SUBJECT REDUCTION FOR PURE TYPE SYSTEMS

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

Throughout, fix a countably infinite set V, whose element we call *variables*. For each of the following type systems, there will be a notion of 'types' and 'terms'. Once they are defined, we can speak of the following:

Definition. A *context* is a finite set $\Gamma \coloneqq \{x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n\}$ of pairs $(x_i : \tau_i)$, where each $x_i \in V$ and each τ_i is a 'type'. If $(x : \tau) \in \Gamma$, we write $\Gamma(x) = \tau$, and we let

$$\operatorname{dom}\Gamma \coloneqq \{x \in V : (x : \tau) \text{ for some 'type' } \tau\} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{im}\Gamma \coloneqq \{\tau \text{ 'type'} : (x : \tau) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } x \in V\}.$$

A *judgement* is a triple $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ consisting of a context Γ, a 'term' M, and a 'type' τ.

1. The Simply-typed λ-calculus

Definition 1.1. A *simple type* is a propositional formula in the language $\to$.

Definition 1.2. A *λ-term* is a string defined by the grammar $M \coloneqq x \mid M\,M \mid (\lambda x\,M)$. We denote by Λ the set of λ-terms. The set of *free variables* of a λ-term M is defined inductively by

$$FV(x) \coloneqq \{x\}, \quad FV(\lambda x\,M) \coloneqq FV(M) \setminus \{x\}, \quad FV(MN) \coloneqq FV(M) \cup FV(N).$$

Definition 1.3. We say that a judgement $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is *derivable in $\lambda_\to$* if there is a finite tree of judgements rooted at $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, whose leaves are instances of Var, and such that the children of each internal node is obtained from the rules Abs or App read bottom-up.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}\ \text{Var} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x\,M) : \sigma \to \tau}\ \text{Abs} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \to \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (M\,N) : \tau}\ \text{App}$$

The rules Abs and App can only be applied when $x \notin \operatorname{dom}\Gamma$.

Lemma 1.4 (Generation Lemma for $\lambda_\to$)**.** *Suppose that[1] $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$.*

(1) If $M = x$, then $\Gamma(x) = \tau$.
(2) If $M = PQ$, then $\Gamma \vdash P : \sigma \to \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : \sigma$ for some type σ.
(3) If $M = \lambda x\,N$ and $x \notin \operatorname{dom}\Gamma$, then $\tau = \tau_1 \to \tau_2$ and $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash N : \tau_2$.

Proof. Since the root of the derivation tree for $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ determines the shape of M, we see that (1) follows from Var and (2) follows from App. For (3), the child of the root must be obtained from Abs and is of the form $\Gamma, x' : \tau_1 \vdash N' : \tau_2$, where $\lambda x\,N = \lambda x'\,N'$. Clearly $\tau = \tau_1 \to \tau_2$. Moreover, note that $N' = N[x'/x]$, so $\Gamma, x' : \tau_1 \vdash N[x'/x] : \tau_2$, and finally substituting x for x' back gives $\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash N : \tau_2$, as desired. ∎

Lemma 1.5 (Change of Context)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ and $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma'(x)$ for all $x \in FV(M)$, then $\Gamma' \vdash M : \sigma$.*

Proof. **TODO** ∎

Lemma 1.6 (Substitution Lemma for $\lambda_\to$)**.** *If $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \sigma$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \tau$, then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \sigma$.*

Proof. **TODO** ∎

Definition 1.7. A relation $\succ$ on Λ is *compatible* if for any $M, N \in \Lambda$ with $M \succ N$, we have $MP \succ NP$ and $PM \succ PN$ for each $P \in \Lambda$, and $\lambda x\,M \succ \lambda x\,N$ for each $x \in V$.

The least compatible relation $\to_\beta$ on Λ such that $(\lambda x\,M)N \to_\beta M[N/x]$ is called *β-reduction*.

Notation 1.8. For any relation $\to_\bullet$ on a set X, we let $\to_\bullet^+$ denote the transitive closure, let $\to_\bullet^*$ denote the transitive and reflexive closure, and let $=_\bullet$ denote the least equivalence relation containing $\to_\bullet$.

Theorem 1.9 (Subject Reduction for $\lambda_\to$)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ and $M \twoheadrightarrow_\beta N$, then $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$.*

Proof. **TODO** ∎

Date: April 2, 2025.
[1]When we assert '$\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$', we mean that it is derivable in the current type system under consideration.

2. The polymorphic λ-calculus: System $\mathbf{F}$

Definition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 (Subject Reduction for $\mathbf{F}$)**.**

3. Dependent Types: $\lambda\mathbf{P}$

Definition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 (Subject Reduction for $\lambda\mathbf{P}$)**.**

4. The λ-cube and beyond: Pure Type Systems

Definition 4.1.

Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.3 (Subject Reduction for Pure Type Systems)**.**