## Homework #5 (100 points)

207.547 Seminar in Experimental Psychology: Computational Modeling Spring 2018

Due: Thursday, May 24th, at 11:59 pm

- 1. (30 pts). Simulation and parameter recovery using a simple reinforcement learning model
  - (1) (10 pts) Find the simulate\_hw5\_model1.R file and generate simulated data (simul\_data\_hw5\_model1.txt) using the code and its default settings (num subjs = 30, num trials=300).
  - (2) (20 pts) Using hw5\_model1.stan and hw5\_model1.R files, (2a) (5 pts) Find the posterior distribution of individual and group (hyper) parameters. (2b) (15 pts) Draw a scatterplot of true parameters and estimated parameters (x axis: true individual parameters, y axis: individual posterior means). Add error bars along the y-axis (error bars = +-1 SD of individual posterior distributions). Check if true parameters are relatively well recovered.
- 2. (20 pts) Comparing the outputs from hierarchical and non-hierarchical Bayesian models.
  - (1) (5 pts) Like you did for HW#4, program your R and Stan codes that will estimate individual posterior distributions of alpha and beta (a non-hierarchical version). Use the same simulated data generated in Question#1.
  - (2) (10 pts) Draw a scatterplot of true parameters and estimated parameters (x axis: true individual parameters, y axis: individual posterior means). Add error bars along the y-axis (error bars = +-1 SD of individual posterior distributions).
  - (3) (5 pts) Do you see a noticeable difference in the two scatter plots you generated in Question#1(2) and Question#2(2)? Try to explain why.
- 3. (30 pts) "Shrinkage" in hierarchical Bayesian data analysis
  - (1) (10 pts) In the simulate\_hw5\_model1.R file, set num\_subjs = 200, num trials=100 and generate 200 fake subjects' data.
  - (2) (10 pts) Find the posterior distribution of individual and group (hyper) parameters (warning: MCMC sampling may take a long time).
  - (3) (10 pts) Draw a scatterplot of true parameters and estimated parameters (x axis: true individual parameters, y axis: individual posterior means). Add error bars along the y-axis (error bars = +-1 SD of individual posterior distributions). Check if true parameters are relatively well recovered.
  - (4) (**Bonus point: 10 pts**) Do you notice "shrinkage" in one parameter but not so much in the other parameter? Can you explain why?

## 4. (Bonus question, 30 pts)

Modify provided Stan and R codes so that we can allow two learning rates in the reinforcement learning model.  $alpha_pos \rightarrow learning$  rate when a prediction error is zero or above.  $alpha_neg \rightarrow learning$  rate when a prediction error is less than zero. Use the following settings for generating true parameters:

Also, set num subjs = 30, num trials=100.

- (1) (5 pts) Find the posterior distribution of individual and group (hyper) parameters.
- (2) (5 pts) Draw a scatterplot of true parameters and estimated parameters (x axis: true individual parameters, y axis: individual posterior means). Add error bars along the y-axis (error bars = +-1 SD of individual posterior distributions). Check if true parameters are relatively well recovered.
- (3) (10 pts) In the simulate\_hw5\_model1.R, change num\_trials, pr\_correct\_option1, and pr\_correct\_option2 (lines 12-13) like the following and re-generate simulated data:

```
num_trials <- 300
pr_correct_option1 <- 0.3
pr_correct_option2 <- 0.6</pre>
```

Find the posterior distributions and draw a scatter of true parameters and estimated parameters (x axis: true individual parameters, y axis: individual posterior means). Add error bars along the y-axis (error bars = +-1 SD of individual posterior distributions).

(4) (10 pts) Do you notice any difference between what you found in (2) and (3)? Try to explain what made the difference.

Attach all your R/Stan program. Please generate a single PDF file with all the outputs.