Lambda Calculus – λ^{\rightarrow} , System F, and System F_{ω}

November 8, 2021

1 Simply Typed Lambda Calculus (λ^{\rightarrow})

Simply typed lambda calculus [3] is also traditionally called λ^{\rightarrow} , where the arrow \rightarrow indicates the centrality of function types $A \rightarrow B$. The elements of lambda calculus are divided into three "sorts":

- **terms** ranged over by metavariables M, N.
- types ranged over by metavariables A, B. We write M: A to say type M has type A.
- kinds ranged over by metavariable K. We write T :: K to say type T has kind K.

The grammar of λ^{\rightarrow} is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Kinds} & K ::= * \\ \text{Types} & A, B ::= \iota \mid A \to B \\ \text{Raw terms} & M, N ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x^A.\, M \mid M\,N \end{array}$$

Kinds Kinds play little part in λ^{\rightarrow} , so their structure trivially consists just of *, the kind of standard types.

Types Types consist of base types ι such as integers and booleans, and functions where $A \to B$ represents a function taking a type A to a type B.

Terms We let x, y, z range over a set of term variables. Constants are represented by terms c. The term λx^A . M (also written $\lambda x:A.M$) says that we can take a variable x of type A a parameter of an expression to get a lambda abstraction. Hence the term application of a term to a term, M N, is also a term.

$$\Delta \vdash A : K$$

Figure 1: Kinding Rules (λ^{\rightarrow})

$$\Gamma \vdash M : A$$

Figure 2: Typing Rules (λ^{\rightarrow})

2 Polymorphic Typed Lambda Calculus (System F)

System F [2, 3], also known as polymorphic lambda calculus or second-order lambda calculus, is a typed lambda calculus that extends simply-typed lambda calculus. It extends this by adding support for "type-to-term" abstraction, allowing polymorphism through the introduction of a mechanism of universal quantification over types. It therefore formalizes the notion of parametric polymorphism

in programming languages. It is known as second-order lambda calculus because from a logical perspective, it can describe all functions that are provably total in second-order logic.

The grammar of System F is given by:

Kinds
$$K ::= *$$

Types $A, B ::= \iota \mid A \to B \mid \alpha \mid \forall \alpha^K . A$
Terms $M, N ::= x \mid \lambda x^A . M \mid M N \mid \Lambda \alpha^K . M \mid M [A]$

Kinds Kinds remain the same, and all types have kind *.

Types We extend types A, B with (polymorphic) type variables α and universally quantified types $\forall \alpha^{\kappa}$. A in which the bound type variable α of kind K may appear in A (we note that the only kind K in System F is *). A important point to make is that by introducing the type variable α , it is also necessary to also introduce $\forall \alpha^{K}$. A. This is because α can only exist within the scope of which it is quantified by $\forall \alpha$. We note that in a polymorphic lambda calculus without a type scheme, it is possible for type variables α to appear on their own without being bound to an inscope quantifier $\forall \alpha$ – therefore the grammar on its own does not ensure well-formed types.

Terms The lambda abstraction term λx^A . M can now take variables x which have universally quantified types $\forall \alpha. A$. We extend terms with type abstraction $\Lambda \alpha^K$. M (also written $\Lambda \alpha :: K.M$) whose parameter α is a type of kind K and returns a term M, and with type application M[A] whose argument is a type A.

Figure 3: Kinding Rules (System F)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \vdash M : A \\ \hline \text{var} \\ \underline{x : A \in \Gamma} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash x : A \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{lambda abstraction} \\ \underline{\Gamma \cdot (x : A) \vdash M : B} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \lambda x^A . M : A \to B \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{application} \\ \underline{\Gamma \vdash M : A \to B} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash M N : B \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{type abstraction} \\ \underline{\Delta \cdot (\alpha : K) \vdash M : A} \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha^K . M : \forall \alpha^K . A \end{array} \\ \hline \text{type application} \\ \underline{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha^K . A} \quad \Delta \vdash B : K \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash M [B] : A[\alpha \mapsto B] \end{array}$$

Figure 4: Typing Rules (System F)

3 Higher-Order Polymorphic Typed Lambda Calculus (System F_{ω})

System F_{ω} [3, 1], also known as higher-order polymorphic lambda calculus, extends System F with richer kinds and adds type-level lambda-abstraction and application.

3.0.1 System F_{ω}

Kinds
$$K ::= * \mid K_1 \to K_2$$

Types $A, B ::= \iota \mid A \to B \mid \forall \alpha^K. A \mid \alpha \mid \lambda \alpha^K. A \mid A B$
Terms $M, N ::= x \mid \lambda x^A. M \mid M N \mid \Lambda \alpha^K. M \mid M [A]$

Kinds In System F, the structure of kinds has been trivial, limited to a single kind * to which all type expressions belonged. In System F_{ω} , we enrich the set of kinds with an operator \rightarrow such

that if K_1 and K_2 are kinds, then $K_1 \to K_2$ is a kind. This allows us to construct kinds which contain *type operators/constructors* and higher-order forms of these, such as product \times . This lets us add other arbitrary custom kind constants to this calculus.

Types The set of types in System F_{ω} now additionally includes type constructors i.e. type-level lambda-abstraction $(\lambda \alpha^K. A: K \to K')$, and type constructor application $(AB: K_2$ when $A: K_1 \to K_2$ and $B: K_1$) as we are able to apply higher-kinded types $K_1 \to K_1$ to other types.

Additionally, universal quantification $(\forall \alpha^K. A: *)$ now requires the bound type variable α to be annotated by a kind K, meaning types can be parameterised by polymorphic type variables of any kind K as long as the overall type returned is of kind *.

Terms Although the terms in System F_{ω} remain the same as System F, the term for type abstraction $(\Lambda \alpha^K. M)$ can now take types with kinds other than *, as long as there exists a type variable for that specific kind.

The introduction of richer kinds means that it becomes more necessary to add kinding rules to dictate what are well-formed types.

Figure 5: Kinding Rules (System F_{ω})

Figure 6: Typing Rules (System F_{ω})

References

- [1] Benjamin C Pierce and C Benjamin. Types and programming languages. MIT press, 2002. URL: http://kevinluo.net/books/book_Types%20and%20Programming%20Languages%20-%20Benjamin%20C.%20Pierce.pdf.
- [2] Peter Selinger. Lecture Notes on the Lambda Calculus. https://www.irif.fr/~mellies/mpri/mpri-ens/biblio/Selinger-Lambda-Calculus-Notes.pdf. Accessed: 2021-08-18.
- [3] Cambridge University. Lambda Calculus Lecture Notes. https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1415/L28/lambda.pdf. Accessed: 2021-08-18.