

# CAPSTONE PROJECT PROPOSAL

## Customer Segmentation and Acquisition Optimization for Direct Marketing

**Student Name:** Aminat Shotade

**Date:** 14<sup>th</sup>, October 14, 2025

**Program:** Udacity Data Science Nanodegree

---

### 1. Domain Background

Direct marketing through mail-order campaigns has been a cornerstone of customer acquisition for decades. This project addresses a real-world business problem faced by Bertelsmann Arvato Analytics, helping a German mail-order company optimize marketing efficiency.

Traditional direct marketing campaigns operate with response rates of 1-3% (Stone & Jacobs, 2008), meaning significant resource waste. Machine learning enables companies to target high-propensity customers with greater precision. Market segmentation theory (Smith, 1956) suggests that dividing heterogeneous markets into homogeneous sub-markets improves marketing efficiency.

This project combines unsupervised learning for customer segmentation with supervised learning for response prediction, demonstrating how data science transforms business operations from reactive to proactive.

### 2. Problem Statement

**How can a mail-order company efficiently identify individuals from the German population who are most likely to become customers?**

The company currently employs broad, untargeted campaigns with 1-2% response rates, wasting 98-99% of marketing expenditure. Two fundamental gaps exist:

1. **Segmentation Gap:** Lack of systematic understanding of which demographic segments align with existing customers
2. **Prediction Gap:** Inability to reliably predict campaign responses before investment

#### Quantifiable Aspects:

- Current response rate: ~1-2% (baseline)
- Target: 2-3x improvement through targeting
- Dataset: 891,221 population, 191,652 customers, 42,982 campaign targets

The problem is measurable (ROC-AUC, response rates), quantifiable (percentage improvements), and replicable (standardized data and methodology).

### 3. Solution Statement

A two-stage machine learning pipeline combining unsupervised customer segmentation with supervised response prediction:

#### Stage 1: Customer Segmentation

- PCA for dimensionality reduction ( $366 \rightarrow \sim 85$  components)
- K-Means clustering (10-15 segments)
- Output: Over/under-represented customer segments

#### Stage 2: Response Prediction

- Ensemble classification (Random Forest, Gradient Boosting)
- Input: Campaign targets with engineered features
- Output: Probability scores for targeting

#### Quantifiable Goals:

- PCA: Retain 85% variance
- Clustering: Silhouette score  $> 0.3$
- Classification: ROC-AUC  $\geq 0.70$
- Business: Identify top 20% containing 40-60% of responders

The solution is measurable, replicable (fixed random seeds), and appropriate for direct marketing applications.

### 4. Datasets and Inputs

Four datasets from Bertelsmann Arvato Analytics:

#### 1. AZDIAS (General Population): $891,221 \times 366$ features

- Represents German demographic distribution
- Person, household, building, neighborhood attributes

#### 2. CUSTOMERS: $191,652 \times 369$ features

- Existing customer demographics
- Additional: customer group, online purchase, product preferences

#### 3. MAILOUT\_TRAIN: $42,982 \times 367$ features

- Campaign recipients with RESPONSE labels (0/1)
- Highly imbalanced ( $\sim 1$ -2% positive)

#### 4. MAILOUT\_TEST: $42,833 \times 366$ features

- Test data for Kaggle evaluation
- RESPONSE withheld

**Feature Documentation:** Two Excel files detail attribute meanings and encoded values.

#### Data Challenges:

- Missing values encoded as -1, 0, 9, 'X'
- High dimensionality (366 features)
- Class imbalance (1-2% response rate)
- Mixed data types

**Preprocessing Requirements:** Missing value handling, feature selection (drop >80% missing), imputation, scaling, dimensionality reduction.

## 5. Benchmark Model

#### Primary Benchmark: Random Selection

- Method: Random individual selection
- Expected ROC-AUC: 0.50
- Expected response rate: 1-2%
- Represents current state without targeting

#### Secondary Benchmarks:

- Demographic filters (heuristics): ROC-AUC 0.55-0.60
- Basic logistic regression: ROC-AUC 0.65-0.70

#### Industry Standards:

- Good: 0.70-0.75 ROC-AUC
- Excellent: 0.75-0.80 ROC-AUC
- Outstanding: >0.80 ROC-AUC

**Success Criteria:** Achieve ROC-AUC  $\geq 0.70$ , demonstrating 2-3x improvement in targeted response rates with interpretable customer segments.

## 6. Evaluation Metrics

#### Primary: ROC-AUC (Area Under ROC Curve)

- Threshold-independent evaluation
- Robust to class imbalance
- Range: 0.5 (random) to 1.0 (perfect)
- Target:  $\geq 0.70$

## Secondary Metrics:

*For Classification:*

- **Precision@20%**: Response rate among top-scored individuals
- **Lift@20%**: Improvement over random selection (target:  $\geq 2.0x$ )
- **Recall@20%**: Percentage of responders captured

*For Segmentation:*

- **Silhouette Score**: Cluster quality (target:  $>0.3$ )
- **Explained Variance**: PCA information retention (target:  $\geq 85\%$ )
- **Distribution Ratio**: Customer over-representation ( $>1.5$  indicates target segment)

All metrics are interpretable, business-relevant, and appropriate for imbalanced classification and unsupervised learning evaluation.

## 7. Project Design

### Phase 0: Data Preparation

1. Load datasets (AZDIAS, CUSTOMERS, MAILOUT\_TRAIN)
2. Exploratory analysis: missing patterns, distributions, balance
3. Preprocessing: convert missing codes, drop high-missing features/rows, impute, remove categorical/constant features
4. Validation: ensure numeric, no NaN/inf, alignment

### Phase 1: Customer Segmentation

1. Feature scaling (StandardScaler)
2. PCA: test variance retention, select ~85 components
3. Optimal K selection: elbow method, silhouette scores (K=10-15)
4. K-Means clustering on AZDIAS, predict for CUSTOMERS
5. Segment analysis: compare distributions, identify high/low-value clusters
6. Interpretation: characterize top segments

### Phase 2: Response Prediction

1. Preprocess MAILOUT\_TRAIN (same pipeline)
2. Apply fitted transformations (scaler, PCA, K-Means)
3. Feature engineering: PCA components, cluster membership, high/low-value flags, one-hot encoded clusters
4. Handle imbalance: SMOTE + RandomUnderSampler
5. Train models: Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting
6. Evaluate: ROC-AUC, precision-recall, confusion matrix
7. Select best model, analyze feature importance

### Phase 3: Test Predictions

1. Load MAILOUT\_TEST
2. Apply full pipeline
3. Generate predictions
4. Create Kaggle submission file

#### **Algorithm Selection:**

- PCA: Fast, interpretable, handles correlation
- K-Means: Scalable, well-defined centroids
- Gradient Boosting: Handles complexity, robust to imbalance

#### **Expected Challenges:**

- High dimensionality → PCA
- Missing data → Systematic imputation
- Class imbalance → SMOTE + class weights
- Interpretability → Focus on cluster insights

#### **Success Indicators:**

- ROC-AUC  $\geq 0.70$
- Clear customer segments
- Actionable business recommendations
- Reproducible pipeline

---

#### **References:**

- Smith, W. R. (1956). Product differentiation and market segmentation. *Journal of Marketing*, 21(1), 3-8.
- Stone, B., & Jacobs, R. (2008). *Successful direct marketing methods*. McGraw-Hill.