

## Problem Statement: 07

---

In a 1000 MW boiler system, optimizing the boiler efficiency is crucial to minimize fuel consumption and reduce emissions. Boiler efficiency depends on several key parameters, including:

1. **Oxygen content in flue gas ( $O_2$ ):** This is a critical indicator of combustion efficiency. Maintaining an optimal oxygen level ensures complete combustion while avoiding excessive energy loss due to high excess air.
2. **Carbon dioxide content in flue gas ( $CO_2$ ):** High  $CO_2$  content in the flue gas indicates better combustion of carbon in fuel, which is desirable for efficiency.
3. **Flue gas temperature ( $T_{fg}$ ):** A higher flue gas temperature indicates heat loss in exhaust gases, which reduces efficiency.
4. **Unburnt carbon in ash ( $U_C$ ):** This represents incomplete combustion and reduces overall boiler efficiency.

The goal is to formulate a convex equation for **Boiler Efficiency ( $\eta$ )** based on these parameters and optimize it by varying the oxygen content ( $O_2$ ) while ensuring operational constraints.

### Mathematical Analysis:

The boiler efficiency equation can be approximated as:

$$\eta = 100 - (L_{\text{dry flue gas}} + L_{\text{unburnt carbon}} + L_{\text{radiation}})$$

Where:

1.  $L_{\text{dry flue gas}} = K_1 \cdot (T_{fg} - T_{\text{ambient}})/\text{GCV}$   
Represents the heat loss due to dry flue gas, dependent on flue gas temperature.
2.  $L_{\text{unburnt carbon}} = K_2 \cdot U_C$   
Represents the heat loss due to unburnt carbon in ash.
3.  $L_{\text{radiation}} = K_3$   
A constant representing radiation loss.

Given constants:

- $K_1 = 0.5$
- $K_2 = 0.8$
- $K_3 = 1.5$
- $\text{GCV (Gross Calorific Value)} = 25000 \text{ kJ/kg}$
- $T_{\text{ambient}} = 30^\circ \text{ C}$

Constraints:

- $3\% \leq O_2 \leq 7\%$  (to ensure complete combustion)
- $T_{fg}$  is measured in  $120^\circ \text{ C} \leq T_{fg} \leq 180^\circ \text{ C}$
- $U_C$  is measured in  $0.5\% \leq U_C \leq 1.5\%$

The negative value in the optimization function is used because the optimization process in many libraries, like `scipy.optimize.minimize`, **by default minimizes a function**. If you want to maximize something, you can turn it into a minimization problem by negating the function.

### Why?

If we want to **maximize the boiler efficiency ( $\eta$ )**, we cannot directly use `scipy.optimize.minimize` because it minimizes by nature. To handle this:

- The boiler efficiency function is negated, so the optimizer minimizes the **negative efficiency**.
- Mathematically:

maximize  $\eta$  is equivalent to minimizing  $-\eta$

## Boiler Efficiency Equation:

The boiler efficiency  $\eta$  is given by:

$$\eta = 100 - (L_{\text{dry flue gas}} + L_{\text{unburnt carbon}} + L_{\text{radiation}})$$

Where:

1.  $L_{\text{dry flue gas}} = K_1 \cdot \frac{(T_{fg} - T_{\text{ambient}})}{\text{GCV}}$
2.  $L_{\text{unburnt carbon}} = K_2 \cdot U_C$
3.  $L_{\text{radiation}} = K_3$  (a constant)

Thus:

$$\eta = 100 - \left[ K_1 \cdot \frac{(T_{fg} - T_{\text{ambient}})}{\text{GCV}} + K_2 \cdot U_C + K_3 \right]$$

We are optimizing over three variables:

- $O_2$  (oxygen content in flue gas)
- $T_{fg}$  (flue gas temperature)
- $U_C$  (unburnt carbon in ash)

The radiation loss  $K_3$  is constant and does not affect convexity.

1. **Convex Functions** are crucial in optimization because:

- A local minimum is always a global minimum.
- They are easier to solve due to guaranteed convergence of optimization algorithms.

2. **Concave Functions** are useful when maximizing:

- A local maximum is always a global maximum.
- By negating the function, concave problems can be turned into convex problems (e.g., maximizing profit).