# ECON-613 HW #3

Peter Kim 2019-03-18

#### Exercise1

```
#Reading Data
data(margarine)
#Subsetting Data
mar_choicePrice = margarine$choicePrice %>% as.data.frame()
mar_demos = margarine$demos %>% as.data.frame()
#Average and Spread by Columns
avg_col = mar_choicePrice[, 3:12] %>% colMeans()
spread_col = mar_choicePrice[, 3:12] %>% apply(MARGIN = 2, FUN = sd)
#Dataframe of Average and Spread by Columns
avg_spread_col_df = data.frame(
 avg_col,
 spread_col
colnames(avg_spread_col_df) = c("average", "spread")
#Table of Average and Spread by Columns
kable(avg_spread_col_df, digits = 4,
      caption = "Table of Average and Spread by Column")
```

Table 1: Table of Average and Spread by Column

	average	spread
PPk_Stk	0.5184	0.1505
$PBB\_Stk$	0.5432	0.1203
$PFl\_Stk$	1.0150	0.0429
$PHse\_Stk$	0.4371	0.1188
$PGen\_Stk$	0.3453	0.0352
$PImp\_Stk$	0.7808	0.1146
PSS_Tub	0.8251	0.0612
PPk_Tub	1.0774	0.0297
PFl_Tub	1.1894	0.0141
$PHse\_Tub$	0.5687	0.0725

```
#Identifying Unique Brands
brands = sub("_.*", "", colnames(mar_choicePrice))[3:12] %>% unique()

#Indices of Each Brand
index1 = grepl(brands[1], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
index2 = grepl(brands[2], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
```

```
index3 = grepl(brands[3], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
index4 = grepl(brands[4], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
index5 = grepl(brands[5], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
index6 = grepl(brands[6], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
index7 = grepl(brands[7], colnames(mar_choicePrice)) %>% which()
#Average and Spread by Brand
b1 = mar_choicePrice[, index1] %>% gather(key) %>% .[, 2]
b2 = mar choicePrice[, index2]
b3 = mar_choicePrice[, index3] %>% gather(key) %>% .[, 2]
b4 = mar_choicePrice[, index4] %>% gather(key) %>% .[, 2]
b5 = mar_choicePrice[, index5]
b6 = mar_choicePrice[, index6]
b7 = mar_choicePrice[, index7]
#Table of Average and Spread by Brand
brand_avg_sd_df = data.frame(
  c(brands[1:7]),
  c(mean(b1), mean(b2), mean(b3), mean(b4), mean(b5), mean(b6), mean(b7)),
  c(sd(b1), sd(b2), sd(b3), sd(b4), sd(b5), sd(b6), sd(b7))
colnames(brand_avg_sd_df) = c("Brand", "Mean", "Sd")
kable(brand_avg_sd_df, digits = 4,
      caption = "Table of Average and Spread by Brand")
```

Table 2: Table of Average and Spread by Brand

Brand	Mean	$\operatorname{Sd}$
PPk	0.7979	0.2998
PBB	0.5432	0.1203
PFl	1.1022	0.0928
PHse	0.5029	0.1184
PGen	0.3453	0.0352
PImp	0.7808	0.1146
PSS	0.8251	0.0612

Table 3: Table of Average and Spread (Var) by Stick and Tubs

	mean	var
Stick	0.6066	0.0622
Tub	0.9151	0.0599

Table 4: Table of Market Share Based on Products

	Market Share
PPk_Stk	0.3951
$PBB\_Stk$	0.1564
$PFl\_Stk$	0.0544
$PHse\_Stk$	0.1327
$PGen\_Stk$	0.0705
$PImp\_Stk$	0.0166
PSS_Tub	0.0714
$PPk\_Tub$	0.0454
PFl_Tub	0.0503
$PHse\_Tub$	0.0074

```
#Finding Indices of Sticks and Tubs
stk_idx = colnames(mar_choicePrice[3:12]) %>%
  ends_with(match = "stk", ignore.case = TRUE)
tub_idx = colnames(mar_choicePrice[3:12]) %>%
  ends_with(match = "tub", ignore.case = TRUE)

#Calculating the Total Numbers of Sticks and Tubs
stk_tot = table(mar_choicePrice$choice)[stk_idx] %>% sum()
tub_tot = table(mar_choicePrice$choice)[tub_idx] %>% sum()

#Calculating Market Shares Based on Sticks and Tubs
market_share_char = data.frame(
  c(stk_tot / dim(mar_choicePrice)[1], tub_tot / dim(mar_choicePrice)[1])
)
```

Table 5: Table of Market Shares Based on Sticks vs. Tubs

	Market Share
Sticks	0.8255
Tubs	0.1745

```
#Defining Table of Choices
tb = table(mar_choicePrice$choice)
#Calculating Market Share of Each Brand
mark1 = tb[index1 - 2] %>% sum() / 4470
mark2 = tb[index2 - 2] %>% sum() / 4470
mark3 = tb[index3 - 2] %>% sum() / 4470
mark4 = tb[index4 - 2] \%>\% sum() / 4470
mark5 = tb[index5 - 2] \% sum() / 4470
mark6 = tb[index6 - 2] \% sum() / 4470
mark7 = tb[index7 - 2] %>% sum() / 4470
#Dataframe of Frequency of Each Brand
brand df = data.frame(
  c(brands[1:7]),
  c(mark1, mark2, mark3, mark4, mark5, mark6, mark7)
colnames(brand_df) = c("Brands", "Marketshare")
kable(brand_df, digits = 4, caption = "Market Share by Brand")
```

Table 6: Market Share by Brand

Brands	Marketshare
PPk	0.4405
PBB	0.1564
PFl	0.1047
PHse	0.1400
PGen	0.0705
PImp	0.0166
PSS	0.0714

```
#Replacing NA's with 0
choices_Income_df[is.na(choices_Income_df)] = 0

#Calculating Market Share by Income
Income_market_df = data.frame(
    colnames(mar_choicePrice[, 3:12]),
    apply(choices_Income_df[, 2:15], 2, function(x) x / sum(x))
)
colnames(Income_market_df) =
    c("Brands", mar_demos$Income %>% unique() %>% sort())

kable(Income_market_df[, 1:6], digits = 4,
    caption = "Mapping Between Observed Attributes and Choices")
```

Table 7: Mapping Between Observed Attributes and Choices

Brands	2.5	7.5	12.5	17.5	22.5
PPk_Stk	0.38	0.3966	0.3960	0.4697	0.3464
$PBB\_Stk$	0.08	0.1831	0.2141	0.1477	0.1459
$PFl\_Stk$	0.00	0.0441	0.0828	0.0399	0.0403
$PHse\_Stk$	0.04	0.1153	0.0889	0.1640	0.1827
$PGen\_Stk$	0.12	0.0644	0.0465	0.0310	0.1459
$PImp\_Stk$	0.00	0.0068	0.0182	0.0074	0.0024
PSS_Tub	0.32	0.0915	0.0808	0.0798	0.0486
PPk_Tub	0.02	0.0203	0.0162	0.0281	0.0427
PFl_Tub	0.04	0.0746	0.0505	0.0295	0.0356
$PHse\_Tub$	0.00	0.0034	0.0061	0.0030	0.0095

Table 8: Mapping Between Observed Attributes and Choices

27.5	32.5	37.5	42.5	47.5	55	67.5	87.5	130
0.4097	0.3807	0.4731	0.4125	0.4415	0.2338	0.3725	0.2432	0.1923
0.1975	0.1530	0.1219	0.1089	0.1170	0.1493	0.0784	0.2703	0.0385
0.0189	0.0510	0.0609	0.1089	0.1223	0.0547	0.0196	0.0811	0.1154
0.1408	0.1166	0.1039	0.0759	0.0851	0.1592	0.1569	0.0270	0.3077
0.0378	0.0984	0.0824	0.0198	0.0372	0.0348	0.1176	0.0000	0.0769
0.0126	0.0073	0.0036	0.0660	0.0904	0.0149	0.0392	0.0270	0.0769
0.0504	0.0893	0.0538	0.0891	0.0319	0.0597	0.1373	0.0270	0.0000
0.0525	0.0346	0.0502	0.0693	0.0479	0.2090	0.0588	0.0000	0.0000
0.0714	0.0601	0.0323	0.0462	0.0106	0.0846	0.0000	0.3243	0.1923
0.0084	0.0091	0.0179	0.0033	0.0160	0.0000	0.0196	0.0000	0.0000

#### Comment

For mapping between observed attributes and choices, I wanted to know which product has been purchased the most based on each income category.

#### Exercise2

For this exercise, I propose to use a conditional logit model.

According to the class slide, for conditional logit model,

$$p_{ij} = \frac{exp(x_{ij}\beta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{m} exp(x_{il}\beta)}$$

According to page 496 of textbook,

$$L(\beta \mid x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{y_{ij}}$$

But before we calculate the likelihood, we have to calculate the indicator variable  $y_{ij}$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., 4470\}$  and  $j \in \{1, ..., 10\}$ . y represents column "choice" in the dataset.

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & y = j \\ 0 & y \neq j \end{cases}$$

Now, we implement the conditional logit likelihood.

```
#Condtional Logit Likelihood Function
cond_logit_lik = function(beta){

#Intercept Matrix
alpha = matrix( rep( c(0, beta[1:9]), each = n ), nrow = n, ncol = 10)

#Non-Intercept Matrix
xbeta = as.matrix( mar_choicePrice[, 3:12] ) * beta[10]

#Calculating XB
XB = alpha + xbeta
```

```
#Calculating Numerator
N = exp(XB)

#Calculating Denominator
D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()

#Calculating Probability p
p_ij = N / D

#Calculating Likelihood
likelihood = prod( p_ij^(y) )

return(likelihood)
}
```

The log likelihood of the conditional logit model is the following. Note that negative of the log likelihood value is returned.

```
#Conditional Logit Log Likelihood
cond_logit_log_lik = function(beta){
  #Intercept Matrix
  alpha = matrix(rep(c(0, beta[1:9]), each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10)
  #Non-Intercept Matrix
  xbeta = as.matrix( mar_choicePrice[, 3:12] ) * beta[10]
  #Calculating XB
  XB = alpha + xbeta
  \#Calculating\ Numerator
  N = exp(XB)
  #Calculating Denominator
  D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()
  #Calculating Probability p
  p_{ij} = N / D
  #Calculating log Likelihood
  log_lik = sum(y * log(p_ij))
  return(-log_lik)
```

Since the likelihood and log likelihood functions are defined above, we now optimize the model and present the results. Note that  $\hat{\alpha}$ 's represent intercepts.

```
#Dimension Calculation for Following Intercept Matrix
n = nrow( mar_choicePrice )
#Initializing Parameters
b = rep(-1.8, 10)
```

Table 9: Price Coefficient Under Conditional Logit

	Optimized Coefficient
$\frac{\overline{\hat{\alpha}_1}}{\hat{\alpha}_1}$	-0.8600
$\hat{lpha}_2$	1.2275
$\hat{lpha}_3$	-1.6640
$\hat{lpha}_4$	-2.7915
$\hat{lpha}_{5}$	-1.8773
$\hat{lpha}_6$	0.1990
$\hat{lpha}_7$	1.3123
$\hat{lpha}_8$	1.9900
$\hat{lpha}_{9}$	-3.8600
$\hat{\beta}_{price}$	-6.3206

#### Interpretation

According to the table,  $\hat{\beta}_{price} = -6.3206$ . This means that the likelihood of product being purchased decreases as the price increases.

#### Exercise3

For this exercise, I propose to use multinomial logit model.

According to page 494 of the textbook, for multinomial logit model,

$$p_{ij} = \frac{exp(\alpha_j + \beta_{Ij}I_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} exp(\alpha_k + \beta_{Ik}I_i)}$$

where I denotes income.

According to page 496 of textbook,

$$L(\beta \mid x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{y_{ij}}$$

Since we have calculated y in exercise 2, we proceed to implementing the multinomial logit likelihood. We also merge choicePrice data frame and demos data frame here.

```
#Merging Data to Put Choice and Income in One Data Frame
merged_data = left_join(x = mar_choicePrice, y = mar_demos, by = "hhid")
#Choice and Income Data Frame
choice_I_df = merged_data %>%
  select(choice, Income) %>%
 arrange(Income)
#Defining Variable I
I = choice_I_df$Income
#Multinomial Logit Likelihood
multi_logit_lik = function(beta){
  #Intercept Matrix
  alpha = matrix( rep( beta[1:10], each = n ), nrow = n, ncol = 10 )
  #Non-Intercept Matrix
  xbeta = matrix(rep(beta[11:20], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10) * I
  #Calculating XB
  XB = alpha + xbeta
  #Calculating Numerator
  N = \exp(XB)
  #Calculating Denominator
  D = \exp(XB) \%\% \text{ rowSums}()
  #Calculating Probability p
  p_{ij} = N / D
  #Calculating Likelihood
  likelihood = prod( p_ij^(y) )
  return(likelihood)
```

We now calculate the log likelihood.

```
#Multinomial Logit Log Likelihood
multi_logit_log_lik = function(betas){

#Intercept Matrix
alpha = matrix( rep( betas[1:10], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10 )

#Non-Intercept Matrix
xbeta = matrix( rep( betas[11:20], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10 ) * I

#Calculating XB
XB = alpha + xbeta
```

```
#Calculating Numerator
N = exp(XB)

#Calculating Denominator
D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()

#Calculating Probability p
p_ij = N / D

#Calculating log Likelihood
log_logit = sum( y*log( p_ij ) )

return(-log_logit)
}
```

Since the likelihood and loglikelihood functions are defined above, we now optimize the model.

Table 10: Coefficients Under Multinomial Logit

	Optimized $\hat{\alpha}$	Optimzed $\hat{\beta}$
Reference	-0.1616	0.0034
2	-0.9018	-0.0005
3	-1.5586	-0.0175
4	-1.3626	0.0058
5	-2.1882	0.0163
6	-3.4089	0.0012
7	-1.8481	0.0025
8	-2.0928	-0.0082
9	-2.0756	-0.0066
10	-4.1647	0.0020

#### Interpretation

 $\beta_{Income_2}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 1 than choice 2 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_3}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 1 than choice 3 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_4}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 4 than choice 1 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_5}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 5 than choice 1 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_6}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 6 than choice 1 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_7}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 7 than choice 1 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_8}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 1 than choice 8 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_9}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 1 than choice 9 if his or her income increases.  $\beta_{Income_{10}}$ : It is more likely for an individual to choose choice 1 than choice 9 if his or her income increases.

## Exercise4

For conditional logit, the marginal effect is computed as the following.

```
#Conditional Logit Log Likelihood
cond_logit_log_lik2 = function(beta){
  #Intercept Matrix
  alpha = matrix(rep(c(0, beta[1:9]), each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10)
  #Non-Intercept Matrix
  xbeta = as.matrix( mar choicePrice[, 3:12] ) * beta[10]
  #Calculating XB
  XB = alpha + xbeta
  #Calculating Numerator
  N = exp(XB)
  #Calculating Denominator
  D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()
  #Calculating Probability p
  p_{ij} = N / D
  #Calculating log Likelihood
  log_lik = sum(y * log(p_ij))
```

```
return(p_ij)
}
#Saving P from Conditional Logit Log Likelihood
P = cond_logit_log_lik2(cond_opt_df$`Optimized Coefficient`)
#Saving Price Beta from Estimated Coefficient
beta = cond_opt_df$`Optimized Coefficient`[10]
#Allocating Memory for Conditional Marginal
conditional_marginal = matrix(NA, nrow = 10, ncol = 10)
#Calculating Average Marginal Effect
for( j in 1:10 ){
  for( k in 1:10 ){
    delta = ifelse(j == k, 1, 0)
    conditional_marginal[j, k] = mean(P[, j] * (delta - P[, k]) * beta)
 }
}
cond_marg_df = conditional_marginal %>% as.data.frame()
kable(cond_marg_df[,1:5], digits = 4, caption =
        "Average Marginal Effect Under Conditional")
```

Table 11: Average Marginal Effect Under Conditional

V1	V2	V3	V4	V5
-1.2343	0.3009	0.1235	0.2806	0.1530
0.3009	-0.7510	0.0599	0.1340	0.0756
0.1235	0.0599	-0.3437	0.0515	0.0315
0.2806	0.1340	0.0515	-0.6809	0.0625
0.1530	0.0756	0.0315	0.0625	-0.4186
0.0263	0.0125	0.0053	0.0115	0.0063
0.1498	0.0718	0.0304	0.0619	0.0377
0.0949	0.0461	0.0200	0.0376	0.0244
0.0892	0.0434	0.0186	0.0355	0.0233
0.0161	0.0070	0.0031	0.0057	0.0043

```
kable(cond_marg_df[,6:10], digits = 4, caption =
    "Average Marginal Effect Under Conditional")
```

Table 12: Average Marginal Effect Under Conditional

V6	V7	V8	V9	V10
0.0263	0.1498	0.0949	0.0892	0.0161
0.0125	0.0718	0.0461	0.0434	0.0070
0.0053	0.0304	0.0200	0.0186	0.0031
0.0115	0.0619	0.0376	0.0355	0.0057

V6	V7	V8	V9	V10
0.0063	0.0377	0.0244	0.0233	0.0043
-0.0760	0.0061	0.0038	0.0036	0.0006
0.0061	-0.4092	0.0249	0.0227	0.0041
0.0038	0.0249	-0.2702	0.0157	0.0028
0.0036	0.0227	0.0157	-0.2545	0.0026
0.0006	0.0041	0.0028	0.0026	-0.0461

### Interpretation

Each increase in price decreases the probability of choosing jth choice but increases the probability of choosing non-jth choice.

For multinomial logit, the marginal effect is calculated as the following.

```
#Multinomial Logit Log Likelihood
multi_logit_log_lik2 = function(betas){
  #Intercept Matrix
  alpha = matrix( rep( betas[1:10], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10 )
  #Non-Intercept Matrix
  xbeta = matrix(rep(betas[11:20], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10) * I
  #Calculating XB
  XB = alpha + xbeta
  #Calculating Numerator
  N = exp(XB)
  #Calculating Denominator
  D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()
  #Calculating Probability p
  p_{ij} = N / D
  #Calculating log Likelihood
  log_logit = sum( y*log( p_ij ) )
  return(p_ij)
}
#Probability from Multinomial
multi_P = multi_logit_log_lik2(multi_opt$par)
#Betas
multi_beta = multi_opt$par[11:20]
#Calculating Beta-Bar
beta_bar = multi_P %*% (multi_beta)
#Allocating Memory for Multinomial Marginal Effect
```

Table 13: Average Marginal Effect Under Multinomial Logit

Average	Marginal Effect
	-8e-04
	-3e-04
	-1e-04
	-3e-04
	-2e-04
	0e+00
	-1e-04
	-1e-04
	-1e-04
	0e+00

#### Interpretaion

Each one-unit increase in income changes the probability of selecting jth choice by the given magnitude.

## Exercise5

The following is the mixed logit log likelihood function.

```
mixed_logit_log_lik = function(beta){
    #Intercept Matrix
    alpha = matrix( rep( beta[1:10], each = n ), nrow = n, ncol = 10)

#Non-Intercept Matrix
    xbeta_I = matrix( rep( beta[11:20], each = n), nrow = n, ncol = 10 ) * I
    xbeta_p = as.matrix( mar_choicePrice[, 3:12] ) * beta[21]

#Calculating XB
    XB = alpha + xbeta_p + xbeta_I

#Calculating Numerator
    N = exp(XB)

#Calculating Denominator
```

```
D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()

#Calculating Probability p
p_ij = N / D

#Calculating log Likelihood
log_lik = sum( y * log( p_ij ) )

return(-log_lik)
}
```

Here, we optimize the log likelihood function.

```
#Initial Values
betas = c(0, -1, 1, -2, -3, -2, 0.5, 1, 2, -4,
        rep(0, 10), -6)
#Defining I
I = choice_I_df$Income
#Optimizing Log Likelihood Function
opt1 = optim(par = betas, fn = mixed_logit_log_lik)
opt_df = data.frame(
 c(opt1$par[1:10], opt1$par[11:20], opt1$par[21])
colnames(opt_df) = c("Optimzed $\\hat{\\beta}^{f}$")
rownames(opt_df) = c("Intercept Reference",
                     paste("Intercept", 2:10, sep = ""),
                     "Income Reference",
                     paste("Income", 2:10, sep = ""),
                           "Price")
kable(opt_df, digits = 4, caption = "Coefficients Under Mixed Logit")
```

Table 14: Coefficients Under Mixed Logit

	Optimzed $\hat{\beta}^f$
Intercept Reference	-0.0657
Intercept2	-1.0966
Intercept3	1.2344
Intercept4	-1.6447
Intercept5	-2.9809
Intercept6	-2.0062
Intercept7	0.4405
Intercept8	1.3248
Intercept9	2.1570
Intercept10	-4.0409
Income Reference	0.0011
Income2	0.0036
Income3	-0.0095
Income4	-0.0036
Income5	0.0032
Income6	0.0053

	Optimzed $\hat{\beta}^f$
Income7	-0.0066
Income8	-0.0049
Income9	-0.0007
Income10	0.0106
Price	-6.3491

Here, we also implement mixed logit log likelihood. But, this time, we compute the mixed logit log likelihood after removing choice 10.

```
#Dataframe After Removing Choice 1
choicePrice2 = merged_data %>%
  filter(choice != 10)
n2 = nrow(choicePrice2)
#Allocating Memory for Matrix y
y2 = matrix(0, nrow = dim(choicePrice2)[1],
           ncol = dim(choicePrice2[, 3:11])[2])
#Calculating y
for( j in seq(1, 10) ){
  for( i in 1:dim(choicePrice2)[1] ){
   if(choicePrice2$choice[i] == j){
       y2[i, j] = 1
   }
#New Mixed Logit Log Likelihood
mixed_logit_log_lik2 = function(beta){
  #Intercept Matrix
  alpha = matrix( rep( beta[1:9], each = n2 ), nrow = n2, ncol = 9)
  \#Non-Intercept\ Matrix
  xbeta_I = matrix( rep( beta[11:19], each = n2), nrow = n2, ncol = 9 ) *
   choicePrice2$Income
  xbeta_p = as.matrix( choicePrice2[, 3:11] ) * beta[21]
  #Calculating XB
  XB = alpha + xbeta_p + xbeta_I
  #Calculating Numerator
  N = \exp(XB)
  #Calculating Denominator
 D = exp(XB) %>% rowSums()
  #Calculating Probability p
 p_{ij} = N / D
```

```
#Calculating log Likelihood
log_lik = sum( y2 * log( p_ij ) )
return(-log_lik)
}
```

We optimize the removed mixed logit log likelihood.

```
#Initial Values
betas = c(0, -1, 1, -2, -3, -2, 0.5, 1, 2, -4,
         rep(0, 10), -6)
#Defining I
I = choice_I_df$Income
#Optimizing Log Likelihood Function
opt2 = optim(par = betas, fn = mixed_logit_log_lik2)
opt2_df = data.frame(
  c(opt2$par[1:9], opt2$par[11:19], opt2$par[21])
colnames(opt2_df) = c("Optimzed $\\hat{\\beta}^{r}$")
rownames(opt2_df) = c("Intercept Reference",
                     paste("Intercept", 2:9, sep = ""),
                     "Income Reference",
                     paste("Income", 2:9, sep = ""),
                           "Price")
kable(opt2_df, digits = 4, caption = "Coefficients Under Removed Mixed Logit")
```

Table 15: Coefficients Under Removed Mixed Logit

	Optimzed $\hat{\beta}^r$
Intercept Reference	-0.1349
Intercept2	-0.8298
Intercept3	1.1196
Intercept4	-1.7036
Intercept5	-2.8424
Intercept6	-1.5126
Intercept7	0.4236
Intercept8	0.9796
Intercept9	2.0424
Income Reference	0.0067
Income2	0.0001
Income3	0.0053
Income4	0.0020
Income5	0.0030
Income6	0.0078
Income7	-0.0033
Income8	0.0148
Income9	0.0093
Price	-6.4081

We compute test statistics here. Note that the deviance follows a  $\chi^2$  distribution.

Table 16: Test Stiatistics

MTT -83.6055

```
#Acceptance / Rejection
pchisq(MTT, df = length(opt2$par[c(1:9, 11:19, 21)]), lower.tail = F)
## [1] 1
```

## Conclusion

Since the test shows p-value of 1, IIA holds.