

PhD Macro Core Part I:
Lecture 14 – Sticky Prices and Menu Costs Part I

Min Fang
University of Florida

Fall 2024

Why Sticky Prices Matter?

- Evidence that demand shocks affect output
- Monetary shocks, fiscal shocks, etc, all have effects on output
- Major challenge: How to explain this empirical finding?
- In RBC models, demand shocks have small or NO effects on output
- Leading explanation: Prices adjust sluggishly to shocks

Sticky Prices and the Business Cycle

- Monetary shock: Increase in money supply
 - Flexible prices: Prices increase while output and real rates are unchanged
 - Sticky prices: Reduction in nominal interest rate reduces real rates
- Fiscal shock: Increase in government spending
 - Flexible prices: Real rates rise, which crowds out private spending
 - Sticky prices: Real rate sluggish unless nominal rate moves, output increases more
- We will start with the macro-type models to include stick prices

Today

- The Calvo model
- The Rotemberg model
- A comparison between the two

The Calvo Model

The Calvo Model

- Reduced-form way of capturing price rigidities.
- Each period, a given firm has a probability of θ that they will have the same price as the last period.
- Complementary probability $1 - \theta$ that they can update their price.
- Reference: Guillermo Calvo (1983), "Staggered Prices in a Utility-Maximising Framework", Journal of Monetary Economics 12 (3), 383-398.
- Reference: Jordi Gali (2007), "Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle: An Introduction to the New Keynesian Framework", Princeton University Press

Setup

- A baseline model with nominal rigidities
- Monopolistic competition firms (The KEY)
- Sticky prices (staggered price setting)
- Competitive labor markets, Closed economy, No capital accumulation

Households

- Utility

$$\max U_t = E_t \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} \left[\frac{C_s^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_s^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right],$$

where:

$$C_t = \left[\int_0^1 C_t(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- Firms produce differentiated products $C_t(j)$ in a monopolistic competitive markets
- Budget constraint:

$$\int_0^1 C_t(j) P_t(j) dj + Q_t B_t \leq B_{t-1} + W_t N_t - T_t$$

Households Optimization

- Optimal allocation of expenditures

$$\max C_t = \left[\int_0^1 C_t(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

s.t.

$$\int_0^1 P_t(j) C_t(j) = Z_t$$

- The first order condition is

$$C_t(j) = (\lambda_t P_t(j))^{-\varepsilon} C_t$$

where λ_t is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the constraint.

- Substituting in the definition of the consumption index

$$\lambda_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_t(j)^{1-\varepsilon} dj \right]^{\frac{-1}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

Households Optimization

- So, if we define the price level such that

$$\int_0^1 P(j)C(j)dj = P_t C_t$$

we have

$$\int_0^1 P(j)C_t(j) = \left[\int_0^1 P_t(j)^{1-\varepsilon} dj \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} C_t = P_t C_t$$

So

$$P_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_t(j)^{1-\varepsilon} dj \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} = \lambda_t^{-1}$$

- Therefore, the demand equation is

$$C_t(j) = \left(\frac{P_t(j)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_t$$

Households Optimization

- Labor leisure decision

$$-\frac{U_n(C_t; N_t)}{U_c(C_t; N_t)} = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$

- Intertemporal decision

$$Q_t = \beta E_t \left(\frac{U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right)$$

- In log-linear terms

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t$$

$$c_t = E_t c_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho)$$

Firms

- Continuum of firms, indexed by j
- Each firm produces a differentiated good $Y_t(j)$
- Identical technology

$$Y_t(j) = A_t N_t(j)^{1-\alpha}$$

- Probability of resetting price in any given period: $1 - \theta$, independent across firms.
- $\theta \in [0, 1]$: index of price stickiness
- Implied average price duration $1/(1 - \theta)$

Optimal Price Setting

- A firm reoptimizing in period t will choose the price P_t^* that maximizes the current market value of the profits generated while that price remains effective. The probability that this price will be affected at period $t + k$ is θ^k

$$\max \sum_k E_t \theta^k Q_{t,t+k} [P_t^* Y_{t+k,t} - \Psi(Y_{t+k,t})]$$

where:

- $Q_{t,t+k}$ is the stochastic discount factor, given by $\beta^k \frac{U_{c,t+k}}{U_{c,t}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}}$ (households own firms, and discount given their rate of marginal utility. When marginal utility in a given period is high relative to today, future profits are more valuable in utility terms, so firms are more patient)
- $Y_{t+k,t}(j) = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_{t+k}$ (monopolistic competitive firm knows the form of its demand)
- $\Psi(Y_{t+k,t})$ is the total cost (which in this case is given by $W_t N_t(j)$)

Optimal Price Setting

- Transformed problem:

$$\sum_k E_t \theta^k Q_{t,t+k} Y_{t+k,t} [P_t^* - \mathcal{M} \psi_{t+k,t}]$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$ and $\psi_{t+k,t} = \Psi'_{t+k,t}$ is the marginal cost at period $t+k$ of firms that change their price at period t , so

$$\psi_{t+k,t} = W_{t+k} (Y_{t+k,t})^{\alpha/1-\alpha} (A_{t+k})^{-1/1-\alpha}$$

- The average marginal cost is

$$\psi_{t+k} = W_{t+k} (Y_{t+k})^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} (A_{t+k})^{-1/(1-\alpha)}$$

So, given that

$$Y_{t+k,t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_{t+k}$$

we have

$$\psi_{t+k,t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\varepsilon \alpha / (1-\alpha)} \psi_{t+k}$$

The Log-linear Pricing Equation

- Given that in steady state $P^* = P = \psi\mathcal{M}$ and $Q_k = \beta^k$, a Taylor expansion of the pricing equations

$$\sum_k YE_t(\theta\beta)^k [p_t^* - \log(\psi_{t+k,t}) - \mu] = 0$$

where $\mu \equiv \log(\mathcal{M})$.

- Alternatively, we can express it in terms of the average marginal cost

$$\sum_k YE_t(\theta\beta)^k [p_t^* - \Theta(\log(\psi_{t+k}) - p_{t+k}) - p_{t+k} - \Theta\mu] = 0$$

where $\Theta = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha\epsilon}$.

The Log-linear Pricing Equation

- Therefore, defining the real marginal cost as $mc_t \equiv \log(\psi_t) - p_t$
- And given that in steady state $mc = -\mu$

$$p_t^* = (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_k E_t(\theta\beta)^k [\Theta \widehat{mc}_{t+k} + p_{t+k}]$$

- or

$$p_t^* - p_t = (1 - \beta\theta)\Theta \widehat{mc}_t + \theta\beta E_t(p_{t+1}^* - p_t)$$

Aggregate Price Dynamics

- The aggregate price is

$$P_t = \left[\theta (P_{t-1})^{1-\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)P_t^{*1-\varepsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

- Defining $\pi \equiv \log P_t/P_{t-1}$ and log-linearizing around the zero-inflation steady state

$$\pi_t = (1-\theta) (p_t^* - p_{t-1})$$

- Turning again to the pricing equation, we can write

$$\pi_t = \lambda \widehat{mc}_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

where $\lambda \equiv \frac{(1-\beta\theta)(1-\theta)}{\theta} \Theta$

The Log-linear Phillips Curve

- To write the above pricing equation in terms of output, we need to derive some conditions for the actual marginal cost and market clearing
- Goods market clearing $y_t = c_t$
- Labor market clearing

$$\begin{aligned} N_t &= \int_0^1 N_t(j) dj = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{Y_t(j)}{A_t} \right)^{1/(1-\alpha)} dj \\ &= \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right)^{1/(1-\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(j)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon/(1-\alpha)} dj \end{aligned}$$

or given that to a first-order approximation, the price dispersion term $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(j)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon/(1-\alpha)} dj$, is eliminated (See Galí, ch. 3 Appendix)

$$(1 - \alpha)n_t = y_t - a_t$$

The Log-linear Phillips Curve

- The real marginal cost

$$MC_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \frac{Y_t^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}{(1-\alpha)A_t^{1/(1-\alpha)}}$$

or

$$mc_t = w_t - p_t + (1-\alpha)^{-1} (\alpha y_t - a_t) - \log(1-\alpha)$$

- And recalling the labor leisure decision:

$$w_t - p_t = \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t$$

and market clearing, we can write

$$mc_t = \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) y_t - \left(\frac{\varphi + 1}{1 - \alpha} \right) a_t - \log(1 - \alpha)$$

The Log-linear Phillips Curve

- The Phillips curve

$$\lambda^{-1}\pi_t = \left[\left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) y_t - \left(\frac{\varphi + 1}{1 - \alpha} \right) a_t - \log(1 - \alpha) + \mu \right] + \lambda^{-1}\beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

- With flexible prices $\lambda^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ (denoted with a superscript n)

$$y_t^n = \psi_{ya} a_t - \delta_y$$

where $\psi_{ya} = \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\varphi + 1}{1 - \alpha} \right)$ and $\delta_y = \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right)^{-1} (\mu - \log(1 - \alpha))$

- So, we can write the Phillips curve in terms of the output gap $\tilde{y}_t = y_t - y_t^n$

$$\pi_t = \kappa \tilde{y}_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

where $\kappa = \lambda \left(\sigma + \frac{\varphi + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right)$

The Three Equation New Keynesian Model

- 1) *PC* curve

$$\pi_t = \kappa \tilde{y}_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

- 2) IS curve

$$\tilde{y}_t = E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^n)$$

where the natural rate of interest is defined as $r_t^n = \rho + \sigma \psi_{ya} E_t \Delta a_{t+1}$

- 3) A monetary policy rule! (This will be the last lecture)

The Rotemberg Model

The Rotemberg Model

- Monopolistic competition firms (The KEY)
- Sticky prices (staggered price setting)
- Competitive labor markets, Closed economy, No capital accumulation
- But this model assumes that firms can always opt to change their price, but doing so involves paying a quadratic adjustment cost of the form

$$AC_t(j) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} - 1 \right)^2 Y_t$$

where $\lambda > 0$ captures the degree of price stickiness and \tilde{P}_t^* denotes the optimal reset price.

- Rotemberg (1982), "Sticky Prices in the United States", Journal of Political Economy.

The Rotemberg Model

- The choice of a new price today affects our profits today.
- But it can also affect our profits directly tomorrow. Why?
- Because in $t + 1$, the reset price \tilde{P}_t^* will feature in the adjustment cost function

$$AC_{t+1}(j) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_{t+1}^*}{\tilde{P}_t^*} - 1 \right)^2 Y_{t+1}$$

- Any other future periods where today's price choice will have a direct effect?
- What about time $t + 2$? Nope. The price chosen at $t + 1$ will affect that (as in the previous slide).

Firm Objective

- Again, the firm seeks to maximize the discounted expected value of future profits for its shareholders

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_t(j) = \mathbb{E}_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{t,t+k} \tilde{V}_{t+k}(j) \right\}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_{t+k}(j) = \tilde{P}_t^* Y_{t+k,t} - \Psi(Y_{t+k,t}) - P_{t+k} AC_{t+k}(j)$$

and

$$Y_{t+k}(j) = \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k}$$

Optimal Price

- FOC

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_t(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_t \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{t,t+k} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{t+k}(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} \right\} = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_t(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} &= Y_t(j) + \tilde{P}_t^* \frac{\partial Y_t(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} + \Psi'(Y_t(j)) \frac{\partial Y_t(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} - \lambda \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} - 1 \right) Y_t \frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{t+1}(j)}{\partial \tilde{P}_t^*} &= \lambda \frac{\tilde{P}_{t+1}^*}{(\tilde{P}_t^*)^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_{t+1}^*}{\tilde{P}_t^*} - 1 \right) P_{t+1} Y_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

Optimal Price

- Putting it all together yields

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon} Y_t - \frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{P_t} \epsilon \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon-1} Y_t + \Psi'(Y_t(j)) \epsilon \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{P_t}\right)^{-\epsilon-1} Y_t \frac{1}{P_t} - \lambda \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} - 1\right) \frac{Y_t P_t}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} + \lambda \mathbb{E}_t \left[Q_{t,t+1} \frac{\tilde{P}_{t+1}^*}{(\tilde{P}_t^*)^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_{t+1}^*}{\tilde{P}_t^*} - 1\right) Y_{t+1} P_{t+1} \right] = 0$$

- You may get homework here to derive this above
- Also, would you get the same Phillips curve?

Comparing the Two

Cross-Sectional Price Dispersion

- Is there a cross-section of different prices across the varieties?
- Rotemberg: none. Firms will just re-adjust each period. All the same, so they set the same price.
- Calvo: in general, there will be dispersion. E.g., consider the initial price index P_0 .

$$\Rightarrow P_1 = \left[\theta (P_0)^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) (P_1^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2 = \left[\theta \left\{ \theta (P_0)^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) (P_1^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right\} + (1-\theta) (P_2^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$= \left[\theta^2 (P_0)^{1-\epsilon} + \theta(1-\theta) (P_1^*)^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) (P_2^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_3 = \left[\theta^3 (P_0)^{1-\epsilon} + \theta^2(1-\theta) (P_1^*)^{1-\epsilon} + \theta(1-\theta) (P_2^*)^{1-\epsilon} + (1-\theta) (P_3^*)^{1-\epsilon} \right] \text{ and so on.}$$

Induced Distortions

- Adjustment costs in Rotemberg are goods that come out of the resource constraint

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= C_t + \int_0^1 AC_t(j) dj \\ &= C_t + \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t^*}{\tilde{P}_{t-1}^*} - 1 \right)^2 Y_t dj \\ &= C_t + Y_t \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 dj \\ &= C_t + Y_t \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 \\ \Rightarrow Y_t &= \left\{ 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 \right)^2 \right\}^{-1} C_t \end{aligned}$$

i.e., there is an "inefficiency wedge" between output and consumption.

Induced Distortions

- Under the Calvo model, price dispersion creates distortions.
- Recall from the production function that

$$Y_t(j) = A_t N_t(j)^{1-\alpha}$$
$$\Rightarrow N_t(j) = \left(\frac{Y_t(j)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

which is labor demand for firm j . Aggregation gives

$$N_t = \int_0^1 N_t(j) dj = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{Y_t(j)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} dj$$
$$= \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(P_t^*)}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj$$

where the last line comes from plugging in j 's demand function.

Induced Distortions

- Notice that if there is perfect price flexibility, then

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{(P_t^*)}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj = 1$$

- With rigidities, though, see that

$$\begin{aligned} N_t^{1-\alpha} &= \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right) \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(P_t^*)}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj \right\}^{1-\alpha} \\ \Rightarrow Y_t &= A_t N_t^{1-\alpha} \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(P_t^*)}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj \right\}^{\alpha-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \left\{ \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(P_t^*)}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} dj \right\}^{\alpha-1} < 1$$

- You can interpret the last equality as an aggregate production function.

Big Picture

- We want a model with price rigidity so we can think about a non-neutral monetary policy.
- But what does price stickiness itself imply about welfare?
- It's a bad thing.
- It's A friction: firms cannot update their prices freely, even if they want to.
- This can only hurt our economy relative to a benchmark without price rigidity.
- The setup of these two models allows us to think a bit about the welfare cost of this friction.
- In Calvo, the dispersion hurts welfare.
- In Rotemberg, the adjustment costs hurt welfare.