



# **+ + Compressed Representation: Closed Patterns and Max- Patterns**

# Challenge: There Are Too Many Frequent Patterns!

- A long pattern contains a combinatorial number of sub-patterns
- How many frequent itemsets does the following TDB<sub>1</sub> contain?

□ TDB<sub>1</sub>:      T<sub>1</sub>: {a<sub>1</sub>, ..., a<sub>50</sub>}; T<sub>2</sub>: {a<sub>1</sub>, ..., a<sub>100</sub>}

□ Assuming (absolute) *minsup* = 1

□ Let's have a try

1-itemsets: {a<sub>1</sub>}: 2, {a<sub>2</sub>}: 2, ..., {a<sub>50</sub>}: 2, {a<sub>51</sub>}: 1, ..., {a<sub>100</sub>}: 1,

2-itemsets: {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>}: 2, ..., {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>50</sub>}: 2, {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>51</sub>}: 1 ..., ..., {a<sub>99</sub>, a<sub>100</sub>}: 1,

..., ..., ..., ...

99-itemsets: {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>99</sub>}: 1, ..., {a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, ..., a<sub>100</sub>}: 1

100-itemset: {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, ..., a<sub>100</sub>}: 1

□ In total:  $\binom{100}{1} + \binom{100}{2} + \dots + \binom{100}{100} = 2^{100} - 1$  sub-patterns!

A too huge set for  
any computer to  
compute or store!

# Expressing Patterns in Compressed Form: Closed Patterns

---

- ❑ How to handle such a challenge?
- ❑ Solution 1: **Closed patterns**: A pattern (itemset)  $X$  is **closed** if  $X$  is *frequent*, and there exists *no super-pattern*  $Y \supset X$ , with the same support as  $X$ 
  - ❑ Let Transaction DB  $TDB_1$ :  $T_1: \{a_1, \dots, a_{50}\}$ ;  $T_2: \{a_1, \dots, a_{100}\}$
  - ❑ Suppose  $minsup = 1$ . How many closed patterns does  $TDB_1$  contain?
    - ❑ Two:  $P_1: \{\{a_1, \dots, a_{50}\}: 2\}$ ;  $P_2: \{\{a_1, \dots, a_{100}\}: 1\}$
- ❑ **Closed pattern** is a **lossless compression** of frequent patterns
  - ❑ Reduces the # of patterns but does not lose the support information!
  - ❑ You will still be able to say:  $\{\{a_2, \dots, a_{40}\}: 2\}$ ,  $\{\{a_5, a_{51}\}: 1\}$

# Expressing Patterns in Compressed Form: Max-Patterns

---

- ❑ Solution 2: **Max-patterns**: A pattern  $X$  is a **max-pattern** if  $X$  is frequent and there exists no frequent super-pattern  $Y \supset X$
- ❑ Difference from close-patterns?
  - ❑ Do not care the real support of the sub-patterns of a max-pattern
  - ❑ Let Transaction DB  $TDB_1$ :  $T_1: \{a_1, \dots, a_{50}\}$ ;  $T_2: \{a_1, \dots, a_{100}\}$
  - ❑ Suppose  $minsup = 1$ . How many max-patterns does  $TDB_1$  contain?
    - ❑ One:  $P: \{\{a_1, \dots, a_{100}\}: 1\}$
- ❑ **Max-pattern** is a **lossy compression**!
  - ❑ We only know  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{40}\}$  is frequent
  - ❑ But we do not know the real support of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{40}\}$ , ..., any more!
- ❑ Thus in many applications, mining close-patterns is more desirable than mining max-patterns

# Recommended Readings

---

- ❑ R. Agrawal, T. Imielinski, and A. Swami, “Mining association rules between sets of items in large databases”, in Proc. of SIGMOD'93
- ❑ R. J. Bayardo, “Efficiently mining long patterns from databases”, in Proc. of SIGMOD'98
- ❑ N. Pasquier, Y. Bastide, R. Taouil, and L. Lakhal, “Discovering frequent closed itemsets for association rules”, in Proc. of ICDT'99
- ❑ J. Han, H. Cheng, D. Xin, and X. Yan, “Frequent Pattern Mining: Current Status and Future Directions”, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 15(1): 55-86, 2007