

# Data Structures

## Sorting

CS284

# Objectives

- ▶ To learn how to implement the following sorting algorithms:
  - ▶ selection sort
  - ▶ bubble sort
  - ▶ insertion sort
  - ▶ shell sort
  - ▶ merge sort
  - ▶ heapsort
  - ▶ quicksort
- ▶ To understand the differences in performance of these algorithms, and which to use for small, medium arrays, and large arrays



# Shell Sort: A Better Insertion Sort

- ▶ A type of insertion sort, but with  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3/2})$  or better performance than the  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  sorts
- ▶ It is named after its discoverer, Donald Shell
- ▶ Can be thought of as a divide-and-conquer approach to insertion sort
- ▶ Instead of sorting the entire array, sorts many smaller subarrays using insertion sort before sorting the entire array

## Algorithm – Array `table` of size `n`

```
gap = n/2
while (gap > 0) {
  for each e in array table from position gap to n-1 {
    Insert e where it belongs in its subarray.
  }
  if (gap is 2)
    then gap = 1
  else gap = gap/2.2 // chosen by experimentation
}
```

- We shall refine line 4 in the next slide

Tracing an example

## Refinement of Step 4, the Insertion Step

```
gap = n/2
while (gap > 0) {
  for each e in array table from position gap to n-1 {
    nextPos is the position of e
    nextVal = e
    while (nextPos > gap && table[nextPos-gap] > nextVal) {
      Shift the element at nextPos-gap to position nextPos
      nextPos = nextPos-gap
    }
    Insert nextVal at nextPos
  }
  if (gap is 2)
    then gap = 1
  else gap = gap/2.2 // chosen by experimentation
}
```

# Analysis of Shell Sort

- ▶ Because the behavior of insertion sort is closer to  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  than  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  when an array is nearly sorted, presorting speeds up later sorting
- ▶ This is **critical** when sorting large arrays where the  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  performance becomes significant
- ▶ General analysis is open research problem
  - ▶ Performance depends on selection of (decreasing) gap
  - ▶ Our algorithm initially sets gap to  $n/2$  and then divides by 2.2 and truncates the result
  - ▶ Empirical studies show that this approach yields performance  $\mathcal{O}(n^{5/4})$  or even  $\mathcal{O}(n^{7/6})$ , but there is no theoretical basis for the result

## Analysis of Shell Sort (cont.)

- ▶ If successive powers of 2 used for gap, performance is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- ▶ If successive values for gap are based on Hibbard's sequence,  
 $2k - 1$  (i.e. 31, 15, 7, 3, 1)  
it can be proven that the performance is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3/2})$
- ▶ Other sequences give similar or better performance



# Code for Shell Sort

```
public class ShellSort {  
    public static <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(T[] table) {  
        // Gap between adjacent elements.  
        int gap = table.length / 2;  
        while (gap > 0) {  
            for (int nextPos = gap; nextPos < table.length; nextPos++) {  
                // Insert element at nextPos in its subarray.  
                insert(table, nextPos, gap);  
            }  
            // Reset gap for next pass.  
            if (gap == 2)  
                { gap = 1; }  
            else  
                { gap = (int) (gap / 2.2); }  
        } // End while.  
    }  
}
```

# Code for Shell Sort

```
private static <T extends Comparable <T>>
void insert(T[] table, int nextPos, int gap) {
    T nextVal = table[nextPos]; // Element to insert.

    // Shift all values>nextVal in subarray down by gap.
    while ((nextPos>gap-1)
        && (nextVal.compareTo(table[nextPos-gap]) < 0)) {
        table[nextPos] = table[nextPos-gap]; // Shift down.
        nextPos -= gap; // Check next position in subarray.
    }
    table[nextPos] = nextVal; // Insert nextVal.
}
```



# Merge

- ▶ A merge is a common data processing operation performed on two sequences of data with the following characteristics
  - ▶ Both sequences contain items with a common `compareTo` method
  - ▶ The objects in both sequences are ordered in accordance with this `compareTo` method
- ▶ The result is a third sequence containing all the data from the first two sequences

## Merge Algorithm – leftSeq and rightSeq

Access the first item from both sequences.

**while** (not finished with either sequence) {

    Compare the current items from the two sequences

    Copy the smaller current item to the output sequence, and access the  
}

Copy any remaining items from leftSeq to the output sequence.

Copy any remaining items from rightSeq to the output sequence.

# Trace of Merge Algorithm

0	1	2	3
50	60	90	30

0	1	2	3	4
45	20	80	15	33

# Trace of Merge Algorithm

0	1	2	3
50	60	90	30

0	1	2	3	4
45	20	80	15	33

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
45	50	20	60	80	15	30	33	90

# Analysis of Merge

- ▶ For two input sequences containing  $n$  and  $m$  elements resp., each element needs to move from its input sequence to the output sequence
- ▶ Merge time is  $\mathcal{O}(n + m)$



# Code for Merge

```
private static <T extends Comparable<T>> void merge(T[]
outputSeq, T[] leftSeq, T[] rightSeq) {
    int i = 0; // Index into the left input sequence.
    int j = 0; // Index into the right input sequence.
    int k = 0; // Index into the output sequence.

    while (i < leftSeq.length && j < rightSeq.length) {
        // Find smaller one insert into the output sequ.
        if (leftSeq[i].compareTo(rightSeq[j])<0){
            outputSeq[k++] = leftSeq[i++];
        } else
            { outputSeq[k++] = rightSeq[j++]; }
    }
    // Copy remaining input from left seq. into output.
    while (i < leftSeq.length) {
        outputSeq[k++] = leftSeq[i++];
    }
    // Copy remaining input from right seq. into output.
    while (j < rightSeq.length) {
        outputSeq[k++] = rightSeq[j++];
    }
}
```

# Merge Sort

- ▶ We can modify merging to sort a single, unsorted array
  1. Split the array into two halves
  2. Sort the left half
  3. Sort the right half
  4. Merge the two
- ▶ This algorithm can be written with a recursive step

## (recursive) Algorithm for Merge Sort

```
if (tableSize>1) {  
    halfSize = tableSize/2  
    Allocate a table leftTable of size halfSize  
    Allocate a table rightTable of size tableSize-halfSize  
    Copy elements from table[0..halfSize-1] to leftTable  
    Copy elements from table[halfSize..tableSize] to rightTable  
    Recursively apply merge sort to leftTable  
    Recursively apply merge sort to rightTable  
    Apply merge algorithm to leftTable and rightTable  
}
```

### ► Tracing an example

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
45	50	20	60	80	15	30	33	90

# Complexity of Merge Sort

- ▶ Merge sort time is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ 
  - ▶  $n$  for the total time for merging, per level
- ▶ But it requires, temporarily,  $n$  extra storage locations

# Code for Merge Sort

```
public class MergeSort {  
    public static <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(T[] table) {  
        // A table with one element is sorted already.  
        if (table.length > 1) {  
            // Split table into halves.  
            int halfSize = table.length / 2;  
            T[] leftTable = (T[])new Comparable[halfSize];  
            T[] rightTable = (T[])new Comparable[table.length-halfSize];  
            System.arraycopy(table, 0, leftTable, 0, halfSize);  
            System.arraycopy(table, halfSize, rightTable, 0,  
                             table.length - halfSize);  
            //Sort the halves.  
            sort(leftTable);  
            sort(rightTable);  
  
            // Merge the halves.  
            merge(table, leftTable, rightTable);  
        }  
    }  
}
```



# Heapsort

- ▶ Heapsort has the same complexity as Mergesort
- ▶ In contrast to Mergesort, Heapsort does not require any additional storage
- ▶ As its name implies, heapsort uses a heap to store the array
  - ▶ When used as a priority queue, a heap maintains a smallest value at the top
  - ▶ Naive heapsort:
    - ▶ place an array's data into a heap,
    - ▶ then remove each heap item and move it back into the array

# Naive Version of a Heapsort Algorithm

- ▶ This version of the algorithm requires  $n$  extra storage locations

```
Insert each value from table into a priority queue (heap).  
i=0  
while (priority queue is not empty) {  
    Remove next item from the queue  
    Insert it back into the array at position i  
    i++  
}
```

- ▶ Tracing an example

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15	20	30	45	50	60	80	90



# Revising the Heapsort Algorithm

- ▶ We can do better in terms of space usage
- ▶ In heaps we've used so far, each parent node value was not greater than the values of its children (minHeap)
- ▶ We can build a heap so that each parent node value is not less than its children (maxHeap)
- ▶ Then,
  - ▶ move the top item to the bottom of the heap
  - ▶ reheap, ignoring the item moved to the bottom
- ▶ If we implement the heap as an array,
  - ▶ each element removed will be placed at end of the array, and
  - ▶ the heap part of the array decreases by one element

# Algorithm for In-Place Heapsort

```
Build a maxHeap h by rearranging the elements in table
while (h is not empty) {
    Remove the first item h by swapping it with the last item in h
    Restore the heap property on h
}
```

## ► Tracing an example

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
74	66	89	6	39	29	76	32	18	28	37	26	20

# Analysis of Heapsort

- ▶ Because a heap is a complete binary tree, it has  $\log n$  levels
- ▶ Building a heap of size  $n$  requires finding the correct location for an item in a heap with  $\log n$  levels
- ▶ Each insert (or remove) is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- ▶ With  $n$  items, building a heap is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$
- ▶ No extra storage is needed

# Code for Heap Sort

```
public class HeapSort {
    public static <T extends Comparable<T>> void sort(T[] table) {
        buildHeap(table); // build maxHeap
        shrinkHeap(table); // transform heap into a sorted array.
    }

    private static <T extends Comparable<T>> void buildHeap(T[] table) {
        int n = 1;
        while (n < table.length) {
            n++; // Add a new item to the heap and reheap.
            int child = n - 1;
            int parent = (child - 1) / 2; // Find parent.
            while (parent >= 0
                && table[parent].compareTo(table[child]) < 0) {
                swap(table, parent, child);
                child = parent;
                parent = (child - 1) / 2;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

# Code for Heap Sort

```
private static <T extends Comparable <T>> void shrinkHeap(T[] table) {
    int n = table.length;

    // Invariant: table[0...n - 1] forms a heap.
    // table[n...table.length - 1] is sorted.
    while (n > 0) {
        n--;
        swap(table, 0, n);
        // table[1...n - 1] form a heap.
        // table[n...table.length - 1] is sorted.
        int parent = 0;
        while (true) {
            int leftChild = 2 * parent + 1;
            if (leftChild >= n) {
                break; // No more children.
            }

            // continued
        }
    }
}
```

# Code for Heap Sort

```
int rightChild = leftChild + 1;
// Find the larger of the two children.
int maxChild = leftChild;
if (rightChild < n // There is a right child.
    && table[leftChild].compareTo(table[rightChild]) < 0) {
    maxChild = rightChild;
}

// If the parent is smaller than the larger child,
if (table[parent].compareTo(table[maxChild]) < 0) {
    // Swap the parent and child.
    swap(table, parent, maxChild);
    // Continue at the child level.
    parent = maxChild;
}
else { // Heap property is restored.
    break; // Exit the loop.
}
}
}}
```

# Code for Heap Sort

```
/** Swap the items in table[i] and table[j].
    @param table The array that contains the items
    @param i The index of one item
    @param j The index of the other item
 */
private static <T extends Comparable <T>>
    void swap(T[] table, int i, int j) {
    T temp = table[i];
    table[i] = table[j];
    table[j] = temp;
}
}
```