On the Dangers of Autism Automation

Noah Duggan Erickson 21 March 2024

DRAFT

Acknowledgements

- The title of this paper is borrowed from *On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots* [3] and *Automating autism* [6], two papers that have substantially shaped the development of this paper and whose work I hope to build on.
- Many thanks to Professor Kristen Chmielewski of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences at Western Washington University and Professor Brian Hutchinson of WWU's College of Science and Technology for creating the learning environment that allowed the development of this paper.
- Many thanks to the multitude of faculty, staff, student peers, and others who reviewed this paper and advised on its development.
- All of the code and (small) data files can be found on this paper's GitHub repository at github.com/mindsresearch/autism-ai.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The Genesis of Autism 2.1 Following the Footnote Trail	3 4
3	Real Harms of Contemporary Definitions	5
4	The State of AI-assisted Autism Diagnosis 4.1 AI Ethics	5 5 5 6
5	Evaluating the Present AIAD Corpus 5.1 Behavioral Assessment	6 6
6	Replicating Results 6.1 Behavioral Assessment	7 7 7
7	Discussion7.1 Approaches to Autism Diagnosis	7 7 7
Bi	ibliography	8

1 Introduction

In Automating autism [6], Keyes analyzes two distinct corpora using a Critical Discourse Analysis-based approach to arrive at a set of themes pertaining to the sentiment towards autistic people both in academic research and in the commercial space. In my previous work, [C2C202B PAPER TITLE HERE], I analyzed the current flaws in the workforce sentiment towards autism and neurodiversity in post-secondary employment.

In the present paper, I focus on a more fundamental issue: the genesis of how autism is defined "under a 'medical' model of disability" [6, p. 2], subsequent issues stemming from the major flaws in this definition, and the implications of this systemic bias in the present development of AI and machine learning models to diagnose the disorder.

[TODO: Rebuild to make more specific (as a function of development)]

2 The Genesis of Autism

2.1 Following the Footnote Trail

The term "autism" was first coined by Eugen Bleuler in 1913 to describe schizophrenic patients [5, p. 213]. Specifically, Bleuler dubbed "autism" to refer to a state where one "[seems] totally detached from the outside world ... and basking in fantasy" [5, p. 213]¹. However, the history of autism as a syndrome as opposed to Bleuler's symptom begins some 30 years later with the near-simultaneous descriptions by Leo Kanner in 1943 and Hans Asperger in 1944. The latter's penning of "autistic psychopathy" adapted the original description by framing it as "a durable way of being..., a different kind of contact with [the world]" [5, p. 213] in response to the rising popularity of intelligence testing in 1930s Vienna. Kanner's "infantile autism" on the other hand, waivered from this definition by incorporating psychogenesis and mental remaining at a since [5, p. 215].

Division between the two descriptions would then deepen further following the 1971 publication of [8] which created a sharp split between them, noting that Asperger's description "did not receive the attention it deserves" [8]. Furthermore, the split aligned with Kanner's desire² to restrict autism to "a rare and unique disorder" fearing that Asperger's description would "[water] down autism", thus "[depriving] the category of any relevance" [5, p. 216].

However, [8] represents something far more significant than creating this divide - one of many introductions of Asperger's work to English-reading "researchers" [5, pp. 216-7]. This debunks the common myth, perpetuated in [2], that Asperger's work was unknown to the English world until 1981 (or in the case of [2], 1997) [5, p. 216]. Rather, the latency in adoption of Asperger's work stems from an "inability to synthesize [Asperger's] and Kanner's descriptions" [5, p. 222].

¹aka "zoning out"/"daydreaming"?

²Kanner was an editor for the journal in which [8] was published.

This carries over into the present popular and academic conceptualization of autism, presented in Simon Baron-Cohen's 1995 book *Mindblindness* [1] and his 2003 book *The Essential Difference* [2], particularly chapter 10 [6, p. 12]. The latter posits that autism can be described as possessing "the extreme male brain" since the systematization ability of autistic people is enhanced whereas their empathy capabilities are significantly hampered [REPLACE WITH QUOTE] This lack of empathy is described in the former as an inability to "come up with a sensible interpretation of the causes of [others'] actions" [1, p. 4], and is likened to the social ineptitude [TODO: word choice] of primates, an excerpt of this comparison being shown in Table 1.

group	ID	EDD	SAM	ToMM
autism (A)	+	+	-	-
autism (B)	+	+	+	-
higher primates	+	+	+?	-
normal humans older than 4 years	+	+	+	+

Table 1: Excerpt of table 8.1 on pg. 127 of [1]

Baron-Cohen's ideas were then applied to "theory and philosophy ... implying that the default state for autists is total ignorance of self' [6, p. 13]. This and subsequent logical leaps were then taken through other works in popular science and medicine to arrive at the present construction of autism: robotic, asocial, non-agentic, and ultimately, non-human [6, pp. 14-5].

2.2 Disability and Dis-humanity in Antiquity

While derivatives of Baron-Cohen's works popularized the dehumanization of autism, the first belittlement of disability dates back significantly further to the ancient Greek philosopher Plato's work, *Phaedo*. According to the interpretation on page 145 of *Gendering Disability* [7], disability presents a severe impediment to philosophy. Since the healthy body is a source of "innumerable distractions" in the pursuit of knowledge, the bodily unpredictability of disability compounds this, halting philosophical progress altogether. Virginia Woolf's 1930 essay *On Being Ill* applies this to literature since both "[aspire] to transcendence", thus "in illness, the body demands acknowledgement" [7].

These notions of disability impeding human development are just as prevalent today, albeit in slightly more subtle ways. While special education (SPED) is presented as an exercise in inter-human empathy, its underpinning of something far darker are undeniable - attempting to "train" disability, particularly intellectual disability, out of children in order to force them into the capitalistic societal molds built specifically to exclude them. [SOURCES FOR THIS PARAGRAPH?]

3 Real Harms of Contemporary Definitions

Arguably, [8] marks the division between what is considered today the distinction between "low-functioning" and "high-functioning" autistic people, perpetuated by the "autism (A)" and "autism (B)" sub-groupings in Table 1. As we have explored in section 2, this distinction stems from the differences between Kanner's and Asperger's descriptions of autism and the "inability to synthesize [their] descriptions" [5, p. 222].

Furthermore, this divide is perpetuated through the construction of SPED programs and classrooms [TODO: word choice]. The curriculum of Life Skills, a form of SPED, focuses heavily on teaching the "correct" strategies for social skills and "normal" societal interaction. Some examples include the teaching of white middle-class [TODO: research history of table manners to verify this] version of table manners without acknowledging those of other cultures, an allistic approach to friendships without the expression of authenticity³, and problem solving strategies that focus on minimizing reliance on adults.

Perpetuating these overtly harmful narratives of disability as sub-human indisputably have real and profound impacts on the construction of social hierarchy. In the case of invisible disabilities [FOOTNOTE], the predominant consequences of this are two-fold: concealment of otherness and denial of support.

4 The State of AI-assisted Autism Diagnosis

This section serves as an introduction to the current research area of AI-driven autism diagnosis.

4.1 AI Ethics

This subsection builds on Keyes' [6] description of present AI Ethics techniques, with emphasis on "bias detection algorithms", thus implicating *Stochastic Parrots* [3].

4.2 General Issues in AI Bias

This subsection builds on the *Stochastic Parrots* [3] implication above, high-lighting section 4.3's (pg. 614) statement that AI bias towards intersectionality is greater than the sum of the bias towards its parts.

4.3 Going Too Far

By Baron-Cohen's own admission, autistic traits cannot reliably be measured in toddlers younger than 18 months. I feel like I've seen AIAD studies that

³thus implicating the concept of "masking", or concealing autistic traits, which can cause substantial mental health issues

target children younger than that. This subsection investigates the validity of that statement and the corpus thereof.

4.4 Issues in AIAD Research

This subsection summarizes the previous subsections in this section, providing an overview of the major issues in AIAD research.

5 Evaluating the Present AIAD Corpus

In the present research surrounding the use of AI in autism detection and diagnosis, there appear to be two major "tracks" that research follows: optimization of behavioral assessment and analysis of brain imaging. These methods are discussed in turn below.

5.1 Behavioral Assessment

5.2 Neural Imaging

The second major track that AIAD research follows is that of detecting structural differences in autistic versus non-autistic brains through the use of EEG and MRI scanning. [4] provides an "excellent" overview of the work in this track. Here is a list of reasons why [4] is so "amazing":

- Directly mentions Kanner; ASD related to the brain
- Autism is this horrible epidemic that must be addressed at all costs!
- "Unfortunately, this test-based screening method [ADOS] can only diagnose children when they have the ability to communicate." (pg. 2)
- Genetic testing!
- Cerebrospinal fluid increases within first two years of life correlates to ASD (pg. 3)
- "The accuracy of a classifier/predictive model is improved by training the model on large datasets." (pg. 3) uh... no... not necessarily... unless we're talking about overfitting...?

My thoughts:

- HOW HAS THIS PAPER NOT BEEN RETRACTED!?!?!?!?!?
- It looks like accuracy hovers in the 80s-percent range. Precision/recall scores?
- Stop. Just. Stop. Sure, you might be able to detect autism with some degree of accuracy, but *why*? Getting an MRI, (especially pediatrics?), isn't cheap...

- Table 1 has repeated rows...?
- This paper is so bad that I almost want to print it...

6 Replicating Results

- 6.1 Behavioral Assessment
- 6.2 Neural Imaging
- 7 Discussion
- 7.1 Approaches to Autism Diagnosis
- 7.2 Developing Benchmark Datasets

Bibliography

- [1] Simon Baron-Cohen. *Mindblindness: an essay on autism and theory of mind.* Learning, development, and conceptual change. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press, 1995. 171 pp. ISBN: 978-0-262-02384-9.
- [2] Simon Baron-Cohen. The essential difference: male and female brains and the truth about autism. New York, N.Y: Basic Books, 2004. 271 pp. ISBN: 978-0-7382-0844-2 978-0-465-00556-7.
- [3] Emily M. Bender et al. "On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big?" In: Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency. FAccT '21: 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency. Virtual Event Canada: ACM, Mar. 3, 2021, pp. 610–623. ISBN: 978-1-4503-8309-7. DOI: 10.1145/3442188.3445922. URL: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3442188.3445922 (visited on 02/13/2024).
- [4] Ahmad Chaddad et al. "Can Autism Be Diagnosed with Artificial Intelligence? A Narrative Review". In: *Diagnostics* 11.11 (Nov. 3, 2021), p. 2032. ISSN: 2075-4418. DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics11112032. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4418/11/11/2032 (visited on 02/28/2024).
- [5] Gil Eyal et al. The autism matrix: the social origins of the autism epidemic. Cambridge, UK: Polity, 2010. 320 pp. ISBN: 978-0-7456-4399-1 978-0-7456-4400-4.
- [6] Os Keyes. "Automating autism: Disability, discourse, and Artificial Intelligence". In: Journal of Sociotechnical Critique 1.1 (2020). Ethics. DOI: 10. 25779/89BJ-J396. URL: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/sociotechnicalcritique/vol1/iss1/8 (visited on 01/12/2024).
- Bonnie G. Smith and Beth Hutchison, eds. Gendering disability. New Brunswick,
 N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 2004. 314 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8135-3372-8 978-0-8135-3373-5.
- [8] D. Arn Van Krevelen. "Early infantile autism and autistic psychopathy".
 In: Journal of autism and childhood schizophrenia 1.1 (Jan. 1, 1971), pp. 82–86. ISSN: 1573-3432. DOI: 10.1007/BF01537745. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01537745.