

HISTORY

- In 1900 Karl Pearson wrote a paper
 "On the Criterion that a given System of Deviations from the
 Probable in the Case of a Correlated System of Variables
 is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen
 from Random Sampling."
- The key concept is "goodness of fit"

X. On the Criterion that a given System of Deviations from the Probable in the Case of a Correlated System of Variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from Random Sampling. By Karl Pearson, F.R.S., University College, London*.

THE object of this paper is to investigate a criterion of the probability on any theory of an observed system of errors, and to apply it to the determination of goodness of fit in the case of frequency curves.

Source: http://www.economics.soton.ac.uk/staff/aldrich/1900.pdf

CHI-SQUARE OR CHI-SQUARED?

- You'll see it written both ways
- The Wikipedia article about it is titled "Pearson's Chi-squared Test"
- While Pearson does use the χ^2 notation in his paper he never assigns a term to it
- Since ½ is a single statistic, it's proper to use chi-square, notchi-squared

A Chi-square Test (also written ½)
is used to determine the probability of
an observed frequency of events given
an expected frequency.

 For example, if we flip a coin 18 times and observe that it comes up heads 12 times, can we say that this is due to chance, or do we assume that our coin is biased?





 The chi-square formula considers the sum of square distances between observed values O and expected values E, divided by each expected value:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

- For our coin example, we had heads come up 12 times out of 18 flips, with an expected frequency of 9 heads (half of 18).
- This means that tails came up 6 times, with an expected frequency of 9 tails.





• Our calculation becomes:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(12-9)^2}{9} + \frac{(6-9)^2}{9} = 2.0$$





So what does a value of 2.0 represent?


$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{\frac{heads}{(12-9)^2}}{9} + \frac{\frac{tails}{(6-9)^2}}{9} = 2.0$$






CHI-SQUARE DISTRIBUTION

 Chi-square distribution, showing x² on the x-axis and P-value on the y-axis




 χ^2 = Pearson's Cumulative Test Statistic



Chi-square Critical Values					
0.15	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.005	0.001
2.072	2.706	3.841	6.635	7.879	10.828
3.794	4.605	5.991	9.210	10.597	13.816
5.317	6.251	7.815	11.345	12.838	16.266
	2.072 3.794	0.15 0.10 2.072 2.706 3.794 4.605	0.15 0.10 0.05 2.072 2.706 3.841 3.794 4.605 5.991	0.15 0.10 0.05 0.01 2.072 2.706 3.841 6.635 3.794 4.605 5.991 9.210	0.15 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.005 2.072 2.706 3.841 6.635 7.879 3.794 4.605 5.991 9.210 10.597

CHI-SQUARE DISTRIBUTION

 A low x² value means a high correlation between the observed values and the expected values.



CHI-SQUARE TABLE

- Our coin example had a x² value of 2.0
- Degrees of freedom was (2-1) or 1
- Our critical value with 95% confidence is:

	Chi-square Critical Values					
df	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.005	0.001
1	2.072	2.706	3.841	6.635	7.879	10.828
2	3.794	4.605	5.991	9.210	10.597	13.816
3	5.317	6.251	7.815	11.345	12.838	16.266

CHI-SQUARE CONCLUSIONS

- Our null hypothesis was that 12 heads in 18 flips was statistically reasonable for a fair coin, with 95%probability.
- Since $\chi^2 = 2.0$ and $\chi^2_{critical} = 3.841$, $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{critical}$

We fail to reject the null hypothesis

- A company runs six identical servers to support its ITinfrastructure.
- Logically, the failure rate should be the same across all servers.
- Based on the following data, can we assume that the servers fail at the same rate?



- First, let's state some assumptions:
 - 1. If a server fails, it does NOT affect the probability of that server failing again, or of other servers failing
 - 2. A server either fails or it doesn't there are no "degrees of failure" to consider

Record observations:

	Server	Observed	
S	Α	46	
<u>I</u> nre	В	36	
Server Failures	С	52	
	D	26	
	Е	42	
U)	F	38	

Add up the observations:

	Server	Observed
Server Failures	Α	46
	В	36
	С	52
	D	26
	Е	42
	F	38
	Σ	240



Calculate expected values:

Server	Observed	Expected
Α	46	40
В	36	40
С	52	40
D	26	40
E	42	40
F	38	40
Σ	240	

Since we expect each server to have the same probability of failure, divide the number of observations by the number of servers to get an expected failure rate of $240 \div 6 = 40$ for each server.

• Consider thechi-square formula:

Server	Observed	Expected
Α	46	40
В	36	40
С	52	40
D	26	40
E	42	40
F	38	40
Σ	240	

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Subtract, then square, then divide:

Server	Observed	Expected	(0 – E)	(0 -E ²	(O - E) ² / E
Α	46	40	6	36	0.9
В	36	40	-4	16	0.4
С	52	40	12	144	3.6
D	26	40	-14	196	4.9
Е	42	40	2	4	0.1
F	38	40	-2	4	0.1
Σ	240				

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Add the last column:

Server	Observed	Expected	(0 – E)	$(0-\mathbf{E}^2)$	(O - E) ² /E
Α	46	40	6	36	0.9
В	36	40	-4	16	0.4
С	52	40	12	144	3.6
D	26	40	-14	196	4.9
Е	42	40	2	4	0.1
F	38	40	-2	4	0.1
Σ	240			Σ	10.0

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

$$\chi^2 = 10.0$$

Lookup our critical value:

Server	Observed
Α	46
В	36
С	52
D	26
E	42
F	38

$$\alpha = 0.05$$
 $df = (6 - 1) = 5$

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

$$\chi^2 = 10.0$$

CHI-SQUARE TABLE

Chi-square Critical Values						
		Probability of exceeding the critical value				
df	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.005	0.001
1	2.072	2.706	3.841	6.635	7.879	10.828
2	3.794	4.605	5.991	9.210	10.597	13.816
3	5.317	6.251	7.815	11.345	12.838	16.266
4	6.745	7.779	9.488	13.277	14.860	18.467
5	8.115	9.236	11.070	15.086	16.750	20.515

USING EXCEL

 To look up a critical value in Excel, for a 95% confidence level and 5 degrees of freedom:

=CHISQ.INV.RT(0.05,5)

11.0705

USING PYTHON

 To look up a critical value in Python, for a 95% confidence level and 5 degrees of freedom:

```
>>> from scipy.stats import chi2
```

>>> chi2.isf(0.05,5)

11.070497693516353

Lookup our critical value:

Server	Observed
Α	46
В	36
С	52
D	26
Е	42
F	38

$$\alpha = 0.05$$
 $df = (6 - 1) = 5$
 $\chi^{2}_{critical} = 11.070$

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

$$\chi^2 = 10.0$$

Since $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{critical}$ we fail to reject the null hypothesis Even though Server C failed twice as many times as Server D, the results show that this can happen at least 5% of the time!

WHEN NOT TO USE CHI-SQUARE

- Chi-square statistics don't perform well for small expected frequencies.
- Each cell should have a value greater than 5