## COMP 2119A: Solution for Test 1

1

## i) True.

Let c = 2000 and  $n_0 = 1$ . For any  $n \ge n_0$ , we have  $20n \le 20n^{1.01} = 2000 * 0.01n^{1.01}$ .

Therefore,  $20n = O(0.01n^{1.01})$ 

ii) False.

Let  $f(n) = n^2$  and g(n) = n, then it is easy to see  $f(n) = \omega(g(n))$ . And  $\log f(n) = 2 \log n$ ,  $\log g(n) = \log n$ 

But let c > 2, for any n > 1,  $2 \log n < c \log n$ , so  $\log f(n) \neq \omega(\log g(n))$ 

Let f(n) = 0.5,  $g(n) = n^{0.001}$ , then we can see f(n) = O(0.5) and  $g(n) = O(n^{0.001})$ .

Assume that g(n) + f(n) = O(1000000), then  $\exists n_0, c > 0$ , such that  $\forall n > n_0, 0.5 + n^{0.01} < c * 1000000$ 

So we get  $n \le (c * 1000000)^{1000}$ , which is contradicted with  $\forall n \ge n_0$ So  $O(0.5) + O(n^{0.001}) \ne O(1000000)$ 

iv) True

$$f(n) = f(2^k) = f(2^{k-1}) + 2^k = f(2^{k-2}) + 2^{k-1} + 2^k = \dots = f(1) + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k = 10 + \frac{2(1-2^k)}{1-2} = 10 + 2(2^k - 1) = 10 + 2(n-1) = 2n + 8$$
  
Let  $c = 3, n_0 = 8, \ \forall n \ge n_0$ , we have  $f(n) = 2n + 8 \le c * n$ . So  $f(n) = O(n)$ 

2

- 1. Divided 27 coins(c1,c2,...,c27) into three groups, A1=c1,...,c9, A2=c10,...,c18, A3=c19,...,c27. Choose A1 and A2 to weigh. If A1 > A2, then A1 contains counterfeit coin. Else if A1 < A2, then A2 contains counterfeit coin. Else if A1 = A2, then A3 contains counterfeit coin.
- 2. Divided the group Ai contains counterfeit coins into three groups, B1, B2, B3, each of which has 3 coins. Choose B1 and B2 to weigh. If B1 > B2, then B1 contains counterfeit coin. Else if B1 < B2, then B2 contains counterfeit coin. Else if B1 = B2, then B3 contains counterfeit coin.

3. Divided the group Bi contains counterfeit coins into three groups, C1, C2, C3, each of which has 1 coin. Choose C1 and C2 to weigh. If C1 > C2, then C1 is counterfeit coin. Else if C1 < C2, then C2 is counterfeit coin. Else if C1 = C2, then C3 is counterfeit coin.

```
3
Algorithm F(n)
   if(n=1) return 5;
   if(n=2) return 6;
   else{
    return F(n-1)+f(n-2)
   Time Complexity: T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + C \le 2T(n-1) \le
4T(n-2) \le 2^{n-2}T(2) = 2^{n-2}C = O(2^n)
4
Algorithm Find(A[1,...,n],low,high) {
   middle = (low+high)/2;
   if(A[middle] = middle) return middle;
   else if (A[middle] > middle) {
    low = middle+1;
    }
   else high = middle-1;
   Find(A[1,...,n],low,high);
   Time Complexity: O(\log n)
```

Idea: we use another stack T to store (sum,num), which includes the current sum of all elements and the number of elements. And stack S store the origin elements. When we want to find the average, we visit the top element of T and return sum/num. Time complexity of each operation is O(1).

```
void Push(element a) {
(sum,num) = T.top();
sum = sum+a;
```

5

```
S.push(a);
   T.push((sum,num));
   void Pop() {
   T.pop();
    S.pop();
   element Top(){
   return a=S.top()
    element FindAve() {
    (sum,num)=S.top();
   return ave=sum/num;
6
We design a n*n matrix A = [a_{ij}]_{n*n}, and let a_{ij} = the number of edges
from i to j. a_{ij} = 0 if no edge from i to j.
   a) Bool Source(A){
   for i = 1 to n \{
    bool\ isSource = true
    for j = 1 to n {
     if(i \neq j){
     if(a[ij] = 0 \text{ or } a[ji] > 0) \text{ isSource=false};
    if(isSource = true) return true;
    return false;
   Time Complexity: O(n^2)
   b) Pair MaxNumEdge(A) {
   \max=0;
    (v1,v2)=(0,0);
   for i=1 to n {
    for j=1 to n {
     if(a[ij] > max) {
```

num ++;

```
\max = a[ij];
      (v1,v2)=(i,j);
   return (v1,v2);
   Time Complexity: O(n^2)
   c) Vertex MaxNumNeighbors(A) {
   \max = 0;
   v=0;
   for i=1 to n \{
    sum = 0;
    for j=1 to n {
    if(a[ij] > 0 \text{ or } a[ji] > 0) \text{ sum}++;
    if(sum > max){
     max=sum;
     v=i;
   }
   return v;
   Time Complexity: O(n^2)
a)See Table 1.
   b) Assume that \exists i, j \in [0, m-1], i > j, such that h(k, i) = h(k, j), i.e.,
h(k,i)-h(k,j)=(i-j)h_2(k)=0 \mod m. Since h_2(k) is relatively prime to
m, we have (i-j)=0 \mod m. So i-j=t*m, t\in \mathbb{Z}. Since i,j\in[0,m-1],
i-j \le m-1 < m. So t=0, that is to say i=j, which is contradicted with
i > j. Therefore, the probe sequence is a permutation of [0,...,m-1].
Set two queues Q1 and Q2 to perform a stack.
   void Push(element a){
    Enqueue(Q1,a);
```

7

8

```
Time Complexity: O(1);
Void PoP() {
while(!(Q1.head+1=Q1.tail)) {
 x=Dequeue(Q1);
 Enqueue(Q2,x);
Dequeue(Q1);
\label{eq:while(!(Q2.head=Q2.tail)) {le def}} While(!(Q2.head=Q2.tail)) \ \{
 x=Dequeue(Q2);
 Enqueue(Q1,x);
Time Complexity: O(n)
Element Top() {
while(!(Q1.head+1=Q1.tail))  {
 x=Dequeue(Q1);
 Enqueue(Q2,x);
x=Dequeue(Q1)
While(!(Q2.head=Q2.tail)) {
 x=Dequeue(Q2);
```

	linear probing	quadratic probing	double hashing
0	52	52	52
1	118	118	118
2			58
3			
4	30	30	30
5			
6	45	45	45
7	58	58	47
8	47	47	35
9	61	61	61
10	35	35	
11	89	89	89
12			

Table 1: Q7 a)

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{Enqueue}(\mathbf{Q1,x});\\ \}\\ \text{return x;}\\ \}\\ \text{Time Complexity: } O(n) \end{array}
```