

ECON-613 HW #2

Min Chul Kim

2019-02-11

Exercise1

```
#Set Seed
set.seed(1)

#Drawing Samples from Distributions
n = 10000

X1 = runif(n, min = 1, max = 3)
X2 = rgamma(n, shape = 3, scale = 2)
X3 = rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = 0.3)
eps = rnorm(n, mean = 2, sd = 1)

#Creating Y and Dummy Y Variables
Y = 0.5 + 1.2*X1 - 0.9*X2 + 0.1*X3 + eps
ydum = ifelse(Y > mean(Y), 1, 0)
```

Exercise2

```
#Calculating the Correlation between Y and X1, How Different is it from 1.2
correlation = cor(Y, X1)
difference_corr = abs(cor(Y, X1) - 1.2) %>% as.data.frame
colnames(difference_corr) = "Difference between Correlation and 1.2"

kable(difference_corr, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

Difference between Correlation and 1.2
0.9837

```
#Regression
X = data.frame(
  1,
  X1,
  X2,
  X3
) %>%
  as.matrix()

#Calculating the Coefficients of this Regression
reg1_coef = solve((t(X) %*% X)) %*% t(X) %*% Y

df_reg1_coef = reg1_coef %>% as.data.frame
```

```
colnames(df_reg1_coef) = "Coefficients of Reg"
rownames(df_reg1_coef) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")

kable(df_reg1_coef, digits = 4,
      format = "markdown", caption = "Coefficients of Regression")
```

Coefficients of Reg	
Int	2.4919
X1	1.1965
X2	-0.8978
X3	0.1251

```
#Calculating the Standard Errors Using Standard Formula
k = length(reg1_coef)
est_var = sum( (Y - (X %*% reg1_coef))^2 ) / (n - k)
est_std = est_var * solve( (t(X) %*% X) ) %>%
  diag() %>%
  sqrt()

df_est_std = est_std %>% as.data.frame
colnames(df_est_std) = "SE from Standard Formula"
rownames(df_est_std) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")

kable(df_est_std, digits = 4,
      format = "markdown", caption = "SE from Standard Formula")
```

SE from Standard Formula	
Int	0.0410
X1	0.0174
X2	0.0029
X3	0.0221

```
#Bootstrap n = 49
boot_coef = list()

for(i in 1:49){

  boot_x1 = sample(X1, n, replace = TRUE)
  boot_x2 = sample(X2, n, replace = TRUE)
  boot_x3 = sample(X3, n, replace = TRUE)

  boot_X = cbind(1, boot_x1, boot_x2, boot_x3)
  boot_Y = sample(Y, n, replace = TRUE)

  boot_coef[[i]] = solve((t(boot_X) %*% boot_X)) %*% t(boot_X) %*% boot_Y
}

#Making Bootstrap Values into a Dataframe
boot_temp_df = map(boot_coef, data.frame)
boot_coef_df = do.call("cbind", boot_temp_df)
```

```
colnames(boot_coef_df) = paste("sample", 1:49, sep = "")
```

```
#Calculating SE
```

```
bootstrap_se1 = apply(boot_coef_df, 1, FUN = sd) %>% as.data.frame()
```

```
colnames(bootstrap_se1) = "SE of Bootstrap 49"
```

```
rownames(bootstrap_se1) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")
```

```
kable(bootstrap_se1, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	SE of Bootstrap 49
Int	0.1227
X1	0.0477
X2	0.0100
X3	0.0656

```
#Bootstrap n = 499
```

```
boot_coef = list()
```

```
for(i in 1:499){
```

```
  boot_x1 = sample(X1, n, replace = TRUE)
```

```
  boot_x2 = sample(X2, n, replace = TRUE)
```

```
  boot_x3 = sample(X3, n, replace = TRUE)
```

```
  boot_X = cbind(1, boot_x1, boot_x2, boot_x3)
```

```
  boot_Y = sample(Y, n, replace = TRUE)
```

```
  boot_coef[[i]] = solve((t(boot_X) %*% boot_X)) %*% t(boot_X) %*% boot_Y
}
```

```
#Making Bootstrap Values into a Dataframe
```

```
boot_temp_df = map(boot_coef, data.frame)
```

```
boot_coef_df = do.call("cbind", boot_temp_df)
```

```
colnames(boot_coef_df) = paste("sample", 1:499, sep = "")
```

```
#Calculating SE
```

```
bootstrap_se1 = apply(boot_coef_df, 1, FUN = sd) %>% as.data.frame()
```

```
colnames(bootstrap_se1) = "SE of Bootstrap 499"
```

```
rownames(bootstrap_se1) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")
```

```
kable(bootstrap_se1, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	SE of Bootstrap 499
Int	0.1461
X1	0.0610
X2	0.0094
X3	0.0721

Answer:

The difference in correlation and 1.2 is about 1. Personally judged, this difference is significant because it is greater than an arbitrarily chosen value of 0.05.

Exercise3

In the following R chunk, I create an ordinary probit likelihood function, as well as the probit log likelihood function. For the ordinary likelihood function, expect 0 for the answer, since probabilities less than or equal to 1 are being multiplied for a number of times. For the log likelihood function, expect a positive result, because I am supplying the negative of the computed log likelihood.

```
#Creating Likelihood Function
probit_likelihood = function(x, beta, ydum){

  #Calculating XB
  X_Beta = x %*% beta

  #Calculating Phi(XB)
  phi = map(X_Beta, pnorm) %>% unlist()

  #Calculating the Likelihood Using Likelihood Formula
  prob = phi^ydum * (1 - phi)^(1 - ydum)
  likelihood = prod( prob )

  return(likelihood)
}

#The following will be 0 since probabilities <= 1 are being multiplied.
pro_df = data.frame(
  probit_likelihood(X, reg1_coef, ydum)
)
colnames(pro_df) = "Probit Likelihood"

kable(pro_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

Probit Likelihood
0

```
#Creating Log Likelihood Function
pro_log_likelihood = function(x, beta, ydum){

  #Calculating XB
  X_Beta = x %*% beta

  #Calculating Phi(XB) and Preventing the Obtained Results from Being 0 and 1
  phi = map(X_Beta, pnorm) %>% unlist()
  phi[phi < 0.0001] = 0.0001
  phi[phi > 0.99] = 0.99

  #Calculating the Log Likelihood Using the Formula
  log_likelihood = sum( ydum * log(phi) + (1 - ydum) * log((1 - phi)) )

  return(-log_likelihood)
}

pro_log_df = data.frame(
  pro_log_likelihood(X, reg1_coef, ydum)
```

```
)
colnames(pro_log_df) = "Probit Log Likelihood"

kable(pro_log_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

Probit Log Likelihood
2519.584

```
#Steepest Ascent Function
steepest_ascent =
  function(x, betas_old, h, ydum_, learning_rate, epsilon){

#Initial Values (betas_new is initialized to start the while loop)
    sum_sq_betas_diff = 10
    betas_new = rep(0.5, 4)

    while( sum_sq_betas_diff > epsilon ){

      #Computing Matrices to Be Used in Derivative
      betas_old_matrix = matrix(betas_old, nrow = 4, ncol = 4)
      betas_new_matrix = betas_old_matrix + h * diag(1, nrow = 4, ncol = 4)

      #Calculating Partial Derivatives of Log Likelihood
      derivative = c()
      for(i in 1:4){
        derivative[i] = ( pro_log_likelihood(x, betas_new_matrix[i,], ydum_) -
                          pro_log_likelihood(x, betas_old_matrix[i,], ydum_) ) / h
      }

      #Updating Betas
      betas_old = betas_new
      betas_new = betas_old - learning_rate * derivative

      #Calculating sum_betas_diff
      sum_sq_betas_diff = sum((betas_new - betas_old)^2)

    }

    return(betas_new)
  }

#Implementing Steepest Ascent
betas = rep(0.5, 4)

steepas = steepest_ascent(X, betas_old = betas,
                          h = 10^(-6), ydum, learning_rate = 0.000001, epsilon = .000000001)

#True Parameters
probit_model = glm(ydum ~ X1 + X2 + X3, family = binomial(link = "probit"))

## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

```
probit_coef = probit_model$coefficients

#Comparison
probit_steepest_df = data.frame(
  steepas,
  probit_coef,
  abs(steepas - probit_coef)
)
colnames(probit_steepest_df) = c("Steepest Ascent", "True", "Difference")

kable(probit_steepest_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	Steepest Ascent	True	Difference
(Intercept)	0.5366	3.0846	2.5481
X1	0.5490	1.1296	0.5807
X2	0.5422	-0.8885	1.4307
X3	0.5016	0.1830	0.3186

Answer:

According to the result, my steepest ascent values are fairly different from the true parameters. The intercept has the biggest difference, and X3 has the least difference.

Exercise 4

```
##Writing and Optimizing Linear Probability Model
linear_reg = lm(ydum ~ X1 + X2 + X3)

dat = data.frame(
  X,
  ydum
)
colnames(dat) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3", "Y")

#RSS to Minimize Using Optim Function
min_RSS = function(data, par){
  with(data, sum( (par[1] + par[2] * X1 + par[3] * X2 + par[4] * X3 - ydum)^2 ))
}

linear_coef_df = data.frame(
  optim(par = c(0, 1, 1, 1), min_RSS, data = dat)$par,
  linear_reg$coefficients
)
colnames(linear_coef_df) = c("Optim Linear Function", "lm Linear Function")

kable(linear_coef_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	Optim Linear Function	lm Linear Function
(Intercept)	0.9108	0.9133
X1	0.1315	0.1312

	Optim Linear Function	lm Linear Function
X2	-0.1021	-0.1023
X3	0.0231	0.0232

##Writing and Optimizing Logit Model

```
logit_reg = glm(ydum ~ X1 + X2 + X3, family = binomial(link = "logit"))

sigmoid = function(x){
  return( 1 / (1 + exp(-x)) )
}

logit_cost_function = function(par){

  len = length(X)
  g = sigmoid(X %*% par)
  C = -(1 / len) * sum( ydum * log(g) + (1 - ydum) * log(1 - g))
  return(C)

}

initial_par = rep(0, ncol(X))

logit_coef_df = data.frame(
  optim(par = initial_par, fn = logit_cost_function)$par,
  logit_reg$coefficients
)

colnames(logit_coef_df) = c("Optim logit Function", "glm logit Function")

kable(logit_coef_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	Optim logit Function	glm logit Function
(Intercept)	5.5030	5.5030
X1	2.0297	2.0299
X2	-1.5910	-1.5912
X3	0.3277	0.3281

##Writing and Optimizing Probit Model

```
probit_reg = glm(ydum ~ X1 + X2 + X3, family = binomial(link = "probit"))

probit_cost_function = function(par){

  len = length(X)
  phi = pnorm(X %*% par)
  C = -(1 / len) * sum( ydum * log(phi) + (1 - ydum) * log(1 - phi) )
  return(C)

}

probit_coef_df = data.frame(
  optim(par = initial_par, fn = probit_cost_function)$par,
  probit_reg$coefficients
)
```

```
)
colnames(probit_coef_df) = c("Optim Probit Function", "glm Probit Function")

kable(probit_coef_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")
```

	Optim Probit Function	glm Probit Function
(Intercept)	3.0839	3.0846
X1	1.1296	1.1296
X2	-0.8884	-0.8885
X3	0.1837	0.1830

Interpretation:

Linear Regression:

Values from the optim function and the base package lm function are not different at all. These differences can be considered negligible.

X1: An increase in X1 increases the ydum variable.
 X2: An increase in X2 decreases the ydum variable.
 X3: An increase in X3 increases the ydum variable.

Logistic Regression:

Values from the optim function and the base package glm function are not different at all. These differences can be considered negligible.

X1: An increase in X1 increases the likelihood of ydum = 1.
 X2: An increase in X2 decreases the likelihood of ydum = 1.
 X3: An increase in X3 increases the likelihood of ydum = 1.

Probit Regression:

Values from the optim function and the base package glm function are not different at all. These differences can be considered negligible.

X1: An increase in X1 increases the likelihood of ydum = 1.
 X2: An increase in X2 decreases the likelihood of ydum = 1.
 X3: An increase in X3 increases the likelihood of ydum = 1.

Exercise 5

```
#Probit Model Marginal Effects
probit_x_beta = predict(probit_reg, type = "link")
```



```

probit_pdf = dnorm(probit_x_beta) %>% mean()
probit_marginal = probit_pdf * probit_reg$coefficients

#logit Model Marginal Effects
logit_x_beta = predict(logit_reg, type = "link")
logit_pdf = dlogis(logit_x_beta) %>% mean()
logit_marginal = logit_pdf * logit_reg$coefficients

#Summary Table
marginals_df = data.frame(
  probit_marginal,
  logit_marginal
)
colnames(marginals_df) = c("Probit", "Logit")

kable(marginals_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")

```

	Probit	Logit
(Intercept)	0.3741	0.3726
X1	0.1370	0.1374
X2	-0.1078	-0.1077
X3	0.0222	0.0222

```

#Bootstrap for Probit
boot_probit_coef = list()
for(i in 1:49){

  boot_probit_x_beta = sample(probit_x_beta, n, replace = TRUE)
  boot_probit_pdf = dnorm(boot_probit_x_beta) %>% mean()
  boot_probit_marginal = boot_probit_pdf * probit_reg$coefficients

  boot_probit_coef[[i]] = boot_probit_marginal
}

#Bootstrap for Logit
boot_logit_coef = list()
for(i in 1:49){

  boot_logit_x_beta = sample(logit_x_beta, n, replace = TRUE)
  boot_logit_pdf = dnorm(boot_logit_x_beta) %>% mean()
  boot_logit_marginal = boot_logit_pdf * logit_reg$coefficients

  boot_logit_coef[[i]] = boot_logit_marginal
}

#Making Bootstrap Values into a Dataframe
boot_probit_temp_df = map(boot_probit_coef, data.frame)
boot_probit_coef_df = do.call("cbind", boot_probit_temp_df)
colnames(boot_probit_coef_df) = paste("sample", 1:49, sep = "")

```

```

boot_logit_temp_df = map(boot_logit_coef, data.frame)
boot_logit_coef_df = do.call("cbind", boot_logit_temp_df)
colnames(boot_logit_coef_df) = paste("sample", 1:49, sep = "")

#Calculating SD for Probit
bootstrap_probit_sd = apply(boot_probit_coef_df, 1, FUN = sd) %>% as.data.frame()
colnames(bootstrap_probit_sd) = "Probit SD of Bootstrap 49"
rownames(bootstrap_probit_sd) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")
kable(bootstrap_probit_sd, digits = 4, format = "markdown")

```

Probit SD of Bootstrap 49	
Int	0.0051
X1	0.0019
X2	0.0015
X3	0.0003

```

#Calculating SD for Logit
bootstrap_logit_sd = apply(boot_logit_coef_df, 1, FUN = sd) %>% as.data.frame()
colnames(bootstrap_logit_sd) = "Logit SD of Bootstrap 49"
rownames(bootstrap_logit_sd) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")
kable(bootstrap_logit_sd, digits = 4, format = "markdown")

```

Logit SD of Bootstrap 49	
Int	0.0061
X1	0.0023
X2	0.0018
X3	0.0004

```

#Delta Method

##Computing Covariance Matrix of Probit Regression
v = vcov(probit_reg)

##Function to be used for Gradient
g = function(x){

  probit_pdf = dnorm(X %*% x) %>% mean()
  probit_marginal = probit_pdf * x

  return(probit_marginal)
}

##Gradient
gradient_g = numDeriv::jacobian(g, probit_reg$coefficients)

##Table of Delta Method SD
Delta_df = gradient_g %*%
  v %*%
  t(gradient_g) %>%
  diag() %>%

```

```

sqrt() %>%
  as.data.frame()
colnames(Delta_df) = "Delta Method SD"
rownames(Delta_df) = c("Int", "X1", "X2", "X3")

kable(Delta_df, digits = 4, format = "markdown")

```

Delta Method SD	
Int	0.0094
X1	0.0044
X2	0.0004
X3	0.0057