda p.M$.

Lemma 2.3 (Variable Substitution). If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\sigma \in T$, then $\Gamma[\sigma/p] \vdash M[\sigma/p] : \tau[\sigma/p]$.

Lemma 2.4 (Generation Lemma). Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$.

- (1) If M = x, M = PQ, or $M = \lambda x : \sigma.N$, then Lemma 1.8 applies.
- (2) If $M = N \sigma$, then $\tau = \rho[\sigma/p]$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \forall p.\rho$ for some $\rho \in T$.
- (3) If $M = \Lambda p.N$ and $p \notin FV(\operatorname{ran}\Gamma)$, then $\tau = \forall p.\sigma$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in T$.

Lemma 2.5 (Change of Context). If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma'(x)$ for all $x \in FV(M)$, then $\Gamma' \vdash M : \tau$.

Lemma 2.6 (Substitution Lemma). If $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$, then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$.

Theorem 2.7 (Subject Reduction). If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$, then $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.17 (and Lemma 2.6), it suffices to prove it for when $M=(\Lambda p.P)\sigma$ and $N=P[\sigma/p]$ for some $P\in\Phi$ and $\sigma\in T$. After an α -conversion, we can assume without loss of generality that $p\not\in FV(\operatorname{ran}\Gamma)$, so by Lemma 2.4, we have $\tau=\rho[\sigma/p]$ and $\Gamma\vdash P:\rho$ for some $\rho\in T$, and hence $\Gamma[\sigma/p]\vdash N:\tau$ by Lemma 2.3. But $(\Gamma[\sigma/p])(x)=\Gamma(x)[\sigma/p]=\Gamma(x)$ since $p\not\in FV(\operatorname{ran}\Gamma)$, so the result follows from Lemma 2.5.

3. The λ -calculus with type constructors: $\lambda \underline{\omega}$

Definition 3.1. The λ -calculus with type constructors, denoted $\lambda\omega$, consists of the following data.

- (1) Countably infinite sets V of variables and C of constants.
- (2) A set $\mathcal{T} := V \mid C \mid \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T} \mid \lambda V : \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{T} \mid \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ of pseudo-expressions.
- (3) Fixed constants $*, \square \in C$, called types and kinds.
- (4) A β -reduction rule \rightarrow_{β} on \mathcal{T} compatibly extending $(\lambda x: A.B)C \rightarrow_{\beta} B[C/x]$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{T}$.

(5) A type assignment $\Gamma \vdash A:B$ defined by the following rules; here s is a symbol ranging over $\{*, \Box\}$, and INIT and WEAK can only be applied if $x \not\in \text{dom }\Gamma$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Box} \text{ Axiom } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \text{ Init } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma, x : C \vdash A : B} \text{ Weak } \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : B} \frac{\Gamma \vdash B' : s}{\Gamma \vdash A : B'} \text{ Conv}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma \vdash (A \to B) : s} \text{ Form } \frac{\Gamma \vdash F : (A \to B)}{\Gamma \vdash F a : B} \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash (A \to B) : s} \text{ App } \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A : b) : (A \to B)} \text{ Abs}$$

Theorem 3.2 (Subject Reduction).

4. The λ -calculus with Dependent Types: $\lambda \mathbf{P}$

Definition 4.1. The λ -calculus with dependent types, denoted $\lambda \mathbf{P}$, consists of the following data.

- (1) Countably infinite sets V of variables and C of constants.
- (2) A set $\mathcal{T} := V \mid C \mid \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T} \mid \lambda V : \mathcal{T} . \mathcal{T} \mid \Pi V : \mathcal{T} . \mathcal{T}$ of pseudo-expressions.
- (3) Fixed constants $*, \Box \in C$, called *types* and *kinds*.
- (4) A β -reduction rule \rightarrow_{β} on \mathcal{T} compatibly extending $(\lambda x: A.B)C \rightarrow_{\beta} B[C/x]$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{T}$.
- (5) A type assignment $\Gamma \vdash A : B$ defined by the following rules; here s is a symbol ranging over $\{*, \Box\}$, and INIT and WEAK can only be applied if $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s} \text{ Init} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma, x : C \vdash A : B} \quad \Psi_{\text{EAK}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : B} \quad \Psi_{\text{EAK}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B}{\Gamma \vdash A$$

Theorem 4.2 (Subject Reduction).

5. The λ -cube and beyond: Pure Type Systems

Definition 5.1.

Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 5.3 (Subject Reduction).

References

[SU06] M. H. Sørensen and P. Urzyczyin, Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Elsevier, 2006.

[Bar91] H. Barendregt, Introduction to Generalized Type Systems, Journal of Functional Programming 1 (1991), no. 2, 125-154.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, 805 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, QC, H3A 0B9, Canada

Email address: zhaoshen.zhai@mail.mcgill.ca