**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Websites (n =0)

Organisations (n = 3)

Citation searching (n = 9)

etc.

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 1)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from\*:

Databases (n = 406)

Registers (n = 405)

**Identification**

Records excluded\*\*

(n = 198)

Records screened

(n = 207)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 12)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 207)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 12)

Reports excluded: (n=0)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 26)

Reports excluded:

Reason 1 (n = 114)

Reason 2 (n = 48)

Reason 3 (n = 11)

Reason 4 (n = 4)

Reason 5 (n = 4)

Studies included in review

(n = 38)

Reports of included studies

(n = 157)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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