

SUBJECT REDUCTION FOR PURE TYPE SYSTEMS

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. Following [SU06], we give a detailed proof of *subject reduction* for arbitrary *pure type systems*, which abstract many of the basic constructs found in, say, the simply-typed λ -calculus (λ_{\rightarrow}), the polymorphic λ -calculus ($\lambda 2$), the λ -calculus with type constructors ($\lambda\omega$), and the λ -calculus with dependent types ($\lambda\mathbf{P}$).

Introduction. Subject reduction is a crucial property of a type system that guarantees its ‘computational consistency’ by ensuring that reductions of a well-typed expression remains well-typed, and which supports the slogan that ‘well-typed programs do not go wrong’. It is thus desirable that we can prove it uniformly across many different type systems, and this is the goal of the present note.

To this end, we start from the beginning¹ with the *simply-typed λ -calculus* λ_{\rightarrow} , in which we prove subject reduction. We then progress to more complicated type systems (in particular, $\lambda 2$, $\lambda\omega$, and $\lambda\mathbf{P}$) to illustrate some concepts not present in λ_{\rightarrow} , and along the way, we also mention the *λ -cube* to provide some motivation for *pure type systems*, which abstract the constructs in all of the previous systems. Finally, we prove subject reduction for pure type systems. We will not discuss any of these systems in length, but refer the interested reader to [SU06] for general type theory and [Bar91] for actual applications of pure type systems.

1. THE SIMPLY-TYPED λ -CALCULUS: λ_{\rightarrow}

Throughout, fix a countably infinite set V , whose element we call *variables*.

Definition 1.1. A *simple type* is a propositional formula in the language $\{\rightarrow\}$.

Definition 1.2. A λ -term is a string defined by the grammar² $M := x \mid M M \mid (\lambda x^{\tau} M)$, where τ is a simple type. We denote by Λ the set of λ -terms. The set of *free variables* of a λ -term M is defined inductively by

$$FV(x) := \{x\}, \quad FV(\lambda x^{\tau} M) := FV(M) \setminus \{x\}, \quad FV(M N) := FV(M) \cup FV(N).$$

Remark 1.3. We always consider λ -terms under α -conversion. Basically, we can freely change the bound variable x in λx without modifying the term, but see [SU06, Section 1.2] for the formal definition.

Definition 1.4. A *context* is a finite set $\Gamma := \{x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\}$ of pairs $(x_i : \tau_i)$, where each $x_i \in V$ and each τ_i is a simple type. If $(x : \tau) \in \Gamma$, we write $\Gamma(x) = \tau$, and we let

$$\text{dom } \Gamma := \{x \in V : (x : \tau) \text{ for some type } \tau\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im } \Gamma := \{\tau \text{ ‘type’} : (x : \tau) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } x \in V\}.$$

A *judgement* is a triple $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ consisting of a context Γ , a λ -term M , and a simple type τ .

Definition 1.5. We say that a judgement $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is *derivable in λ_{\rightarrow}* if there is a finite tree of judgements rooted at $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, whose leaves are instances of VAR, and such that the children of each internal node is obtained from the rules ABS or APP read bottom-up.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{VAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x^{\sigma} M) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ABS} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (M N) : \tau} \text{APP}$$

The rules ABS and APP can only be applied when $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$.

Lemma 1.6 (Generation Lemma for λ_{\rightarrow}). *Suppose that³ $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$.*

(1) *If $M = x$, then $\Gamma(x) = \tau$.*

Date: April 2, 2025.

Notes for a project for COMP527: LOGIC AND COMPUTATION taught by Professor Brigitte Pientka, with Charlotte Marchal, Dashiell Rich and Milton Rosenbaum.

¹As Professor Pientka would say: ‘We’ll start slow’.

²Sometimes, people write $\lambda x : \tau M$ instead of $\lambda x^{\tau} M$, but we find the former too hard to parse.

³When we assert ‘ $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ ’, we mean that it is derivable in the current type system under consideration.

- (2) If $M = PQ$, then $\Gamma \vdash P : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ for some type σ .
 (3) If $M = \lambda x^\sigma N$ and $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$, then $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$ for some type ρ .

Proof. Since the root of the derivation tree for $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ determines the shape of M , we see that (1) follows from VAR and (2) follows from APP. For (3), the child of the root must be obtained from ABS and is of the form $\Gamma, y : \sigma \vdash N' : \rho$, where $\lambda x^\sigma N = \lambda y^\sigma N'$, so clearly $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$. Moreover, note that $N' = N[y/x]$, so $\Gamma, y : \sigma \vdash N[y/x] : \rho$, and finally substituting x for y back gives $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$, as desired. ■

Lemma 1.7 (Change of Context). *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma'(x)$ for all $x \in FV(M)$, then $\Gamma' \vdash M : \tau$.*

Proof. By induction on M . If $M = x$, then $\Gamma'(x) = \Gamma(x) = \tau$ by Lemma 1.6.1, and hence $\Gamma' \vdash x : \tau$ by VAR. If $M = PQ$, then by Lemma 1.6.2, we have $\Gamma \vdash P : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ for some type σ . By induction, we see that $\Gamma' \vdash P : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma' \vdash Q : \sigma$, on which APP gives $\Gamma' \vdash M : \tau$. Lastly, if $M = \lambda x^\sigma N$, we can choose $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \text{dom } \Gamma'$, so that $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$ by Lemma 1.6.3. By induction, we see that $\Gamma', x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho$, on which ABS gives the desired as $\Gamma' \vdash M : \tau$. ■

We can think of the Change of Context lemma as a generalizing weakening as we can take $\Gamma' := \Gamma, y : \sigma$ for $y \notin FV(M)$, and this is exactly how we use it below.

Lemma 1.8 (Substitution Lemma for λ_{\rightarrow}). *If $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$, then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$.*

Proof. By induction on M . If $M = y$ and $x \neq y$, then $\Gamma(y) = \tau$ and $M[N/x] = y$, so that $\Gamma \vdash y : \tau$ by VAR. If $x = y$, then $\Gamma(x) = \sigma$ and $M[N/x] = N$, so $\tau = \sigma$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ by assumption. If $M = PQ$, then by Lemma 1.6.2, we have $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash P : \rho \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash Q : \rho$ for some type ρ . By induction, we see that $\Gamma \vdash P[N/x] : \rho \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q[N/x] : \rho$, on which APP gives $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$.

Lastly, if $M = \lambda y^\eta M'$ where $y \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \{x\} \cup FV(N)$, then by Lemma 1.6.3, there is a type ρ such that $\tau = \eta \rightarrow \rho$ and $\Gamma, x : \sigma, y : \eta \vdash M' : \rho$. By Lemma 1.7, we can weaken $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ to $\Gamma, y : \eta \vdash N : \sigma$, so by induction⁴ we have $\Gamma, y : \eta \vdash M'[N/x] : \rho$, and we can apply ABS to get $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau$. ■

Definition 1.9. A relation \succ on Λ is *compatible* if for any $M, N \in \Lambda$ with $M \succ N$, we have $MP \succ NP$ and $PM \succ PN$ for each $P \in \Lambda$, and $\lambda x^\tau M \succ \lambda x^\tau N$ for each $x \in V$ and type τ .

Definition 1.10. The least compatible relation \rightarrow_β on Λ such that $(\lambda x^\tau M)N \rightarrow_\beta M[N/x]$ for all $M, N \in \Lambda$ is called *β -reduction*. We say that $(\lambda x^\tau M)N$ is a *β -redex* and that $M[N/x]$ arises by *contracting* the redex.

Notation 1.11. For any relation \rightarrow_\bullet on a set X , we let \rightarrow_\bullet^+ denote the transitive closure, let $\twoheadrightarrow_\bullet$ denote the transitive and reflexive closure, and let $=_\bullet$ denote the least equivalence relation containing \rightarrow_\bullet .

Theorem 1.12 (Subject Reduction for λ_{\rightarrow}). *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $M \twoheadrightarrow_\beta N$, then $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$.*

Proof. If $M = (\lambda x^\sigma P)Q$ and $N = P[Q/x]$ for some $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$, then we have $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash P : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ by Lemma 1.6.2 and 1.6.3, so $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$ by Lemma 1.8. The general case follows by induction on \twoheadrightarrow_β . ■

2. THE POLYMORPHIC λ -CALCULUS: λ_2

Definition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 (Subject Reduction for λ_2).

3. THE λ -CALCULUS WITH TYPE CONSTRUCTORS: λ_ω

Definition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 (Subject Reduction for λ_ω).

⁴Note that our contexts are unordered, so we have exchange implicitly.

4. THE λ -CALCULUS WITH DEPENDENT TYPES: $\lambda\mathbf{P}$

Definition 4.1.

Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.3 (Subject Reduction for $\lambda\mathbf{P}$).

5. THE λ -CUBE AND BEYOND: PURE TYPE SYSTEMS

Definition 5.1.

Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 5.3 (Subject Reduction for Pure Type Systems).

REFERENCES

- [SU06] M. H. Sørensen and P. Urzyczyn, *Lectures on the Curry-Howard Isomorphism*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Elsevier, 2006.
- [Bar91] H. Barendregt, *Introduction to Generalized Type Systems*, Journal of Functional Programming **1** (1991), no. 2, 125-154.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QC, H3A 0B9, CANADA

Email address: zhaoshen.zhai@mail.mcgill.ca