Ming Hsiao

Graduate Students Seminar

October 29, 2024



Who am I

- Master Student at National Taiwan University.
- Interesting in Geometric Flows and their topological applications.



Figure: Taiwan



Figure: Taipei 101

Definition of Ricci flow

Definition

Given a smooth manifold and a family of Riemannian metrics $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$. $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ is called a solution of Ricci flow if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g(t)),\tag{1}$$

on $\mathcal{M} \times [0, T]$.

R. Hamilton proved the existence and uniqueness of RF for the compact case in 1982. For a complete non-compact manifold, only some partial progress has been explored.

Some known results for existence

- (W.-X. Shi, 1989) Complete and bounded sectional curvature.
- (G. Gieson, P. Topping, 2010) \mathcal{C}^{∞} -Riemann surface(could be incomplete) exists an instantaneously complete RF.
- (Y. Lai, 2019) When the initial metric is complete and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{\mathsf{Vol}}_g(x,1) \geq v_0 > 0 & \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}^n, \\ \operatorname{\mathsf{Rm}}(g) + \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PIC1}} \Rightarrow \operatorname{\mathsf{Ric}}(g) \geq -(n-1), \end{cases}$$

 \exists a complete RF $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ starting with (\mathcal{M}^n, g) such that

$$\begin{cases}
|\mathsf{Rm}|(t) \le \frac{c}{t}, \\
\mathsf{inj}_{g(t)}(x) \ge \sqrt{\frac{t}{c}},
\end{cases}$$
(2)

on $\mathcal{M}^n \times (0, T]$.

Remark: (2) is invariant under parabolic scaling.



Some known results for uniqueness

Let $(\mathcal{M}^n, g_1(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ and $(\mathcal{M}^n, g_2(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ be two complete RFs with same initial metric.

• (B.-L. Chen, X.-P. Zhu, 2006) If $\exists C > 0$ s.t.

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^n \times [0,T]} |\mathsf{Rm}(g_1)| + |\mathsf{Rm}(g_2)| \le C, \tag{3}$$

then $g_1 \equiv g_2$ on $\mathcal{M} \times [0, T]$.

- (P. Topping, H. Yin, 2023) n = 2. $((\mathcal{M}^2, g(0)))$ could be incomplete)
- (M.-C. Lee, J. Ma, 2019 and 2021) Suppose that $\exists c > 0$ s.t.

$$|\mathsf{Rm}(g_1)|(t) + |\mathsf{Rm}(g_2)|(t) \le \frac{c}{t}.$$
 (4)

Then $g_1 \equiv g_2$ holds if either $g_1(t), g_2(t)$ are uniformly equivalent to g(0) or Rm(g(0)) is of polynomial growth.



Killing vector field on Ricci flow

Assume the RF starting from $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(0))$ is unique in a certain class, and denote the unique RF to be $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$.

- $F: (\mathcal{M}^n, g(0)) \to (\mathcal{M}^n, g(0))$ is an isometry.
- $(\mathcal{M}^n, F^*g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a RF with $F^*g(0) = g(0)$.
- Uniqueness $\Rightarrow F^*g(t) = g(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T] \Leftrightarrow$ $F: (\mathcal{M}^n, g(t)) \to (\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))$ is an isometry for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- X is a Killing vector field on $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(0))$.
- Uniqueness $\Rightarrow X$ is a Killing vector field on $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T].$

Question: Can we prove these properties if we don't know the uniqueness?

- We say (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g) to be a rotationally symmetric metric if there is a function $f: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to [0, \infty)$ such that $g = ds^2 + f(s)g_{std}$, where g_{std} is the standard metric on S^n .
- $X := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}$ is a Killing vector field for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $|X|_g^2 = f$.
- Uniqueness $\Rightarrow \exists$ two functions $\psi, f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times [0, T] \to [0, \infty)$ s.t.

$$g(s, \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^n, t) = \psi(s, t)ds^2 + f(s, t)g_{std}.$$
 (5)

We called such a flow a Rotationally symmetric RF.

Main result

Theorem (M. Hsiao)

Let $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ be a complete RF and X be a Killing vector field w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(0))$. Suppose that $|X|_{g(0)}$ is bounded and

$$|Rm(g(t))| \leq \frac{c}{t},$$

on $\mathcal{M}^n \times (0, T]$. Then X is also a Killing vector field w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, $|X|_{g(t)}$ is also bounded for all $t \in [0, T]$.

The idea is to generalize P. Lu and G. Tian's argument in the bounded curvature case to the unbounded curvature case.

Main result

Corollary (M. Hsiao)

Let $g_0 = ds^2 + f(s)g_{std}$ be a rotationally symmetric metric on \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that f(s) is bounded, and the RF $(\mathbb{R}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ evolves from g_0 satisfies $|Rm(g(t))| \leq c/t$. Then $(\mathbb{R}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a rotationally symmetric RF.

What happens to the unbounded Killing vector field?

Main results

000

Theorem (M. Hsiao)

Let $(\mathcal{M}, g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a complete RF with the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} |Rm(g(t))| \leq \frac{c}{t} & \text{on } \mathcal{M}^n \times (0, T], \\ inj_{g(t)}(x) \geq \sqrt{\frac{t}{c}} & \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathcal{M}^n \times (0, T], \\ Ric(x, 0) \geq K & \text{on } \mathcal{M}^n. \end{cases}$$

for some constants c>0 and $K\in\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that X is a Killing vector field w.r.t. g(0) and $|X|_{g(0)}(x)=O(\exp(d_0(x,p)^{2-\varepsilon}))$ as $x\to\infty$ for some $\varepsilon\in(0,2)$. Then X is also a Killing vector field w.r.t. g(t) and of the same growth order for all $t\in[0,T]$.



Sketch of proof - Step 1

Proof of the case of a bounded vector field:

Consider the following PDE

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g(t)} - \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}\right) X(x, t) = 0, \\ X(x, 0) = X(x). \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Intuition: Note that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g(t)}\right) |X|_{g(t)}^{2} = -2\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}(X, X) + 2\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}(X, X) - 2g_{t}(\nabla X, \nabla X)$$

$$= -2|\nabla X|_{g(t)}^{2} \le 0,$$

we get $|X|_{g(t)}^2 \le \max_{M_n} |X|_{g(0)}^2 < \infty$.



Define a time-dependent (0, 2)-tensor

$$h_{ij} := \nabla_i X_i + \nabla_i X_i. \tag{7}$$

Remark: $h(\cdot, t) \equiv 0$ if and only if $X(\cdot, t)$ is a Killing v.f. w.r.t. g(t). The equation of $|h|^2$ becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g(t)}\right) |h_{ij}|^2 = -2|\nabla_k h_{ij}|^2 - 4R_{ik\ell j}h^{k\ell}h^{ij} \le C(n)|\mathsf{Rm}||h_{ij}|^2. \tag{8}$$

Note that $h(\cdot,0)\equiv 0$ by the assumption. Suppose the maximal principle holds, we can conclude $h\equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{M}^n\times [0,T]$.

Then

$$0 = \nabla_{j}(\nabla_{j}X_{i} + \nabla_{i}X_{j})$$

$$= \Delta X_{i} + \nabla_{i}\nabla_{j}X_{j} - R_{jikj}X_{k}$$

$$= \Delta X_{i} - Ric_{ik}X_{k}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}X_{i},$$

this implies X is independent of t and we conclude that X is a Killing vector field $\forall t \in [0, T]$.

Question: When do we have the maximal principle?

Maximal principle

Theorem (M.-C. Lee and L.-F. Tam, 2020)

Let $(\mathcal{M}^n, g(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ be a complete RF. Let $L, f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{M}^n \times [0,T])$ be two continuous functions such that L(x, t), $f(x, t) \le c/t$ for some c > 0 and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g(t)}\right) f\Big|_{(x_0, t_0)} \leq L(x_0, t_0) f(x_0, t_0), \tag{9}$$

whenever $f(x_0, t_0) > 0$ in the sense of barrier. Suppose that $f(x,0) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}^n$, then $f \leq 0$ on $\mathcal{M}^n \times [0,T]$.

Take L := C(n)|Rm| and $f := |h_{ij}|^2$. (Need to prove $|h|^2 \le c/t$)



Thanks for your listening!